



Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) bioresidues: a source of phenolic compounds and bioactive potential

Bárbara S. N. Menezes,^{1,2} Cristina Caleja,^{1,2} Sandrina Heleno,^{1,2} Tiane Cristine Finimundy^{1,2} Lillian Barros,^{1,2} Manuel Gómez,³ Alexandre Gonçalves,^{1,4} Eliana Pereira^{1,2*}

Bárbara S. N. Menezes
PhD student
barbara.menezes@ipb.pt

¹Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal

²Laboratório Associado para a Sustentabilidade e Tecnologia em Regiões de Montanha (SusTEC), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal

³UVa, University of Valladolid, Department of Agricultural and Forestry Engineering, Higher Technical School of Agricultural Engineering, Palencia, Spain

⁴MORE – Mountains of Research Collaborative Laboratory – Association, Bragança, Portugal

Introduction & Aim

The sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is an extensively cultivated crop that produces significant by-products. Typically, the seeds are used by the industry, while the rest of the plant is discarded, although it is a rich source of molecules with high added value that can be used in the food industry [1]. In this sense, this work aimed to valorize these by-products by studying different parts of the plant that are discarded after harvesting the seeds - FOG (leaves and stems) and FLG (flowers).

Methodology



By-products



Acquisition of samples through a local producer (Bragança, Portugal, in 2024)

Separation (leaf/stem and flower) and freeze-drying of samples

Identification and quantification of individual phenolic profile (LC-DAD-ESI-MSⁿ)

Evaluation of bioactive properties

Antimicrobial activity

Antioxidant activity

TBARS

PR

DDPH

Results

Table 1. Concentration of Predominant Phenolic Acids in Sunflower Leaves (FOG) and Flowers (FLG) (mg/g of extract).

Main Phenolic Compounds	Leaves (FOG)	Flowers (FLG)
3-O-caffeoylquinic acid	0.191 ± 0.003	0.417 ± 0.012
5-O-caffeoylquinic acid	0.078 ± 0.000	3.5 ± 0.1
4-O-caffeoylquinic acid	0.158 ± 0.003	0.35 ± 0.01
3,4,5-tri-caffeoylquinic acid	0.137 ± 0.002	0.39 ± 0.01
Total chlorogenic acids	2.43 ± 0.04	10.1 ± 0.2

Table 2. Antioxidant activity of extracts obtained from sunflower bioresidue samples.

Antioxidant activity (value of EC ₅₀ , mg/mL)	Concentration		
	FOG	FLG	p-value
TBARS	32.46 ± 0.40	55.3 ± 0.5	<0.01
DPPH	0.022 ± 0.001	0.025 ± 0.001	<0.01
PR	0.73 ± 0.030	1.90 ± 0.06	<0.01

EC₅₀ values: Concentration of extract corresponding to 50% of the antioxidant activity. Metabisulphite (positive control) EC₅₀=0.23 mg/mL (TBARS inhibition); EC₅₀=0.043 mg/mL (DPPH inhibition) and EC₅₀=0.025 mg/mL (PR inhibition).

Twenty compounds were identified in the FOG sample, while fifteen were identified in the FLG sample. 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid was the most abundant in FOG (0.191 ± 0.003), whereas 5-O-feruloylquinic acid was predominant in FLG (3.5 ± 0.1). FOG demonstrated greater antioxidant potential, reflected by a lower EC₅₀ value, indicating more effective lipid peroxidation inhibition. Regarding antimicrobial activity, both extracts exhibited antibacterial action, with FOG showing superior performance against *Enterobacter Cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Morganella morganii*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and MRSA.

Conclusion

Overall, these results are valid arguments to support the use of sunflower by-products as underexploited alternative sources of bioactive phenolic compounds with potential health benefits for consumers. This integral use aligns with the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, promoting a healthy and circular economy.

Conflict of Interest - The authors declare no conflicts of interest

References

[1] Alexandrino, T. D., da Silva, M. G., Ferrari, R. A., Ruiz, A. L. T. G., Duarte, R. M. T., Simabuco, F. M., Bezerra, R. M. N., & Pacheco, M. T. B. (2021). Evaluation of some in vitro bioactivities of sunflower phenolic compounds. *Current Research in Food Science*, 4, 662-669.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Fundação da Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) for the financial support through national funds FCT/MCTES (PIDDAC) to CIMO, UIDB/00690/2020 (DOI: 10.54499/UIDB/00690/2020) and UIDP/00690/2020 (DOI: 10.54499/UIDP/00690/2020); and SusTEC, LA/P/0007/2020 (DOI: 10.54499/LA/P/0007/2020); national financing by F.C.T. and P.I., through the institutional scientific employment program contract for contract of L. Barros, and through the individual scientific employment program contract for E. Pereira (2021.03908.CEECIND). The authors would like to thank FEDER Cooperación Interreg VI A España – Portugal (POCTEP) 2021-2027 for the financial support through the project TRANSCoLAB PLUS 0112_TRANSCoLAB_PLUS_2_P and Agenda VIIAFOOD - Platform for Valorization, Industrialization and Commercial Innovation for Agro-Food (no. C644929456 - 00000040), project supported under the PRR (www.recuperarportugal.gov.pt), and financed by the European Union/Next Generation EU and B.S thanks the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal for the Ph.D. Grant 2022.10747.BD.