# A decision support system for sustainable forest management and ecosystem service provisioning at the enterprise scale

T. Thrippleton <sup>1\*</sup>, C. Blattert <sup>2</sup>, R. Mey <sup>1</sup>, J. Zell <sup>1</sup>, E. Thürig <sup>1</sup>, J. Schweier<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research

<sup>2</sup> University of Jyväskylä, Finland

Contact: <u>timothy.thrippleton@wsl.ch</u>

1<sup>st</sup> International Electronic Conference on Forests, 15–30 November 2020



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra



aziun svizra



## Background and aim of the project:

• Changes in climatic conditions and societal demands for ecosystem services (ES) make the **planning of sustainable forest management** 



#### highly challenging

→ Within the research project SessFor, a decision support system (DSS) for strategic planning at the forest enterprise level is developed

Fig. 1: Timber harvest in steep terrain. © J.Schweier, WSL

# Material and methods:

- DSS based on climate sensitive forest model (Zell et al., 2020)
- Various indicators for **biodiversity** and **ES** considered (Fig. 2)
- First DSS application at case study 'Wagenrain' in plateau region of Switzerland
- Further case studies in other regions ongoing



# **Preliminary results:**

- Evaluation of 4 management strategies under present climate investigated for years 2010 to 2060
- →Trade-offs between carbon sequestration, timber production and biodiversity (Fig. 3)
- Decrease of recreation value over time under 'less intensive management' strategy (Fig. 4)



Fig. 3: Development of indicators for biodiversity and ecosystem services (carbon sequestration, timber production, recreation) for four alternative management strategies at the casestudy enterprise Wagenrain in Switzerland. Note that different colours indicate different ecosystem services and indicators are expressed as normalized values (partial utilities) for comparability. Values range from 0 (lowest value) to 1 (optimum value), see Blattert et al. (2018)



Fig. 4 Development of recreation value (stand density index indicating visual attractiveness, see Blattert et al., 2018) for 'Less intensive Mng.' strategy. Note that colours indicate recreation utility value (green: high, orange: low)

#### **Outlook:**

- Evaluation of different management strategies under climate change scenarios, using multi-criteria decision analysis
- Inclusion of further case study enterprises in mountainous regions



Fig. 5: Mountain forests in Grisons, Switzerland © T.Thrippleton, WSL

### **References:**

1: Zell, J.; Nitzsche, J.; Stadelmann, G.; Thürig, E. (2020): Swiss-StandSim: ein klimasensitives, einzelbaumbasiertes Waldwachstumsmodell. Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Forstwesen 171: 116–123

2: Blattert, C.; Lemm, R.; Thees, O.; Hansen, J.; Lexer, M.J.; Hanewinkel, M. (2018): Segregated versus integrated biodiversity conservation: Value-based ecosystem service assessment under varying forest management strategies in a Swiss case study. Ecological Indicators 95: 751–764

Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL) |1<sup>st</sup> IECF | 15–30 November 2020