# Photoelectrochemical Investigation of TiO<sub>2</sub>-Graphene Nanocomposites Rahmatollah Rahimi<sup>\*</sup>, Solmaz Zargari, Zahra Sadat Shojaei, Ali Ghaffarinejad

Department of Chemistry, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran 16846-13114, Iran

(E-mail: rahimi\_rah@iust.ac.ir)

# Abstract

In this study TiO<sub>2</sub> was photosensitized by graphene to improving the visible spectral absorption and photoactivity under visible light irradiation. TiO<sub>2</sub> was added to different content of graphene oxide and with hydrothermal method and 1, 3 and 5 percentage of graphene-TiO<sub>2</sub> composite (TG) was synthesized. The prepared samples were characterized by XRD, Raman, DRS, and SEM. Photoelectrochemical experiments exhibited that the photocurrent response of three percentages of TG nanocomposite was higher than others.

Keywords: Graphene, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Nanocomposite, Phtoelectrochemical

#### **1. Introduction**

Graphene, an anatomic sheet of  $SP^2$ -bonded carbon atoms, which are arranged in a honeycomb structure, has superior electrical conductivity [1]. This property makes it an excellent electron-transport material in the the photocurrent generation. Therefore, graphene can create a two dimensional conductive support path for charge transfer and collection. This can be used to enhance electron transport properties of the nanostructured TiO<sub>2</sub>. Graphene matrix increases the active surface area as well as modulating the electronic structure of the TiO<sub>2</sub> support [2]. TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene composites has been extensively stidied because of its successful performance in solar chemical conversion and demonstrated to be a technically viable process to utilize solar energy.

It is difficult to isolate the individual graphene layers due to the strong Van der Waals interactions between the graphitic sheets. The composition of graphene with metal oxides increases the distance between the graphene sheets to several nanometers. Therefore, both faces of the graphene sheets can be accessible [3]. Photocurrent measurements could feasibly be an analytical tool of low cost and high sensitivity due to the plentiful availability of substrates, repeatable use and elimination of undesired background signals by light excitation and current detection.

Nowadays, researchers in this field continue to be devoted to new materials and assembly of photosensitizers for more an efficient conversion of light into electricity and rapid photoresponse. Investigations to devote an efficient photosensitizer for high conversion of light into electricity and rapid photoresponse is currently under intensive research and development.

In our experiment, to monitor the graphene photosensitizer effect in photocurrent generation, we prepared photoelectrods based  $TiO_2$ -graphene nanocomposites (TG) with three percentage of graphene. The effect of graphene content in photocurrent generation was discussed in details.

#### 2. Experimental Work

#### **2.1. Materials Preparation**

Graphene Oxide (GO) sheets were prepared from natural graphite using a hummer's method [4]. A facile one-step solvothermal method was used to synthesize the TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene composite sheets (TG) by dissolving different mass ratios of GO in 30 ml of anhydrous ethanol solution under ultrasound irradiation. Then, 0.2 gr commercial TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (P25, Degussa crop, Germany) were added to the calculated amount of the GO solution to prepare the TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene nanocomposites with 1, 3, 5 W% of graphene. The solutions were stirred completely to obtain homogeneous suspensions. Then, the suspensions were transferred into a 40 ml Teflon-sealed autoclave and kept at 180 °C for 8h. During the solvothermal reaction, both graphene oxide reduction and TiO<sub>2</sub> loading were achieved. The resulting products were recovered by filtration, rinsed with DI water, and dried at 60 °C for 12 h. The samples were donated as TG (1%), TG (3%), and TG (5%).

### **2.2. Photoelectrochemical Measurements**

Photoelectrochemical measurements were carried out using a homemade three-electrode cell using a KCl-saturated Ag/AgCl electrode, a platinum wire, and a Florin-doped Tin Oxide (FTO) glass coated with a photocatalyst, as the reference, counter, and working electrodes, respectively. The

450 W xenon lamp with a UV cut-off filter ( $\lambda > 400$  nm) was used as a visible light source. The working electrode was prepared using a drop cast method. Five milligrams of the catalyst was suspended in 5 ml EtOH to make a slurry solution. Then, the slurry was drop cast on the surface of the FTO glass, and the electrode was dried at 60 °C.

# 3. Results and Discussion

## **3.1.** Characterizations

As already described in the literature [5], the exfoliated GO sheets could be reduced to graphene sheets via a hydrothermal method with few residual groups. Here, the researchers to prepare the TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene (TG) composite followed the same method. In the reaction process, graphene oxide was reduced to graphene, and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were dispersed simultaneously on the graphene sheet surface. FT-IR spectra of graphene oxide and graphene are shown in Fig. 1(A).

Fig. 1(B) displays the FT-IR spectra of photocatalysts. For TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene, broad absorption at low frequency ca. 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to the vibration of Ti-O-Ti bonds in TiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1B, b-d). This is similar to the spectrum of pure TiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1B, a) [6, 7]. This reveals that the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles are strongly bonded to the graphene sheets. The absorption bond, appearing at ca. 1560 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the TG composite spectrum (Fig. 1B, b-d) clearly exhibits the skeletal vibration of the graphene sheets that is similar to the graphene spectrum (Fig. 1A, b) [8, 9]. Therefore, the graphene nanosheets were formed in the hydrothermal process. The C-OH stretching vibration peak at 3420 cm<sup>-1</sup> of graphene (Fig. 1A, b) shifted to a higher wavenumber (3440 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene (Fig. 1B, d), which is attributed to the Ti-O-C bond formation [10].

The Raman spectra of graphite, graphene oxide, and graphene are exhibited in Fig. 2(A), confirming the preparation of graphene oxide and graphene. For graphene oxide (Fig. 2A, b), the  $I_D/I_G$  ratio is 1.57. However, graphene (Fig. 1A, c) exhibited a smaller  $I_D/I_G$  ratio of 1.22, suggesting the reduction of grapheme oxide [7]. The formation of the TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene composite can be confirmed via Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 2(B, a), TiO<sub>2</sub> exhibits high-intensity Raman characteristic peaks at 144 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Eg (1)), 395 cm<sup>-1</sup> (B<sub>1g</sub>), 516 cm<sup>-1</sup> (A<sub>1g</sub>), and 639 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Eg (2)) [11]. In addition, these peaks, which are ascribed to anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>, are also observed in the Raman spectra of TG composites (Fig. 2B, b-d), confirming the preparation of TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene composites.



Fig. 1. (A): FT-IR spectra of (a) graphene oxide and (b) graphene, (B): FT-IR spectra of a) pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, b) TG (1%), c) TG (3%), d) TG (5%).

As shown in the Raman spectra of TG (1%), TG (3%), and TG (5%), intensities of the TiO<sub>2</sub> peaks significantly decreases as with the increase of graphene content in the samples (Fig. 2B, b-d). This is because the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface is wrapped by the graphene.



Fig. 2. (A): Raman spectra of graphite, graphene oxide, and graphene, (B): Raman spectra of a) pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, b) TG (1%), c) TG (3%), d) TG (5%).

The X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) pattern of graphene oxide, graphene, and graphite are shown in Fig. 3(A). For XRD, the interlayer spacing of the materials is proportional to the degree of oxidation. In the XRD pattern of graphene oxide (Fig. 3A, b), the peak centered at  $2\theta=9.2^{\circ}$  is assigned to (002) inter-planar spacing of ca. 9.6 °A.

The XRD pattern of photocatalysts is shown in Fig. 3(B). TG composites (Fig. 3B, b-d) in Fig. 3(B) exhibit a similar XRD pattern to pure TiO<sub>2</sub>. Graphene diffraction peaks were not observed in the composites. This might be due to the low content and relatively low diffraction intensity of the graphene. Furthermore, the peaks for graphene might be shielded by the strong peak of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> at 25.3° [7].



Fig. 3. (A): XRD patterns of (a) graphite, (b) graphene oxide, and (c) graphene, (B): XRD patterns of a) pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, b) TG (1%), c) TG (3%), d) TG (5%).

The absorption range of the light plays an important role in the photocatalytic activities of photocatalysts, especially for degradation of pollutants in visible light. DRS spectra of the samples are represented in Fig. 4. Evidently, there is no absorption peak over 400 nm for the  $TiO_2$  and TG composites (Fig. 4, a-d). Moreover, increasing the graphene content increased the absorbance of the TG composites in the visible light region, which might be due to the absorption contribution

of graphene, the surface electric charge enhancement of TiO<sub>2</sub>, and the modification of the electronhole pair formation during irradiation.



Fig. 4. Diffuse reflectance spectra of a) pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, b) TG (1%), c) TG (3%), d) TG (5%).

The scanning electron microscopy images of the TiO<sub>2</sub>, TG (3%), TGP, and SnTCPP-PGT photocatalysts are shown in Fig. 5. It is clear that the surface of the graphene nanosheets was packed densely by TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with the average sizes of 37 nm, which shows a suitable combination between graphene and TiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 5B). The graphene sheets act as the bridges that connect different TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, and could significantly increase the separation of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs as well as enhancing photoactivity.



Fig. 5. SEM images of photocatalysts; A) pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, B) TG (3%).

On the other hand, the morphology of the pure  $TiO_2$  significantly differs from that of the TG composite. The spherical  $TiO_2$  particles, which aggregated into larger particles, were composed of many small  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles (Fig. 5A). As it can be seen in Fig. 5B, significant aggregation of the  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles is observed in the TG composite compared to what is observed for the pure  $TiO_2$ . The  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles were well distributed on both sides of the graphene oxide sheets.

# 3.2. Photocurrent Measurement

The photocurrent responses were recorded upon excitation of the pure  $TiO_2$ , TG composites with 1, 3 and 5 percentage of graphene electrodes with visible light illumination (Fig. 6). It is notable that the responses of the photocurrent were reproducible during the repeated on-off cycles under visible light irradiation.

Under illumination of the visible light, photocatalysts are excited to form the photogenerated electron-hole pairs, which are separated at the interface of the  $TiO_2$  and the graphene sheets. Thus, graphene serves as an acceptor of the generated electrons of the  $TiO_2$ . At graphene content below 3%, an increase in photocurrent with increasing graphene content was observed, which indicates an enhanced separation efficiency of the photoinduced electrons and holes because of the electronic interaction between the  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles and the graphene nanosheets. Photoactivity of the TG composites showed that the photocurrent decreased beyond an optimum graphene loading (3%).



Fig. 6. Photocurrent responses of composites in 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution during the repeated onoff cycles under visible light irradiation; a) pure TiO<sub>2</sub>, b) TG (1%), c) TG (3%), d) TG (5%).

The photoactivity enhancement of TiO<sub>2</sub> after composition with graphene could be attributed to the higher separation efficiency of the electron-hole pair caused by the rapid photoinduced charge separation. Furthermore, the inhibition of the electron-hole pair recombination increases the number of the holes participating in the photooxidation reaction. The photogenerated electrons would transfer from TiO<sub>2</sub> to the graphene. The graphene with delocalized conjugated  $\pi$  structure and superior electrical conductivity serves as an acceptor of electrons and effectively inhibits the charge recombination. Furthermore, the improved photoactivity of the TG composites is attributed to the ability of the graphene sheets in capturing and transfering the photogenerated charges.

### 4. Conclusion

In summary, in this work,  $TiO_2$  was photosensitized with graphene sheets to prepare graphenebased materials with good electron conductivity. This nanocomposites exhibited proper visible light photoactivity performance under visible light illumination due to the synergistic effect between the  $TiO_2$  and graphene sheets. A sixfold enhancement was observed in the photocurrent response compared to the improved photoelectrochemical performance of the TG (3%) with the pure  $TiO_2$ . The improved photoactivity of the TG composites was attributed to the ability of the graphene sheets to capture and transfer the photogenerated charges.

# References

[1] F. Tu, S. Liu, G. Jin, G. Yan, Ch. Pan, <u>Fabrication of graphene from graphene oxide by</u> ultrasonication with high Li storage capability, Powder Technol. 249 (2013) 146-150.

[2] Ch.H. Kim, B.H. Kim, K.S. Yang, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles loaded on graphene/carbon composite nanofibers by electrospinning for increased photocatalysis, Carbon 50 (2012) 2472-2481.

[3] J. Guo, Sh. Zhu, Zh. Chen, Y. Li, Z. Yu, Q. Liu, J. Li, Ch. Feng, D. Zhang, <u>Sonochemical synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on graphene for use as photocatalyst</u> preparation of graphitic oxide, Ultrason. Sonochem. 18 (2011) 1082-1090.

[4] W.S. Hummers Jr., R.E. Offeman, Preparation of graphitic oxide, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80 (1958)

## 1339-1339.

[5] C. Nethravathi, M. Rajamathi, <u>Chemically modified graphene sheets produced by the</u> solvothermal reduction of colloidal dispersions of graphite oxide, Carbon 46 (2008) 1994-1998.

[6] <u>M.Y. Duan</u>, J. Li, <u>G. Mele</u>, <u>Ch. Wang</u>, <u>X.F. Lü</u>, <u>G. Vasapollo</u>, <u>F.X. Zhang</u>, Photocatalytic activity of novel tin porphyrin/TiO<sub>2</sub> based composites, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 7857–7862.

[7] J.H. Jang, K.S. Jeon, S. Oh, H.J. Kim, T. Asahi, H. Masuhara, M. Yoon, Synthesis of Snporphyrin-intercalated trititanate nanofibers: optoelectronic properties and photocatalytic activities, Chem. Mater. 19 (2007) 1984–1991.

[8] A. Esfandiar, O. Akhavan, A. Irajizad, <u>Melatonin as a powerful bio-antioxidant for reduction</u> of graphene oxide, J. Mater. Chem. 21 (2011) 10907-10914.

[9] P. Song, X. Zhang, M. Sun, X. Cui, Y. Lin, <u>Synthesis of graphene nanosheetsviaoxalic acid-</u> induced chemical reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide, RSC Adv. 2 (2012) 1168-1173. [10] D. Chen, D. Yang, J. Geng, J. Zhu, Zh. Jiang, <u>Improving visible-light photocatalytic activity</u> of N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles via sensitization by Zn porphyrin, Appl. Surf. Sci. 255 (2008) 2879-2884.

[7] G. Jiang, X. Zheng, Y. Wang, T. Li, X. Sun, <u>Photo-degradation of methylene blue by multi-</u> <u>walled carbon nanotubes/TiO<sub>2</sub> composites</u>, Powder Technol. 207 (2011) 465-469.

[10] <u>R. Rahimi, E. H.Fard, S. Saadati, M. Rabbani</u>, Degradation of methylene blue via Co–TiO<sub>2</sub> nano powders modified by meso-tetra(carboxyphenyl)porphyrin, <u>J. Sol-Gel Science Technol.</u> 62 (2012) 351-357.

[11] P. Wang, J. Wang, X. Wang, H. Yu, J. Yu, M. Lei, Y. Wang, <u>One-step synthesis of easy-recycling TiO<sub>2</sub>-rGO nanocomposite photocatalysts with enhanced photocatalytic activity</u>, Appl. Catal. B 132-133 (2013) 452-459.