



Proceeding Paper

SMART GHG Mobile Application: A New Agriculture Tracking of Low-Carbon Rice Production in Thailand's Local Community ⁺

Nittaya Cha-un ^{1,2,3,*}, Sirintornthep Towprayoon ^{1,2}, Amnat Chidthaisong ^{1,2}, Kittipong Chaimanuskul ³, Suwapat Maiyarach ⁴, Panuwat Sangsuwan ⁵ and Pichit Kiatsomporn ⁶

- ¹ The Joint Graduate School of Energy and Environment (JGSEE), King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Prachauthit Rd, Bangmod, Tungkru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand; sirin@jgsee.kmutt.ac.th (S.T.); amnat.chi@kmutt.ac.th (A.C.)
- ² Earth System Science Research and Development Center (ESS), King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Prachauthit Rd, Bangmod, Tungkru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand
- ³ Atthajariya Co., Ltd., 225/9 Lat Phrao Soi 1, Junction 4-3, Jomphol, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand; ktp.atthajariya@gmail.com
- ⁴ Muang Chang Subdistrict Administrative Organization, Phu Phiang, Nan 55000, Thailand; suwapat_nan@windowslive.com
- ⁵ Ban Herb Organic Rice Community Enterprise, Na Kham, Renu Nakhon, Nakhon Phanom 48170, Thailand ; sangsuwanpanuwat@gmail.com
- ⁶ Ban Suan Taeng Community Rice Center, Muang Suphan Buri, Suphan Buri 72210, Thailand; pichit.keat@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: nittaya.cha@kmutt.ac.th or nty.atthajariya@gmail.com; Tel.: +668-6206-1550
- + Presented at the 1st International Online Conference on Agriculture—Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology (IOCAG2022), 10–25 February 2022; Available online: https://iocag2022.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: Rice fields and cultivation activities are sources of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Therefore, quantification of the baseline emissions is necessary to find out appropriate mitigation options for the transition to low-carbon rice production in order to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030. This study aimed to track and estimate the baseline GHG emissions and the carbon footprint (C-footprint) from rice cultivation in three farmer communities in Thailand. The SMART GHG mobile application (SGA) was used to calculate the GHG emissions from many cultivation activities and calculate the C-footprint of paddy rice production. The field activity data were collected from 71 farmer households with 134 ha of harvested areas in Muang Chang (MJSub-district of Nan province, Suan Taeng (ST) Sub-district of Suphan Buri province, and Na Kha) m (NK) Sub-district of Nakorn Phanom province. The results from SGA showed that the total GHG emissions of MJ, ST and NK communities accounted for 7.5, 6.3 and 2.9 tCO₂e ha⁻¹, respectively. The mean of total GHG emissions from all communities accounted for 5.6 tCO₂e ha⁻¹. During the rice growing period with flooded fields, the emission of CH₄ contributed to 83.4% of the total GHG emissions. Whereas, the cultivation activities of fertilization, field preparation, harvest, and residue burning shared small emissions by 5.4, 4.4, 3.8 and 2.0%, respectively. The SGA also reported the C-footprint of paddy yields by 1.77, 1.10 and 1.09 kgCO₂e kg yield⁻¹ in MJ, NK and ST, respectively. This study indicated that the SGA can demonstrate and track the GHG emissions and C-footprint well, which can be developed into a baseline emission and mitigation for low-carbon rice production. This is a challenge for agriculture and rural community development in Thailand.

Keywords: SMART GHG application; Sustainable Development Goals; SDG13; rice cultivation; greenhouse gas; carbon footprint; local community; Thailand

Citation: Cha-un, N.;

Towprayoon, S.; Chidthaisong, A.; Chaimanuskul, K.; Maiyarach, S.; Sangsuwan, P.; Kiatsomporn, P. SMART GHG Mobile Application: A New Agriculture Tracking of Low-Carbon Rice Production in Thailand's Local Community. *Chem. Proc.* **2022**, *4*, x. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor(s):

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), or Global Goals, are an urgent call for action to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure that all people enjoy peace and prosper [1]. The 17 SDGs are a part of transforming our world by 2030 [2]. The achievement and maintaining global food security under SDG 2, as well as, encouraging adaptation, resilience, and mitigation to climate change under SDG 13, are all intertwined [3] One of the most significant issues we face is climate change. Agriculture sector is expected to be the most affected by climate change. Extreme weather such as high temperature, floods and droughts is having a devastating effect on livelihoods and food security [4]. Approximately 80% of the world's food is produced on 500 million small-scale farms [5].

Approximately 90% of the world's rice production is grown in Asia [6]. Rice fields and several activities in rice cultivation processes are a source of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Field activities such as rice straw burning, field preparation by tractor, irrigation by water pump, planting by machine, flooding during the rice growing season, fertilization, and harvest by machine all contribute to climate change through GHG emissions [7]. The field burning of rice straw pollutes the air and also emits methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) [8]. In terms of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, the main sources are the activities of the operation of agricultural machines use energy for tillage, transplanting, sowings, irrigation pumps and harvesting [9]. Conventional rice cultivation with flooded field emit CH₄ through organic matter decomposition by methanogenic bacteria under anaerobic soil conditions [10]. Moreover, the application of nitrogen (N) fertilizer is the main source for N₂O emissions [11].

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines for the national GHG inventory are widely applied to quantify GHG emissions at the country scale [12,13]. Based on the IPCC guidelines, several techniques or tools for calculating GHG emissions from agricultural sources have been developed in recent decades [7,14]. In Thai rice cultivation systems, Towprayoon et al. [7] developed the system of measurement, reporting and verification (MRV) of rice cultivation. The measurements of GHG emissions of rice cultivation were developed from Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) methodologies and 2006 IPCC Guidelines. In order to facilitate measuring and reporting system, they have developed MRV-Rice calculator via excel sheet and tested in four provinces in Thailand [7]. Moreover, Wassmann et al. [14] also developed GHG calculator named SECTOR is based on the IPCC Tier 2 approach for rice as well as other crops. The SECTOR was demonstrated for rice production in Vietnam [14]. However, the two calculator tools described above are used in XLS files, which can perform well when the number of samples is small. In the case of estimating emissions on a large scale, the use of smart tools that are easy to use, accurate calculations, track emissions and develop into a big database is therefore necessary.

SMART GHG application (SGA) is mobile application for Android devices (i.e., smart phone and tablet) [15]. SGA was further developed from Rice-GHG application based on MRV-Rice system in order to estimate the GHG emissions and C-footprint associated to rice and other crop productions [7,16]. SGA was tested for rice, upland crops and forest in four farmer communities at Nan, Nakorn Phanom, Suphan Buri and Nara Thiwat provinces. It was found that SGA can effectively demonstrate and track the GHG emissions, C-footprint and carbon stock well [15].

Agricultural strategies are needed that not only decrease agriculture related GHG emissions, but also allow farmers to boost crop yields while building resilience and adapting to the expanding number of challenges brought on by climate change. Therefore, quantification of the baseline emissions is necessary to find out appropriate management practices and mitigation options for the transition to low-carbon rice production in order to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030. The use of SGA mobile applications for collecting and estimating GHG emissions is necessary to achieve these targets.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Overview of SMART GHG Application (SGA)

The SMART GHG mobile application (SGA) is a smart tool approach for rice as well as other crops. In 2020, Towprayoon et al. [16] from the Joint Graduate School of Energy and Environment (JGSEE), King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) and Atthajariya Co., Ltd. released an application for Android devices (i.e., smart phone and tablet) named "Rice-GHG" as part of a research project funded by the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) (Figure 1). Rice-GHG application was developed based on MRV-Rice system to estimate the GHG emissions and C-footprint associated to rice production [7,16]. This mobile application was tested with 31 farmer households at the five sub-districts in Chai Nat province including Sankhaburi, Hankha, Sapphaya, Watsing and Muang Chainat. In 2021, Rice GHG was further developed into "SMART GHG" by Atthajariya Co., Ltd., JGSEE-KMUTT, and Kasetsart University (KU) under research funding from the National Innovation Agency (NIA), Thailand [15]. SGA is not only a tool for tracking GHG emissions from rice cultivation, but also for tracking GHG emissions from other crop productions (i.e., vegetables, upland crops and fruits) and carbon stocks in the green area and forest.



and ATTHAVARYA CO, LTD. National Innovation Agency (NA), Thailand, 2021

Figure 1. SMART GHG application development sequence.

The version of SGA that was used in this study is the initial version based on the IPCC Tier 1 approach for rice and other crop cultivations. As guided by the IPCC guidelines, the generic methodological approach to estimating the amount of GHG emissions is associated with activity data multiplied by the emission factor. The climatic impact was calculated using the global warming potential (GWP) based on the CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O emissions [17].

The GHG intensity or C-footprint of rice production is calculated as a ratio of net GWP and rice yield. The rice cultivation activities, their contribution to GHG emissions and calculation methods were presented Table 1.

Table 1. Rice cultivation activities, GHG emissions and calculation method.

No.	Cultivation Activity	GHG Emissions	Methodology in SGA
1	Straw burning	CH4 and N2O	2006 IPCC Guidelines,
		CH4 and N2O	Volume 2 Chapter 2 [12]
2	Lime or Dolomite application	60	2006 IPCC Guidelines,
		CO ₂	Volume 4 Chapter 11 [12]
3	Irrigation for field preparation		2006 IPCC Guidelines,
	by water pump	CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	Volume 2 Chapter 3 [12]
4	Field preparation by machine		2006 IPCC Guidelines,
		CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	Volume 2 Chapter 3 [12]
5	Planting by machine	CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	2006 IPCC Guidelines,

No.	Cultivation Activity	GHG Emissions	Methodology in SGA
			Volume 2 Chapter 3 [12]
6	Irrigation during rice growing season by water pump	CO. CH. and No.	2006 IPCC Guidelines,
		CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	Volume 2 Chapter 3 [12]
7	Paddy field flooding during rice growing season	CH ₄	2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC
			Guidelines [13]
			2006 IPCC Guidelines,
8	Fertilization		Volume 2 Chapter 3, Volume 4
		CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	Chapter 11 [12] and
			2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC
			Guidelines [13]
9	Harvest by machine	CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	2006 IPCC Guidelines,
		CO_2 , CI_{14} and N_2O_1	Volume 2 Chapter 3 [12]

2.2. Study Sites

At the community level, purposive sampling was used, focusing on farmers who have grown rice in three sub-districts from three provinces, which include (1) Muang Chang (MJ) Sub-district of Nan province, (2) Suan Taeng (ST) Sub-district of Suphan Buri province, and (3) Na Kham (NK) Sub-district of Nakorn Phanom province. Study sites for MJ, ST and NK are located in the North, Central and Northeast regions of Thailand, respectively (Figure 2). MJ and NK represented the major rice cultivation areas that were grown in the rain-fed areas, whereas ST represented the irrigated rice cultivation areas.



Figure 2. Study site locations.

2.3. Data Collection

Initially, farmers from all communities were trained in the use of SGA either on-site or online. Farmers have downloaded the SGA from Android Play Store and installed on their mobile phones. Data from each crop, including cultivation practices (straw burning, tillage, irrigation, planting, harvest), agricultural inputs (e.g., fossil fuel, fertilizer, lime, dolomite), and yields, were collected and recorded in the SGA by farm owners. The number of famers surveyed were 9, 11, and 51 farmer households from the NK, MJ, and ST communities, respectively. After recording and synchronizing data, the SGA presented the calculated results on the dashboard. Farmers are able to track and view their own data at any time.

2.4. Data Analysis

The recorded data in the SGA database was exported in the form of a text file. The summary, frequency, and mean values were analyzed using SPSS (version 17.0, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Farmers' Engagement in Data Collection

The development of SGA to track GHG emissions from rice fields was tested in the above-mentioned farmer communities. 71 farmers from three communities were invited to join the training workshop and application test (Figure 3). All farmers are able to download and install the SGA on their mobile phones by themselves. SGA can be used effectively, especially by farmers who currently use smartphones on a daily basis.

Due to the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic, new plans had to be adopted to move from on-site to online survey. This situation was a great challenge for farmers' adaptation. During the first adaptation to online training, the farmers were not able to use the online meeting application. Then, they have been trained on how to use the online meeting system. This has resulted in farmers being able to continually attend online meetings as well as collect data for the SGA and share their screens through the online meeting system.



Figure 3. Farmers' engagement in training workshop and data collection for (a) on-site, and (b) online.

3.2. GHG Emissions

The results from SGA showed that the total GHG emissions of MJ, ST and NK communities accounted for 7.5, 6.3 and 2.9 tCO₂e ha⁻¹ season⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). The mean of total seasonal GHG emissions from all communities accounted for 5.6 tCO₂e ha⁻¹. Field management by straw burning was observed only in ST sites, which contributed to emissions of 16,343 kgCO₂e. Due to the short fallow period, rice straw burning is common practice during the fallow period from wet season to dry season in ST sites. On the other hand, in MJ and NK, the rice straw was used for selling, growing mushrooms, mulching materials, and feeding cattle.

As demonstrated among three sites, using of diesel fuel in the land preparation practice in NK and MJ sites resulted in the higher GHG emissions (390–490 kgCO₂e ha⁻¹ season⁻¹) as compared with ST (232 kgCO₂e ha⁻¹ season⁻¹). This result can be explained by different engine types and diesel fuel consumption. In conventional practices, in NK and MJ sites, a four-wheel tractor (4 WT) with >45 horsepower (HP) was used for both tillage and land leveling. Whereas, in ST site, a 4 WT was only used for initial tillage and then a two-wheel tractor (2 WT) with <45 HP was used for puddling/leveling. The diesel fuel rates of 4 WT and 2 WT were 14 L/h and 8 L/h, respectively. Therefore, the fuel consumption for soil preparation in the ST site was less than that of the NK and MJ sites by 41 and 53%, respectively. Similarly, Arunrat and Pumijumnong [9] described that the land management practice of the high amount of diesel fuel utilization caused the highest total CO₂ emissions.

The seasonal emissions of CH₄ from rice cultivation ranged from 1894 to 6424 kgCO₂e ha⁻¹ (Table 2). During the rice growing period with continuous flooding, the emission of CH₄ contributed to 83.4% of the total GHG emissions (Table 2 and Figure 4). Irrigated areas of the ST site had clearly higher CH₄ emissions from rice cultivation than the rainfed areas of the MJ and NK sites. Similar results also reported by Wassmann et. al. [18]. They presented that the stage "water/soil management," which corresponds to CH₄ emissions from flooded fields during cultivation, accounts for 54.1% of total GHG emissions in the baseline scenario [18]. Whereas, the cultivation activities of fertilization, field preparation, harvest, and residue burning shared small emissions by 5.4, 4.4, 3.8 and 2.0%, respectively.

As this SGA calculator follows the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines [13], we used the default emission factor (EF) for Southeast Asia (1.22 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ d⁻¹) and all scaling factors (SF). The water regime during the cultivation period (SFw), i.e., irrigated-continuously flooded (SFw = 1.00), irrigated-single drainage (SFw = 0.71), irrigated-multiple drainage (SFw = 0.55), rainfed-regular (SFw = 0.54), rainfed-drought prone (SFw = 0.16), and rainfed-deep water (SFw = 0.06), are the most important scaling factor of water management [13]. As the baseline emission from SGA, in order to reduce CH₄ emissions as the main GHG for approaching low-carbon rice production, water management practices such as multiple drainage or alternate wetting and drying (AWD) should be applied.

3.3. C-Footprint

The SGA also reported the C-footprint of paddy yields by 1.77, 1.10 and 1.09 kgCO₂e kg yield⁻¹ in MJ, NK and ST, respectively (Table 2). Arunrat and Pumijumnong [9] also reported that GHG intensity ranged from 0.31 to 1.68 kgCO₂e kg⁻¹ yield, with an average value of 0.97 kgCO₂e kg⁻¹ yield. They indicated that emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O, Net GWP and GHG intensity related with the management practices [9].

It should be noted that this C-footprint is evaluated using the current baseline emissions and crop yield in only one cropping season. Therefore, more data collection is required for intensive analysis. As for the future, more data sampling with advanced technologies such as straw baling, laser land leveling, drum seeding, AWD, and site-specific fertilizer management could be implemented in the development of rice farming systems in order to improve the low C-footprint.

Cultivation Activity/Study Site	Muang Chang (MJ), Nan	Na Kham (NK), Nakorn Phanom	Suan Taeng (ST), Suphan Buri
Number of Sample (n)	11	9	51
Harvested area (ha)	8	15	111
Straw burning (kgCO2e)	-	-	16,343
Lime or Dolomite application (kgCO ₂ e)	105	-	1210
Irrigation for field preparation by water pump (kgCO ₂ e)	15	33	2593
Field preparation (tillage/leveling) by machine (kgCO ₂ e)	3711	6025	25,741
Planting by machine (kgCO ₂ e)	21	76	467
Irrigation during rice growing season by water pump (kgCO2e)	48	33	3841

Table 2. Cultivation activity, amount of seasonal GHG emissions and C-footprint from three communities in Thailand.

Paddy field flooding during rice grow-	48,620	29,248	591,767
ing season (kgCO2e)			
Fertilization (kgCO ₂ e)	4051	3559	35,362
Harvest by machine (kgCO2e)	281	6179	23,835
Total GHG emissions (kgCO2e)	56,852	45,153	701,159
Emission per area (kgCO2e ha ⁻¹)	7512	2924	6314
Paddy yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	4244	2663	5788
C-footprint (kgCO ₂ e kg yield ⁻¹)	1.77	1.10	1.09

0 20 40 60 80 100 Straw burning 2.0 Lime/Dolomite application 0.2 Irrigation for field preparation by water pump 03 Field prepartion by machine 4.4 Planting by machine 0.1 Irrigation during rice growing season by water pump 05 Paddy field flooding during rice growing season 83.4 Fertilization 5.4 Harvest by machine 3.8

Share of Rice GHG emissions (%)

Figure 4. The contribution of GHG emissions by rice cultivation activities.

4. Conclusions

This study showed that the total GHG emissions ranged from 2.9 to 7.5 tCO₂e ha⁻¹ season⁻¹, with an average value of 5.6 tCO₂e ha⁻¹ season⁻¹. CH₄ emissions contributed to 83.4% of the total GHG emissions. The water management by continuous flooding during the rice growing season was the significant factor for total GHG emission. Farmers are able to use the SGA on their mobile phones. The SGA can demonstrate a thorough analysis of GHG emissions, fossil fuel consumption, fertilization, water management, seasonal yield, and C-footprint, which can be used to establish a baseline and mitigation options.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: N.C.-u., S.T., A.C. and K.C.; Methodology: N.C.-u., S.T., A.C. and K.C.; Performed the experiment: N.C.-u., S.T., K.C., S.M., P.S. and P.K.; Analyzed the data: N.C.-u. and K.C.; Wrote the paper: N.C.-u.; Review and editing: N.C.-u., S.T., A.C. and K.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Informed Consent Statement:

Funding: Funding in this study was partly supported by the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) Fiscal year 2019, the National Innovation Agency (NIA), and JGSEE-KMUTT.

Data Availability Statement: We also would like to thank all farmers from Muang Change Subdistrict, Ban Suan Taeng Community Rice center and Ban Herb Organic Rice Community Enterprise, Na Kham Sub-sistrict for their data support. Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the Joint Graduate School of Energy and Environment (JGSEE) at King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Kasetsart University (KU) and Atthajariya Co., Ltd. for field survey support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. UNDP. Sustainable Development Goals. Available online: https://www1.undp.org/content/oslo-governance-centre/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html (accessed on 12 January 2022).
- 2. UN. The 17 Goals. Available online: https://sdgs.un.org/goals (accessed on 12 January 2022).
- Campbell, B.M.; Hansen, J.; Rioux, J.; Stirling, C.M.; Twomlow, S.; Wollenberg, E. Urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts (SDG 13): Transforming agriculture and food systems. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* 2018, 34, 13–20, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.06.005.
- FAO. Climate Change-Related Disasters a Major Threat to Food Security. Available online: https://unfccc.int/news/climatechange-related-disasters-a-major-threat-to-food-security-fao (accessed on 12 January 2022).
- 5. Fairtrade. SDG13: Climate action. Available online: https://www.fairtrade.net/issue/sdg13 (accessed on 12 January 2022).
- FAO. FAOSTAT Database, Data of crop production. Available online: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL (accessed on 1 January 2020).
- Towprayoon, S.; Chidthaisong, A.; Fusuwankaya, K.; Cha-un, N. Appropriate Method for Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV) in Agriculture Sector: A Case Study of Rice Field; Final Report Submitted to Thailand Research Fund (TRF): Bangkok, Thailand, 2015; p. 243.
- Romasanta, R.R.; Sander, B.O.; Gaihre, Y.K.; Alberto, M.C.; Gummert, M.; Quilty, J.; Nguyen, V.H.; Castalone, A.G.; Balingbing, C.; Sandro, J.; et al. How does burning of rice straw affect CH₄ and N²O emissions? A comparative experiment of different onfield straw management practices. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2017, 239, 143–153, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.12.042.
- 9. Arunrat, N.; Pumijumnong, N. Practices for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from rice production in Northeast Thailand. *Agriculture* **2017**, *7*, 4.
- 10. Le Mer, J.; Roger, P. Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: A review. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 2001, *37*, 25–50, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(01)01067-6.
- 11. Ludwig, B.; Jäger, N.; Priesack, E.; Flessa, H. Application of the DNDC model to predict N₂O emissions from sandy arable soils with differing fertilization in a long-term experiment. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2011**, *174*, 350–358, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201000040.
- 12. IPCC. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Institute for Global Environmental Studies (IGES): Hayama, Japan, 2006.
- 13. IPCC. *Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories;* Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Geneva, Switzerland, 2019.
- 14. Wassmann, R.; Pasco, R.; Zerrudo, J.; Ngo, D.M.; Vo, T.B.T.; Sander, B.O. Introducing a new tool for greenhouse gas calculation tailored for cropland: Rationale, operational framework and potential application. *Carbon Manag.* **2019**, *10*, 79–92, doi:10.1080/17583004.2018.1553436.
- 15. Atthajariya. *Smart GHG: An Application for Calculating Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Communities;* Final Report submitted to National Innovation Agency (NIA), Thailand: Bangkok, Thailand, 2021; p. 778.
- Towprayoon, S.; Chidthaisong, A.; Cha-un, N.; Fusuwankaya, K. Innovation of Geographic Information System for Sustainable Water Management and Rice Cultivation; Final Report submitted to National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT), King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi: Bangkok, Thailand, 2020; p. 131.
- 17. IPCC. The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC); Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2013.
- 18. Wassmann, R.; Van-Hung, N.; Yen, B.T.; Gummert, M.; Nelson, K.M.; Gheewala, S.H.; Sander, B.O. Carbon footprint calculator customized for rice products: Concept and characterization of rice value chains in southeast Asia. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 315.