

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30



Article

Sustainable Practice and Behaviour Change in Healthcare Waste Management: A Review of the Literature

Andrew Nichols^{1,*}, Janet Richardson¹, Sabine Pahl¹, Rebecca Jenkin², Gary Wallace² and Maria Bennallick¹

¹ Faculty of Health, Education and Society, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth UK-PL4 8AA; E-Mails: janet.richardson@plymouth.ac.uk (J.R.); sabine.pahl@plymouth.ac.uk (S.P.); M.Bennallick@plymouth.ac.uk (M.B.)

² NHS Plymouth, Public Health Development Unit, The Public Dispensary, 18 Catherine Street, Plymouth, Devon PL1 2AD; E-Mail: Gary.Wallace@plymouth.nhs.uk (G.W.)

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail andrew.nichols@plymouth.ac.uk; Tel.: +1-752-586-529.

Received: / Accepted: / Published:

Abstract: The management of waste within the United Kingdom National Health Service (NHS) has considerable real and potential costs in both financial and environmental terms. Despite discussion within the literature and the publication of strategies to address these costs little evidence is found of attempts to implement a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy in NHS waste management. This paper will discuss the findings of a literature review on waste management and behavioural change approaches which might aid the implementation of a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy in waste management within healthcare settings.

Keywords: waste management; behaviour change; sustainability; healthcare.

31 1. Introduction

32 The disposal of clinical waste is costly to the National Health Service (NHS), with Hutchins and
33 White [1], finding that in 2005 its disposal cost £73m. Therefore during times of increasingly restricted
34 healthcare budgets, it is of growing concern that much of the clinical waste generated in the NHS is
35 potentially recyclable and could thus contribute to cost savings, but in reality little evidence of
36 effective recycling is found [1]. Plans and strategies have been proposed, such as Waste Not, Want Not
37 – A Strategy for Tackling Waste Production in England (2002) [2] aiming to reduce dependence on
38 costly forms of waste disposal such as landfill or incineration, and to enhance sustainability whilst
39 reducing the environmental impact of the NHS, but arguably these plans and strategies have as yet
40 failed to embrace or implement a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy in NHS waste management.

41 The NHS produces large volumes of waste, Scotland alone generates over 45,000 tons of waste
42 annually, of this 15,000 tons consists of clinical waste requiring expensive disposal methods [2, 3, and
43 4]. Not only is this costly to dispose of but it is also damaging to the environment. Climate change is a
44 “new front for health” [5 p205] and strategies are needed to address the consequences of unsustainable
45 consumption and climate change [6, 7, 8 and 9]. Attempts to reduce waste generation in the NHS and
46 its disposal to landfill are likely to be welcome due to the lack of potential landfill sites and their
47 impact on human health and climate change, for example through methane emissions [2]. Examples of
48 good practice in addressing sustainability and climate change are found within the NHS. For example,
49 Cornwall Primary Care Trust has taken some action in addressing sustainable waste management [10].
50 However, such instances remain comparatively rare and it has been argued that organisations currently
51 responsible for commissioning and providing healthcare services in the United Kingdom (UK) such as
52 Strategic Health Authorities and Primary Care Trusts have not fully considered the impacts of climate
53 change or developed strategies aimed at promoting resilient and sustainable communities [11, 12]. It is
54 also arguably unclear how recent changes in UK health policy may impact upon this situation in the
55 future, but it could be suggested that recent changes in UK health policy may offer an opportunity to
56 integrate waste and recycling into a broader sustainability strategy.

57 It could also be suggested that the strict control and policing of waste disposal in the UK might
58 dampen any enthusiasm to implement a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy in NHS waste management.
59 The management, storage, carriage, treatment and disposal of healthcare waste in the UK is well
60 controlled and falls within a range of European Union (EU) and UK legislation, regulation and
61 guidance [13]. Healthcare organisations that might be tempted to make changes in their waste
62 management services and strategies will be mindful of the risk of litigation if they fail to comply with
63 relevant legislation and regulation.

64 In addition to legal considerations, ethical issues associated with the management of clinical waste
65 have also been discussed. Moszczynski [14] argues that three discrete topics require exploration – that
66 of patient consent to the use or reuse of recycled or reprocessed items in their care; financial
67 responsibility in regard to healthcare organisations demonstrating their sound use of resources; and
68 environmental governance in regard to the reduction of waste management practices that may be
69 ecologically damaging, such as incineration or disposal to landfill sites.

70 The literature indicates that more could be done in practice to reduce the volumes of waste
71 generated in the NHS, and that the safe reduction in volume, reuse and recycling of waste would

72 contribute to achieving this aim [1, 15]. By safely, lawfully and ethically reducing volumes of waste,
 73 the costs associated with its disposal could be significantly reduced, thus releasing funds that could be
 74 spent on direct patient care.

75 **2. Methods**

76 This paper will discuss the findings of a literature review which focused on waste management and
 77 behavioural approaches which might aid the implementation of a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy in
 78 waste management within healthcare settings. Searches were conducted via a range of healthcare and
 79 psychology related databases. During July and August 2010 the following databases were searched:
 80 Pubmed, Medline, CINAHL, AMED, ASSIA, IBSS and EBSCO, ISI Web of Knowledge, PsycINFO,
 81 SwetsWise, PsyARTICLES and ScienceDirect. These searches focused upon papers published
 82 between 2000 and 2010.

83 Search terms used included - Clinical Waste, Health and Healthcare Waste, Hazardous Waste,
 84 Sharps Waste, Waste Disposal, Waste Management, Recycle, Re-use, Reduce, Sustainability,
 85 Behaviour, Behaviour Change, Health, Healthcare, Health Care.

86 Criteria for papers to be included in the literature review were -

87 Papers published since 2000, UK legislation, policies and guidance on clinical waste management.

88 Papers published in English.

89 Papers reporting process and costs of healthcare/clinical waste management in UK.

90 Papers reporting waste management and recycling behaviour in clinical healthcare settings in the
 91 UK and other countries.

92 Papers focusing on methods of changing behaviour in waste management / recycling in clinical
 93 healthcare settings in the UK and other countries.

94 Papers were excluded from the literature review if they were -

95 Editorials, letters and conference abstracts, news articles, non-English language papers or papers
 96 published before 2000.

97 **3. Results and Discussion**

98 Gaiser et al [16] found that cost savings could potentially be made through changing the waste
 99 disposal practices within a hospital anaesthesia department. In their 6 month study, Gaiser et al [16]
 100 redirected glass waste generated by the anaesthesia department away from the disposal routes
 101 previously used and instead collected and weighed the glass waste indicating that savings could be
 102 made by the safe collection, segregation and recycling of glass waste. These findings appear to support
 103 those of Hutchins & White [1], whose audit of waste produced by 6 hospital operating theatres
 104 estimated that recycling of anaesthetic waste within the hospital could save approximately £21,000
 105 annually. Hutchins and White's [1] data also suggest that clinical anaesthesia accounts for 10000 -
 106 20000 tonnes of NHS solid waste annually, and go on to argue that ways to reduce, reuse and recycle
 107 waste may be hindered by social, institutional and legal barriers to changing waste management
 108 behaviour.

109 Other studies have also shown potential for increased recycling of plastic waste within healthcare
 110 settings. Lee et al [17] investigated five American hospitals and found that areas such as hospital

111 cafeterias produce considerable volumes of plastic waste that may be suitable for recycling. The
112 findings of Lee et al [17] highlight that different policies may be needed in different areas. Clearly, in
113 clinical areas caution should be taken when considering the recycling of any materials that may be
114 contaminated, potentially infectious or hazardous to health and action taken to ensure that waste is
115 segregated and managed lawfully and safely [17].

116 Studies have also discussed the use of interventions intended to reduce volumes of waste generated.
117 Interventions used include compulsory staff training, colour coding of waste bins, reducing the size of
118 clinical waste bins and providing extra bins dedicated to the recycling of plastic, cardboard and paper
119 [18, 19]. These interventions intended to facilitate waste reduction by changing staff attitudes and
120 behaviour related to waste. It is suggested that clear systems of labeling, categorisation and segregation
121 of waste will enable increased recycling [17].

122 In addition to the use of compulsory staff training, other studies have drawn upon the use of active
123 staff involvement, motivated and committed individuals or groups, and the support of senior managers
124 in achieving changes in the way that waste is managed [17, 19]. The literature also identified gaps
125 between the intended and actual behaviour of healthcare employees towards the environment in
126 general and recycling in particular [10, 19, 20, and 21]. Barriers and enablers to recycling behaviour
127 were found to be both institutional (the importance given to recycling behaviour at an 'organisational'
128 level) and individual (attitudes, beliefs and motivation). Similar organisational barriers were also
129 identified in a study of waste management within 5 European hospitals [23]. This study revealed
130 problems within the hospitals relating to their definition and segregation of waste and advocated
131 regular review of the hospitals waste management systems [23].

132 Audit has also been used as a means to investigate waste management in healthcare. Dettenkofer et
133 al [24] carried out an audit using a checklist based on the European Eco-Management and Audit
134 Scheme. This audit highlighted the economic benefits of environmental management and provided a
135 checklist for environmental behaviours within hospitals. However Dettenkofer et al [24] also point to
136 the limitations of hospital environmental audit tools, for example their cost implications in data
137 collection, and the problem of sustaining a corporate 'ecology identity' which enables changes in
138 behaviour at both organisational and individual levels when staff turnover may be high.

139 Systems approaches such as Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) have been used to
140 highlight where changes in waste management could be made e.g. within endoscopy units [25]. Other
141 approaches include that of Karlsson & Ohman [26] who measured the carbon footprints of products
142 used in healthcare, highlighting those products with the greatest carbon footprint produced during their
143 lifetime, including the products manufacture, use and final disposal as waste. Similar approaches such
144 as life-cycle thinking [27, 28, and 29] have been proposed. Life-cycle thinking assesses a product's
145 overall impact on the environment throughout its production and existence, not just the impact of its
146 disposal following use [27]. Kaiser et al [27] argue that life-cycle thinking may be effectively
147 implemented through environmental education of employees in combination with specialist
148 environmental staff employed to manage the waste system. Holistic approaches such as life-cycle
149 thinking could arguably enable desirable changes in waste management within healthcare [29].

150 Environmental purchasing is another method of using a life-cycle approach to assess the
151 environmental impact of healthcare products and services [27]. Such an approach could enable
152 negotiation with product suppliers leading to the purchase of environmentally friendly products.

153 Through shrewd, well informed purchasing, healthcare products that potentially contribute to a greater
154 carbon footprint could be replaced by lower emitting products. All of these approaches suggest that
155 some form of behaviour change at an organisational level in regard to waste strategies - including the
156 decisions and choices made regarding initial purchasing of products, - may have a positive impact in
157 implementing a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy within healthcare settings.

158 As discussed by Lee et al [17], Gaiser et al [16] and Hutchins & White [1], the literature highlights
159 a need to identify opportunities for greater local recycling within hospitals to reduce volumes of waste
160 requiring expensive and potentially ecologically damaging disposal [30, 31 and 32]. Examples of
161 recyclable products are provided, such as blue sterile wrapping [33] and building materials that may be
162 reused when hospitals are demolished [34]. Again it can be argued that the success of actions such as
163 this will require individual and organisational behaviour change. Moore [31] argues that hospitals have
164 a responsibility to put procedures in place that will enable employees' behaviour to become pro-
165 environmental. For example more efficient segregation of waste can only be achieved if employees
166 have appropriate methods put in place to enable them to do this.

167 The engagement of all healthcare workers is essential in efforts to reduce the effects of healthcare
168 on climate change. However, some argue that nurses in particular should be at the forefront of these
169 efforts [31, 35].

170 Harris et al [35] argue that nurses are essential for the successful implementation of waste
171 management measures, and provide examples of ways in which nurses have contributed to aspects of
172 water conservation and the use of mercury free products. However, it could be contended that all
173 healthcare employees need procedures to be established by their employing organisation that allow
174 them to make a contribution, for example through explicit targets for waste segregation, reduction and
175 recycling [31]. Coller & Grunseth [32] provide an example of a successful group of employees
176 dedicated to making changes to their hospital; this team effectively dealt with issues such as recycling
177 and reducing waste. Examples such as these demonstrate how champions and early adopters supported
178 by strong leadership could take forward initiatives and encourage others to change behaviour [34, 36].

179 The argument in favour of increasing the contribution of healthcare workers in sustainable practice
180 is supported by the findings of Melamed & Jackson [37] and Mohan et al [38] who suggest that an
181 effective strategy for promoting greener hospitals is to give nurses and doctors a more active role.
182 Actions that might contribute to this include recycling while at work, developing plans to reduce, reuse
183 and recycle waste and the procurement of environmentally friendly products.

184 Of course not all staff may want to adopt the kind of active roles described by Melamed & Jackson
185 [37] and Mohan et al [38]. Topf [39] examined the psychological motivators underpinning hospital
186 employees' unwillingness to become pro-environmental and found factors such as individual denial
187 and groupthink lead to employees' indifference to making hospitals 'greener'. Topf [39] provides a
188 conceptual model to change behaviour and promote pro-environmental behaviour that could be
189 implemented and evaluated. Essentially, Topf [39] discusses readiness to change and proposes forced
190 compliance through institutional or Governmental policies to be accompanied by the removal of
191 situational barriers to environmental practices in order to achieve success. A factor in Topf's [39]
192 model - 'Instruction in Green Practices' enhances environmental behaviour, and provides staff with
193 greater control over their environment, thus promoting person-environment congruence.

194 From a control of infection point of view it might be argued that any pro environmental behaviour,
195 particularly in regard to healthcare waste, would have to be carried out within the requirements of the
196 law, the prevention of infection and the maintenance of safety. These concerns may be particularly
197 significant when considering the reprocessing and reuse of medical devices. However, initiatives
198 involving reprocessing, where a used, reusable or single use device goes through a process to become
199 ready again for patient use have been carried out, thus reducing volumes of waste generated and saving
200 money. Although there have been concerns about contamination occurring, Kwakye et al. [40] show
201 that this procedure has a reliable safety record. However Kwakye et al. [40] fail to suggest ways to
202 make this more acceptable to and increase its use amongst healthcare professionals, or to address the
203 potential legal problems associated with the reuse of medical devices.

204 Climate change, dwindling resources and waste are a challenge for individuals and organisations
205 alike. This paper set out to review the literature discussing behaviour change approaches to waste
206 management within healthcare organisations; differing approaches to the implementation of behaviour
207 change were seen. These ranged from changes to healthcare environments, procurement and
208 purchasing behaviour to education and awareness raising. These approaches demonstrate the need for
209 top-down approaches and behaviour change in implementing pro – environmental, sustainable waste
210 management. These need to be complemented by bottom-up approaches that consult the expertise of
211 staff on the ground and take their working practices and pressures into account. The literature
212 emphasised the importance of making sustainability information salient and accessible in order to
213 change behaviour. Behaviour change needs to be supported at all levels, from senior managers to
214 clinical personnel and support staff. Clearly, more research is needed to assess the actions some
215 healthcare organisations are taking and to test new strategies. Future research should learn from
216 previous empirical work and use process and effect evaluations including objective outcome measures
217 that circumvent issues of social desirability and experimenter bias. Including behaviour change
218 explicitly in holistic waste management strategies could have large benefits for the environment, and
219 reduce healthcare costs considerably. Moreover, behaviour change has the potential to be generalised
220 beyond the targeted issue and spill over into other domains of life.

221 **4. Conclusions**

222 The literature indicates the need for an understanding of the mindset of individuals, including
223 knowledge of their values, attitudes, norms and behaviours in order to enable a positive response to
224 change [41]. Healthcare workers that reported recycling behaviour at home were also more likely to
225 report recycling at work. Such reports were influenced by underlying attitudes and beliefs about the
226 environment. However, these self-reports of behaviour did not necessarily translate into actual
227 behaviour when measured through observation and closer analysis. Suggestions for means of
228 implementing behaviour change at an organisational level include management training and staff
229 development, with an emphasis on cost-savings, increased communication about recycling and
230 environmental issues. Behaviour change theories have great potential to provide a framework of
231 achieving constructive changes in waste management. Further research is needed, specifically on the
232 views of stakeholders responsible for the safe management of waste. It is imperative that an
233 understanding is gained of their attitudes, behaviour, knowledge and practice regarding waste and the

234 possibility of applying a reduce, reuse, recycle philosophy in its management, underpinned by
 235 behaviour change theories.

236 **Conflict of Interest**

237 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

238 **References**

- 239 1. Hutchins, D.; White, S. Coming round to recycling. *British Medical Journal* **2009**, 338: 746-748.
- 240 2. Strategy Unit. *Waste not, want not: a strategy for tackling the waste problem in England*. **2002**,
 241 Strategy Unit Cabinet Office. London. United Kingdom.
- 242 3. NHS Scotland Property and Environment Forum. *Waste Minimisation in the NHS*. **2004**, Forward
 243 Scotland. Glasgow. United Kingdom.
- 244 4. Pencheon, D.; Roschnik, S. Saving carbon, improving health. Sustainable Development Unit.
 245 **2010**, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- 246 5. King, D. Climate change challenge laid before public health workforce. *Journal of the Royal*
 247 *Society for the Promotion of Health* **2007**, 127(5): 195-195.
- 248 6. Harrison, D. Peak oil, climate change, public health and well-being. *Journal of The Royal Society*
 249 *Of Health* **2006**, 126, 2, 62-63.
- 250 7. Lambert, J. Social care must prepare for the effects of climate change. *Community Care* **2008**,
 251 (1704): 29-29.
- 252 8. Nichols, A.; Maynard, V.; Goodman, B.; Richardson, J. Health, Climate Change and
 253 Sustainability: A Systematic Review and Thematic Analysis of the Literature. *Environmental*
 254 *Health Insights* **2009**, 3, 63-88.
- 255 9. Nichols, A.; Richardson, J.; Maynard, V. Climate change and communicable disease: What are
 256 the risks? *Journal of Infection Prevention* **2010**, 11, 5, 146 – 148.
- 257 10. Tudor, T.; Barr, S.; Gilg, A. A novel conceptual framework for examining environmental
 258 behaviour in large organisations: a case study of the Cornwall National Health Service (NHS) in
 259 the United Kingdom. *Environment and Behaviour* **2008**, 40, 3, 426-450.
- 260 11. Richardson, J.; Kagawa, F.; Nichols, A. Health, Climate Change and Energy Vulnerability: A
 261 Retrospective Assessment of Strategic Health Authority Policy and Practice in England.
 262 *Environmental Health Insights* **2008**, 2, 97 - 103.
- 263 12. Richardson, J.; Kagawa, F.; Nichols, A. Health, energy vulnerability and climate change: A
 264 retrospective thematic analysis of primary care trust policies and practices. *Public Health* **2009**,
 265 123, 12, 765-770.
- 266 13. Department of Health. *Health Technical Memorandum 07-01: Safe Management of Healthcare*
 267 *Waste*. **2006**, London. United Kingdom.
- 268 14. Moszczynski, A. Is once always enough? Revisiting the single use item. *Journal of Medical*
 269 *Ethics* **2009**, 35, 2, 87-90.
- 270 15. Scally, G. Environmental waste in health care. *British Medical Journal* **2009**, 338, 1129.
- 271 16. Gaiser, R.; Cheek, T.; Gutsche, B. Glass recycling in the labour suite is environmentally sound
 272 and economical. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* **2004**, 92, 4, 584-586.

- 273 17. Lee, B.; Ellenbecker, M.; Moure-Eraso, R. Analyses of the recycling potential of medical plastic
274 wastes. *Waste Management* **2002**, 22, 5, 461-470.
- 275 18. Almuneef, M.; Memish, Z. Effective medical waste management: it can be done. *American*
276 *Journal of Infection Control* **2003**, 31,3,188-192.
- 277 19. Tudor, T.; Marsh, C.; Butler, S.; Van Horn, J.; Jenkin, L. Realising resource efficiency in the
278 management of healthcare waste from the Cornwall National Health Service (NHS) in the UK.
279 *Waste Management* **2008**, 28, 7, 1209-1218.
- 280 20. Tudor, T. Towards the development of a standardised measurement unit for the healthcare waste
281 generation. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **2007**, 50, 3, 319-333.
- 282 21. Tudor, T.; Bannister, S.; Butler, S.; White, P.; Jones, K.; Woolridge, A.; Bates, M.; Phillips, P.
283 Can corporate social responsibility and environmental citizenship be employed in the effective
284 management of waste? Case studies from the National Health Service (NHS) in England and
285 Wales. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling* **2008**, 52, 5, 764-774.
- 286 22. Tudor, T.; Barr, S.; Gilg, A. Linking intended behaviour and actions: a case study of healthcare
287 waste management in the Cornwall NHS. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **2007**, 51, 1, 1-
288 23.
- 289 23. Muhlich, M.; Scherrer, M.; Daschner, F. Comparison of infectious waste management in
290 European hospitals. *Journal of Hospital Infection* **2003**, 55, 4, 260-268.
- 291 24. Dettenkofer, M.; Kuemmerer, K.; Schuster, A.; Mueller, W.; Muehlich, M.; Scherrer, M.;
292 Daschner, F. Environmental auditing in hospitals: first results in a university hospital.
293 *Environmental Management* **2000**, 25, 1, 105-113.
- 294 25. Kojima, S.; Kato, M.; Wang, D.; Sakano, N.; Fujii, M.; Ogino, K. Implementation of HACCP in
295 the risk management of medical waste generated from endoscopy. *Journal of Risk Research* **2008**,
296 11, 7, 925-936.
- 297 26. Karlsson, M.; Ohman, D. Material consumption in the healthcare sector: strategies to reduce its
298 impact on climate change – the case of Region Scania in South Sweden. *Journal of Cleaner*
299 *Production* **2005**, 13, 10-11, 1071-1081.
- 300 27. Kaiser, B.; Eagan, P.; Shaner, H. Solutions to health care waste: life-cycle thinking and ‘green’
301 purchasing. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **2001**, 109, 3, 205-207.
- 302 28. Tudor, T.; Noonan, C.; Jenkin, L. Healthcare waste management: a case study from the National
303 Health Service in Cornwall, United Kingdom. *Waste Management* **2005**, 25, 6, 606-615.
- 304 29. Yang, C.; Peijun, L.; Lupi, C.; Yangzhao, S.; Diandou, X.; Qian, F.; Shasha, F. Sustainable
305 management measures for healthcare waste in China. *Waste Management* **2009**, 29, 6, 1996-2004.
- 306 30. McKinley, D.; Zimmer, C. Clean care. Improving the environment of care with ‘green’ initiatives.
307 *Health Facilities Management* **2004**, 17, 3, 40-44.
- 308 31. Moore, A. Going green. *Nursing Standard* **2005**, 19, 31, 24-26.
- 309 32. Coller, J.; Grunseth, A. Demonstrating reverence for the earth. *Frontiers of Health Service*
310 *Management* **2008**, 25, 1, 11-23.
- 311 33. Becze, E. Health care and the environment: hospitals take steps to reduce waste. *ONS Connect*
312 **2009**, 24, 6, 18.
- 313 34. Serb, C. Think green. *Hospital Health Network* **2008**, 82, 8, 22-26.

- 314 35. Harris, N.; Pisa, L.; Talioaga, S.; Vezeau, T. Hospitals going green: a holistic view of the issue
315 and the critical role of the nurse leader. *Holistic Nursing Practise* **2009**, *23*, 2, 101-111.
- 316 36. Cosford, P. Partners in climate: Sustainable development and climate change – what can the
317 National Health Service do? *Public Health* **2009**, *123*, 1, e1-e5.
- 318 37. Melamed, A.; Jackson, M. Nursing and environmental health: nurses can be instrumental in
319 helping hospitals reduce their negative impact on the environment. *Health Progress* **2003**, *84*, 6,
320 29-32.
- 321 38. Mohan, R.; Spiby, J.; Leonardi, G.; Robins, A.; Jefferis, S. Sustainable waste management in the
322 UK: the public health role. *Journal of the Royal Institute of Public Health* **2006**, *120*, 10, 908-914.
- 323 39. Topf, M. Psychological explanations and interventions for indifference to greening hospitals.
324 *Healthcare management review* **2005**, *30*, 1, 2-8.
- 325 40. Kwakye, G.; Pronovost, P.; Makary, M. A call to go green in healthcare by reprocessing medical
326 equipment. *Academic Medicine* **2010**, *85*, 3, 398-400.
- 327 41. McCartney, G.; Hanlon, P.; Romanes, F. Climate change and rising energy costs will change
328 everything: A new mindset and action plan for 21st Century public health. *Public Health (Nature)*
329 **2008**, *122*, 7, 658-663.

330 © 2011 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article
331 distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license
332 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).