The 1st International Electronic Conferenc on Food Science and Functional Food





EFFECT OF SPRAY DRYING ON THE MICROENCAPSULATION THE OF **BLUEBERRY NATURAL ANTIOXIDANTS**

Mariana S. Lingua ¹, Virginia Salomón ², María V. Baroni¹, Jesica E. Blajman³, Luis María Maldonado² and Roxana Páez⁴

¹Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos Córdoba (ICYTAC, UNC-CONICET)- Córdoba, Argentina;

- ² Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria INTA-EEA Famaillá, Tucumán, Argentina;
- ³ Instituto de Investigación de la Cadena Láctea (IDICAL-CONICET)-

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drying yield under different spray drying conditions



Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) among conditions.

All drying spray conditions exceeding the satisfactory level of 50 % for a laboratory dryer. scale spray Results showed that, inlet with reduced temperature (140 °C) and less concentration of the encapsulating agent (20%), there was a decrease in drying yield.

Moisture content and water solubility of powders

Decreased moisture

Rafaela, Santa Fe, Argentina;

⁴ Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria INTA-EEA Rafaela, Santa Fe, Argentina

INTRODUCTION



Phenolic compounds obtained from blueberry fruits have gained great attention due to their more effective bioactive roles in human health than whole berries [1,2]. However, they are sensitive to environmental conditions and are therefore susceptible to degradation affecting their effectiveness. Microencapsulation of these compounds by spray drying provides a solution to these problems. The aim of this work was to study the effect of spray drying on the microencapsulation of the blueberry phenolic compounds to optimize the production of a powder rich in stable polyphenols.



Total phenolic content, surface phenolic content, and encapsulation efficiency of phenolic compounds



The inlet temperature encapsulating and concentration agent only influenced in the SPC. We observed the lower SPC at higher inlet temperature and encapsulating higher concentration. agent The higher values of EE 20%MD for were 30%MD 140°C and 160°C.

was observed with the drying increase in This temperature. variable together with increase MD in an concentration gave the lowest moisture (30%MD 160°C). Water solubility of powders was higher than 98 % differences without treatments among (data not shown).

MATERIALS AND METHODS



Blueberry

fruits

Extraction with orbital agitation (with acidified etanol; mass solvent to crushed fruits ratio: 4:2)

Filtration

140 °C

160 °C

(EE)

Spray Drying [3]



VARIABLES

Inlet air **Encapsulating agent** temperature concentration Maltodextrix (14.7 ED) 20% w/v Maltodextrix (14.7 ED) 30% w/v

DETERMINATIONS

Drying yield (%) Moisture content (%) Mator colubility(0/)



Phenolic compounds were determined by Folin-Cioacalteu method. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) among conditions.

CONCLUSIONS



The spray drying conditions studied influenced on the drying yield, moisture content, SPC, and EE of phenolic compounds from blueberry extracts. The powders with the best characteristics were obtained with 30% w/v of maltodextrin at 160°C inlet temperature. This powders rich in blueberry polyphenols stabilized by microencapsulation produced by utilizing these optimum conditions have the potential to be used as functional food ingredients.

REFERENCES



5	valer solubility (%)
T	Total phenolic content (TPC)
	Surface phenolic content (SPC)
	Encapsulation efficiency of phenolics

[1] Prior, R.L. et al. Purified blueberry anthocyanins and blueberry juice alter development of obesity in mice fed an obesogenic

high-fat diet. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010. 58, 3970–3976. [2] Prior, R.L. et al. Purified berry anthocyanins but not whole berries

normalize lipid parameters in mice fed an obesogenic high fat diet. Mol. Nut. Food Res. 2009. 53, 1406–1418. [3] Tolun, A. et al.

Microencapsulation of grape polyphenols using maltodextrin and gum arabic as two alternative coating materials: Development

and characterization. J. Biotechnol. 2016. 239, 23-33.