IOCH 2026 Conference

The 1st International Online Conference on Healthcare

25–26 March 2026 | Online

MDPI

Beyond Barriers: Access to Mental Health Services for Cultural Minorities

Antonio Iudici [1], Giulia Gusella [2], Jessica Neri [2], Matteo Mazzucato [2]

[1] Department of Philosophy, Education, Sociology and Applied Psychology of Padua (FISPPA), University of Padua, 35139 Padua, Italy

[2] Institute of Psychology and Psychotherapy, Scuola Interazionista, 35100 Padua, Italy

INTRODUCTION & AIM

In an increasingly globalised world, migration and cultural diversity have reshaped the landscape of healthcare systems, bringing to light significant disparities in access to mental health services. Groups socially identified as ethnic or cultural minorities face a unique constellation of challenges that go far beyond the simple availability of care facilities.

These communities often navigate a complex web of barriers — economic hardship, geographical distance, linguistic difficulties, cultural stigma, and structural inadequacies within healthcare systems — that collectively hinder their ability to seek and receive appropriate psychological support. Mental health, moreover, is not a culturally neutral concept: differing interpretations of psychological distress, rooted in spiritual, collective, or community-based frameworks, frequently clash with the predominantly individualistic and standardised models of Western mental healthcare.

Discrimination, precarious employment, and traumatic migration experiences further compound psychological vulnerability, creating a cycle in which those most in need of support are least likely to access it.

This study aims to systematically examine the organisational and structural barriers encountered by minority groups when accessing mental health services, with the goal of informing more inclusive, culturally sensitive, and equitable healthcare policies and practices — ultimately contributing to a fairer and more responsive mental health system for all.

METHOD

This study is grounded in the **interactionist theoretical framework**, drawing on symbolic interactionism as developed by Blumer and Mead. This perspective conceives social reality as a dynamic construct shaped by human interactions and shared meaning-making processes, making it particularly suited to exploring the subjective experiences of minority groups. Crucially, interactionism acknowledges the researcher as an active participant in constructing meaning alongside study participants, rather than a detached observer.

The **investigation method** adopted is a **systematic review**, selected for its capacity to map key concepts, evidence sources, and research gaps across heterogeneous study types — both qualitative and quantitative. Its iterative nature allows progressive refinement of research questions as new evidence emerges, which is especially valuable in an evolving field where conceptual boundaries remain blurred.

Data extraction followed a **standardised form** capturing study characteristics, participant demographics, service context, findings on perceived discrimination, and policy recommendations. Articles were organised in a structured spreadsheet, categorised by country, population, clinical setting, methodology, and outcomes. Methodological quality of included studies was also assessed to contextualise findings.

Given the heterogeneity of the literature, a **narrative synthesis** combined with **thematic analysis** was employed to identify and categorise recurring concepts, maintaining throughout a focus on the user perspective of ethnic minorities accessing mental health services.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The review identified two main macro-categories of barriers faced by ethnic and cultural minorities when accessing mental health services: **linguistic/communicative barriers** and **organisational/socio-economic barriers**.

Linguistic & Communicative Barriers

Language emerged as the most frequently cited obstacle. Minorities reported feeling unheard, misunderstood, and unable to adequately express their distress in a non-native language. This was compounded by limited availability of interpreters, culturally insensitive communication styles among healthcare staff, and the use of clinical terminology unfamiliar to minority users. Trust in the mental health system was frequently undermined by previous negative experiences, miscommunication between services, and lack of continuity in care. Cultural stigma surrounding mental illness — particularly within South Asian, Black African, and Black Caribbean communities — further discouraged help-seeking. Where culturally adapted interventions were implemented, such as patient-centred communication and collaborative psycho-educational approaches, outcomes improved significantly.

Organisational & Socio-Economic Barriers

Structural barriers proved equally significant. Many minority users were simply unaware that mental health services existed, describing this unawareness as the greatest obstacle to access. Long waiting times, overstretched staff, geographical distance from specialist services, and financial constraints further limited utilisation. Socio-economic disadvantage — including unemployment, precarious employment, and poverty — was strongly associated with lower treatment intensity and greater unmet need.

Discussion

These findings confirm that cultural beliefs, socioeconomic disadvantage, and systemic disorganisation are deeply intertwined in producing mental health disparities. Drawing on symbolic interactionism, the absence of shared language and meaning between users and providers critically undermines care quality. Culturally adapted, co-designed interventions and more inclusive organisational policies are urgently needed. Future research should explore mechanisms underlying ethnic differences in help-seeking across more heterogeneous and representative populations.

CONCLUSION

This review underscores the urgent need for systemic change in mental health service provision for ethnic and cultural minorities. High-quality, equitable care requires genuine community involvement, culturally adapted interventions, and policies informed by both individual experiences and broader community needs. Barriers to access — linguistic, organisational, and socio-economic — must be addressed through personalised, respectful approaches that affirm each person's cultural identity and values. Cost analyses and regular evaluation of service accessibility are essential tools for evidence-based decision-making. Ultimately, achieving inclusive mental health care demands a sustained, coordinated commitment from researchers, policymakers, and practitioners alike.

FUTURE WORK

Future research should focus on systematically evaluating the effectiveness of specific cultural adaptations — both individually and in combination — to identify which approaches most meaningfully improve outcomes for minority populations. The moderating role of acculturation warrants deeper exploration, as does the development of integrative service models that genuinely respond to felt needs. Studies should investigate which interventions work, for whom, and under what conditions, across more heterogeneous and representative samples. Greater attention to cost analyses, patient satisfaction, and long-term follow-up will be critical. Regular monitoring of migrants' access to and utilisation of health services should become standard practice across European healthcare systems.