ASEC Conference

Utilization of Printed Circuit Board (PCB) in Axial Flux Machines:

A Systematic Review

Isiaka Shuaibu, Eric Ho Tatt Wei, Ramani Kannan, Yau Alhaji Samaila Department of Electrical/Electronics Engineering, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Corresponding Author: isiaka_22000514@utp.edu.my



This study reviews the role of PCBs in axial flux permanent magnet machines (AFPMMs), analyzing 38 articles from 2019 to 2024 using the PRISMA methodology. PCBs are vital for lightweight AFPMMs, particularly in single stator double rotor (SSDR) configurations, optimized using tools like ANSYS and COMSOL. Concentrated trapezoidal winding is the dominant topology, with growing interest in DSSR and multidisk designs. The findings highlight advancements in PCB manufacturing, winding optimization, and defect mitigation, emphasizing their evolving role in future AFPMM innovations.

02. Introduction

- The growing need for energy-efficient, electric machines [1], [2], [3].
- Coreless Axial Flux Permanent Magnet Machines (CAFPMMs)
- CAFPM design is the incorporation of Printed Circuit Boards (PCBs) for executing winding configurations
- Innovative devices employ single-layer PCB designs for both the stator and rotor, creating a lightweight and streamlined configuration.
- Single stator single rotor (SSSR), single stator double rotors (SSDR), Double stators single rotor (DSSR), Multi stators Multi rotor (MSMR).





decline in 2022 and 2024 respectively.

- Fig. 3(b) shows a strong preference for IEEE platforms in AFPMMs research.
- Fig. 3(c) reveals SSDR AFPMMs dominates machine topology contributions with 60.5%, DSSR at 21.15%

Fig. 4 (a) Winding configurations: CPW: Contrated Planar winding, CSW: Concentrated Spiral winding, NCWW: Non-Concentrated Wave winding, ND: Not defined (b) Percentage contribution of each winding.

- Fig. 4(a) present three adopted winding topologies as Planar windings, Concentrated spiral winding and non-concentrated wave winding with their subsequent variants and performances.
- Fig. 4(b) funnel chart illustrates the proportional contributions of four categories, totaling 100%. CPW dominates with 47.4%, nearly half of the total, followed by CSW at 26.3% and NCWW at 21%, representing moderate contributions. ND accounts for the smallest share at 5.3%. This distribution

While MSMR, SSSR and ND contribute 10.5%, 2.6% and 5.3% respectively.

highlights CPW as the most significant contributor, with CSW and NCWW playing notable roles, while ND has a minimal impact.

06. Conclusion

- Lightweight AFPMMs with PCB components demonstrate enhanced power quality and electromagnetic performance.
- Concentrated trapezoidal winding dominates, followed by distributed winding designs.
- 3. SSDR coreless AFPM machines are mostly priotized and optimized for defects and losses using tools like ANSYS and COMSOL.

References

- 1. I. Shuaibu, E. Ho Tatt Wei, R. Kannan, and Y. Alhaji Samaila, "Advancements in axial flux permanent magnet machines utilizing coreless technology: A systematic review," Ain Shams Engineering Journal, p. 103091, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2024.103091.
- 2. F. Marignetti, G. Volpe, S. M. Mirimani, and C. Cecati, "Electromagnetic Design and Modeling of a Two-Phase Axial-Flux Printed Circuit Board Motor," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 67-76, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2017.2716865
- 3. F. Tokgöz, G. Çakal, and O. Keysan, "Comparison of PCB winding topologies for axial-flux permanent magnet synchronous machines," IET Electr Power Appl, vol. 14, no. 13, pp. 2577-2586, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1049/ietepa.2020.0622.
- 4. Y. Chulaee, D. Lewis, G. Heins, D. Patterson, and D. M. Ionel, "Winding Losses in Coreless Axial Flux PM Machines with Wave and Spiral PCB Stator Topologies," in 2022 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), IEEE, Oct. 2022, pp. 1-6. doi: 10.1109/ECCE50734.2022.9947897.
- 5. Y. Karabulut and E. Mese, "Torque Performance Comparison Between Slotted and Non-Slotted Axial Flux PCB Winding Machine," in 2021 IEEE 19th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference (PEMC), IEEE, Apr. 2021, pp. 519–523. doi: 10.1109/PEMC48073.2021.9432603.



