medical sciences forum

MDPI

Proceeding Paper

Challenges and obstacles for veterinary antimicrobial agents' data collection for an "One Health" European goal to address antimicrobial resistances

Marcelo Leitão [1]; Jorge Sarraguça [1];Myriam Taghouti [1]; and Ana Cristina Gonçalves Monteiro [1]

FeedInov CoLab, Rua Professor Doutor Vaz Portugal, Vale de Santarém, Portugal

Abstract: According to the 2021's European Surveillance of Veterinary Antimicrobial Consumption (ESVAC) report on sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents, the data collected for the 2010-2021 period points to a significative sales reduction in over 2/3 of the countries included. Until now, a reduction in consumption to an average value of 96.6 mg/PCU was achieved in a premise that the average value of 59.2 mg/PCU can be reached by 2030, urging new political strategies for the next years. However, when exploring data from countries individually for the same decade, several shortcomings in data collection are noticed. In fact, mandatory data reporting was not on equal ground between countries, using different data aggregation strategies, and several countries in multiple years recognized underreporting values. Access to similar tools and methodologies for data collection is crucial for all European members, especially to collect and report consistent, correct, and uniform data. Simultaneously, some gaps are observed in PCU unit system, excluding some animals such as livestock goats and pets, and being unclear in others like in aquaculture, requiring to be revised so it helps implementing the "One Health" approach. Preventive or mitigation actions require a standardized data system allowing trust-worthy conclusions and projections. Platforms like ESVAC database are interesting tools which can be improved and replicated, allowing the analysis of the different elements of the "One Health" approach such as human and environmental data, as well as data about resistance to antimicrobial agents.

Keywords: Antimicrobial agents; Antimicrobial resistance; Data collection; One Health

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial agents' discovery, one hundred years ago, brought great hopes by extending life expectancy and allowing the improvement of other medical practices. However, the development of resistance in multiple pathogens led to the ineffectiveness of those agents, resulting in the current emergency to overcome antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [1]. Annually, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is responsible for more than 35.000 deaths in Europe, numbers that can rise to 10 million global annual human deaths. With productivity losses estimated between 2 and 3,5%, representing costs that can surpass 92 trillion euros until 2050 [2], AMR impact for public health can be compared to "influenza, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS combined"[3].

The European Surveillance of Veterinary Antimicrobial Consumption (ESVAC) project, launched by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2009, presents annual reports of veterinary antibiotics use from 31 countries in an attempt of a coordinated approach to data collection and analysis [4].

2. Methods

In this communication, we analysed the last decade data extracted from ESVAC database [5] (accessed in October 2023), mainly concerning animal production by species and consumption in each country. Results from the last ESVAC report [4] were explored and national reports of specific countries, present in the ESVAC website [6], were analysed when needed, to add context to the reported information and to highlight the particularities.

The profile of animal production for each country was determined by dividing the values reported for the production in each species in Population Correction Unit (PCU) in 2021 by the total animal production (in PCU) of each country in the same year as reported in the ESVAC interactive database[5] (variables described as "cattle", "pigs", "sheep and goats", "horses"," rabbits", "fish"). This results in a description of each country as a series of species described by a fraction of the overall production of the country and, thus, comparable between countries, irrespective of the total production size.

Data analysis over the retrieved information from the mentioned databases and profiles for the countries was done using Python 3.11 programming language. Data curation was done using Pandas library [7] and graphical representations of results were created using Matplotlib [8].

3. Results and Discussion

According to the 2021's ESVAC report, the data collected for the 2010-2021 period points to a significative antibiotic's sales reduction in over 2/3 of the countries included. Until now, a reduction of consumption to an average 96.6 mg/PCU was achieved, targeting an average value of 59.2 mg/PCU by 2030 [6].

The evolution of veterinary antibiotics consumption for all countries included in the report is shown in Figure 1. For a clearer reading, the countries were separated into 3 panels according to the value reported in 2021 (over 120mg/PC, 120-60 mg/PCU and under 60mg/PCU). The general tendency is, indeed, that sales have been consistently decreasing in this period, with 19 of the 31 countries having already reported achieving the target value proposed for 2030. However, some countries are showing some difficulties in reducing consumption. Oppositely to what is observed for countries such as Spain, Italy, or Germany that have reported very large sales values in the past but have reduced substantially the reported values, countries like Cyprus and Greece don´t present the same behaviour with the latter having increasing sales in all reported years.



(a) (b)



(c)

Figure 1. Overall sales of veterinary antibiotics for each country reported in mg/PCU in the time 2010-2021 period: (a) countries that in 2021 still reported sales over 120 mg/PCU; (b) countries that in 2021 reported sales between 60 mg/PCU and 120 mg/PCU; (c) countries that in 2021 reported sales below 60 mg/PCU.

Besides the implementation of new policies and practices throughout Europe explaining the general trends in reported values, other aspects, however, related to the reporting process itself may affect our perception of the current status of antibiotics use. Not all countries are at the same level of accuracy and preparation of data collection, since no uniform collecting procedures are available.

First, reporting is secured by several professional profiles which differ between countries (e.g., Marketing Authorization Holders (MAH), veterinarians, retailers, wholesalers, farmers, or pharmacies). Then, concerning the authorities and centralized agencies, some countries were successful in implementing them earlier, others achieved it recently, while another group is only working on it for the future.

Under reporting was officially recognized in different countries in several years, although it remained without analysis in the respective national reports. Accepting values lower than the real rates, without exploring the causes, creates distrust in the data. And simultaneously, it hinders learning lessons from these situations and deployment of solutions in eventual similar challenges. In general, countries update their data later, but some are still referred as underreported (*e.g.*, Bulgaria in 2011, 2012, 2014 and 2015, Portugal in 2010-2014, 2017 and 2019, and Spain in 2010, 2012 and 2013) [4]. Cyprus, for example, updated their past data regarding fish production resulting in corrections in terms of antibiotic sales ranging from -12% to -24% [9].

A possible type of under reporting that has been identified but is not considered as such in the reports, is originated in legislation of some countries themselves. Acquisition of antibiotics without prescription, for instance, is a critical issue, even for countries that achieved their objective, have strong collecting systems, and never officially underreported, like Sweden [10].

Some countries already included data of consumption based on different food-producing species in their national reports. But it was only in June 2023, that the guidelines of data collection were updated to include this information along with the production figures. And since animal production profile of each country is related to overall consumption, this change would significantly improve the accuracy of future consumption reports and the comprehensive analysis of collected data.

For example, Greece, Cyprus, and Bulgaria have high figures in antibiotic sales and a higher component of sheep and goat production (60%, 35% and 26% of total PCU in "sheep and goats", respectively), simultaneously are the same three countries that report the flaw of the PCU as a metric, since it doesn't include living goats, creating an error in reporting. This is highlighted by the fact that small ruminants are often treated "off-label" because it's not common the existence of antimicrobial agents specific to these species [11].

For example, Cyprus reports that "if living goats had been included in the PCU, total annual sales in mg/PCU would have been approximately 7% lower in 2021 [9]. Studies report the necessity of updating the PCU metric even in species already included fully [11,12], and others suggests the adoption of other metrics [13].

The objective of an "One Health" approach, in the European Union point of view, is to address AMR in a holistic way, understanding the interaction and the impact of the different sectors (generally indicated as animal, human and environmental health) [14]. But for the goal to be achieved it is critical a good data analysis, and therefore a reliable data collection. This information is fundamental to create awareness campaigns and AMR education, that can have a huge impact on the population perception of the problem [15]. For this reason, the European Union and, even each country individually, could benefit e.g., from spatial modelling [16] with all the data collected over the years, to pinpoint regions with higher consumption tendencies to focus their campaigns.

4. Conclusion

Although most countries are in trajectory to reach their goal of antimicrobial agent's consumption reduction, new strategies are still being included because of the alarming figures in AMR.

In a closer analysis, the European countries that report to ESVAC don't do it in uniformized way and have different years where specific changes are implemented, showing discrepancies between them. At the same time, underreporting seems to happen occasionally, but is not treated as a mistake to avoid but more as a random unconformity.

The PCU metric is flawed and influences data, especially in countries with higher fish, sheep, and goat productions, since small ruminants are often treated "off label". A change or adaptation in this metric seems necessary.

Preventive or mitigation actions require a standardized data system allowing trustworthy conclusions and projections. Standardizing methods is essential for a "One Health" approach, so analyzing data from different areas of public health becomes possible and/or easier.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT, Portugal) co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund through ALENTEJO 2020 (Alentejo Operational Program 2014–2020), and by the NextGenerationEU through Interface Mission - Collaborative Laboratories - Call 01/C05-i02/202.

Reference

1. Hutchings, M.I.; Truman, A.W.; Wilkinson, B. Antibiotics: past, present and future. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2019**, *51*, 72–80, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2019.10.008.
2. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Assessing the health burden of infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU/EEA, 2016-2020. *Stockholm*, **2022**.
3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Reported Decrease in Antibiotic Consumption across EU/EEA during COVID-19 Pandemic. **2021**. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/reported-decrease-antibiotic-consumption-across-eueea-during-covid-19-pandemic (accessed April 10, 2023).
4. European Medicines Agency. Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 31 European countries in 2021. European Medicines Agency, Luxembourg, 2022. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/sales-veterinary-antimicrobial-agents-31-european-countries-2021-trends-2010-2021-twelfth-esvac_en.pdf
5. European Medicines Agency, European database of sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents, (n.d.). https://esvacbi.ema.europa.eu/analytics/saw.dll?PortalPages (accessed October 9, 2023).

6. European Medicines Agency. Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 31 European countries in 2018. Trends from 2010 to 2018. Tenth ESVAC report. Available online: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/veterinary-regulatory/overview/antimicrobial-resistance/european-surveillance-veterinary-antimicrobial-consumption-esvac (Accessed on 1 June 2021).
7. McKinney, W. Data structures for statistical computing in python. In Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference, Austin, TX, USA (28 June–3 July 2010).
8. Hunter, J.D. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **2007**, *9*, 90–95, doi:10.1109/MCSE.2007.55.
9. European Medicines Agency. Cyprus - Sales trends (mg/PCU) of antibiotic VMPs for food-producing animals. 2022. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/sales-veterinary-antimicrobial-agents-31-european-countries-2021-trends-2010-2021-twelfth-esvac_en.pdf.
10. Munthe, C.; Malmqvist, E.; Rönnerstrand, B. Non-prescription acquisition of antibiotics: Prevalence, motives, pathways and explanatory factors in the Swedish population. *PLOS ONE* **2022**, *17*, e0273117, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273117.
11. C.L. Firth, H. Keppelmüller, A. Käsbohrer, A. Hund, T. Wittek. Comparison of metrics to assess antibiotic use in small ruminants at a university referral clinic between 2005 and 2019. *Vet Record* **2023,** e3413. https://doi.org/10.1002/vetr.3413
12. Radke, B.R. Towards an improved estimate of antimicrobial use in animals: Adjusting the "population correction unit" calculation.. **2017**, *81*, 235–240.
13. Hommerich, K.; Vogel, C.; Kasabova, S.; Hartmann, M.; Kreienbrock, L. Standardization of Therapeutic Measures in Antibiotic Consumption Monitoring to Compare Different Livestock Populations. *Front. Veter- Sci.* **2020**, *7*, 425, https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00425.
14. M. Răzvan, E. Tirziu, M. Florin, E. Dumitrescu, I. Hutu, C. Mircu, C. Tulcan, A. Doma, D. Janos, D. Diana Maria, M. Boboc, A. Chirila, I. Iancu, S. Baraitareanu, R. Cristina. The importance of databases to manage the phenomenon of resistance to antimicrobials for veterinary use. *Revista Română de Medicină Veterinară*. **2019,** 29, 40–57.
15. Haenssgen, M.J.; Xayavong, T.; Charoenboon, N.; Warapikuptanun, P.; Zaw, Y.K. The Consequences of AMR Education and Awareness Raising: Outputs, Outcomes, and Behavioural Impacts of an Antibiotic-Related Educational Activity in Lao PDR. *Antibiotics* **2018**, *7*, 95, https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics7040095.
16. Browne, A.J.; Chipeta, M.G.; Haines-Woodhouse, G.; A Kumaran, E.P.; Hamadani, B.H.K.; Zaraa, S.; Henry, N.J.; Deshpande, A.; Reiner, R.C.; Day, N.P.J.; et al. Global antibiotic consumption and usage in humans, 2000–18: a spatial modelling study. *Lancet Planet. Heal.* **2021**, *5*, e893–e904, https://doi.org/10.1016/s2542-5196(21)00280-1.