



1 Type of the Paper (Article, Review, Communication, etc.)

2 **Provenance trials of the Mexican spruces in nursery**

conditions: Three species endangered by climatic

4 variation

José Marcos Torres-Valverde¹, José Ciro Hernández-Díaz², Artemio Carrillo-Parra², Eduardo Mendoza-Maya³, Christian Wehenkel^{2*} ¹Maestria Institucional en Ciencias Agropecuarias y Forestales, Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango,

- 8 Constitución 404 sur. Zona centro. C.P. 34000. Durango, Dgo. México. E-mail: <u>a271917@uach.mx</u>
- 9 ²Instituto de Silvicultura e Industria de la Madera. Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango, Constitución 404
- 10 sur. Zona centro. C.P. 34000. Durango, Dgo. México. E-mail: wehenkel@ujed.mx, jciroh@ujed.mx and

11 <u>acarrillopalma@ujed.mx</u>

- 12 ³Programa Institucional de Doctorado en Ciencias Agropecuarias y Forestales, Universidad Juárez del Estado
- 13 de Durango, Constitución 404 sur. Zona centro. C.P. 34000. Durango, Dgo. México. E-mail:
- 14 <u>edumm1985@gmail.com</u>
- 15
- 16

17 *Correspondence: <u>*wehenkel@ujed.mx</u>; Tel.: 618-102-08-73

18 Abstract: The three Mexican spruces' distributions are fragmented, which could lead to 19 phenological, morphological and genetic differentiations, partially caused by local adaptation. In 20 this study we examined the effect that climatic variables have on the survival and growth of 5,641 21 Picea seedlings, coming from eight seed provenances and produced in identical nursery conditions. 22 The respective responses of each species and provenance can be considered as a proxy of the genetic 23 differentiation and adaptation of each population. A cluster analysis revealed: i) significant 24 differences in the genetic quantitative traits among the three Picea species and ii) significant 25 correlations among genetic quantitative traits and climatic factors.

- 26 Keys words: Provenances and progenies trial; Adaptation.
- 27

28 1. Introduction

29 In Mexico there are three endemic species of the Picea genus, which live in relict populations and they 30 are listed as "Endangered" in the Red List of the IUCN [1]. P. mexicana has only three locations, above 31 3,000 m elevation [2,3], P. martinezii is in four populations between 1,800 and 2,500 m [4] and P. 32 chihuahuana has been found in 40 sites between 2,311 and 2,700 m of altitude [2,5]. The Mexican 33 spruces' distributions are fragmented in isolated populations, which could lead to phenological, 34 morphological and genetic differentiations, partially caused by local adaptation to different soil types 35 and climatic variables [6]. Therefore, it is important to identify the main factors responsible for such 36 adaptation, which could be helpful in assisted migration programs as an option for ex-situ 37 conservation. Provenance-progeny trials allow the design of conservation programs for saving 38 genetic resources in the medium and long terms. In our experiment we studied the genetic and 39 environmental components of the phenotypical variation among trees of different provenances [7]. 40 In fact, we examined the effect that climatic variables have on the survival and growth of seedlings

- 41 of each *Picea* species' provenances, in equal nursery conditions, assuming that such response could
- 42 be a proxy of the adaptation or the genetic differentiation among populations.

43 **2.** Experimental Section

2

44 We based our study on eight provenances of the three Mexican spruces, located in four states of 45 Mexico: one provenance is from Chihuahua, one from Durango, one from Coahuila and five from 46 Nuevo Leon. The mean 100-seed weight based on three trees per provenance was measured with a 47 digital weight balance (Velab model No. VE-5000H, Mexico). We established our provenances trial 48 experiment in a nursery at El Salto, municipality of Pueblo Nuevo, Durango, in an elevation of 2,590 49 m, where each seedling was put in one round container of 165 cm³. There, we measured the survival 50 of 5,641 seedlings and their growth in diameter (mm) and height (mm), during 12 months. All these 51 seedlings grew in the same climate and soil conditions. On the other hand, values of 22 bioclimatic 52 variables were modeled for each provenance [8].

53 We used the Spearman's correlation (rs) test [9] to look for potential relationships between mean 54 growth (Diameter x Height, mm²), 100-seeds weight and each analyzed climatic variable from each 55 species and provenance. We used the same test to detect collinearity between climatic variables. The

56 mean growth differences among the three species were tested with the Tukey and Kramer (Nemenyi)

57 test, defining the Tukey-distribution using the PMCMR package of the statistical program R [10]. We

also applied a Bonferroni correction, with an original α = 0.05 and a corrected α = 0.002.

59 3. Results

The Nemenyi test indicated significant differences in Diameter x Height between the threespruces (Table 1.)

62

Table 1. Absolute growth and growth differences of seedling Diameter x Height (mm) from *Picea chihuahuana, P. mexicana y P. martinezii* and their *p* values tested by Tukey and Kramer (Nemenyi) test
 with Tukey-distribution.

Species	Means of growth	Absolute differences among the three Mexican spruces (<i>p</i> value)	
	(Diameter x Height) (mm)		
		Picea martinezii	Picea mexicana
Picea chihuahuana	461	765 (2.8e-14)	367 (1.3e-07)
P. mexicana	828	398 (< 2e-16)	
P. martinezii	1,226		

⁶⁶

After the Bonferroni correction, we detected significant correlations between the seedling's
genetic proxy (Diameter x Height) and some climatic variables (Table 2 and Figure 1). We did not
find any significant correlation between the mean 100-seed weight and mean growth of the seedlings

70 $(r_s = 0.69, p = 0.069).$

71 **Table 2.** Significant Spearman's correlations (rs) found between growth (Diameter x Height) and

some climatic variables; Mmin = Mean minimum temperature in the coldest month (Celsius

73 degrees), Smrsprpb = Summer/Spring precipitation balance: (jul+aug)/(apr+may).

Variable	Units	r s	<i>p</i> value
Smrsprpb	mm	-0.97	0.00007
Mmin	°C	0.90	0.00244



Figure 1. Spearman's correlations between growth (Diameter x Height) of the seedlings and the two
most significant climatic variables: Mmin = Mean minimum temperature in the coldest month
(centigrade degrees) and Smrsprpb = Summer/Spring precipitation balance: (jul+aug)/(apr+may); *Picea martinezii*: Locations AF= Agua Fria, AL= Agua Alardin, EB= El Butano and LE= La Encantada, *Picea mexicana*: Locations EM= El Mohinora, LM= La Marta and EC= El Cohuilon and *Picea chihuahuana*: Location QD= Quebrada de los Duran.

80 4. Discussion

Our results suggest that there are significant quantitative genetic differences among the three analyzed *Picea* species and that these differences are correlated with two climate variables (the mean minimum temperature in the coldest month and the Summer/Spring precipitation balance), supporting the adaptation hypothesis to local conditions [6]. In another study, it was reported that the precipitation was a moderately good predictor of height growth in *Picea mariana* [11], while Castellanos-Acuna et al. [12] found that the mean coldest month temperature (MCMT) and an aridity index (AHM) are strongly related to the genetic adaptation of tree species.

88

89 5. Conclusions

- 90 Our findings about different effects of climatic variables on the three studied endangered *Picea*
- 91 species and their provenances may have important practical implications for *ex-situ* conservation 92 strategies. Besides, reforestation programs should be more successful if the seedlings of a given
- 92 strategies. Besides, reforestation programs should be more successful if the seedlings of a given 93 species are planted in very similar climatic conditions to the ones of its provenance, given the strong
- 94 provenance-climate association [13].
- Author Contributions: Conceptualization, CW; methodology, CW; software, JMTV; validation, CW, JCHD and
 ACP; formal analysis, JMTV.; investigation, JMTV; resources, EMM; data curation, JMTV; writing—original
 draft preparation, JMTV; writing—review and editing, CW; visualization, JCHV; supervision, CW; project
 administration, CW; funding acquisition, CW. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
 manuscript.
- 100 **Funding:** This material is based on work supported by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología of Mexico
- 101 (CONACYT) and the Comisión Nacional Forestal (CONAFOR). Besides, CONACYT provided financial support
- 102 to José Marcos Torres-Valverde for his master's studies (CVU No. 1004398).
- 103 Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the anonymous reviewers of this document.

104 References

- 105 IUCN 1. (2019). Red List Threatened Species. of Available at: 106 https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/32479/2820455 Author 1, A.; Author 2, B. Title of the chapter. In Book 107 Title, 2nd ed.; Editor 1, A., Editor 2, B., Eds.; Publisher: Publisher Location, Country, 2007; Volume 3, pp. 108 154-196.
- 109 2. Ledig, F.T.; Mapula-Larreta, M.; Bermejo-Velázquez, B.; Reyes-Hernández, V.; Flores-López, C.; Capó110 Arteaga, M.A. Locations of endangered spruce populations in Mexico and the demography of *Picea*111 *chihuahuana*. Madroño 2000, 47, 71–88.
- Ledig, F.T.; Hodgskiss, P.D.; Krutovskii, K. V.; Neale, D.B.; Eguiluz-Piedra, T. Relationships among the
 Spruces (*Picea*, Pinaceae) of Southwestern North America. Syst. Bot. 2004, 29, 275–295,
 doi:10.1600/036364404774195485.
- 4. Flores-López, C.; Geada-López, C.G.; López-Upton, C.J.; López-Ramírez, E. Reproductive indicators in natural populations of *Picea martinezii* T. F. Patterson. Rev. For. Baracoa 2012, 31, 49–58.
- 117 5. Wehenkel, C.; Sáenz-Romero, C. Estimating genetic erosion using the example of *Picea chihuahuana*118 Martínez. Tree Genet. Genomes 2012, 8, 1085–1094, doi:10.1007/s11295-012-0488-5.
- 119 6. Dominguez-Guerrero, I.K.; del Rocío Mariscal-Lucero, S.; Hernández-Díaz, J.C.; Heinze, B.; Prieto-Ruiz,
 120 J.Á.; Wehenkel, C. Discrimination of *Picea chihuahuana* Martínez populations on the basis of climatic,
 121 edaphic, dendrometric, genetic and population traits. PeerJ 2017, 2017, doi:10.7717/peerj.3452.
- 122 7. Zobel, B.J.; Talbert, J.T. Técnicas de mejoramiento genético de árboles forestales. Limusa, México 1988. 545
 123 p.
- Rehfeldt, G.L. A spline model of climate for the Western United States. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-165.
 Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station 2006.
 21 p.
- Hauke, J.; Kossowski, T. Comparison of values of Pearson's and Spearman's correlation coefficients on the
 same sets of data. Quaest. Geogr. 2011, 30, 87–93, doi:10.2478/v10117-011-0021-1.
- 129 10. R Core Team (2015). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
 130 Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>
- 131 11. Parker, W. H., Niejenhuis, A. V., & Charrette, P. (1994). Adaptive variation in *Picea mariana* from northwestern Ontario determined by short-term common environment tests. Canadian journal of forest research, 24(8), 1653-1661.
- Castellanos-Acuña, D., Vance-Borland, K. W., Clair, J. B. S., Hamann, A., López-Upton, J., Gómez-Pineda,
 E., ... & Sáenz-Romero, C. (2018). Climate-based seed zones for Mexico: guiding reforestation under
 observed and projected climate change. New forests, 49(3), 297-309.
- Hernández-Velasco, J.; Hernández-Díaz, J.C.; Fladung, M.; Cañadas-López, Á.; Prieto-Ruíz, J.Á.; Wehenkel,
 C. Spatial genetic structure in four *Pinus* species in the Sierra Madre occidental, Durango, Mexico. Can. J.
 For. Res. 2017, 47, 73–80, doi:10.1139/cjfr-2016-0154.
- 140

141 **Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional142 affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

143