



Proceeding Paper

# Nanoparticle/DNAzyme Based Biosensors for Heavy-Metal Ion Detection: Effect of DNAzyme Surface Modifications on Device Sensitivity <sup>+</sup>

Evangelos Aslanidis <sup>1,\*</sup>, Evengelos Skotadis <sup>1</sup>, Chryssi Panagopoulou <sup>1</sup>, Annita Rapesi <sup>1,2</sup>, Georgia Tzourmana <sup>1</sup>, George Tsekenis <sup>2</sup> and Dimitris Tsoukalas <sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Physics, National Technical University of Athens, 15780 Zografou, Greece;
- <sup>2</sup> Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece;
  - \* Correspondence: evaaslani@central.ntua.gr
  - + Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Biosensors, 8–21 May 2023; Available online: https://iecb2023.sciforum.net.

**Abstract:** In this work a biosensor for heavy metal-ion detection, based on Platinum nanoparticles (Pt NPs) and DNAzymes, is presented. Two different chemical modification groups were utilized for the DNAzymes' immobilization on the Pt NPs film. The biosensors were characterized with respect to their ability to detect Lead (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) ions in buffer solutions. Both immobilization techniques exhibit great sensitivity with a limit of detection of 25 nM.

**Keywords:** nanoparticles; Platinum nanoparticles; DNAzymes; biosensor; heavy-metal ions; DNAzymes immobilization; Lead ions

## 1. Introduction

Heavy metal ions, such as Lead (Pb), Chromium (Cr), Cadmium (Cd) etc. present a serious environmental threat when found in soil and water; thus their accurate and fast detection poses as a major challenge. Although several detection methods have been proposed in the literature [1], they are both expensive and time consuming. In this work we present a biosensing device for heavy-metal ion detection based on Pt NPs and DNAzymes [2]. The biosensors feature two distinctive DNAzymes species with different chemical modification groups (i.e thiol and amino modified), that enable their attachment on the Pt NP layer. Both immobilization techniques are capable to detect ion consecrations of 25 nM but with a different relative resistance change response.

## 2. Materials and Methods

All devices have been fabricated on silicon substrates with a 300 nm thermal SiO<sub>2</sub> layer. Gold interdigitated electrodes, 50 nm thick, have been patterned on SiO<sub>2</sub> film via optical lithography with an inter-finger electrode gap of 10  $\mu$ m. Electron gun thermal evaporation was used to deposit the gold electrodes with 10 nm Ti as adhesion layer. After the fabrication of the electrodes, Pt NPs were deposited between the electrodes, using a modified DC magnetron sputtering system. The deposited nanoparticles have mean diameter of 4 nm and a surface coverage of 49 % which is right below the percolation threshold of the system. The surface coverage of the Pt NPs film prevents the creation of continuous conductive paths from one electrode to another, forming a NP film that acts as nanogapped electrodes. Due to the film's surface coverage and the formation of interparticle gaps, the current that flows through the conductive paths travels from one nanoparticle to the next via tunneling effect. The overall resistance of these devices is about hundreds of kOhms.

Citation: Aslanidis, E.; Skotadis, E.; Panagopoulou, C.; Rapesi, A.; Tzourmana, G.; Tsekenis, G.; Tsoukalas, D. Nanoparticle/DNAzyme Based Biosensors for Heavy-Metal Ion Detection: Effect of DNAzyme Surface Modifications on Device Sensitivity. *Eng. Proc.* **2023**, *5*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

#### Academic Editor(s):

Published: 8 May 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

After the Pt NP deposition follows the DNAzymes functionalization. Two distinctive DNAzymes species with different chemical modification groups have been used. The first method uses amino-modified DNAzymes while in the second method, thiol-modified DNAzymes were employed. For the amino-modified DNA immobilization technique, the following steps have been applied. The first step involves the SiO<sub>2</sub> surface activation with oxygen plasma, then the surfaces were functionalized with (3-Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES), an aminosilane aimed for the process of silanization, implying the functionalization of surfaces with alkoxysilane molecules. This is essential for the next step of the process where the covalent bond between glutaraldehyde with the alkoxysilane molecules is established. Specifically, the linking is occurred between the silane amines and the carbonyl groups of glutaraldehyde. Once the surface is functionalized, the process is followed by the probe immobilization and target hybridization steps. Initially, ssDNA substrate probes become covalently bonded with the other carbonyl groups of glutaraldehyde. After covalent coupling, the remaining reactive groups should be blocked in order to avoid covalent binding of the DNA strands. Therefore, the remaining activated carboxvlic groups (those not bonded with the substrate DNA sequences) are blocked with ethanolamine. The final step of the whole process involves the hybridization of the DNAzyme sequences with the immobilized substrate strands. In the finalized device the DNAzymes are immobilized on vacant spots on top of the SiO<sub>2</sub> surface (Figure 1a). For the thiol-modified DNA immobilization technique, there is no need of surface modification prior to probe immobilization and target hybridization. Therefore, ssDNA substrate probes are initially deposited on the Pt NPs via dropcasting, then methylcyclohexa (MCH) is employed in order to convey the same blocking effect as ethanolamine on the amino-modified DNA sequences. Finally, the DNAzyme sequences are hybridized with the immobilized substrate strands. The resulting devices have the DNAzymes immobilized directly on Pt NPs (Figure 1b).



**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the functionalized surfaces with (**a**) amino modified DNAzyme and (**b**) thiol modified DNAzyme.

The bio-sensing devices were characterized by measuring changes in resistance using a Keithley 2400 multimeter, under a 1 V bias. As a first step, buffer solution was dropcasted on top of the bio-sensors (represented in Figure 2 with red rectangles); this step was repeated until device resistance became immune to further buffer addition. After the buffer-stabilization steps, a buffer solution containing a known heavy-metal ion concentration was drop-casted on the sensor (represented in Figure 2 with blue rectangles), resulting in an increase in device resistance in accordance with the mechanism discussed in previous publications by this group [2,3]. The DNAzymes react with the heavy metal ions resulting in their collapse from double stranded to single stranded DNA. The double strand DNA exhibits higher conductivity in comparison with the single strand DNA, therefore the biosensor's resistance increases in the presence of heavy metal ions. The biggest difference between the 2 different biomolecule immobilization protocols is where the biomolecules bind. In the case of the amino modified DNAzymes, the biomolecules bind on top of the SiO<sub>2</sub> surface and in the second directly onto the Pt NPs.



**Figure 2.** This figure shows an amino modified DNAzymes biosensor's resistance over time. Red rectangles represents the time where buffer was drop casted over the sensor while blue represents the target (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) addition. The concentration of the Pb<sup>2+</sup> was 143 nM and the  $\Delta$ R/R% was 3.3%.

### 3. Results

Figure 3 compares the sensitivity of the two different DNAzymes for varying Pb<sup>2+</sup> concentrations. Both techniques present a linear response range, for maximum concentrations of 225 nM and 250 nM in the case of thiol and amino modified DNAzymes respectively. The devices also exhibit a low limit of detection (LoD) of 25 nM, in agreement with the permitted levels of  $Pb^{2+}$  for the EU [4]. Finally, thiol modified DNAzymes showcase higher sensitivity when compared to the amino modified DNAzymes; this can be related to the variations between the two chemical modifications and the quality of their attachment on the NP layer. As discussed previously, the basic difference between the two categories of biosensors lays in the immobilization protocol. The amino modified DNAzymes are functionalized in such way so as to bind with the uncovered SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate, therefore, they will fill the gaps that interrupt the conductive paths. When they are double stranded they allow the passage of electrons during their transition from one nanoparticle to another, while when they are single stranded they become less conductive and reduce the possibility of the tunnel effect occurring. However, although the sensors can detect very small concentrations as shown in Figure 3, their response is low since the DNAzymes can only affect the conductivity of the device by changing the surrounding medium of the NP layer. On the other hand the thiol modified DNAzymes bind directly onto the Pt NPs. Therefore, as the electrons pass from one electrode to the other they can pass through the double-stranded DNAin order to cross the gaps that interrupt the conductive paths. When in the presence of heavy metal ions, the double-stranded DNA becomes single-stranded hence an increase in resistance will occur. At the same time, the probability for charge transport via the tunneling effect will decrease, just as in the case of amino modified **DNAzymes** 



**Figure 3.** Relative resistance change of different Pb<sup>2+</sup> concentrations for the two immobilization techniques. Error bars represent device-to-device deviation for 5 devices in each category.

## 4. Conclusions

In the present work, a highly sensitive heavy-metal ion sensor based on Pt NPs and DNAzymes was presented. For the DNAzyme immobilization step, two different binding methods were used (amino modified DNAzymes and thiol modified DNAzymes); this resulted in biosensors with high sensitivity and a low limit of detection. In conclusion, sensors functionalized with thiol modified DNAzymes outperformed in terms of sensitivity amino–modified based sensors while at the same time they offer simpler and faster fabrication..

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, E.S. and G.T. (George Tsekenis); methodology, E.S. and G.T. (George Tsekenis); investigation, C.P. and A.R.; data curation, C.P., A.R. and G.T. (Georgia Tzourmana); writing—original draft preparation, E.A. and E.S.; writing—review and editing, E.S.; visualization, C.P. and E.A.; supervision, E.A., E.S. and D.T.; project administration, D.T.; funding acquisition, E.S., G.T. (George Tsekenis) and D.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research has been co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund of the European Union and Greek national funds through the Operational Program Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation, under the call RESEARCH-CREATE-INNOVATE (project code: T2EDK-02144).

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Zhang, Y.; Yavari, K.; Wang, Y.; Van Cappellen, P.; Liu, J. Deployment of functional DNA-based biosensors for environmental water analysis. *Trends Anal. Chem.* **2022**, *153*, 116639.
- Skotadis, E.; Tsekenis, G.; Chatzipetrou, M.; Zergioti, I.; Tsoukalas, D. Heavy metal ion detection using DNAzyme-modified platinum nanoparticle networks. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2017, 239, 962–969.
- Skotadis, E.; Voutyras, K.; Chatzipetrou, M.; Tsekenis, G.; Patsiouras, L.; Madianos, L.; Chatzandroulis, S.; Ioanna, Z.; Tsoukalas, D. Label-free DNA biosensor based on resistance change of platinum nanoparticles assemblies. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2016, *81*, 388–394.

4. Official journal of the European Union, Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020, on the quality of water intended for human consumption.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.