SUMMARY OF REVISIONS AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS					
Ref.	: article ID: sciforum-074684				
	Paper Title : The Role of Voltammetric Analysis in the Wine Industry				
Journ	al : Engineering Proceedings				
	Editor				
NO.	COMMENT(S)	RESPONSE(S)	MANUSCRIPT REVISIONS		
	<b>Editor comments:</b> This proceedings paper regarding the voltametric analysis in the wine industry looks interesting but needs to be improved a lot before it could get published. Vital information is missing. I have the following comments and suggestions for the authors in order to improve their manuscript.				
1	There are many typos in the whole manuscript and the English should be improved in the whole manuscript. I will just mention a few examples on the first page of this manuscript only. Line 24 reagents were taken and not was, line 25 could serve not served, line 20 please remove of before voltammetry. Line 34 should be voltametric methods and not voltammetry methods, line 35 should be cyclic and stripping voltammetry and not cynical !	the reviewer to thoroughly check and	We made corrections on the grammar and typo and reflected that in our revised manuscript.		
2	On page one line 32 please add a reference about the experiment of Heyrovsky, I don't know what experiment this is.	We followed the suggestion of the reviewer to add reference about the experiment of Hevrovsky.	We added in the revised manuscript a reference related to the work of Heyrovksy.		
3	In the first three lines of the introduction please mention also the pulse voltammetry. Also on page one you mention an example of vitamin C, why don't you mention something more relevant to wine?	We commend the observation of the reviewer to mention details about pulse voltammetry and studies related to wine.	We added these information in the introduction section of our revised manuscript.		
4	In the introduction you need to explain-define briefly the types of voltammetry, the differences between them (cyclic, pulse stripping etc)	We commend the observation of the reviewer to mention discuss the different types of voltammetry in the introduction section.	We added these information in the introduction section of our revised manuscript.		
5	n the introduction you need to mention other analytical methods used for the analysis of wine and mention other electrochemical methods as well, what are their disadvantages and you decided to focus on the voltammteric methods only, this is not clear in the introduction.	to mention other analytical methods used for the analysis of wine and mention other electrochemical methods as well in the introduction section.	We added these information in the introduction section of our revised manuscript.		
6	In the methodology line 40 you need to include the word sensor as well in the keywords used.	We followed the suggestion of the reviewer to include the word "sensor" as well as in the keywords used.	We added these information in the ketwords and methodology section of our revised manuscript.		
7	Page 3 line 10, in the factors you need to include the voltametric methods and the range of the analyte detected.				

8	In section 3.1 you mention TCA, SO2 and Manganese (II) later on table 1 you mention also AA, Catechintrichloroanisle etc. Please mention all of them in that section, why you selected to focus on them, why each of them is necessary to be able to be detected in wine etc.	ensure clarity of discussion in this section to convey cohesive information.	We added these information in the section 3.1 of our revised manuscript.
9.	Maybe instead of 1 table, you could add 3 and separate the analytes you selected, one in each table, like that, all in one table is confusing.		We added three tables dedicated to each information in our revised manuscript.
10.	Please explain briefly why pulse voltametric methods are more sensitive to Cyclic Voltammetry.	We followed the suggestion of the reviewer to discuss the difference between pulse voltametric and cyclic Voltammetry.	We added these information in our revised manuscript.