Synthesis of new bitopic tetra(pyrazolyl)-ligands with neopentane and o-xylene backbones

Andrei S. Potapov, Evgenia A. Nudnova, Andrei I. Khlebnikov *Altai State Technical University 46 Lenin Str., Barnaul 656038, Russia* E-mail: asp99@yandex.ru; nudnova@yandex.ru; aikhl@nm.ru

Abstract

Several new bitopic pyrazole-containing ligands were prepared from the corresponding pyrazoles and tetrahalogen- or tetratosyloxy derivatives of o-xylene and neopentane in a superbasic medium (KOH-DMSO).

Keywords: pyrazole; bitopic ligands; bis(pyrazol-1-yl)alkanes; neopentane; iodination;

Introduction

Bitopic ligands are compounds possessing two separate metal binding cites [1]. These ligands can act as building blocks for syntheses of homo- and heterobimetallic coordination compounds, as well as coordination polymers. These types of compounds are interesting due to their potential catalytic activity and diverse supramolecular architecture (Figure 1).

Ligands bearing two bis(pyrazol-1-yl)methane units linked by aliphatic and aromatic spacers were first prepared by Daniel Reger and are referred to as the third generation scorpionates [2].



Figure 1

In this communication we report the synthesis of two new types of bis(pyrazol-1-yl)alkane bitopic ligands. In one of them two 1,3-bis(pyrazol-1-yl)propane moieties are linked together directly without a spacer. In the other ligand two bis(pyrazol-1-yl)methane units are linked by an *ortho*-phenylene spacer forming a previously unavailable sterically hindered compound.

Experimental

Elemental analyses were carried out on a Carlo Erba analyzer. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV300 instrument operating at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75

MHz for ¹³C. EI MS measurements were carried out using TRACE DSQ (Thermo Electron Corporation, USA) instrument.

DFT calculations were carried out at RI DFT BP86 [3] level of theory and TZVPP [4] basis set (TZV/J auxiliary basis set [5, 6]) using ORCA 2.8.0.2 package [7].

1,3-Dibromo-2,2-bis(bromomethyl)propane [9] 1,2and bis(dibromomethyl)benzene [10] were prepared using literature methods. Pentaerithritol tetratosylate prepared from pentaeithritol and was p-toluenesulfochloride in acetone-aqueous NaOH by adopting a procedure from [11].

Tetrakis[(**pyrazol-1-yl)methyl]methane** (**1**). A suspension of 0.5 g (7.35 mmol) of pyrazole, 0.823 g (14.7 mmol) of powdered KOH in 7 ml of DMSO was stirred at 80 °C for 30 minutes. After that, 0.714 g (1.84 mmol) of 1,3-dibromo-2,2-bis(bromomethyl)propane were added in three equal portions every 30 minutes. Stirring was continued for 24 hours at 80 °C, then 70 ml of water were added, the solution was neutralized with hydrochloric acid and extracted with chloroform (5×10 ml). The extract was washed with water (2×10 ml), dried over calcium chloride and evaporated in vacuo. Product yield 0.224 g (36 %), colorless crystals, m.p. 192–194 °C (EtOH). NMR ¹H (CDCl₃), δ , ppm: 4.23 (s, 8H, CH₂), 6.27 (t, 4H, J=1.8 Hz, H⁴), 7.58 (d, 4H, J=1.8 Hz, H³), 7.76 (d, 4H, J=1.8 Hz, H⁵). Anal. found, %: C 60.32; H 5.90; N 33.04. C₁₇H₂₀N₈. Calculated, %: C 60.70; H 5.99; N 33.31.

3,3-Bis(pyrazol-1ylmethyl)oxetane (**2**). A suspension of 0.5 g (7.35 mmol) of pyrazole, 0.823 g (14.7 mmol) of powdered KOH in 5 ml of DMSO was stirred at 80 °C for 30 minutes. After that, 1.38 g (1.84 mmol) of pentaerithritol tetratosylate were added in three equal portions every 30 minutes. Stirring was continued for 24 hours at 80 °C, then 50 ml of water were added, the solution was neutralized with hydrochloric acid and extracted with chloroform (5×10 ml). The extract was washed with water (2×10 ml), dried over calcium chloride and evaporated in vacuo. Product yield 0.315 g (79 %), colorless oil. NMR ¹H (CDCl₃), δ , ppm: 4.30 (s, 4H, CH₂–O), 4.67 (s, 4H, CH₂–Pz), 6.22 (t, 4H, J=1.5 Hz, H⁴), 7.58 (d, 4H, J=1.5 Hz, H³), 7.76 (d,

4H, J=1.5 Hz, H⁵). NMR ¹³C (CDCl₃), δ, ppm: 45.0 (<u>C</u>(CH₂)₄), 54.0 (CH₂–O), 77.4 (CH₂–Pz), 105.1 (C⁴-Pz), 130.8 (C⁵-Pz), 139.9 (C³-Pz).

3,3-Bis(3,5-dimethylpyrazol-1-ylmethyl)oxetane (3) was prepared similarly to compound **2** from 0.5 g (5.21 mmol) of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole, 0.98 g (1.30 mmol) of pentaerithritol tetratosylate, 0.58 g (10.4 mmol) of KOH in 5 ml of DMSO. Yield 0.239 g (67 %), colorless crystals, 98-100 °C. NMR ¹H (CDCl₃), δ, ppm: 1.86 (s, 6H, 3-CH₃), 2.17 (s, 6H, 5-CH₃), 4.14 (s, 4H, CH₂–O), 4.79 (s, 4H, CH₂–Pz), 5.72 (s, 2H, H⁴). NMR ¹³C (CDCl₃), δ, ppm: 10.4 (5-CH₃-Pz), 13.5 (3-CH₃-Pz), 44.8 (<u>C</u>(CH₂)₄), 50.0 (CH₂–O), 78.6 (CH₂–Pz), 104.4 (C⁴-Pz), 139.9 (C⁵-Pz), 147.7 (C³-Pz). MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (I, %): 274 (2%, [M]⁺), 244 (55%, [M-2CH₃]⁺), 165 (48%, [M-PzCH₂]⁺), 109 (100%, [PzCH₂]⁺).

1,2-Bis[bis(pyrazol-1-yl)methyl]benzene (**4**) was prepared similarly to compound **1** from 0.5 g (7.35 mmol) of pyrazole, 0.82 g (14.7 mmol) of KOH and 0.78 g (1.84 mmol) 1,2-bis(dibromomethyl)benzene in 10 ml of DMSO, reaction duration 7 hours. Yield 0.377 g (55%), colorless crystals, m.p. 98-99 °C (i-PrOH). NMR ¹H (CDCl₃), δ , ppm: 6.35 (t, 4H, J=2 Hz, H⁴-Pz), 6.63 (d, 2H, J=3 Hz, H³-Ph), 7.44 (d, 2H, J=3 Hz, H⁴-Ph), 7.59 (d, 4H, J=2 Hz, H³-Pz), 7.63 (s, 2H, Pz₂CH), 7.68 (d, 4H, J=2 Hz, H⁵-Pz). Anal. found, %: C 64.42; H 4.58; N 29.80. C₂₀H₁₈N₈. Calculated, %: C 64.85; H 4.90; N 30.25.

1,2-Bis[bis(4-iodopyrazol-1-yl)methyl]benzene (**5**). A solution of 0.1 g (0.270 mmol) of compound **4**, 0.11 g (0.432 mmol) of iodine, 0.19 g (1.08 mmol) of HIO₃ in 5 ml of dioxane and 2.5 ml of water was refluxed for 5 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the precipitate was formed, which was filtered and washed with water. Yield 0.152 g (64 %), colorless crystals, m.p. 180 °C (decomposed, dioxanewater, 3:1). NMR ¹H (DMSO-*d*₆), δ , ppm: 6.96 (2H, H³-Ph), 7.61 (s, 4H, H³-Pz), 7.75 (s, 4H, H⁵-Pz), 7.85 (2H, H⁵-Ph), 7.91 (s, 2H, Pz₂CH).

Results and discussion

Previously unknown bitopic ligand **1** was prepared by the reaction of 4 equivalents of pyrazole (PzH) with neopentane tetrabromo derivative (1,3-dibromo-

2,2-bis(bromomethyl)propane) (NTB) in a superbasic KOH-DMSO medium (Scheme 1). The moderate yield of 36% could not be improved by varying the reagents ratio (PzH:NTB:KOH) or reaction temperature (from 20 to 120 °C), which is probably due to steric reasons. In agreement with this, more bulky 3,5-dimethylpyrazole did not give substitution product at all and only starting materials were recovered.



Scheme 1

In an attempt to improve product yield we tried to change the bromo leaving group to tosyloxy moiety. Unexpectedly, no tetra-pyrazolyl substituted product was obtained in this case. Instead, bis(pyrazolylmethyl) derivatives of oxetane were obtained, apparently as a result of intramolecular cyclization (Scheme 2). Similar cyclizations under the action of strong bases were reported previously for tri- and tetratosylates of pentaerythritol [12].

Synthesis of unsubstituted pyrazole compound 2 from potassium pyrazolide and corresponding 3,3-dimethyloxetane derivative has been reported earlier [13]. The method of synthesis proposed here is more convenient and allowed to prepare previously unknown dimethyl derivative **3**.



Scheme 2

Compounds 2 and 3 are interesting as ligands for coordination chemistry and as semi-products for synthetic organic chemistry, since the reactive oxetane cycle can be opened by different basic agents with the formation of a variety of polyfunctional compounds.

We have also prepared a new bitopic ligand with o-phenylene spacer. Compound 4 was obtained by the reaction of $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha', \alpha'$ -tetrabromo-o-xylene with pyrazole in a superbasic medium (Scheme 3). Previously we have used this method for the preparation of ligands with p-phenylene spacer [14].



Scheme 3

In case of p-xylene derivative, tetrapyrazolyl ligands were obtained for both unsubstituted and 3,5-dimethylsubstituted pyrazole [14]. In contrast, o-xylene derivative reacted only with unsubstituted pyrazole, while in case of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole only starting materials were recovered, which is again due to steric reasons. Greater steric hindrance of compounds with o-phenylene spacer is seen from comparison of relative energies of compound **4** and its isomers and derivatives computed at DFT level (Table 1). As one can see from Table 1, energies of ortho-derivatives are higher than for the corresponding meta-derivatives, and the energy difference is higher in case of dimethyl-substituted compounds.

The properties of pyrazole-containing ligands can be tuned by introducing electron-donating or withdrawing functional groups into the heterocyclic rings. One of these groups are iodine atoms, which are easily introduced into electron-reach pyrazole rings and can be exchanged to a variety of other groups by cross-coupling and substitution reactions. The oxidative system containing iodine and iodic acid in acetic and sulfuric acids used earlier by our group and others [15, 16] for pyrazole ring iodination proved unsuitable for compound **4** since it underwent acidic hydrolysis and only 4-iodopyrazole was isolated. However, using the modified procedure [17], involving dioxane-water as solvent and excess of HIO₃ instead of acetic and sulfuric acid allowed to obtain the tetraiodo-derivative **5** in good yield.

Ρz Ρz Structure Ρz Ρz Ρz Pz Ρz Ρz E_{rel}, kcal/mol 2.53 0 PzMe₂ PzMe₂ Structure PzMe₂ PzMe₂ PzMe₂ Me₂Pz PzMe₂ PzMe₂ E_{rel}, kcal/mol 4.81 0 Notes: Pz = pyrazol-1-yl; $PzMe_2 = 3,5$ -dimethylpyrazol-1-yl; energies are computed at RI BP86 level of theory using TZVPP basis set.

 Table 1. Relative energies of bis(bis(pyrazol-1yl)methyl)benzene derivatives

Conclusion

In summary, we have prepared several new bitopic pyrazole-derived ligands, that are interesting as building blocks for supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering.

References

[1] B.I. Kharisov, P. Elizondo Martínez, V.M. Jiménez-Pérez, O.V. Kharissova, B. Nájera Martínez, N. Pérez, J. Coord. Chem. 63 (2009) 1-25.

[2] D.L. Reger, E.A. Foley, M.D. Smith, Inorg. Chem. 49 (2010) 234-242.

[3] J.P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B 33 (1986) 8822-8824.

[4] A. Schaefer, C. Huber, R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys. 1000 (1994) 5829-5835.

- [5] K. Eichkorn, O. Treutler, H. Ohm, M. Haser, R. Ahlrichs, Chem. Phys. Lett. 240 (1995) 283-289.
- [6] K. Eichkorn, F. Weigend, O. Treutler, R. Ahlrichs, Theor. Chim. Acta 97 (1997) 119-124.

[7] F. Neese, ORCA, version 2.8; an ab initio, density functional, and semiempirical program package; Max-Plack Institut fur Bioanorganische Chemie, Mulheim an der Ruhr, Germany, 2009. p.

- [8] L. Betti, G. Biagi, G. Giannaccini, I. Giorgi, O. Livi, A. Lucacchini, C. Manera, V. Scartoni, J. Med. Chem. 41 (1998) 668-673.
- [9] H.B. Schurink, Org. Synth. 17 (1937) 73.
- [10] J.C. Bill, D.S. Tarbell, Org. Synth. 34 (1954) 82.
- [11] W.G. Haanstra, W.L. Driessen, J. Reedijk, U. Turpeinen, R. Hamalainen, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1989) 2309-2314.
- [12] M. Schakel, J.J. Vrielink, G.W. Klumpp, Tetrahedron Lett. 28 (1987) 5747-5750.
- [13] A. Jacobi, G. Huttner, U. Winterhalter, S. Cunskis, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (1998) 675-692.
- [14] E.A. Nudnova, A.S. Potapov, A.I. Khlebnikov, V.D. Ogorodnikov, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 43 (2007) 1698-1702.
- [15] G. Zoppellaro, M. Baumgarten, Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2005) 2888-2892.
- [16] A.S. Potapov, G.A. Domina, A.I. Khlebnikov, V.D. Ogorodnikov, Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2007) 5112-5116.
- [17] N. Ivashkina, E. Yakovleva, I. Ivanchikova, A. Moroz, M. Shvartsberg, Russ. Chem. Bull. 54 (2005) 1509-1513.