



### 1 *Conference Proceedings Paper*

# A poly-omics machine learning method to predict metabolite production in CHO cells

## 4 Guido Zampieri<sup>1</sup>, Macauley Coggins<sup>2</sup>, Giorgio Valle<sup>1</sup> and Claudio Angione<sup>2,\*</sup>

CRIBI Biotechnology Centre, University of Padova, viale G. Colombo 3, 35131 Padova, Italy;
 guido.zampieri@phd.unipd.it

- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science and Information Systems, Teesside University, Borough road, TS1 3BA
   <sup>8</sup> Middlesbrough, UK; c.angione@tees.ac.uk
- 9 \* Correspondence: c.angione@tees.ac.uk; Tel.: +4401642342681
- 10 Academic Editor: name
- 11 Published: date

Abstract: The success of biopharmaceuticals as highly effective clinical drugs has 12 13 recently led industrial biotechnology towards their large-scale production. The ovary cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO cells) are one of the most common 14 15 production cell line. However, they are very inefficient in producing desired 16 compounds. This limitation can be tackled by culture bioengineering, but 17 identifying the optimal interventions is usually expensive and time-consuming. In 18 this study, we combined machine learning techniques with metabolic modelling 19 to estimate lactate production in CHO cell cultures. We trained our poly-omics 20 method using gene expression data from varying conditions and associated 21 reaction rates in metabolic pathways, reconstructed in silico. The poly-omics 22 reconstruction is performed by generating a set of condition-specific metabolic 23 models, specifically optimised for lactate export estimation. To validate our approach, we compared predicted lactate production with experimentally 24 25 measured yields in a cross-validation setting. Importantly, we observe that integration of metabolic predictions significantly improves the predictive ability 26 of our machine learning pipeline when compared to the same pipeline based on 27 gene expression alone. Our results suggest that, compared to transcriptomic-only 28 29 studies, combining metabolic modelling with data-driven methods vastly 30 improves the automatisation of cultures design, by accurately identifying optimal 31 growth conditions for producing target therapeutic compounds.

# 32 **Keywords:** CHO cell; Biopharmaceutical; Metabolic modelling; Machine learning;

- 33 Flux balance analysis.
- 34

## 35 **1. Introduction**

36 Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells are widely regarded as one of the most reliable

- 37 cell types for industrial-scale mammalian protein production. As compared to
- 38 bacterial cell lines such as those of Escherichia coli, CHO cultured cells are less

39 productive, much fragile and grow slowly. In turn, this means that the 40 manufacturing methods that facilitate protein production using CHO cell lines are 41 much more expensive and time-consuming. However, heavy interest is put in 42 optimising CHO cell lines as they are required to produce mammalian 43 recombinant proteins.

44 Recent advances in this context have focused on unraveling the complex biological machinery controlling desirable characteristics of protein synthesis and 45 secretion [1]. While gene expression profiling has proved helpful in past studies, 46 there have been recent efforts to combine genetic data with knowledge of 47 metabolic pathways through the reconstruction of genome-scale metabolic models 48 49 (GSMMs). GSMMs attempt to describe cellular metabolism in silico through gene 50 annotation and stoichiometry associated with reactions and metabolites, as well as 51 with constraints such as upper or lower bounding of metabolic flux rates. Flux 52 balance analysis (FBA) allows to predict the configuration of metabolic reaction 53 fluxes within GSMMs under general growth conditions [2]. Condition-specific 54 GSMMs can be built using a variety of methods and extended FBA pipelines. The 55 idea is to use omic-data available in each condition, and a set of rules to constrain the flux rates of the general-purpose GSMM [20,21]. 56

57 Metabolic models have recently been reconstructed for CHO-K1, CHO-S, and 58 CHO-DG44 cell lines, along with a general consensus model [3]. These models were useful in quantifying the protein synthesis capacity of these cell lines and 59 revealed that bioprocessing treatments such as histone deacetylase inhibitors' lead 60 to an inefficiency in increasing product yield. FBA can thus reveal the impact of 61 various media and culture conditions on growth and yield of cultured cells, aiding 62 63 CHO cells bioengineering [3-6]. Moreover, computational estimation of metabolic fluxes can be an asset when experimental data is not available [7]. 64

65 However, the precision of GSMMs strongly depends on available pathway and 66 biochemical knowledge. Especially when dealing with the complexity of 67 mammalian cells, more advanced computational techniques may be necessary for 68 an effective application to real problems within the bio-processing industry. In 69 particular, machine learning coupled with computational modelling of CHO cells 70 has the potential to effectively elucidate optimal bioengineering steps towards 71 improved production of therapeutic metabolites and proteins [8].

72 Here we present a new approach integrating machine learning and metabolic modelling for the computational prediction of protein production in CHO cells. We 73 propose to integrate experimental data on the gene level with data generated in 74 75 silico via a GSMM of CHO cells metabolism within an integrated data-driven 76 framework (Figure 1). We evaluated this approach by a computational validation, 77 estimating the average prediction error in general settings. Importantly, we observe that metabolic predictions coupled with gene expression data can 78 79 significantly improve estimations of lactate production based solely on gene 80 expression.

81 82





83

Figure 1. Workflow of the proposed approach for the prediction of metabolite and protein prediction in
 CHO cells. Steps (i)-(iv) are presented in the Methods section of this work. They serve the final goal of
 optimising culture bioengineering, depicted in step (v). Integrating transcriptomics data, machine learning
 methods and metabolic modelling improves the predictive ability of transcriptomic-only methods.

# 88 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 89 2.1 Publicly available gene expression data

As a first data source, a large-scale gene expression dataset from two different CHO cell lines was used [9]. This dataset contains 295 microarray profiles with expression values for 3592 genes from 121 CHO cell cultures of varying conditions in terms of including cell density, growth rate, viability, lactate and ammonium accumulation and cell productivity. We extracted the 127 profiles with available quantification of lactate accumulation.

96 2.2 Genome scale reconstruction of CHO metabolism

97 We used a recently developed GSMM of CHO cell metabolism, previously 98 used to accurately predict growth phenotypes [3]. This model is the largest 99 reconstruction of CHO metabolism to date, with 1766 genes and 6663 reactions, 100 aggregating community knowledge from various sources. Being a consensus 101 model, it provides general mechanistic relationships that can be refined depending 102 on the particular task or cell line of interest.

# 103 2.3 Building condition-specific poly-omics models of CHO cells

104 To create condition and cell line-specific poly-omics models the genome-scale 105 model of CHO cell metabolism was combined with the gene expression data from 106 CHO cell cultures in varying conditions. In this step, data accessible via the BIGG

- 107 repository was employed to match gene identifiers [10]. A model for each 108 condition was created by computing gene set effective expressions  $\Theta$  for each
- 109 reaction, following previous investigations [11,12]. The effective expression at
- 110 reaction level is thereby determined by gene expressions  $\theta(g)$  and by gene-protein-
- 111 reaction rules, properly converted to min/max rules depending on the type of gene
- 112 set. In particular, we define  $\Theta(g) = \theta(g)$  for single genes,  $\Theta(g_1 \land g_2) = \min\{\theta(g_1), \theta(g_2)\}$
- 113  $\theta(g_2)$  for enzymatic complexes and  $\Theta(g_1 \lor g_2) = \max\{\theta(g_1), \theta(g_2)\}$  for isozymes.
- 114 Lower bounds and upper bounds for each reaction were obtained by applying the
- 115 following multiplicative coefficient to its native bounds:

$$\phi(\Theta) = [1 + \gamma | \log(\Theta) |]^{\text{sgn}(\Theta-1)}, \tag{1}$$

116 where  $\gamma$  is a parameter controlling the impact of gene expression on reaction 117 bounds.

118 2.4 Extraction of metabolic features

After a model for each condition was created, flux distributions were computed using FBA by maximising the biomass for producing cell lines included in the CHO model [3]. To perform FBA we employed the COBRA toolbox and a multi-level linear program structure [13,24]. All simulations were carried out in Matlab R2014b with the Gurobi solver.

124 2.5 Feature processing and selection

Principle Component Analysis (PCA) is a very effective statistical tool that uses an orthogonal transformation to reduce a set of variables to a smaller set of linearly uncorrelated variables, known as the principle components [14]. Here PCA was used to process metabolic flux features in order to extract informative metabolic features.

130 Moreover, elastic net was applied to select relevant features, both at a gene 131 expression and metabolic level [15]. Given an  $\alpha$  in the interval ]0, 1] and a non-132 negative  $\lambda$ , elastic net solves the following optimisation problem:

$$min_{\beta_0,\beta}\left(\frac{1}{2N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(y_i-\beta_0-x_i^T\beta)^2+\lambda P_{\alpha}(\beta)\right).$$
(2)

133 In this formula, *x* represents the gene expression and metabolic flux rates variables,

134 *y* corresponds to measured metabolite yield and *N* is the total number of training 135 conditions.  $P_{\alpha}(\beta)$  is a regularisation term depending on a vector of linear 136 coefficients  $\beta$  and on parameter  $\alpha$ . Non-null entries of  $\beta$  resulting from this 137 minimisation correspond to relevant features selected by elastic net.

138 2.6 Training generalised linear models to predict metabolite/protein production

139 Generalised linear models (GLM) were trained to predict lactate yield starting 140 from poly-omics information [16]. A GLM gives an estimate of metabolite 141 production  $y_i^{pred}$  calculated as follows:

$$y_i^{pred} = \beta_0 + x_i^T \beta. \tag{3}$$

142 GLM accuracy was assessed by nested cross-validation, consisting of two 143 cross-validation loops which together evaluate a selected model based on training 144 data [17]. The nested loop selects the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  of elastic net on 5 training 145 and test folds. The outer loop is used for model evaluation and is ran over 10 folds. 146 GLM accuracy for each test fold was evaluated by computing the root-mean-147 square error (RMSE) defined by the following formula:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i^{pred} - y_i\right)^2}{n}},$$
(4)

148 where *n* is the number of test conditions in the fold.

#### 149 **3. Results**

150 3.1. Metabolic model optimisation

We validated our proposed approach on the prediction of lactate production, 151 152 resorting to experimental data from the study of Clarke et al. [9]. We selected the 153 conditions with both microarray and measured lactate production, obtaining 127 154 conditions. In order to optimise metabolic flux information, we performed a 155 sensitivity analysis on the gene expression mapping parameter  $\gamma$  in Equation (1). 156 Specifically, we studied the Pearson correlation r between measured lactate 157 accumulation in culture media and simulated lactate export rates for varying 158 values of  $\gamma$  across several orders of magnitude. The maximum correlation 159 coefficient obtained was r = 0.36 (p-value =  $2.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ ). The relationships between 160 these two quantities can be visualised in Figure 2a. We thus employed condition-161 specific models with the optimal  $\gamma$  to generate fluxes for the following analysis.

162



Figure 2. Validation results of the proposed approach on lactate production prediction: (a) comparison
 between simulated lactate export through condition-specific GSMMs and measured lactate production; this
 step enables GSMMs optimisation for the target metabolite in the following step; (b) RMSE distribution plots
 for lactate production predictions as a function of employed data sources. Two outliers for the green box lie

167 outside of the current scale.

#### 168 3.2 Predictions of lactate production

169 To accurately predict lactate production in CHO cells, we employed elastic net 170 and GLMs as described in the Methods section. We estimated the generalised 171 prediction error by means of a 10-fold cross-validation, repeatedly swapping conditions used in training and in tests [17]. We calculated the RMSE of predicted 172 lactate yield across the test conditions in each fold, which quantifies the average 173 174 difference between predicted and experimentally measured lactate yield. We 175 repeated this procedure under three data sources scenarios, where gene 176 expression, metabolic fluxes and their combination was evaluated separately. The 177 results are shown in Figure 2b and summarised in Table 1. Interestingly, although 178 flux rates alone lead to poor predictions, if combined with gene expression they 179 achieve the minor average and median RMSE across the 10 folds. In the latter case, 180 associated RMSE distribution is significantly different to that obtained from gene 181 expression alone on the basis of a one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test at a 5% 182 threshold (p-value = 0.027) [18].

183

	Gene expression	Flux rates	Gene expression and flux rates
Mean RMSE	0.19	1.08	0.14
Median RMSE	0.17	0.26	0.13
RMSE standard deviation	0.06	2.41	0.05

184

185 Table 1. Comparison of 10-fold cross-validation RMSE statistics for the prediction of lactate production from

186 different data sources. Combining gene expression and metabolic flux data leads to best values for all

187 statistical measures. These results correspond to those shown in Figure 2b.

188

#### 189 4. Discussion

190 The growing demand for natural products in global healthcare requires 191 advanced automation of CHO cell culture design for biotechnological industry to 192 reach commercial-scale production levels. Notably, recent advances in metabolic 193 modelling and in data-driven prediction algorithms have not been yet exploited in 194 combination for this purpose. In this study, we started to explore this research line: 195 the overall goal of the work was to develop a poly-omics approach capable of 196 predicting metabolite/protein production in CHO cells. The approach comprises a 197 GLM trained on gene expression data originating from cultures in varying 198 conditions and on metabolic flux rates obtained in silico from FBA on a GSMM of 199 CHO metabolism. The accuracy of our approach was evaluated in comparison to 200 GLMs employing only a single type of data. This allowed us to show that

201 combining gene expression and metabolic fluxes improves accuracy compared to 202 just using gene expression or metabolic fluxes separately.

203 Generation of condition-specific metabolic information can in principle be 204 achieved through various types of computational analysis. In this study, we used 205 FBA as this is the most widely used technique to capture flux configurations in a 206 growth steady state [2]. In principle, different techniques could potentially extract 207 even more useful information, further improving final data-driven predictions. For 208 instance, in a preliminary evaluation we tested also a modified version of 209 parsimonious enzyme usage FBA minimising the norm-2 of reaction fluxes [22,23]. 210 However, we observed that normal FBA achieved best results (data not shown).

211 The main limitation of this work is represented by a scarce availability of large-212 scale public data on CHO cells and by the prototypical state of present GSMMs. 213 Proposed strategies for model refining are expected to lead to further prediction 214 improvements [19]. With more comprehensive datasets, both in terms of number 215 of samples and in terms of metabolic gene coverage, we expect our pipeline to 216 vastly improve its predictive ability. Moreover, although our validation focussed 217 on lactate production, the proposed methodological framework can be 218 straightforwardly implemented around any target metabolite or protein.

Despite the above-mentioned limitations, our results show that metabolismbased machine learning methods can significantly improve the predictive power of common transcriptomic-only methods. This is due to the introduction of metabolic features coupled with transcriptomic features. The present study therefore represents a preliminary assessment that we plan to extend in future investigations.

225

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by funding from BBSRC/EPSRC BioProNET. We thank
 Jonathan Welsh from CPI-NBMC for helpful discussions about CHO cell products.

Author Contributions: C.A. and G.Z. conceived and designed the experiments; G.Z. and M.C. performed the
 experiments; G.Z. analysed the data; C.A. G.Z. and G.V. contributed analysis tools; G.Z., M.C. and C.A. wrote
 the paper. All authors read and approved the final version of the paper.

231 Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the 232 design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and 233 in the decision to publish the results.

#### 234 **References**

- 2351.Richelle A. and Lewis N.E. Improvements in protein production in mammalian cells from targeted236metabolic engineering. *Curr. Opin. Syst. Bio.* 2017, 6, 1-6, doi:10.1016/j.coisb.2017.05.019.
- 237
   2. Orth J.D., Thiele I. and Palsson B.O. What is flux balance analysis? *Nat. Biotech.* 2010, 28, 245-248, doi:10.1038/nbt.1614.
- Hefzi H., Ang K.S., Hanscho M., et al. A Consensus Genome-scale Reconstruction of Chinese
  Hamster Ovary Cell Metabolism. *Cell Syst.* 2016, 3(5), 434-443.e8, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2016.10.020.

- Martínez V.S., Dietmair S., Quek L.E., Hodson M.P., Gray P. and Nielsen L.K. Flux balance analysis
   of CHO cells before and after a metabolic switch from lactate production to consumption. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2013, 10(2), 660-6, doi:10.1002/bit.24728.
- 2445.Rejc Ž., Magdevska L., Tršelič T., Osolin T., Vodopivec R., Mraz J., Pavliha E., Zimic N., Cvitanović245T., Rozman D., Moškon M. and Mraz M. Computational modelling of genome-scale metabolic246networks and its application to CHO cell cultures. Comp. Biol. Med. 2017, 88, 150-160,247doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2017.07.005.
- Pan X., Dalm C., Wijffels R.H. and Martens D.E. Metabolic characterization of a CHO cell size
  increase phase in fed-batch cultures. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2017, 101(22), 8101-8113, doi:10.1007/s00253-017-8531-y.
- 251
  7. Sengupta N., Rose S.T. and Morgan J.A. Metabolic flux analysis of CHO cell metabolism in the late non-growth phase. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2011, 108(1), 82-92, doi:10.1002/bit.22890.
- Galleguillos S.N., Ruckerbauer D., Gerstl M.P., Borth N., Hanscho M. and Zanghellini J. What can mathematical modelling say about CHO metabolism and protein glycosylation? *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 2017, 15, 212-221, doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2017.01.005.
- 256
  9. Clarke C., Doolan P., Barron N., Meleady P., O'Sullivan F., Gammell P., Melville M., Leonard M. and
  257
  258
  258
  259
  259. Clarke C., Doolan P., Barron N., Meleady P., O'Sullivan F., Gammell P., Melville M., Leonard M. and
  259
  259. Clarke C., Doolan P., Barron N., Meleady P., O'Sullivan F., Gammell P., Melville M., Leonard M. and
  257
  258
  259
  259. Clarke C., Doolan P., Barron N., Meleady P., O'Sullivan F., Gammell P., Melville M., Leonard M. and
  259
  259
  259
  259
  259
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  250
  <
- 10. King Z.A., Lu J.S., Dräger A., Miller P.C., Federowicz S., Lerman J.A., Ebrahim A., Palsson B.O. and
  Lewis N.E. BiGG Models: A platform for integrating, standardizing, and sharing genome-scale
  models. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 2016, 44(D1), D515-D522, doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1049.
- Angione C. and Lió P. Predictive analytics of environmental adaptability in multi-omics network
   models. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, 5, 15147, doi:10.1038/srep15147.
- Angione C. Integrating splice-isoform expression into genome-scale models characterizes breast cancer metabolism. *Bioinformatics* 2017, btx562, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx562.
- Schellenberger J., Que R., Fleming R.M.T., Thiele I., Orth, J.D., Feist, A.M., Zielinski D.C., Bordbar,
  A., Lewis, N.E., Rahmanian S., Kang J., Hyduke D.R. and Palsson B.O. Quantitative prediction of
  cellular metabolism with constraint-based models: the COBRA Toolbox v2.0. *Nat. Prot.* 2011, 6(9),
  1290-1307, doi:10.1038/nprot.2011.308.
- Id. Joliffe I.T. *Principal component analysis*, Series: Springer Series in Statistics, 2nd ed.; Springer, New York, United states, 2002.
- 273 15. Zou H. and Hastie T. Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B
  274 (Stat. Methodol.) 2005, 67, 301-320, doi:10.1111/j.1467-9868.2005.00503.x.
- 275
  16. McCullagh P. and Nelder J.A. *Generalized linear models*, 2nd ed.; Chapman and Hall, London, United
  276
  Kingdom, 1989.
- 277 17. Devijver P.A. and Kittler J. *Pattern Recognition: A Statistical Approach;* Prentice-Hall, London, United
   278 Kingdom, 1982.
- 18. Hollander M. and Wolfe D.A. *Nonparametric Statistical Methods*; Hohn Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken,
  United States, 1999.

- 19. Chowdhury R., Chowdury A. and Maranas C.D. Using Gene Essentiality and Synthetic Lethality
  Information to Correct Yeast and CHO Cell Genome-Scale Models. *Metabolites* 2015, 29;5(4), 536-70,
  doi:10.3390/metabo5040536.
- 284
  20. Vijayakumar S., Conway M., Lió P. and Angione, C. Seeing the wood for the trees: a forest of methods for optimization and omic-network integration in metabolic modelling. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 2017, bbx053, doi: 10.1093/bib/bbx053
- 287 21. Opdam S., Richelle A., Kellman B., Li S., Zielinski D.C., Lewis N.E., A Systematic Evaluation of 288 Methods for Tailoring Genome-Scale Metabolic Models. *Cell Systems* 2017, 22;4(3), 318-29, doi: 289 10.1016/j.cels.2017.01.010
- 290 22. Lewis N.E., Hixson K.K., Conrad T.M., Lerman J.A., Charusanti P., Polpitiya A.D., Adkins J.N.,
  291 Schramm G., Purvine S.O., Lopez-Ferrer D., Weitz K.K., Omic data from evolved E. coli are
  292 consistent with computed optimal growth from genome-scale models. *Molecular systems biology* 2010,
  293 6(1):390, doi: 10.1038/msb.2010.47
- 294 23. Kim M.K., Lane A., Kelley J.J., Lun D.S. E-Flux2 and SPOT: validated methods for inferring intracellular metabolic flux distributions from transcriptomic data. *PloS one* 2016, 11(6):e0157101, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157101
- 297 24. Angione C., Conway M., Lió P. Multiplex methods provide effective integration of multi-omic data
  298 in genome-scale models. *BMC bioinformatics* 2016, 17(4):83, doi: 10.1186/s12859-016-0912-1



2017 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).