



1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

25

26

Proceedings Biological Activity of Plant Essential Oils against Fusarium circinatum⁺

Jorge M. S. Faria ^{1,2*}, Esther Menéndez^{2,3}

1	INIAV, I.P., Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, Quinta do Marquês, 2780-159 Oeiras,
	Portugal; fariajms@gmail.com
2	MED, Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development, Institute for Advanced
	Chadian and Daranah Érana University Díla da Mitura Ara 04 7000 EE4 Érana Darbaral

- Studies and Research, Evora University, Pólo da Mitra, Ap. 94, 7006-554 Evora, Portugal
 ³ Department of Microbiology and Genetics/CIALE, University of Salamanca, 37007 Salamanca, Spain.
- * Correspondence: fariajms@gmail.com
- + Presented at The 2nd International Electronic Conference on Forests Sustainable Forests: Ecology, Management, Products and Trade, https://iecf2021.sciforum.net/, 01 15/09/2021.

Abstract: The fungus Fusarium circinatum causes pitch canker in susceptible Pinus spp. and Douglas 13 fir. Infection promotes damping-off, resin-streaming cankers on main stems and lateral branches, 14 shoot dieback, needle chlorosis or discoloration, cone death, and increased tree mortality. Essential 15 oils (EOs) can provide eco-friendly alternatives for chemical fungicides. The present work reviewed 16 the available literature on EOs tested against F. circinatum. The 62 tested EOs were extracted mainly 17 from plants belonging to the families Myrtaceae, Compositae and Apiaceae. The highest activities 18 were reported for Cinnamomum verum, Cymbopogon citratus, Foeniculum vulgare, Syzygium aromaticum 19 and Thymus vulgaris EOs. A higher investment on the screening of natural compounds as eco-20 friendly fungicides against pitch canker is necessary to promote more sustainable disease control 21 measures. 22

Keywords:essential oil; forest management; fungicide; Fusarium circinatum; phytosanitary23measures; Pinus; pitch canker; tree disease24

1. Introduction

Pitch canker is a highly damaging pine pathology caused by the fungus *Fusarium* 27 circinatum Nirenberg & O'Donnell (teleomorph = Gibberella circinata Nirenberg & O'Don-28 nell). F. circinatum is known to infect pine trees (genus Pinus) and the Douglas fir 29 (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and is distributed throughout the globe. The disease is believed to 30 have originated in Mexico and later spread to several parts of the American continent, 31 having been detected in the coastal south-eastern states of the USA and in Haiti and Chile. 32 Around the world, it has been identified in Japan and Korea, in South Africa and more 33 recently in Europe, in Italy, France, Spain and Portugal [1,2]. Although all pines are be-34 lieved to be susceptible by this disease, the symptomatology of pine pitch canker was 35 found to be generally highly dependent on the host age and species, and on biotic and 36 abiotic conditions, such as temperature, humidity, soil properties, insect pathogens, etc., 37 exhibiting different degrees of severity according to the type and location of the pine cul-38 ture [1,3]. Damage to Douglas fir is generally less severe than to *Pinus* spp., but firs can 39 contribute to the spread of the disease by becoming dissemination points. This fungal 40 phytopathogen does not usually penetrate intact healthy tissues, so infection generally 41 occurs in wounded areas, namely through environmental, insect or human action. Spread 42 of the disease from infected trees to susceptible healthy pines is usually dependent on 43 water (e.g., rain), wind or vectored by bark beetles, circulating between tree branches and 44 among trees, although dissemination to new areas is usually slower. Pine seeds are also 45

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Proceedings* **2021**, 68, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). believed to become infected, having a substantial role in accelerating spread to new areas. 1 A much higher incidence of the disease is detected in pine nurseries and plantations than 2 on wild pine stands [1]. In contaminated pines, the fungal pathogen induces damping-off 3 and resin-streaming cankers on main stems and lateral branches, as the infected areas 4 begin to exude increased amounts of resin and the tissue becomes necrotic, after the ex-5 uded resin saturates the xylem tissue. Needle chlorosis or discoloration is also reported 6 and, as the disease progresses, the drooping dead needles become drenched in resin and 7 adhere for extended periods of time. Severe disease symptoms include progressive shoot 8 dieback, cone death, and ultimately increased tree mortality [4]. 9

2. Control strategies for pitch canker

Current management strategies used in pine nurseries and plantations rely on con-11 servative measures, such as the elimination of diseased plants and plant material, and on 12 adequate hygiene and phytosanitary measures to prevent unwanted accumulation of wa-13 ter or an increase in insect populations. Additionally, biocidal treatments are applied to 14the irrigation water and the surfaces sterilized. The use of insecticides, to control insect 15 populations, and fungicides, to prevent fungal infections, is frequent. Nevertheless, many 16 active biocides have been recently withdrawn in Europe, which prompted research on 17 natural compounds, such as essential oils (EOs) [5]. 18

2.1 Essential oils as biopesticides

EOs are defined as "a product obtained from natural raw material of plant origin, by 20 steam distillation, by mechanical processes from the epicarp of citrus fruits, or by dry dis-21 tillation, after separation of the aqueous phase, if any, by physical processes", by the In-22 ternational Organization for Standardization (ISO 9235). They are commonly obtained in 23 the form of a concentrated hydrophobic liquid, at room temperature, containing volatile 24 aroma compounds [6,7]. The chemical composition of most EOs is dominated by mono-25 and sesquiterpenes and some phenolic compounds, such as phenylpropanoids, although 26 other groups of compounds can also occur in relevant amounts. Despite being commonly 27 used in the food, perfumery and pharmaceutical industries, EOs have also been reported 28 as successful biologically active substances, showing high anti-microbial, insecticidal, ac-29 aricidal, herbicidal, nematicidal and strong fungicidal activities [7-11]. Over 20 000 stud-30 ies were reported to deal with the biological activities of EOs, being ca. 25% performed on 31 the antioxidant activity, 12% on antimicrobial activities, and 11% on insecticidal and insect 32 repellent activities [12]. These natural products are a good source of environmentally safer 33 biopesticides or of model compounds for the synthesis of easily biodegradable deriva-34 tives, that show negligible plant toxicity as well as safety for humans [13,14]. In addition 35 to not accumulating in the environment, EOs can display diverse biological activities since 36 they are composed of multiple phytochemicals in different amounts. In these complex 37 mixtures, biological activity frequently results from the biocidal EO phytochemicals com-38 bined with EO compounds that present no direct activity on the plant pest, yet are capable 39 of influencing resorption, rate of reaction and bioavailability of active phytochemicals. 40 Additionally, EO compounds can display additive, synergistic or antagonistic interac-41 tions, given the combined effect be equal, exceed or be less than to the sum of the individ-42 ual effects [7,15–17]. EOs have also less strict regulatory approval mechanisms for their 43 exploration, due to a long history of use [18]. 44

The present work reviewed the available literature on EOs tested against the pitch 45 canker fungus and identified the plant sources with the most successful fungicidal prop-46 erties. Summarizing information on biocidal EOs against F. circinatum enables an easily accessible comparative analysis of the compositions and activities of natural fungicides 48and contributes for the establishment of more sustainable disease control strategies. 49

3. Bibliographic data

Research was performed with Web of Science (WoS) search engine (last accessed May 51 2021), in all available databases, on published works using the topics "Fusarium circina-52 tum" or "pitch canker" and "essential oil" 53

10

19

47

50

The reports retrieved were published in journals specialized in the scientific fields of 1 Agricultural engineering, Agronomy, Biochemistry and molecular biology, Applied 2 chemistry, Entomology, Food science and technology, Forestry and Physiology. These reports were cited 147 times by a total of 138 works, with an average of 36.75 citations *per* 4 report. The cumulative number of citations has increased steadily, suggesting a constant 5 research interest in this subject (Figure 1). 6



Figure 1. Cumulative number of citations on works reporting the activity of essential oils against pitch canker fungus (*Fusarium circinatum*).

4. EO tested against F. circinatum

A total of 62 EOs from 61 plant species was tested against the pitch canker fungus. 11 The reported EOs were extracted from plants belonging to 20 families, with the families 12 Myrtaceae (24 %), Compositae (16 %), Apiaceae (11 %) and Lamiaceae (7 %) being the 13 most represented (Figure 2a). The plant sources used were mostly from several different 14 genus, however the genus *Eucalyptus, Malaleuca* and *Citrus* were more frequently assayed 15 (Figure 2b). 16



Figure 2. Plants sources of the essential oils tested against *Fusarium circinatum*, compiled according to (**a**) family (% of samples) and (**b**) genus (number of reported species).

3.1. Fungicidal activity of EOs

Parameters of activity against the pitch canker fungus were mainly reported for the21most successful EOs. Complete fungicidal activity (100%) was identified for the EOs of22*Cinnamomum verum* and *Foeniculum vulgare* and complete fungistatic activity for *Syzygium*23*aromaticum*, applied at 10 %, 15 % and 50 %, respectively, although phytotoxic activities24against *Pinus radiata* were simultaneously reported [4]. *In vitro* mycelium growth inhibi-25tion (MIC) values below 500 μL/L were reported for the EOs extracted from *Cymbopogon*26*citratus, S. aromaticum* and *Thymus vulgaris*. Additionally, considerable variability in MIC27

10

17

7

8

9

19 20

18

values was discovered for different fungal strains, suggesting a high genetic influence on 1 EO activity [19]. Two studies reported EO compositions and tested the main compounds 2 in vitro against F. circinatum. Moderate activities were detected for the phenylpropanoid 3 cinnamyl aldehyde (29 % growth inhibition), the main compound of Liquidambar orientalis 4 EO [20] and for the monoterpenoids citronellol (38 % growth inhibition) and neral (32 % 5 growth inhibition), both present on the EOs of Corymbia citriodora and Leptospermum peter-6 sonii [5]. Surprisingly, no activity was reported for the phenylpropanoids cinnamyl alco-7 hol and hydrocinnamyl alcohol as well as for the monoterpenoids citronellal, geraniol and 8 geranial (trans isomer of neral), which might indicate that the previous fungicidal EO com-9 pounds may have specific molecular targets. 10

4. Conclusion

The study of EOs with fungicidal activity against *F. circinatum* is still in a preliminary 12 stage and requires additional bioassays as well as a more extensive screening to identify 13 highly active EOs or groups of fungicidal volatiles capable of integrating more sustainable 14 disease control strategies. 15

 Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.M.S.F.; methodology, J.M.S.F.; software, J.M.S.F.; inves 16

 tigation, J.M.S.F.; writing—original draft preparation, J.M.S.F. and E.M.; writing—review and edit 17

 ing, J.M.S.F. and E.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
 18

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Wingfield, M.J.; Hammerbacher, A.; Ganley, R.J.; Steenkamp, E.T.; Gordon, T.R.; Wingfield, B.D.; Coutinho, T.A. Pitch canker
 caused by Fusarium circinatum a growing threat to pine plantations and forests worldwide. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 2008,
 37, 319, doi:10.1071/AP08036.
- Kim, Y.-S.; Woo, K.-S.; Koo, Y.-B.; Yeo, J.-K. Variation in susceptibility of six pine species and hybrids to pitch canker caused py Fusarium circinatum. *For. Pathol.* 2008, *38*, 419–428, doi:10.1111/j.1439-0329.2008.00558.x.
- 3. Romón, P.; Troya, M.; Fernández de Gamarra, M.E.; Eguzkitza, A.; Iturrondobeitia, J.C.; Goldarazena, A. Fungal communities 29 associated with pitch canker disease of Pinus radiata caused by Fusarium circinatum in northern Spain: association with 30 pathogen-saprophyte antagonistic interactions. Pathol. 2008, 30, 241-253, insects and Can. Ι. Plant 31 doi:10.1080/07060661.2008.10540539. 32
- Iturritxa, E.; Trask, T.; Mesanza, N.; Raposo, R.; Elvira-Recuenco, M.; Patten, C. Biocontrol of Fusarium circinatum Infection 33 of Young Pinus radiata Trees. *Forests* 2017, *8*, 32, doi:10.3390/f8020032.
- Lee, Y.-S.; Kim, J.; Shin, S.-C.; Lee, S.-G.; Park, I.-K. Antifungal activity of Myrtaceae essential oils and their components against three phytopathogenic fungi. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 2008, 23, 23–28, doi:10.1002/ffj.1850.
 36
- Faria, J.M.S. Essential oils as anti-nematode agents and their influence on in vitro nematode / plant co-cultures, PhD thesis,
 Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 2015.
 38
- Figueiredo, A.C.; Barroso, J.G.; Pedro, L.G.; Scheffer, J.J.C. Factors affecting secondary metabolite production in plants: 39 Volatile components and essential oils. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 2008, 23, 213–226, doi:10.1002/ffj.1875.
- Isman, M.B. Plant essential oils for pest and disease management. *Crop Prot.* 2000, 19, 603–608, doi:10.1016/S0261- 41 2194(00)00079-X.
- Shaaban, H.A.E.; El-Ghorab, A.H.; Shibamoto, T. Bioactivity of essential oils and their volatile aroma components: Review.
 J. Essent. Oil Res. 2012, 24, 203–212, doi:10.1080/10412905.2012.659528.
- 10. D'agostino, M.; Tesse, N.; Frippiat, J.P.; Machouart, M.; Debourgogne, A. Essential oils and their natural active compounds 45

21 22

19

20

11

- 23
 - 29

1

4

5

presenting antifungal properties. Molecules 2019, 24, 3713.

- Soares, C.; Morales, H.; Faria, J.; Figueiredo, A.C.; Pedro, L.G.; Venâncio, A. Inhibitory effect of essential oils on growth and on aflatoxins production by Aspergillus parasiticus. *World Mycotoxin J.* 2016, 9, doi:10.3920/WMJ2015.1987.
- 12. León-Méndez, G.; Pájaro-Castro, N.; Pájaro-Castro, E.; Torrenegra- Alarcón, M.; Herrera-Barros, A. Essential oils as a source of bioactive molecules. *Rev. Colomb. Ciencias Químico-Farmacéuticas* **2019**, *48*, 80–93, doi:10.15446/rcciquifa.v48n1.80067.
- Chitwood, D.J. Phytochemical based strategies for nematode control. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 2002, 40, 221–249, 6 doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.40.032602.130045.
- Ntalli, N.G.; Ferrari, F.; Giannakou, I.; Menkissoglu-Spiroudi, U. Phytochemistry and nematicidal activity of the essential oils 8 from 8 greek lamiaceae aromatic plants and 13 terpene components. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2010, 58, 7856–7863, 9 doi:10.1021/jf100797m.
- Ntalli, N.G.; Ferrari, F.; Giannakou, I.; Menkissoglu-Spiroudi, U. Synergistic and antagonistic interactions of terpenes against
 Meloidogyne incognita and the nematicidal activity of essential oils from seven plants indigenous to Greece. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2011, 67, 341–351, doi:10.1002/ps.2070.
- Faria, J.M.S.; Barbosa, P.; Bennett, R.N.; Mota, M.; Figueiredo, A.C. Bioactivity against Bursaphelenchus xylophilus: 14 Nematotoxics from essential oils, essential oils fractions and decoction waters. *Phytochemistry* 2013, 94, 220–228, 15 doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.06.005.
- Faria, J.M.S.; Rodrigues, A.M.; Sena, I.; Moiteiro, C.; Bennett, R.N.; Mota, M.; Figueiredo, A.C. Bioactivity of Ruta graveolens
 and Satureja Montana Essential Oils on Solanum tuberosum Hairy Roots and Solanum tuberosum Hairy Roots with
 Meloidogyne chitwoodi Co-cultures. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2016, 64, 7452–7458, doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.6b03279.
- Isman, M.B. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world.
 Annu. Rev. Entomol. 2006, *51*, 45–66, doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151146.
- Seseni, L.; Regnier, T.; Roux-van der Merwe, M.P.; Mogale, E.; Badenhorst, J. Control of Fusarium spp. causing damping-off
 of pine seedlings by means of selected essential oils. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2015, *76*, 329–332, doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.07.002.
- Lee, Y.-S.; Kim, J.; Lee, S.-G.; Oh, E.; Shin, S.-C.; Park, I.-K. Effects of plant essential oils and components from Oriental 24 sweetgum (Liquidambar orientalis) on growth and morphogenesis of three phytopathogenic fungi. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 25 2009, 93, 138–143, doi:10.1016/j.pestbp.2009.02.002.
 - 27 28