



Proceeding Paper Groundwater Quality Assessment and Evaluation of Scaling and Corrosiveness Potential of Drinking Water Samples ⁺

Aftab Alam * and Saurabh Kumar

Department of Civil Engineering, National Institute of Technology Patna, Bihar 800005, India; saurabh.ce18@nitp.ac.in

- * Correspondence: aftaba.phd19.ce@nitp.ac.in; Tel.: +91-8797527215
- + Presented at the 7th International Electronic Conference on Water Sciences, 15–30 March 2023; Available online: https://ecws-7.sciforum.net.

Abstract: This research was to examine water stability and to evaluate the drinking water quality. Groundwater samples from 16 borewells in Aurangabad, Bihar, were taken from the shallow unconfined aquifer and tested for a wide range of physicochemical characteristics. The pH, temperature, TDS, and EC were measured at the sites. Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, F⁻, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, alkalinity, and hardness concentrations were examined in the laboratory. The groundwater's stability was measured using Corrosiveness Indices including the Langeliar saturation index (LSI), Ryznar stability index (RSI), Puckorius scaling index (PSI), Larson-Skold index (Ls), and Aggressivity index (AI). The data showed that typical values for LSI, RSI, PSI, and LS and AI were -0.92 (±0.47), 9.09 (±0.67), 9.50 (±0.73), 1.73 (±0.78), and 11.05 (±0.48), respectively. Groundwater WQI calculations revealed that 25% of the samples were excellent, 50% were good, 19% were poor, and 6% were extremely poor. All of the water samples tested positively for corrosiveness according to the LSI and PSI indices. Water samples show a strong corrosive potential (87.50% according to RSI) or a low corrosive tendency (12.50% according to RSI). 75% of the water samples have a strong corrosive tendency, 18.50% have a corrosive tendency, and 6.25% have a scaling tendency, according to Ls. According to AI, 93.75% of the water samples had a moderately corrosive tendency, whereas 6.25% were extremely corrosive.

Keywords: groundwater quality; water quality index (WQI); statistical analysis; scaling and corrosiveness potential

1. Introduction

Water is essential for human survival. However, not all the water available in our system may be suitable for human consumption [1]. Population growth has resulted in a massive increase in groundwater demand [2]. Groundwater is an essential source for domestic and industrial purposes [3]. The overuse of groundwater, resulting in the depletion of the groundwater table and the deterioration of groundwater quality as a result of human activities has serious socioeconomic consequences [4]. Among the most effective tools and important parameters for the evaluation and management of groundwater quality, the WQI method is widely used around the world for groundwater quality assessment. WQI is a reflection and ranking of water quality based on the combined effects of different variables [5]. The organic nature of water is affected by corrosion-based physicochemical reactions. Water corrosion and scaling can be predicted with analytical terminology such as Ryznar stability index (RSI), Aggressive index (AI), Langelier saturation index (LSI), Larson-Skold index (LS), and Puckorius scaling index (PSI) [6,7]. The primary goals of this study are to assess the groundwater quality and corrosive potential of drinking water samples. Which help in managing future sustainable groundwater management approaches in the Aurangabad District, Bihar.

Citation: Alam, A.; Kumar, S. Groundwater Quality Assessment and Evaluation of Scaling and Corrosiveness Potential of Drinking Water Samples. *Environ. Sci. Proc.* 2023, *5*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Academic Editor(s):

Published: 15 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/).

2. Study Area

The state of Bihar has a central position in the Gangetic Plain of India. South Bihar Plains (SBP) is a part of the Ganga Basin's periphery alluvial plains, and the region depicted in Figure 1 is its research area, which spans 3389 km² between longitudes 84°00′– 84°45′ E and latitudes 24°30′–25°15′ N.



Figure 1. Map of study area.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Sampling and Data Analysis

There were 16 samples of groundwater taken from the study area using borewells or hand pumps. The sites were located using GPS. Pre-cleaned 1 L high density PVC bottles were used to collect water samples. Samples were taken for physicochemical analysis using standard methods [8]. A Thermo Scientific Multi-Parameters Kit was used at the site to measure the pH, EC, temperature, and TDS. The concentra-tions of chloride, sulphate, and phosphate were determined in the laboratory using AgNO₃ titration method, turbidimetric method, and colorimetric method, respectively. The standard EDTA method was used to determine total hardness, calcium hard-ness, and magnesium hardness. The dissolved oxygen concentration was determined using Winkler's modified method.

3.2. Determination of Water Quality Index

Water Quality Index was developed by Horton to assess the quality of groundwater [9]. WQI is a rating that assesses the impact of several parameters on water quality. For the calculation of WQI, all stages were performed as described by [10].

3.3. Determination of Corrosiveness Indices

LSI, RSI, PSI, Ls, and AI were used to assess the corrosion potential of water samples. There were seven physicochemical parameters that were used in calculating these indices: pH, temperature, TDS, alkalinity, calcium hardness, chloride, sulphate. As shown in Table 1, corrosiveness indices have been calculated.

Index	Equation	Index Value	Tendency of Water	
	LSI = pH - pHs	LSI < 0	Corrosive tendency	
Langelier saturation index (LSI)	pHs = (9.3 + A + B) - (C + D) A = (Log (TDS) - 1)/10	LSI = 0	Neutral tendency	
	B = -13.2(Log (°C + 273)) + 34.55 C = Log (Ca ⁺⁺ as CaCO ₃) - 0.4	LSI > 0	Scaling tendency	
	$D = Log (Alkalinity as CaCO_3)$		Llich Cooling ton don m	
Ryznar stability index (RSI)		RSI < 5.5	High Scaling tendency	
		5.5 < RSI < 6.2	Scaling tendency	
	RSI = 2pHs - pH	6.2 < RSI < 6.8	Neutral tendency	
		6.8 < RSI < 8.5	Low corrosive tendency	
		RSI > 8.5	High Corrosive tendency	
Du alcorius scalin a	PSI = 2pHs – pHeq	PSI > 7	Corrosive tendency	
Puckorius scaling Index (PSI)	pHeq = $1.465\log (Alkalinity) + 4.54$ Alkalinity = $HCO_3^- + 2(CO_3^-) + OH^-$	PSI < 6	Scaling tendency	
Lanson Chald	$L_{C} = (C_{C} + C_{C})/(C_{C} - C_{C})$	Ls > 1.2	High corrosive tendency	
Larson-Skold Index (Ls)	Ls = $(C_{Cl^{-}} + C_{SO_4^{2-}})/(C_{HCO_3^{-}} + C_{CO_3^{2-}})$	0.8 < Ls < 1.2	Corrosive tendency	
	C = Concentration in mg/L	Ls < 0.8	Scaling tendency	
Aggressive		AI < 10	Corrosive tendency	
	$AI = pH + log ((Ca^{++}) \times (Alkalinity))$	10 < AI < 12	Moderately Corrosive	
index (AI)		AI > 12	Scaling tendency	

Table 1. Equations and classification of Corrosiveness indices.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Physicochemical Analysis

Statistical analyses of the groundwater samples are presented in Table 2, along with the consequences of elements above the limit for use in drinking water. The water quality parameters such as pH, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻ were found to be well below the [11] acceptable limit. The parameters like TDS, F, TH and Ca exceed the acceptable limits of the (WHO, 2006 [11]).

	pН	TDS	EC	DO	F −	Cl-	NO3⁻	SO4 ²⁻	Alk.	TH	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
рп	(mg/L)	(µS/cm)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
Min	6.67	139.0	242.5	1.15	0.10	16.81	2.791	3.85	14.66	133.5	82.89	36.84
1st Qu.	7.06	287.0	530.3	1.71	0.52	33.02	11.45	9.38	34.65	201.5	139.30	54.11
Median	7.24	340.2	645.0	2.05	0.71	40.13	18.64	15.78	39.24	276.3	161.18	101.31
Mean	7.26	369.8	721.8	2.02	0.90	51.55	21.63	15.28	39.91	298.7	176.43	122.32
3rd Qu.	7.53	387.9	771.9	2.24	1.11	63.72	31.06	18.48	47.34	351.1	184.20	174.99
Max.	7.84	839.0	1669.0	2.89	2.20	169.28	49.34	38.69	58.81	704.6	492.74	290.12
WHO	7–8	600	-	-	1.5	250	50	250	-	200	100	-

Table 2. Statistical analysis results on the study area groundwater data.

4.2. Water Quality Analysis

In the groundwater samples, the WQI values ranged from 33.97 to 201.43 (Figure 3f). In 16 water samples, 25% of the water samples fell in the excellent water quality, 50% of the water sample in good water quality, 19% of water samples are poor and 6% of samples are not suitable for drinking (Figure 2). A majority of the study area has groundwater of consumable quality and that can be used for drinking as well as domestic purposes.











Sample Number



Figure 3. Corrosiveness indices and WQI of the water samples.

4.3. Corrosiveness Potential of Water Samples

The descriptive study of the corrosiveness indices is shown in Table 3.

Corrosiveness Indices	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± Standard Deviation
LSI	-2.12	-0.31	-0.92 ± 0.47
RSI	8.36	10.96	9.09 ± 0.67
AI	9.79	11.64	11.05 ± 0.48
PSI	8.29	11.42	9.50 ± 0.73
Ls	0.49	3.95	1.73 ± 0.78

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the Corrosiveness Indices.

4.3.1. Langelier Saturation Index (LSI)

The LSI includes a valuable indicator for detecting corrosive water. As defined by [11], LSI is the difference between the measured pH and the saturated pH. A negative average LSI value of -0.92 indicates corrosive tendencies in the water samples shown in Table 3. Figure 3a illustrates that all water samples exhibit corrosive characteristics.

4.3.2. Ryznar Stability Index (RSI)

[12] defines RSI as the difference between the double of saturation pH and the pH of the actual water. The average value of RSI was found to be 9.09, which indicates a high tendency toward corrosion. S12 and S16 had a low corrosive tendency, whereas the rest of the samples had a high corrosive tendency (Figure 3b).

4.3.3. Puckorius Scaling Index (PSI)

The PSI predicts the ultimate amount of sediment by examining the buffering capacity of the water and the amount of precipitation that will occur when the water reaches equilibrium [13]. It fell into the corrosive tendency with an average PSI of 9.50. All samples have a corrosive tendency, as shown in Figure 3c.

4.3.4. Larson-Skold Index (Ls)

Based on the concentration of chloride, sulphate, carbonate and bicarbonate alkalinity the mathematical equation for Ls is derived [14]. There was an average Ls of 1.73, which indicates highly corrosive water. Figure 3d shows that S7, S8, S9 fell into the corrosive tendency, while S14 fell into the scaling tendency, otherwise they fell into the high corrosive tendency.

4.3.5. Aggressive Index (AI)

A formula for AI is calculated by incorporating parameters such as calcium hardness (Ca), pH and total alkalinity [15]. The average AI value was 11.05, indicating a moderate corrosive tendency. Figure 3e depicts that sample S11 has corrosive tendencies, whereas the rest are moderately corrosive.

5. Conclusions

In this study, WQI of groundwater samples revealed that 75% had excellent or good water, and 25% either had poor or very poor water. This study also evaluated the scaling and corrosion potential of drinking water samples. Mainly, iron and steel pipes were used to extract groundwater. LSI and RSI are the main indicators that are utilized for corrosion monitoring in iron and steel pipes. LSI indicates that all water samples had a corrosive tendency, and RSI indicates 87.5% high corrosive tendency. These indices are based on pH, temperature, TDS, Ca⁺⁺, and alkalinity, all of which have a significant impact on water quality. Chloride and sulfate ions corrode iron and steel pipes and degrade water quality. Ls is also a good tool for monitoring corrosion in iron and steel pipes. In water samples, Ls indicates a 75% high corrosive tendency, an 18.75% corrosive tendency, and a 6.25% scaling tendency. All these indices indicate that water has a corrosive tendency, which can degrade materials and affect water quality. Therefore, the study area regularly monitors

groundwater quality for sustainability. Pipe material should be used according to the analysed parameters. So that the lifespan of the pipe may increase while the quality of the water remains unchanged.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, software, formal analysis, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, review and editing had done by A.A. and S.K.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Authors are thankful to National Institute of Technology for financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wekesa, A.M. Assessment of Groundwater Quality Using Water Quality Index from Selected Springs in Manga Subcounty, Nyamira County, Kenya. *Sci. World J.* **2022**, 2022, 3498394.
- Ram, A.; Tiwari, S.K.; Pandey, H.K.; Chaurasia, A.K.; Singh, S.; Singh, Y.V. Groundwater quality assessment using water quality index (WQI) under GIS framework. *Appl. Water Sci.* 2021, 2, 1–20.
- 3. Adimalla, N.; Qian, H. Groundwater quality evaluation using water quality index (WQI) for drinking purposes and human health risk (HHR) assessment in an agricultural region of Nanganur, south India. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2019**, *126*, 153–161.
- Islam, A.R.; Shen, S.; Haque, M.A.; Bodrud-Doza, M.; Maw, K.W.; Habib, M. Assessing groundwater quality and its sustainability in Joypurhat district of Bangladesh using GIS and multivariate statistical approaches. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* 2018, *5*, 1935– 1959.
- Yenugu, S.R.; Vangala, S.; Badri, S. HydroResearch Groundwater quality evaluation using GIS and water quality index in and around inactive mines, Southwestern parts of Cuddapah basin, Andhra. *HydroResearch* 2020, *3*, 146–157.
- Pietrucha-Urbanik, K.; Skowrońska, D.; Papciak, D. Assessment of corrosion properties of selected mineral waters. *Coatings* 2020, 10, 571. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings10060571.
- 7. Kumar, S.; Singh, R. Qualitative assessment and corrosiveness of the Ganga water: A comparative assessment. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2021** 45, 5695–5701.
- 8. APHA. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 23rd ed.; American Public Health Association: Washington, DC, USA, 2017.
- 9. Horton, R.K. An index number system for rating water quality. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 1965, 3, 300–306.
- 10. Asadi, E.; Isazadeh, M.; Samadianfard, S.; Ramli, M.F.; Mosavi, A.; Nabipour, N.; Shamshirband, S.; Hajnal, E.; Chau, K.W. Groundwater quality assessment for sustainable drinking and irrigation. *Sustainability* **2020**, *1*, 177.
- 11. WHO. WHO Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2006; Volume 11, p. 515.
- 12. Langelier, W.F. The Analytical Control of Anti-Corrosion Water Treatment. Am. Water Work. Assoc. 1936, 10, 1500–1521.
- 13. Ryznar, J.W. A New Index for Determining Amount of Calcium Carbonate Scale Formed by a Water. *Am. Water Work. Assoc.* **1944**, *4*, 472–483.
- 14. Taghipour, H.; Shakerkhatibi, M.; Pourakbar, M.; Belvasi, M. Corrosion and Scaling Potential in Drinking Water Distribution System of Tabriz, Northwestern Iran. *Health Promot. Perspect.* **2012**, *1*, 103–111.
- 15. Kumar, S.; Singh, R.; Maurya, N.S. Water Quality Analysis and Corrosion Potential in the Distribution Network Patna, Bihar. J. Environ. Eng. Sci. 2022, 4, 164–174.
- Kumar, S.; Singh, R.; Maurya, N.S. Assessment of Corrosion Potential Based on Water Quality Index in the Distribution Network of urban Patna, Bihar, India. J. Nat. Environ. Pollut. Technol. 2022, 5, 2117–2127.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.