



Proceedings paper Evaluation of potential carbon dioxide utilization pathways in Uzbekistan ⁺

Azizbek Kamolov¹, Zafar Turakulov², Adham Norkobilov³, Miroslav Variny⁴, and Marcos Fallanza^{5,*}

- ¹ Department of IT, automation, and control, Tashkent Chemical-Technological Institute, Tashkent 100011, Uzbekistan; <u>azbkamolov@gmail.com</u> (A.K.); <u>webdastur@gmail.com</u> (Z.T.)
- ² Department of Engineering Technologies, Shahrisabz branch of Tashkent Chemical-Technological Institute, Shahrisabz 181306, Uzbekistan; <u>adham.norkobilov@gmail.com</u> (A.N.)
- ³ Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia; <u>miroslav.variny@stuba.sk</u> (M.V.)
- ⁴ Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain; <u>marcos.fallanza@unican.es</u> (M.F.)
- * Correspondence: <u>azbkamolov@gmail.com</u>
- + Presented at the 4th International Electronic Conference on Applied Sciences, 27 Oct-10 Nov 2023

Abstract: Reaching net-zero emissions by the mid of this century requires the implementation of massive carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions reduction strategies along with other greenhouse gases at both global and country scale. Thus, carbon capture, storage, and utilization (CCSU) is one of the promising technologies in combination with renewable energy transition. Currently, CO₂ utilization has been extensively attracted by scientific community worldwide, since it can improve the economic viability of CCSU deployment via creating a market for the recovered CO₂ stream. In this study, a brief assessment and comparison of potential CO₂ utilization pathways in Uzbekistan including CO₂ to chemicals/fuel conversion, CO₂ bio-fixation/mineralization, and direct use of CO₂ such as for enhanced hydrocarbon recovery (EHR) have been conducted considering the CO₂ stationary sources and site-specific conditions of the country. Apart from that, possible challenges and opportunities for large scale CO₂ utilization routes have also been discussed. According to the assessment, there is a great potential for CO₂ direct use as a process boosting agent for EHR in more than 22 major natural gas, crude oil, and coal reservoirs. Moreover, methanol and urea production processes can also make a huge market demand for recovered CO₂ as long as the conventional CO₂ production processes are replaced by sustainable one's.

Keywords: decarbonization, climate change, carbon capture, CO₂ utilization, CO₂ recovery, Uzbekistan.

1. Introduction

The rising concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere has emerged as a paramount concern for the global community. In order to mitigate the effects of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and align with the goals of the Paris Agreement, we should explore all available pathways to approach as close as net-zero emissions by 2050. In this context, Carbon Dioxide Capture and Utilization (CCU) emerges as a promising strategy through not only by reducing the emissions but also transforming CO₂ into valuable resources or reusing it for other applications [1].

The Republic of Uzbekistan (Uzbekistan), located in the Central Asia being one of the two double landlocked country in the world, has been experiencing significant demographic and economic growth in the recent years. In response to those increases, there is

Citation: To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: date



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). a high demand in expanding the industrial sectors in Uzbekistan which is driven by primarily the country's natural resources such as natural gas, coal and crude oil. Meanwhile, Uzbekistan plans to cut its GHG emissions per unit of gross domestic product by 35% by 2030 as a base year of 2010 [2]. Apart from that, Uzbekistan pledged to reach carbon neutrality by 2050 through the different means of decarbonization pathways [3]. In this scenario, there is a strong necessity for the estimation and analysis of CO₂ capture potential of Uzbekistan from large stationary sources and possible CO₂ utilization pathways considering the country's site-specific conditions.

Carbon Capture, Storage, and Utilization (CCSU) is a technology that enables to capture the CO₂ from fossil fuel fired large point sources such as power plants, cement factories, steel and iron industries, crude oil and natural gas refineries, and petrochemical plants. Once the CO_2 is captured by different separation techniques, it is then compressed in high pressure and transported to the storage or utilization site [4]. There are two – direct and indirect – methods for the utilization of captured CO₂. In direct utilization, the captured CO₂ is used as its physical form without undergoing chemical transformation. This can be applied in several industries including enhanced hydrocarbon recovery (EHR), food and carbonated beverages, textiles and greenhouse agriculture. In the indirect use, the captured CO₂ undergoes the chemical or biological processes converting it into multiple valuable products. This can involve broader pathways such as CO₂ to chemicals and chemical feedstock (methanol, urea, organic acids, ethylene and propylene glycol, and etc.), CO2 to synthetic fuels (methane, dimethyl ether, kerosene, diesel, gasoline, and etc.), CO₂ mineralization (construction aggregates, cement, magmatic rock, and etc.), CO₂ to bio-products (biofuels, bioplastics, bio-additives, and etc.), and carbonation of industrial waste [5].

In recent years, due to the low market value of captured CO₂ which prevents globally application of CCSU, more research and investigations are being focused on CO₂ utilization and its valorization. This paper briefly discusses the potential CO₂ utilization pathways in Uzbekistan and their comparison based on several environmental, economic and technological indications.

2. Shortlisting procedure

Given the diverse array of over a hundred CO₂ conversion pathways and numerous CO₂ direct utilization routes available, our approach involves a shortlisted more technologically mature and commercially viable options which will undergo a comprehensive analysis, taking into consideration the specific environmental and resource conditions in Uzbekistan. The shortlisted four pathways including CO₂ to methanol and urea conversion, CO₂ to Enhanced Oil/Gas Recovery, CO₂ use in cement production, and CO₂ to bio-fixation are discussed with their opportunities and challenges with regard to the economic, environmental, and scalability point of view. This assessment is limited to evaluate CO₂ to fuel conversion due to its least carbon retention time among others.

3. Potential CO₂ utilization pathways

As mentioned earlier, the captured CO₂ presents valuable opportunities for utilization within Uzbekistan's industries through two distinct pathways: direct and indirect applications. Direct utilization, which involves the non-conversion of captured CO₂, finds prominent use primarily in enhancing hydrocarbon recovery. This is especially relevant due to the presence of several natural gas and oil reservoirs in the region, as well as its application in the textile fabrication industries.

On the other hand, indirect utilization, which entails the conversion of captured CO₂, plays a vital role in sectors such as chemicals and nonmetallic industries, with a particular focus on applications within the cement industry. The subsequent sub-sections will provide detailed insights into these specific indirect utilization pathways and their significance.

3.1. CO₂ to methanol and urea

All among the CO₂ conversion routes, CO₂ to methanol and urea production are, so far, not only the most mature technologies but also the highest demand in world market with annual consumption of over 110 Mt per year [6].

Methanol is a crucial chemical feedstock for various industries including chemicals and fuels. The main methanol-derived products are formaldehyde, acetic acid, dimethyl ether, and methanol fuel. Methanol to Olefin (MTO) is, as an emerging technology, another promising pathway that enables to obtain various high-value products such as polypropylene, polyethylene, ethylene vinyl acetate, and polyethylene terephthalate. However, from the environmental point of view, the production of hydrogen, the main reactant for CO₂ to make methanol, should be sustainable by water electrolysis or fossil fuel based production with CCSU. The following equation (1) is the base reaction of CO₂ to methanol conversion [7].

 $CO_2 + 3H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O_1 \Delta H_{298 K} = -49.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (1)

Uzbekistan is considered as a leading country in Central Asia by methanol production and its export accounting for 67% of demand [8]. Apart from that, Uzbekistan's Gas Chemical Complex has signed the agreement with Air Products to build a MTO facility by the end of 2025 which will be constructed in the Karakul Free Economic Zone in Uzbekistan's Bukhara region. The total capacity of this facility can reach up to 1.34 million tons annually [9].

Urea production from CO₂ can also be viable option in the case of Uzbekistan as the country's economy is highly dependent on the agriculture. Urea is commonly used in the fertilizer industry due to the least transportation cost and rich nitrogen sources. Moreover, it can be used as a primary source for the production of several essential chemical compounds including a variety of polymers and resins. Since the urea is obtained from the reaction between ammonia and CO₂ (See the reactions (2) and (3) below), the CO₂ uptake potential of urea production is relatively high at 0.733 ton of CO₂ per ton of Urea [7].

2))
2	.,

 $NH_2OCONH_4 \leftrightarrow NH_2CONH_2 + H_2O.$ (3)

Uzbekistan has already built the facility to produce urea and ammonia under the Navoiyazot Chemical Complex with annual capacity of 660 thousand tons of ammonia and 577 thousand tons of urea [10]. Furthermore, a new ammonia-based fertilizer plant with a total capacity of 1089 Mt of urea and ammonia is under construction in Yangiyer, Sirdaryo Region and expected to be completed by 2025 [11]. Among several conversion methods, one important advantage of urea production using captured CO₂ is the utilization of post-combustion flue gas to obtain CO₂ and nitrogen, the primary components required for ammonia production. As a result, the generation of urea from ammonia reactions with CO₂ taken from combustion exhaust gases would boost the financial viability of a CO₂ capture unit [7]. However, the only concern about boosting the urea production using captured CO₂ is its environmental benefit which is under question due to the release of CO₂ into the atmosphere as the fertilizer is used.

3.2. CO₂ to EHR

Since current low carbon costs fail to motivate investment in CCS, several industry experts believe that EHR projects are the only viable short-term use for significant CO₂ levels. CO₂ injection for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) and Enhanced Gas Recovery (EGR) is growing in the petroleum and natural gas industry as it both utilizes captured CO₂ and boosts oil/gas production, preventing its release into the atmosphere [12]. It is vital in the transition to a more sustainable and low-carbon energy future, particularly in terms of

efficiently utilizing existing oil and gas resources while addressing climate change concerns.

For a preliminary assessment, CO_2 storage to deep underground and oil, coal, and gas reservoirs are the first place where there is sufficient capability for a massive amount of captured CO_2 to be permanently storage and utilization. All major natural gas, coal, and crude oil fields of Uzbekistan are summarized in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Main large oil and gas reserves in Uzbekistan by region.

According to the Figure 1, Uzbekistan has a great potential for the utilization of captured CO₂ through the EOR, EGR, enhanced coal bed methane (ECBM) pathways. The availability of reservoirs in the neighboring countries also enables the possibility for offshore storage of captured CO₂ avoiding the long distance transportation cost. Since the EOR is considered as the only option so far as fully mature technology to store massive amount of CO₂ allowing a part of investment back, onshore and offshore EOR injection of CO₂ can be the first target in the utilization of CO₂ in Uzbekistan.

3.3. CO₂ mineralization

Carbon mineralization, also known as CO₂ mineralization is a process that includes the transformation of CO₂ from gaseous state to solid by converting it to the mineral forms using chemical and geological materials. In the carbonization process, CO₂ reacts with certain minerals such as magnesium and calcium silicates resulting the formation of stable magnesium carbonates and calcium carbonates [13]. It can then be used as building materials as construction aggregates, concrete curing, and cement production. One of the greatest advantage of this method is to sequester the CO₂ permanently for thousands of millions of years.

In the case of Uzbekistan, with the growing number of population and urbanization, there is a huge demand for the construction materials and aggregates [14]. Calcium and magnesium carbonates, which can be found naturally in rocks and inorganic salts mostly in the regions of Karakalpakstan, Fergana Valley, Tashkent suburbs and Navoi, are the traditional precursors used in the manufacturing of cement. It is worth nothing that captured CO₂, once it has been transformed into calcium or magnesium carbonates, can operate as an alternate input material or precursor for this manufacturing process. However, limited availability of suitable mineral resources, lack of geological assessments, and slow reaction kinetics hinder the application of CO₂ mineralization in the near term.

3.4. CO₂ to bio-fixation

One of the feasible strategies for combatting CO₂ emissions from point sources is the biological pathway. The biological method provides natural CO₂ incorporation into biomass at a relatively low cost in terms of energy. Photoautotrophy and chemolithotrophy are natural mechanisms that have resulted in the consumption of CO₂ biologically. Algae-based CO₂ utilization, among others, can be a promising route that uses photosynthesis to capture CO₂ from flue gas for carbon fixation, particularly in the availability of waste water recources [15]. Microalgae can be cultivated in a closed system (photobioreactor) and an open system (raceway ponds). In terms of algae applications, they are divided mainly into two categories [16]:

"Bioenergy": Biodiesel, biogas, bioethanol, biojetfuel, etc.

• "Non-energy bio-products": protein, pigments, carbohydrates, biomaterials, animal feed, etc.

Considering the large CO₂ emitting sources in Uzbekistan, particularly natural gasfired combined cycle power plants, which accounts for more than 85% of the energy sector and low CO₂ concentration in its waste stream, CO₂ microalgae cultivation can be promising pathway as this technology can capture and utilize the CO₂ simultaneously [17]. While the significant space demands of this approach are manageable, certain locations in the country may face challenges related to the availability of water or wastewater [18]. In such cases, there might be a requirement for the installation of closed-loop photobioreactors, which tend to be more costly.

4. Discussion

In this section, we compare five different CO₂ utilization methods in terms of their environmental benefits, scalability, maturity, and economic feasibility for the context of Uzbekistan, without specific metrics. Taking into account the various aspects and criteria for each unique situation and technology presents challenges in achieving a precise comparison. Therefore, the discussion aims to offer a broader understanding of the feasibility of each approach. Figure 2 represents the comparison of CO₂ utilization pathways in Uzbekistan's condition based on four different parameters.



Environmental benefit Scalability Maturity Economic feasibility



From the environmental point of view, CO₂ to algae cultivation and carbon mineralization pathways are seen as the most promising but those technologies have not reached the full maturity yet [19]. High energy intensity of the mineral carbonation process and the high cost of photobioreactors along with the controlling challenges prevents those utilization routes from massive deployment. In terms of the urea and methanol production from captured CO₂, they can be economically viable covering a part of the capture costs since the methanol to olefin and fertilizer markets are facing a rapid improvement in the country. However, there is a strong necessity to solve the problems associated with the logistics to export overseas and the making the process more sustainable. As for the CO₂ injection as a process booster into the oil and gas reservoirs, in the near term, it can be the most promising route regarding the conditions of Uzbekistan as long as the natural gas and oil prices in the world market stay stable. Nevertheless, the main concern for the implementation of EOR and EGR in the country is the evaluation of the environmental benefit as the process leads to the increase in the production of fossil fuels and the availability of open data sources about the current state and technical characteristics of the reservoirs.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, possible CO₂ utilization pathways in Uzbekistan are discussed with a general comparison based on available resources, scalability, environmental and economic viability. We have explored about the potential opportunities and challenges that can lay ahead by examining different approaches and technology within the context of Uzbekistan. For the current and near term, CO₂ to enhanced hydrocarbon recovery can be the feasible option until finding more sustainable and large scale CO₂ conversion pathways. Algae cultivation may also be viable as long as the capital cost of the reactors are reduced or the availability of wastewater is maintained. However, there is a huge demand for further investigation of possible pathways taking into account the existing resources and limitations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.N. and A.K.; methodology, M.V., M.F. and A.K.; software, A.K. and Z.T.; writing—original draft preparation, A.K. and Z.T.; writing—review and editing, A.K.; visualization, A.K. and Z.T.; supervision, M.V., A.N. and M.F.; A.K. and Z.T. contributed equally to this paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under contract nos. APVV-18-0134 and APVV-19-0170.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The first author acknowledges the national scholarship program of the Slovak Republic for making an opportunity to carry out this study in Slovakia under the international collaboration.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Valluri, S.; Claremboux, V.; Kawatra, S. Opportunities and Challenges in CO₂ Utilization. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* **2022**, *113*, 322–344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2021.05.043</u>.

2. Uzbekistan | Climate Promise Available online: https://shorturl.at/wPV89 (accessed on 8 August 2023).

3. Uzbekistan Pledges to Reach Carbon Neutrality by 2050 | Enerdata Available online: <u>https://shorturl.at/aorZ6</u> (accessed on 7 September 2023).

4. Gür, T.M. Carbon Dioxide Emissions, Capture, Storage and Utilization: Review of Materials, Processes and Technologies. *Prog Energy Combust Sci* **2022**, *89*, 100965. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PECS.2021.100965</u>.

5. Rafiee, A.; Khalilpour, K.R.; Milani, D. CO₂ Conversion and Utilization Pathways. *Polygeneration with Polystorage: For Chemical and Energy Hubs* **2019**, 213–245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813306-4.00008-2</u>.

6. Guil-López, R.; Mota, N.; Llorente, J.; Millán, E.; Pawelec, B.; Fierro, J.L.G.; Navarro, R.M. Methanol Synthesis from CO₂: A Review of the Latest Developments in Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 3902. <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.3390/ma12233902</u>.

7. Koohestanian, E.; Sadeghi, J.; Mohebbi-Kalhori, D.; Shahraki, F.; Samimi, A. A Novel Process for CO₂ Capture from the Flue Gases to Produce Urea and Ammonia. *Energy* **2018**, *144*, 279–285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.12.034</u>.

8. Methanol Production by Country - Search - IndexBox Available online: <u>https://shorturl.at/avA68</u> (accessed on 7 September 2023).

9. Methanol Production Facility to Be Built in Uzbekistan's Karakul District - Globuc Available online: <u>https://shorturl.at/epwC4</u> (accessed on 7 September 2023).

10. Uzbekistan Starts Urea Production Available online: https://shorturl.at/wQW56 (accessed on 7 September 2023).

11. New Ammonia-Based Fertilizer Plant to Be Constructed in Uzbekistan - AgriBusiness Global Available online: <u>https://shorturl.at/atz45</u> (accessed on 7 September 2023).

12. Massarweh, O.; Abushaikha, A.S. A Review of Recent Developments in CO₂ Mobility Control in Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Petroleum* **2021**, 8, 291-317. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PETLM.2021.05.002</u>.

13. Snæbjörnsdóttir, S.Ó.; Sigfússon, B.; Marieni, C.; Goldberg, D.; Gislason, S.R.; Oelkers, E.H. Carbon Dioxide Storage through Mineral Carbonation. *Nat Rev Earth Environ* **2020**, *1*, 90–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0011-8</u>.

14. Turakulov, Z.; Kamolov, A.; Turakulov, A.; Norkobilov, A.; Fallanza, M. Assessment of the Decarbonization Pathways of the Cement Industry in Uzbekistan. *Engineering Proceedings* **2023**, *37*(1), 2. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ECP2023-14639</u>.

15. Llamas, B.; Suárez-Rodríguez, M.C.; González-López, C. V.; Mora, P.; Acién, F.G. Techno-Economic Analysis of Microalgae Related Processes for CO₂ Bio-Fixation. *Algal Res* **2021**, *57*, 102339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2021.102339</u>.

16. Trivedi, J.; Aila, M.; Bangwal, D.P.; Kaul, S.; Garg, M.O. Algae Based Biorefinery—How to Make Sense? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2015, 47, 295–307. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2015.03.052</u>.

17. Kamolov, A.; Turakulov, Z.; Norkobilov, A.; Variny, M.; Fallanza, M. Decarbonization Challenges and Opportunities of Power Sector in Uzbekistan: A Simulation of Turakurgan Natural Gas-Fired Combined Cycle Power Plant with Exhaust Gas Recirculation. *Engineering Proceedings* **2023**, p. 24. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ECP2023-14648</u>.

18. Eshbobaev, J.; Norkobilov, A.; Turakulov, Z.; Khamidov, B.; Kodirov, O. Field Trial of Solar-Powered Ion-Exchange Resin for the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Process. *Engineering Proceedings* **2023**; p. 47. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ECP2023-14626</u>.

19. Kamolov, A.; Turakulov, Z.; Rejabov, S.; Díaz-Sainz, G.; Gómez-Coma, L.; Norkobilov, A.; Fallanza, M.; Irabien, A. Decarbonization of Power and Industrial Sectors: The Role of Membrane Processes. *Membranes* (Basel) **2023**, *13*, 130. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes13020130</u>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.