



# Proceedings Fuzzy Analytical Solution for the Case of a Semi-Infinite Unconfined Aquifer <sup>+</sup>

Christos Tzimopoulos <sup>1</sup>, Nikiforos Samarinas <sup>1,\*</sup>, Kyriakos Papadopoulos <sup>2</sup> and Christos Evangelides <sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Rural and Surveying Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece; ctzimop@gmail.com (C.T.); evan@topo.auth.gr (C.E.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kuwait University, Khaldiya Campus, Safat 13060, Kuwait; kyriakos.papado-6 poulos@ku.edu.kw
- \* Correspondence: smnikiforos@topo.auth.gr
- + Presented at the 7th International Electronic Conference on Water Sciences, 15–30 March 2023; Available online: https://ecws-7.sciforum.net.

Abstract: The solution to the second order fuzzy unsteady non linear partial differential one-dimensional Boussinesq equation is examined. The physical problem concerns unsteady flow in a semiinfinite unconfined aquifer bordering a lake. There is a sudden rise and subsequent stabilization in the water level of the lake, thus the aquifer is recharging from the lake. The fuzzy solution is presented by a simple algebraic equation transformed in a fourth-degree polynomial approximation for the head profiles. In order to solve this equation, the initial and boundary conditions, as well as the numerous soil properties must be known. A fuzzy approach is used to solve the problem since the aforementioned auxiliary conditions are vulnerable to various types of uncertainty resulting from human and machine errors. The physical problem described by a partial differential equation and the generalized Hukuhara derivative and the application of this theory for the partial derivatives were chosen as solving methods. In order to evaluate the accuracy and effectiveness of the suggested fuzzy analytical method, this study compares the findings of fuzzy analysis to those obtained using the Runge-Kutta method. This comparison attests to the accuracy of the former. Additionally, this results to a fuzzy number for water level profiles as well as for the water volume variation, whose  $\alpha$ -cuts, provide according to Possibility Theory, the water levels and the water volume confidence intervals with probability  $p = 1 - \alpha$ .

Keywords: unsteady flow; fuzzy partial derivatives; numerical methods

1. Introduction

The horizontal water flow concerning unconfined aquifers without precipitation is described by the one dimensional second order unsteady nonlinear partial differential equation, called Boussinesq equation:

Citation: Tzimopoulos, C.; Samarinas, N.; Papadopoulos, K.; Evangelides, C. Fuzzy Analytical Solution for the Case of a Semi-Infinite Unconfined Aquifer. *Environ. Sci. Proc.* **2023**, *5*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: 15 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{K}{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right),$$

where K = hydraulic conductivity (LT<sup>-1</sup>), S = effective porosity(L<sup>0</sup>T<sup>0</sup>), h = piezometric head(L), x = horizontal coordinate (L), t = time (T).

Boussinesq (1904) [1] first proposed the above equation according with the assumptions that the horizontal component of velocity ux does not change with depth and is a function of x and t, while the inertial forces are negligible. A unique solution to this nonlinear equation was published by Boussinesq in "Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées" journal in 1904. With boundary conditions like those of a soil drained by drains placed in the impermeable substratum, Boussinesq solution dealt with the case of an aquifer atop an impermeable layer. Using the small disturbance method, Polubarinova-Kochina (952, 1962) [2,3] published a solution to Boussinesq's equation. Bu utilizing polynomial approximation and similarity transformation, Tolikas et al. (1984) [4] found an approximate solution. A weighted residual approach was used by Lockington (1997) [5] to provide an easily applicable analytical solution. Due to abrupt change in head at the origin, this approach was used for both recharging and discharging of an unconfined aquifer. By using the Adomian's decomposition method, Moutsopoulos (2010) [6] arrived at a simple series solution with a limited number of terms while He also performed a Benchmark test, which demonstrated the benefits of his solution. The problem of flow in a onedimensional semi-infinite horizontal aquifer with an initially dry head and a power-law function of time at the origin was examined by Lockington et al. in 2000 [7]. In accordance with the numerical outcomes, an approximative quadratic solution was developed. Using the traveling wave method, Basha (2013) [8] obtained a nonlinear solution with easily applicable logarithmic form. The solution allows for the results to be of practical value in hydrology and is adaptable to any flow situation, whether it be recharge or discharge condition. There are also algebraic formulae for the propagation front velocity, the location of the wetting front, and the linkage between the characteristics of the aquifer. The nonlinear Boussinesq equation was given by a series solution by Chor et al. (2013) [9] in terms of the Boltzmann transform in a semi-infinite domain. An approximate solution was recently obtained by Hayek (2019) [10], who introduced an empirical function with four parameters. Using Microsoft Excel Solver, a numerical fitting approach was used to acquire the parameters. An approximate analytical solution for the recharge and discharge of a homogeneous unconfined aquifer was published by Tzimopoulos et al. in 2022 [11]. Numerous other studies [12–16] offer helpful clarification on the solution, offering a way for testing and accuracy of the numerical methods.

The definition of the initial flow condition, the method of linearizing the Boussinesq equation, the definition of drain spacing and hydraulic conductivity, boundary conditions, etc. are just a few examples of the ambiguities and uncertainties that the physical problem described by the Boussinesq equation presents [17,18]. Without taking into account the ambiguities and uncertainties of the groundwater flow problems, wrong management decisions could be made leading to a number of significant negative environmental, social, and economic effects. Fuzzy algorithms were used to solve this problem for all the aforementioned reasons.

Fuzzy logic theory is a useful tool for modeling ambiguity, developed by Lofti Zadeh (1965) [19]. Its development has had a significant impact on both theoretical problems [20–23] as well as engineering and hydraulic problems [24]. To solve fuzzy differential equations, some analytical and numerical approaches have recently been put forth. Chang and Zadeh, (1972) [25] were first introduced the concept of fuzzy derivative while Dubois and Prade (1982) [26], followed by using the extension principle in their approach. Fuzzy differential functions were studied by Puri and Ralescu (1983) [27], who extended Hukuhara's derivative (H-derivative) [28] of a set of values appearing in fuzzy sets. Kaleva (1987, 1990) [29,30] and Seikkala (1987) [31] developed the fuzzy initial value problem

while Abbasbandy and Allahviranloo (2002) [32] present a numerical algorithm for solving fuzzy ordinary differential equations based on the 2nd Taylor method. But this method has presented certain drawbacks, and in many cases this solution was not a good generalization of the classic case. The generalized Hukuhara differentiability (gH – differentiability) was introduced by [33,34], overcoming this drawback. This new derivative is defined for a larger class of fuzzy functions than Hukuhara derivative. Allahviranloo et al. (2015) [35], introduced the (gH-p) differentiability for partial derivatives as an extension of the above theory. The gH-p differentiability was used by Tzimopoulos et al. (2018, 2020) [17,36] providing a fuzzy linear analytical solution to a parabolic partial differential equation and also Tzimopoulos et al. (2018) [18] obtained a fuzzy linear analytical solution to the Boussinesq equation in the case of an unconfined aquifer problem.

In this paper a comparison between the fuzzy analytical nonlinear Boussinesq equation, with the Runge-Kutta numerical method is presented, for the proposed fuzzy analytical solution accuracy evaluation and effectiveness check. This comparison attests to the accuracy of the former. Additionally, an application of the Possibility Theory [37,38], to the  $\alpha$ -cuts of the water level profiles as well as of the water volume variation, provides the water levels and the water volume confidence intervals with probability  $p = 1 - \alpha$ . Thus, a combination of fuzzy theory with the Possibility Theory allows managers and engineers to solve practical hydraulic problems, taking the write decision.

## 2. Constructing the Fuzzy Model and Solution

### 2.1. Crisp Model

As mentioned in introduction in the case of one-dimensional horizontal flow, Boussinesq equation is:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{K}{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) \tag{1}$$

The initial and boundaries conditions are:

$$t = 0, h(x, 0) = h_0,$$
  

$$t > 0, h(0, t) = h_1, \underset{x \to \infty}{h}(x, t) = h_0$$

The solution [11] of the above Equation (1) is:

$$h = h_0 + (h_0 - h_1) \Omega(\mu, \xi)$$

where:

$$\begin{split} \Omega(\mu,\xi) &= \ell F(\xi) - \Phi(\xi), \Phi(\xi) = erfc(\xi), \\ \xi &= \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\frac{Kh_1t}{S}}}, \ell = (h_0 - h_1)/h_1, \mu = h_1/h_0, \\ F(\xi) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi})\Phi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(1 - \Phi)\xi e^{-\xi^2} + \frac{1}{\pi}(1 - e^{-2\xi^2}) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^2. \end{split}$$

#### 2.2. Fuzzy Model

We write Equation (1), in its fuzzy form as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial t} = \frac{K}{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\tilde{h} \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial x}) = \frac{K}{S} \{ (\frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial x})^2 + \tilde{h} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{h}}{\partial x^2} \},$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

with the new boundary and initial conditions:

Initial conditions Boundary conditions  

$$t = 0, \tilde{h}(x, 0) = \tilde{h}_0, t > 0, \tilde{h}(0, t) = \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_{x \to \infty}(x, t) = \tilde{h}_0$$
(3)

By converting the aforementioned fuzzy problem into a system of second order crisp boundary value problems—(referred as the *corresponding system* for the fuzzy problem and applying the theory of [18,35,39,40], we are able to solve the fuzzy problem (2) subject to the boundary and initial conditions (3). As a result, for the fuzzy problem, eight crisp BVPs systems are feasible under the identical initial and boundary conditions.

*Note:* We shall now turn our attention on the first system's solution. as it offers a practical solution to the case of the lake refilling the aquifer.

#### 2.3. Solution of the First System

First case

The nonlinear one dimensional horizontal flow equations related to the first case (left boundary) and to the second case (right boundary) are provided with the following expressions:

$$\frac{\partial h^{-}}{\partial t} = \frac{K}{S} \left\{ h^{-} \frac{\partial^{2} h^{-}}{\partial x^{2}} + \left(\frac{\partial h^{-}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \right\} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial h^{+}}{\partial t} = \frac{K}{S} \left\{ h^{+} \frac{\partial^{2} h^{+}}{\partial x^{2}} + \left(\frac{\partial h^{+}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \right\}$$
(4)

Second case

## 3. Results and Discussion

We have used the data of Lockington, (1997) [5] that means K = hydraulic conductivity = 20m/d, S = effective porosity = 0.27,  $h_1 = 3m$ ,  $h_0 = 2m$ . For the first case the solution is [11]:

$$h^{-}(\mu,\xi) = h_{0}^{-} + (h_{0}^{-} - h_{1}^{-})\Omega(\mu,\xi)$$

Figure 1 illustrates the water level profiles for t = 5d of the new analytical method vs Runge-Kutta method (reference solution) and for  $\alpha = 0$ , 1 value. The two methods approach each other closely. In addition, the water table in a period of 5 days approaches 125 m in length. If we consider also the hydraulic conductivity value (K = 20 m/d) the results are absolutely reasonable regarding the physical problem. Figure 2 illustrates the stored volume variation vs time and Figure 3 illustrates the membership function of  $\tilde{V}(x, t)$  for t = 5d. According to possibility theory [37–38] every function [ $\tilde{V}$ ] estimates the crisp function V and the  $\alpha$ -cut { $\tilde{V}_{a} = [V_{a}^{-}, V_{a}^{+}]$  should be interpreted as the confidence intervals of V with a probability  $p \ge 1 - a$ . In this regard in Figure 3 it is seen that for  $\alpha =$ 0.05 the value of water volume lies in the interval [5.226, 14.310] with a probability higher than 95% according to the possibility theory.





Figure 2. Stored volume variation vs. time.



**Figure 3.** Membership function of  $\tilde{V}(x, t)$ .

#### 4. Conclusions

Undoubtedly, groundwater flow problems involve a number of ambiguities and uncertainties making the use of differential equations even more difficult to solve. However, nowadays, the opportunity is given through the fuzzy partial differential equations theories to include these uncertainties in the final calculations and to provide more accurate results supporting the sustainability of groundwater as well as the researchers and engineers to make better decisions and plannings.

This work presents an innovative analytical solution in the nonlinear Boussinesq equation which describes the groundwater unsteady flow. According to the results the proposed solution completely coincides with the Runge-Kutta method results which used as reference solution, for comparison reasons, in order to prove the accuracy and reliability of the proposed analytical solution. The volume membership function (Figure 3) could support decision makers and planners with higher degree of confidence, than the previous years, thanks to the possibility theory.

## References

- 1. Boussinesq, J. Recherches théoriques sur l'écoulement des nappes d'eau infiltrées dans le sol et sur le débit des sources. J. De *Mathématiques Pures Appliquées* 1904, 10, 5–78.
- Polubarinova-Cochina, P.Y. On unsteady motions of groundwater during seepage from water reservoirs. *M. MAT. M.* 1949, 13, 2.
- 3. Polubarinova-Cochina, P.Y. *Theory of Groundwater Movement;* Moscow: Gostekhizdat, Old Soviet Union (Now Russia); de Wiest R.J.M., Translator; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1962. (In Russia)
- 4. Tolikas, P.K.; Sidiropoulos, E.; Tzimopoulos, C.D. A simple analytical solution for the Boussinesq one-dimensional groundwater flow equation. *Water Resour. Res.* **1984**, *20*, 24–28.
- 5. Lockington, D.A. Response of unconfined aquifer to sudden change in boundary head. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng. 1997, 123, 24–27.
- 6. Moutsopoulos, K.N. The analytical solution of the Boussinesq equation for flow induced by a step change of the water table elevation revisited. *Transp. Porous Media* **2010**, *85*, 919–940.
- 7. Lockington, D. On an Exact Analytical Solution of the Boussinesq Equation. Transp. Porous Media 2000, 39, 339–345.
- Basha, H.A. Traveling wave solution of the Boussinesq equation for groundwater flow in horizontal aquifers. *Water Resour. Res.* 2013, 49, 1668–1679. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20168,2013.
- 9. Chor, T.; Dias, N.L.; de Zarate, A.R. An exact series and improved numerical and approximate solutions for the Boussinesq equation. *Water Resour. Res.* 2013, *49*, 7389–7387. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20543,2013.
- 10. Hayek, M. Accurate approximate semi-analytical solutions to the Boussinesq groundwater flow equation for recharging and discharging of horizontal unconfined aquifers. *J. Hydrol.* **2019**, *570*, 411–422.
- 11. Tzimopoulos, C.; Papadopoulos, K.; Evangelides, C.; Spyrides, A. Analytical solution of nonlinear Boussinesq equation. *Desalination Water Treat*. **2022**, *24*, 1127–1147.
- 12. Chen, Z.-X.; Bodvarsson, G.S.; Witherspoon, E.A.; Yortsos, Y.C. An integral equation formulation for the unconfined flow of groundwater with variable inlet conditions. *Transp. Porous Media* **1995**, *18*, 15–36.
- 13. Parlange, J.-Y.; Hogarth, W.L.; Govindaraju, R.S.; Parlange, M.B.; Lockington, D. On an Exact Analytical Solution of the Boussinesq Equation. *Transp. Porous Media* **2000**, *39*, 339–345.
- 14. Pistiner, A. Similarity solution to unconfined flow in an aquifer. *Transp. Porous Media* 2008, 71, 265–272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-007-9124-5.
- 15. Olsen, J.S.; Telyakovskiy, A.S. Polynomial approximate solutions of a generalized Boussinesq equation. *Water Resour. Res.* **2013**, 49, 3049–3053. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20242,2013.
- 16. Bartlett, M.S.; Porporato, A. A Class of exact solutions of the Boussinesq equation for horizontal and sloping aquifers. *Water Resour. Res.* **2018**, *54*, 767–778.
- 17. Tzimopoulos, C.; Papadopoulos, K.; Evangelides, C.; Papadopoulos, B. Fuzzy solution to the unconfined aquifer problem. *Water* **2018**, *11*, 8–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11010054.
- Tzimopoulos, C.; Papadopoulos, K.; Evangelides, C. Fuzzy Solution to the Second Order Unsteady Partial Differential Equation. In Proceedings of the International Conference of Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics (ICNAAM 2018), Rhodes, Greece, 13–18 September 2018; Volume 2116, pp. 440004-1–440004-5. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5114463.
- 19. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy Sets. Inf. Control 1965. https://doi.org/10.1109/2.53.
- 20. Bhaskar, V.; Lakshikantham, T.; Devi V. Revisiting fuzzy differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. 2004, 58, 351–358.
- Nieto, J.J.; Rodríguez-López, R. Bounded solutions for fuzzy differential and integral equations. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 2006, 27, 1376–1386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.05.012
- 22. Aminikhah, H. Approximate analytical solution for the one-dimensional nonlinear Boussinesq equation. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Heat Fluid Flow* **2015**, *25*, 831–840.
- 23. Chen, F.; Qiu, X.; Alattas, K.A.; Mohammadzadeh, A.; Ghaderpour, E. A New Fuzzy Robust Control for Linear Parameter-Varying Systems. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 3319. https://doi.org/10.3390/math10183319.
- 24. Guo, M.; Xue, X.; Li, R. The oscillation of delay differential inclusions and fuzzy biodynamics models, *Math. Comput. Model.* 2003. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177(03)00071-2.
- 25. Chang, S.S.L.; Zadeh, L.A. On Fuzzy Mapping and Control. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. 1972. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1972.5408553. Chen, Z.-X.; Bodvarsson, G.S.; Witherspoon, E.A.; Yortsos, Y.C. An integral equation formulation for the unconfined flow of groundwater with variable inlet conditions. Transp. Porous Media 1995, 18, 15–36.
- 26. Dubois, H.; Prade, D. Towards fuzzy differential calculus: part 3, differentiation. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 1982, 8, 30–34.
- Puri, M.L.; Ralescu, D.A. Differentials of fuzzy functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1983, 91, 552–558. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(83)90169-5.
- Hukuhara, M. Integration des Applications Measurables dont la Valeur est un Compact Convexe. *Funkc. Ekvacioj* 1967, 10, 205–233.
- 29. Kaleva, O. Fuzzy differential equations. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 1987, 24, 301–307.
- 30. Kaleva, O. The cauchy problem for fuzzy differential equations. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 1990, 24, 389–396.
- 31. Seikkala, S. On the fuzzy initial value problem. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 1987, 24, 319–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90030-3.

- 32. Abbasbandy, S.; Allahviranloo, T. Numerical solution of fuzzy differential equation. *Math. Comput. Appl.* 2002, 7, 41–52. https://doi.org/10.3390/mca7010041.
- Bede, B.; Gal, S.G. Generalizations of the differentiability of fuzzy-number-valued functions with applications to fuzzy differential equations. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 2005, 151, 581–599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2004.08.001.
- 34. Stefanin, i L.; Bede, B. Generalized Hukuhara differentiability of interval-valued functions and interval differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **2009**, *71*, 1311–1328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2008.12.005.
- 35. Allahviranloo, T.; Gouyandeh, Z.; Armand, A.; Hasanoglu, A. On fuzzy solutions for heat equation based on generalized Hukuhara differentiability. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 2015, 265, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.11.009.
- 36. Tzimopoulos, C.; Papaevangelou, G.; Papadopoulos, K.; Evangelides, C. Fuzzy Analytical Solution to Vertical Infiltration, J. Softw. Eng. Appl. 2020, 13, 41–46.
- 37. Dubois, D.; Prade, H. When upper probabilities are possibility Measures. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 1992, 49, 65–74.
- 38. Mylonas, N. Applications in Fuzzy Statistic and Approximate Reasoning. Ph.D. Thesis, Dimokritos University of Thrace: Komotini, Greece, 2022. (In Greek)
- 39. Khastan, A.; Nieto, J.J. A boundary value problem for second order fuzzy differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **2010**, *72*, 3583–3593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.12.038
- 40. Bede, B.; Stefanini, L. Generalized differentiability of fuzzy-valued functions. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 2013, 230, 119–141.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.