



Article

Can Better Governance Alone Solve Water Management Related Problems?

Iskandar Abdullaev

Regional Advisor, Transboundary Water Management in Central Asia (Programme), Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, Abdullaev Str. 2 A, 100100 Tashkent, Uzbekistan; E-Mail: iskandar.abdullaev@giz.de; Tel.: +998-93-550-05-41; Fax: +998-71-140-04-45

Received: / Accepted: / Published:

Abstract: Since early 1990's the water management problems has been identified as outcome of the inappropriate governance rather than lack of the technological or technical solutions. Therefore, solutions has been shaped by this believe and concept. IWRM have emerged as a mainstream concept to solve the water management problems of the planet earth. Although, supported by many international organizations specially crafted to support the IWRM its implementation and results has been hesitantly limited. Both, at national and local levels of the water resources management dissemination of the new concept brought acceptance of the terms such as stakeholder participation, public role, transparency of decision making, etc. The water user's participation concepts in the water sector have been a cornerstone of the IWRM implementation in most of the countries around the world. Genuine efforts of the national water agencies, strongly supported by international agencies have been helpless in many cases to address simple needs of the population- an equal access to the acceptable quality water resources. Why so? There are quite few reasons of the limited performance of the governance reforms in water sector: (i) governance reforms alone cannot solve water management problems, (ii) governance forms are different in different socio-political contexts of the different countries, ignorance of these differences has been one central reason of low performance, (iii) governance could become important aspect only if awareness is built among both water managers and water users, (iv) governance cannot be imported or "blue print" approach is not successful. The critical assessment of the IWRM implementation in different countries has been quite a comprehensive and varies on their findings on reasons of the failures. However, mostly

36 underlining reasons has been identified as lack of ownership, participation, supportive
37 environment, etc. However, without technological solutions and technical infrastructure,
38 tools and equipment have also an important role on how IWRM will be implemented.
39 Implementation of the good governance, water user's participation and better decision
40 making are merely possible in the poor, inadequate infrastructure with outdated water
41 distribution systems. Therefore, one cannot ignore the role of the techno-technical situation
42 in the water resources management and these indicators will shape state of the water
43 governance in the water management. Different players (water managers, water users, state
44 organizations, private business, etc..) will apply different 'water control' mechanisms under
45 different techno-technological situation. In this paper authors will try to present other
46 important reason for the failure of the IWRM implementation in developing countries-
47 technical and technological state of the water infrastructure.

48 **Keywords:** governance; IWRM; water management; technical-technological solutions
49

50 1. Introduction

51 Importance of the governance in water resources management became worldwide recognized issue
52 since early 1990's. Kaufman *et al* (2000) present the *governance* as rules, institutions and related legal
53 system which determines how societies or countries are ruled [1]. *Good governance* refers effective
54 and just state which is elected and accountable to the citizens. Good governance is responsive,
55 participatory, transparent, equitable, accountable, consensus oriented, effective and efficient and
56 directed toward strategic vision. The good governance is synonymous of the democracy and rule of the
57 law. The water governance is most promoted concept on water resources management, Rogers and
58 Hall (2003) describes water governance as 'the range of political, social, economic and administrative
59 systems that are in place to develop and manage water resources, and the delivery of water services, at
60 different levels of society' [2]. Other description of the water governance by DFID (2007) is water
61 governance 'encompassing all the mechanisms, processes, relationships and institutions through which
62 citizens and groups articulate their interests and exercise their rights and obligations' [3]. The water
63 governance is a democratic way of water resources management and therefore it is representation of
64 various interests and the role of politics are important components in governance dynamics [3]. The
65 main principles of "good water governance is participation, transparency and accountability which
66 have to ensure that policies and decisions on water are responsive to citizens [4].

67 The water governance has become a centerpiece of high level political agenda of the last decade,
68 e.g., in year 2000 Hague Ministerial Declaration called for governing water wisely through good
69 governance which means involvement of the public and the stakeholders in the management of water
70 resources. In 2001 Bonn Freshwater Conference, ministries have proposed that each country should
71 take appropriate measures for ensuring good governance of water. United Nations Millennium
72 Assembly in 2000 urged to stop unsustainable exploitation of water resources and to develop water
73 management strategies for the regional, national and local levels on improving water governance [5].
74 The centerpiece of IWRM concept is also good governance [6]. Since Dublin Conference, principles of

75 the IWRM have been implemented world- wide by support of different international organizations and
 76 funding agencies. All of the projects and initiatives have had a centerpiece agenda- building better
 77 water governance in target area (country, basin, water system, watershed area, etc.). Despite critical
 78 review of the IWRM implementation [7,8,9] and water governance as whole there is still strong current
 79 of support within international development agencies and financial structures. UNDP's 2006 Human
 80 Development Report [10] describes water management problems as "The scarcity at the heart of the
 81 global water crisis is rooted in power, poverty and equality, not in physical availability". It is again
 82 about the water governance problem not a technical or technological problem. Recent meeting of
 83 world's leading institution on water management again stressed that "... the problem overall is a
 84 failure to make efficient and fair use of the water available in these river basins. This is ultimately a
 85 political challenge, not a resource concern"[11]. All in all, core of the water management problems lies
 86 with "bad governance" which is if improved could bring a better, just and equitable water
 87 management. However, quick look into the previous experience of the water governance reforms in
 88 many parts of the world brought at least mixed but mostly unsatisfactory outcomes. Author will not
 89 present a deep analysis of water governance reform analysis of the past. This paper will concentrate on
 90 three important questions that may partly describe unsatisfactory performance of the water governance
 91 reforms: (i) how water governance can succeed in non-democratic regimes, (ii) can citizens pay for the
 92 better water governance in poor economies and (iii) would/can only water governance improvements
 93 handle water problems. Main aim of the paper to shed a light on the problems related to the water
 94 governance concept and its implementation in developed and transition economies.

95 2. Materials and Methods

96 Main concepts behind this paper are transdisciplinarity and complex nature of social processes. The
 97 border concepts such as water control [12, 13] are the core of the conceptual framework. According to
 98 the water control concept, different players in domain of interactions apply different water control
 99 strategies (Figure 1).

100 **Figure 1.** Water control dimensions and means [13].

	<i>Dimension</i>	<i>Means</i>	<i>Research object</i>	<i>Research techniques</i>
WATER CONTROL	Physical control (technical)	By means of physical infrastructure or technology	Physical shape, type and state of irrigation and drainage system and technologies	Walk-through surveys Direct measurements surveys Expert interviews
	Organizational control (managerial)	By means of skill, authority, command or domination	Institutions, organizations, management	Institutional mapping and analysis surveys Participatory observation
	Socio-economic and political control	By means of law, policy, regulations, incentives, or force	Social and governance structure (local and higher scale levels)	Surveys Stakeholder workshops FTI activities

101 The "water control" concept describes interaction of the different "players" in water management
 102 although has strong governance aspects (rule of the engagement, institutions, power, etc.,) other

103 aspects of water management such as technical state of the infrastructure, technological tools,
 104 organizational skills does play an important role in shaping of water management decisions.

105 Author uses his 15 years experience on water management in Central Asia and Afghanistan as an
 106 asset to analyze the decision making structures on water management at the day to day basis. This
 107 helps to understand how water governance theories works in real context, how and why water reforms
 108 does not reach expected results in these conditions. Body of internationally referred publications has
 109 been useful source for the analysis and illustration of the arguments of the authors.

110 **3. Results and Discussion**

111 Water crisis is not any more distant future or issue of next decade. Fighting for water every day is
 112 actual part of the lives of the billions of people around the globe [14]. Almost 50% of the world's
 113 population has to fight with lack of water or floods every day. These are the only few water related
 114 problems world is facing, predictions of next few years or decades also not optimistic. More water
 115 scarcity or water related disasters have to come to make situation even worse [15]. What should be
 116 done and how humankind can overcome water management problems around the globe? In human
 117 history this question has been asked constantly by politicians, researchers and practitioners and
 118 community activists constantly. Most recently end of 20th century, concept of water governance,
 119 IWRM concepts have emerged as a response to the water problems. These concepts have been seen as
 120 panacea or "nirvana" concepts [16] to address all problems related to the water management. IWRM,
 121 water governance and other relevant concepts did very well describe deficiencies and formulated
 122 straightforward vision on improving of the water management. The international organizations have
 123 been established to promote, spread and support these concepts. At outset of each international
 124 conference or event special sessions has been devoted to discuss and support developments on water
 125 governance reforms around the globe. None of international funding agencies have accepted any
 126 proposal for funding if there was no mention of water governance. However, implementation of these
 127 concepts have yielded very different results, in most of the cases, in developing countries it has failed
 128 to address very important aspects of the water management: access to the water for most deprived and
 129 poor [17, 18, 19]. Only handful of countries in Asia-Pacific region, world's most populated region only
 130 handful countries have adopted policies towards improved water governance [20]. Why this happened
 131 and what are the main principle causes of failure? This is an important question when soon world's
 132 political leaders will get together review once more internationally agreed goals on Millennium
 133 Development, sustainable development and other environmental and development agenda. In the next
 134 sections three interlinked causes could be considered seriously based on the analysis of both empirical
 135 character and scholar studies are presented.

136 *3.1. Can Good Governance Take Place in non Democratic Societies?*

137 Core ideas of water governance and IWRM build upon on democratic nature of the societies:
 138 transparent decision making, public participation, inclusive institutions and pro-poor policies.
 139 Therefore, it is important pre-condition for the successful water governance interventions, regions or
 140 countries where water sector reforms are carried out states must be a democratic. Otherwise, the
 141 reform will not produce expected results, e.g., in Central Asia, after 15 years of attempts to replace

142 state-centric, technocratic water management resulted only minor re-shuffling or name change for
 143 water agencies [21, 22]. Almost, similar results earlier have been reported from Pakistan [23] and other
 144 South Asian countries [24, 25]. In Afghanistan, where century's old community managed irrigation
 145 systems, most of the rules now are determined by rural "elites" [26, 27]. Therefore, in non-democratic,
 146 state-centric and autocratic regimes good water governance is not possible. Attempts to build sectoral
 147 (water) democracy and good governance was failed previously and likely do the same in future.

148 *3.2. Importance of the Technical and Technological Aspects of Water Management*

149 Most of the international funding agencies have ignored that the technical component of the water
 150 management interventions are equally important as governance package of reforms. The World Bank
 151 has decreased during 1990-2000 technical interventions in its portfolio few times [28]. The promotion
 152 of the water sector reforms has been only governance, IWRM oriented. The same time, research
 153 progress on application of high-tech and information technologies (Geographical Information Systems,
 154 modeling, etc.) in to the water sector has been great. However, technical interventions into the water
 155 sector have been unacceptably slow. Attempts to bring into the agenda improving, upgrading of water
 156 infrastructure has been criticized as an attempt to recover "hydraulic mission"- conquering nature. In
 157 water sector infrastructure to deliver, distribute and measure water plays an important role. Without
 158 such infrastructure good water governance cannot be implemented. All good intentions and decisions
 159 are not implementable in outdated, ruined water infrastructure. Since, 1990's Water Users Associations
 160 has been formed in Central Asia. However, they failed to bring equal water distribution among its
 161 members, mainly because they have not been able to implement decisions taken collectively mainly
 162 due to dilapidated and old water infrastructure [29, 30].

163 *3.3. Is Water Governance Reforms "free of charge"?*

164 The better water governance is costly adventure for the poor water users, societies and countries.
 165 Although, water sector reforms do results less financial, budgetary burden to the state treasuries, it
 166 actually brings more costs for the water users. De-centralized, user-participation modes of water
 167 management, irrigation management transfer have brought financial obligations for the water users.
 168 Supporting inclusive, transparent water governance structures does require financial support. In states
 169 where governments are not democratic such support should come from the water users, who in most of
 170 the cases are poor. The water users unions, established as pilot testing of the better governance for
 171 centralized canal management in Central Asia has been functioning only due to the project funding [29].

172 **4. Discussions and Conclusions**

173 Setting the appropriate policies, measures and directions for improving water management around
 174 the globe is a big task even for the leading experts and institutions. Therefore, author does not claim
 175 any breakthrough approach towards new water policies or ideas. Above analysis shows that
 176 governance improvements alone can't help to overcome problems of the water resources management..
 177 Better governance brought changes in state of the world's water resources, improved quality and
 178 access to the water resources for many people around the world. However, there have not been

179 breakthrough changes in water management in most parts of the world, especially in developing world.
 180 In one hand, many of the national water sector reforms consist of only technical measures and
 181 infrastructure projects and in other hand most of the internationally supported activities target only
 182 governance improvements. It is important to consider framework conditions in the country while water
 183 sector reform interventions are prepared/proposed.

184 **Acknowledgments**

185 Author is indebted to Dr. Peter Mollinga, whose comments and suggestion in the early stages of the
 186 paper preparation.

187 **Conflict of Interest**

188 The author declares no conflict of interest.

189 **References and Notes**

- 190 1. Kaufmann, D.; Kray, A.; Mastruzzi, M. Governance matters VIII: aggregate and individual
 191 governance indicators, 1996–2008. In *Policy Research Working Paper Series, No. 4978*; Work
 192 Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2009.
- 193 2. Rogers, P.; Hall, A. *Effective Water Governance*; TEC Background Papers No. 7; Global Water
 194 Partnership: Stockholm, Sweden, 2003.
- 195 3. Department for International Development. *Eliminating World Poverty: Making Governance*
 196 *Work for the Poor*; DFID: London, UK, 2006.
- 197 4. The World Bank. *World Development Report 1996: from Plan to Market*; Oxford University
 198 Press: New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- 199 5. UNDP Water Governance Facility. Available online: [http://www.watergovernance.org/
 200 aboutwatergovernance/whatiswatergovernance.html](http://www.watergovernance.org/aboutwatergovernance/whatiswatergovernance.html) (accessed on 15 November 2009).
- 201 6. Global Water Partnership (GWP).2011. Social Equity and IWRM - New Background Paper by the
 202 GWP Technical Committee
- 203 7. Biswas, Asit K.(2008) 'Integrated Water Resources Management: Is It Working?', *International*
 204 *Journal of Water Resources Development*, 24: 1, 5 — 22
- 205 8. Biswas, A. K. (2001) Water policies in the developing world, *International Journal of Water*
 206 *Resources Development*, 17(4), pp. 489–499.
- 207 9. Biswas, A. K. (2006) Challenging Prevailing Wisdoms: 2006 Stockholm Water Prize Laureate
 208 Lecture (Stockholm: Stockholm International Water Institute). Available at
 209 www.thirdworldcentre.org
- 210 10. UNDP.2006. Human Development Report 2006: Beyond scarcity: Power, poverty and the global
 211 water crisis
- 212 11. CGIAR Challenge Programme on Water and Food.2011. Major River Basins Have Enough Water
 213 to Sustainably Double Food Production in the Coming Decades. <http://sn.im/basins>
- 214 12. Mollinga, P.P. Water, politics and development. Framing a political sociology of water resources
 215 management. *Water Alternatives* 2008, 1, 7-23.

- 216 13. Abdullaev, I. and P.P. Mollinga. 2010. The Socio-Technical Aspects of Water Management:
217 Emerging Trends at Grass Roots Level in Uzbekistan. *Water*, 2 (1): 85-100
- 218 14. International Water Management Institute (IWMI). 2011. *IWMI Annual report 2010*. Colombo,
219 Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute (IWMI). 28p.
- 220 15. IPCC.2007. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the
221 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007.M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof, P.J.
222 van der Linden and C.E. Hanson (eds). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
223 and New York, NY, USA.
- 224 16. Moll, F. Nirvana concepts, storylines and policy models: Insights from the water sector. *Water*
225 *Alternatives* **2008**, 1, 131-156.
- 226 17. Ballabh, V. (2002) Emerging water crisis and political economy of irrigation reforms in India. Paper
227 prepared for workshop on *Asian Irrigation in Transition. Responding to challenges ahead*. April 22-
228 23, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand
- 229 18. Byrnes, K. (1992) *Water Users Associations in World Bank-Assisted Irrigation Projects in*
230 *Pakistan*. World Bank Technical Paper Number 173. Washington, D.C. USA
- 231 19. Mollinga, P. (2001) *Power in motion: a critical assessment of canal irrigation reform, with a*
232 *focus on India*. Indian PIM Working Paper /Monograph Series No. 1. New Delhi: Indian Network
233 on Participatory Irrigation Management.
- 234 20. UN-WATER/WWAP (2006) Second United Nations World Water Development Report: Water, a
235 Shared Responsibility.
- 236 21. Sehring, J. The politics of water institutional reform in neopatrimonial states. A comparative
237 analysis of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan. Wiesbaden. **2009**. Pp.23.
- 238 22. Yalcin, R.; Mollinga, P. Institutional Transformation in Uzbekistan's agricultural and water
239 resources administration: the creation of New Bureaucracy. ZEF Working Paper 22.Center for
240 Development Studies, Bonn University, Germany, **2007**, 40pp.
- 241 23. Ul Hassan M. 2011. Analyzing Governance reforms in irrigation: Central, South and West Asian
242 experience. *Irrig. and Drain.* 60: 151–162 (2011)
- 243 24. Shashidharan, E. (2000) Civil society organizations and irrigation management in Gujarat, India. In
244 *Water for food and rural development. Approaches and initiatives in South Asia*, ed. P. Mollinga,
245 pp. 247-265. New Delhi: Sage Publications
- 246 25. Parthasarathy, R. (2000) Participatory Irrigation Management Programme in Gujarat: institutional
247 and financial issues. *Economic and Political Weekly* XXXV (35) & (36): 3147-3154
- 248 26. Abdullaev, I., and Usman Shah. 2011. Community Water Management in Northern Afghanistan:
249 social fabric and management performance. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*.
250 Special Issue on Afghanistan. June, 123-128
- 251 27. Abdullaev, I., Mollinga, P., Mielke, K., Shah, U., Steege, B., Schetter, C., and Monsees, J. 2009.
252 *Water, War and Reconstruction: Irrigation Management in the Kunduz region, Afghanistan*. In:
253 M. Arsel and M. Spoor (Eds.). *The Last Drop? Water, Security and Sustainable Development in*
254 *Central Eurasia*. Routledge.
- 255 28. World Bank.2004. *Water Resources Sector Strategy: Strategic Directions for World Bank*
256 *Engagement*. 88 pages

- 257 29. Abdullaev, I., Kazbekov, J., Jumaboev, K., and Manthritilake, H. 2009. Adoption of integrated
258 water resources management principles and its impacts: lessons from Ferghana Valley. *Water*
259 *International*. Vol. 34, No. 2, June 2009, 1–12
- 260 30. Abdullayev, I., Kazbekov, J., Manthritilake, H., Jumaboev, K. 2009. Participatory water
261 management at the main canal: A case from South Ferghana canal in Uzbekistan. *Journal of*
262 *Agricultural water management*, Volume 96, Issue 2, February 2009: 317-329

263 © 2011 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article
264 distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license
265 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).