

# Biological Assessment of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* Percolate †

Toma Nardjes Mouas<sup>1,\*</sup>, Zahia Kabouche<sup>1</sup>, Meryem Benalileche<sup>2</sup> and Yamina Benalileche<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université frères Mentouri-Constantine 1, Laboratoire d'Obtention de Substances Thérapeutiques LOST, Campus Chasbet Ersas, 25000 Constantine, Algeria kabouche@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Constantine 25000, Algeria; YaminaBenalileche@gmail.com (M.B.); benalilechemeryem@gmail.com (Y.B.)

\* Correspondence: mouas.toma.nardjes@umc.edu.dz

† Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Biological Diversity, Ecology and Evolution, 15–31 March 2021; Available online: <https://bdee2021.sciforum.net/>.

**Abstract:** *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* gender, a widely used plant as spice and medicinal plant, is investigated in both chemical and biological fields, since development of bio-guided trials on medicinal plants therapeutic target has increased recent years through pharmacology which is interested in discovering new molecules expressing a therapeutic activity and development of useful drugs by selecting the most active fraction and isolating the active compound responsible of the therapeutically effect. Therefore, identification and quantification analysis of main bioactive compounds were performed in order to undergo bio-guided tests using several solvents' polarities to evaluate its in vitro antimicrobial potential. To achieve this objective, qualitative and quantitative methods were used to identify bioactive compounds of the obtained extracts. The in vitro screening of antimicrobial effect was evaluated on 10 bacteria and 2 fungi by disk diffusion method which gave almost very interesting results for all tested pathogens in addition to richness in secondary metabolites.

**Citation:** Mouas, T.N.; Kabouche, Z.; Benalileche, M.; Benalileche, Y.

Biological Assessment of  
*Cinnamomum zeylanicum* Percolate.  
2021, 68, x.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

**Keywords:** medicinal plants; bioactive compounds; bioguides assays; antibacterial activity

Received: date  
Accepted: date  
Published: date

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum spp.*, Lauraceae family) includes more than 250 evergreen trees spread mainly in Asia, China, and Australia [1]. The most studied types of cinnamon are *Cinnamomum verum* and *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* [2–4], and the main components of cinnamon bark is (E)-Cinnamaldehyde (49.9%) [5], one of possible side effects of cinnamaldehyde is hypersensitivity [6]. However, reports of allergic contact dermatitis and stomatitis due to cinnamon are rare [7–11], According to statistics, 30% of the populations in industrialized countries develop foodborne infections each year [12]. Therefore, there have been growing concerns regarding food safety, attracting attention to the use of natural antimicrobial agents for the proper control of foodborne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria [13,14]. Recently, food industries have become more interested in the use of natural preservatives [15]. Natural additives such as essential oils (EOs) and herbal extracts have been considered economically for their medicinal effects, low toxicity, and cost-effectiveness [16,17] Microorganisms are the main cause of the high prevalence of foodborne diseases worldwide [18]. Most cases of food poisoning are associated with bacterial contamination, especially gram-negative bacteria such as *Salmonella typhi*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus* [19]. Cinnamon is widely used in the food, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical industries. Considering its fragrance and ability to eliminate mouth odor, cinnamon is also added to chewing gum as a spice [20,21]. Previous studies have shown that cinnamon and its components (cinnamaldehyde and eugenol) which possess antibacterial activity against *Parahemolyticus*, *Staphylococcus epidermis*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella sp.*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* [22].

Moreover, cinnamon oil has strong hypocholesterolaemic, antioxidant, analgesic, antiulcer and anticandidal activities [23,24]. Furthermore, the antimicrobial properties of cinnamon make this spice beneficial in the production of films and edible coatings, which are used for the packaging of various food products [25,26].

The present study aimed to investigate the invitro antimicrobial effects of cinnamon bark extract using bio-guided fractioning and assays.

## 2. Experiments

### 2.1. Plant Materials

*Cinammum bark* was identified and bought in a local herbal shop. All used chemicals are of analytical quality, and strains ATCC referenced: Gram (-) bacteria: *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: ATCC 27853, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: ATCC 2330, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: ATCC1616, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: ATCC 700603, *Acinetobacter baumannii*: 1625, *Salmonella*: clinical strain, *Citrobacter freundii*: 22, *Enterobacter aerogenes*: clinical strain, *Proteus Sp*: clinical strain, Gram (+)bacteria: *Staphylococcus aureus* 2S: ATCC 43,300, Mushrooms: *Candida albicans*: clinical strain, *Rhizopus oryzae*: M491890.1.

### 2.2. Solid-Liquid Extraction

The plant dried bark is grounded into powder using a mortar and a pestle, then extracted for 2 h with Soxhlet apparatus and ethanol as extraction solvent. The percolate is filtered using a Whatman paper N°4, and evaporated at 40 °C under reduced pressure, maintained with a vacuum pump, to give the crud ethanol extract conserved aseptically in the freezer for future uses in the quantitative analysis.

Yields are calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Yield\%} = (\text{Crude extract mass/powder mass}) * 100$$

### 2.3. Liquid-Liquid Extraction

*Cinammum* crud ethanol extract is subjected to bio-guided extraction using separating funnel and alternately different solvent polarity as: water, chloroform, ethyl acetate and n-butanol. Four extracts are obtained, filtered on sodium sulfate, then dried and stoked in the freezer.

### 2.4. Bioactive Compounds Quantification:

TLC screening is performed to choose most interesting and rich extract profile to analyze, bioactive compounds by means of total phenols and flavonoids were quantified for obtained extracts: chloroform, ethyl acetate, n-butanol, aqueous extract.

- **Total Polyphenols Content**

0.2 mL of sample was firstly mixed with 1ml of diluted Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (5/10 H<sub>2</sub>O) by vortexing. After that, 0.75 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (7.5%) are added. Then, the reaction mixtures are further incubated for 2 h at room temperature in the dark, and finally, the absorbed optical density is recorded at the wavelength of 765 nm [27,28].

- **Total Flavonoid Content**

0.4 mL of diluted sample with 1ml ethanol is separately mixed with 1 mL of 2% aluminum chloride methanol solution. After incubation at room temperature for 15 min, the absorbance of the reaction mixture is measured at 430 nm with spectrophotometer [29].

### 2.5. The Antimicrobial Activity Analysis

The antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance tests of our extracts were carried out according to the Agar disk-diffusion testing developed in 1940 [30]. Discs (Whatman No. 1, 6 mm diameter) are impregnated with each extract and then applied to the surface of

the agar plates which have been seeded by spreading the microbial suspension. The seeding is carried out in such a way to ensure a homogeneous distribution of the bacteria. The petri dishes are incubated during 24 h at the appropriate temperature 37 °C in the laboratory oven, and the resulting inhibition zone diameter was measured in millimeters using a ruler.

Antimicrobial activity is determined in terms of the diameter of the inhibition zone produced around the discs.

### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

Sampling and analyses were performed in triplicate, and the data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.). Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Office Excel 2008 ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## 3. Results and Discussion

TLC screening revealed chloroform extracts as the richest in secondary metabolites followed by acetate extract then n-butanol extract, aqueous extract is the poorest one, consequently it was excluded from quantitative analysis and antimicrobial activity.

### 3.1. Total Phenol and Flavonoid Compound Content Results

- The total phenol content showed total polyphenols content of  $(300 \pm 0.01)$   $\mu\text{g}$  EGA/mg DE, and total flavonoids content of  $(158 \pm 0.1)$   $\mu\text{g}$  QE/mg for ethyl acetate extract.
- The total phenol content showed total polyphenols content of  $(28 \pm 0.15)$   $\mu\text{g}$  EGA/mg DE, and total flavonoids content of  $(2.5 \pm 0.2)$   $\mu\text{g}$  QE/mg for n-butanol extract.
- Total flavonoids content of  $(50 \pm 0.05)$   $\mu\text{g}$  QE/mg for chloroform extract.

### 3.2. Antimicrobial Activity Results

#### 3.2.1. Antibacterial Test

The diameters results of the growth inhibition zones exhibit an important dose-dependent antibacterial potential, thus we noticed:

For chloroform extract,

- 10 mm to 13 mm of inhibition zones for *Staphylococcus aureus* 2S treated with 15.625 to 500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.
- 10 mm of inhibition zone for *Enterobacter* treated with 15.625  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract,
- 10 mm to 18 mm of inhibition zone for *Citrobactère Freudii* treated with 15.625 to 62.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.
- 15 mm to 30 mm of inhibition zone for *E.Coli* treated with 31.25 to 250  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.
- -10 mm to 28 mm of inhibition zone for *Acinetobacter* treated with 62.5 to 500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.
- -10 mm to 18 mm of inhibition zone for *Kleb pneumoniae* treated with 15.625 to 1000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.
- -10 mm to 15 mm of inhibition zone for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1* treated with 15.625 to 500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.
- -10 mm to 24 mm of inhibition zone for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 2* treated with 15.625 to 1000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract.

*Salmonella*, *Proteus SP* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 3*, showed up as resistant strains to chloroform extract.

For acetate ethyl extract,

- 8 mm to 15 mm of inhibition zone for *Enterobacter* treated with 31.25 to 500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  of extract,

- 10 mm to 22 mm of inhibition zone for *Citrobactère Freudii* treated with 15.625 to 1000 µg/mL of extract.
- 8 mm to 11 mm of inhibition zone for *Proteus* treated with 31.25 to 250 µg/mL of extract.
- -19 mm to 20 mm of inhibition zone for *Kleb pneumoniae* treated with 31.25 to 500 µg/mL of extract.
- -8 mm to 20 mm of inhibition zone for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1* treated with 15.625 to 1000 µg/mL of extract.
- -10 mm to 15 mm of inhibition zone for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 2* treated with 15.625 to 500 µg/mL of extract.

Salmonella, Acinetobacter baumannii, E.coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus 2, showed up as resistant strains to chloroform extract.

For acetate n-butanol extract,

- 8 mm to 18 mm of inhibition zone for *Enterobacter* treated with 15.625 to 500 µg/mL of extract,
- 11 mm to 13 mm of inhibition zone for *Citrobactère Freudii* treated with 31.25 to 500 µg/mL of extract.
- 8 mm to 19 mm of inhibition zone for *Proteus* treated with 31.25 to 500 µg/mL of extract.
- -8 mm to 12 mm of inhibition zone for *Kleb pneumoniae* treated with 15.625 to 125 µg/mL of extract.
- -10 mm to 22 mm of inhibition zone for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1* treated with 15.625 to 62.5 µg/mL of extract.
- -8 mm of inhibition zone for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa 2* treated with 15.625 µg/mL of extract.

Salmonella, Acinetobacter baumannii, E.coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa 3, Staphylococcus aureus 2S, showed up as resistant strains to chloroform extract.

Gentamicine GN(10 µg /disque), Nalidixique NA (30 µg/disque) et Imipenème IP (10µg/disque) were used as positive control.

Obtained results are in nice agreement with literature [31–34].

### 3.2.2. Antifungal Activity Test

Antifungal activity against *C.albican* fungi revealed varying inhibition capacity about:

- 14–23 mm for 62.5–250 µg/mL of chloroform extract.
- 11–18 mm for 31.25–1000 µg/mL of acetate ethyl extract.
- 10–12 mm for 62.5–1000 µg/mL of n-butanol extract.

*Rhizopus oryzae* was resistant to all tested extracts.

Obtained results are in nice agreement with literature [35,36]

## 4. Conclusions

In the present work, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* a wildy cultivated and used spice, famous in all pharmacopias for its therapeutic effect was phytochemicly and biologically assessed, by subjecting its ethanol percolate to a bio-gided fractioning using different solvent polarities, identification and quantification of secondary metabolites by layer chromatography (TLC) and UV spectroscopy, undergoing in vitro biological trials by mean of anti bacterial and antifungal activities on several referential strains, which gave an important inhibiting activity against Gram (+) bacteria: *Staphylococcus aureus*, seven Gram (-) bacteria: *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Proteus Sp*, and one fungi: *Candida albicans*. Obtained results, open large perspectives on biogided fractioning in order to identify

bioactive molecules responsible of therapeutic effect and pharmaceutical enhancement of studied spice promoting it as an efficient nutraceutical for treating microbial resistant phenomenon using some preparations as toothpastes, chewinggums, for human health and environment.

**Author Contributions:** T.N.M. conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the paper; M.B. and Y.B. performed the experiments; Z.K. scientific assistance. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable

**Acknowledgments:** Authors would like to thank Algerian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research DGEFS, and the Algerian Directorate General for Scientific Research and Technological Development DGRSDT for financial fund.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Jayaprakasha, G.K.; Rao, L.J. Chemistry, biogenesis, and biological activities of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2011**, *51*, 547–562.
2. Kawatra, P.; Rajagopalan, R. Cinnamon: Mystic powers of a minute ingredient. *Pharmacogn. Res.* **2015**, *7*(Suppl. 1), S1–S6.
3. Chen, P.; Sun, J.; Ford, P. Differentiation of the four major species of cinnamons (*C. burmannii*, *C. verum*, *C. cassia*, and *C. loureiroi*) using a Flow Injection Mass Spectrometric (FIMS) fingerprinting method. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *62*, 2516–2521.
4. Ravindran, P.; Shylaja, M.; Nirmal, B.K.; Krishnamoorthy, B. Botany and crop improvement of cinnamon and cassia. In *Cinnamon and Cassia—The Genus Cinnamomum*; Ravindran, P.N., Babu, K.N., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2004.
5. Singh, G.; Maurya, S.; De Lampasona, M.P.; Catalan, C.A. A comparison of chemical, antioxidant and antimicrobial studies of cinnamon leaf and bark volatile oils, oleoresins and their constituents. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2007**, *45*, 1650–1661.
6. Shreaz, S.; Wani, W.A.; Behbehani, J.M.; Raja, V.; Irshad, M.; Karched, M.; Ali, I.; Siddiqi, W.A.; Hun, L.T. Cinnamaldehyde and its derivatives, a novel class of antifungal agents. *Fitoterapia* **2016**, *112*, 116–131.
7. Isaac-Renton, M.; Li, M.K.; Parsons, L.M. Cinnamon spice and everything not nice: Many features of intraoral allergy to cinnamic aldehyde. *Dermatitis* **2015**, *26*, 116–121.
8. Calapai, G.; Miroddi, M.; Mannucci, C.; Minciullo, P.; Gangemi, S. Oral adverse reactions due to cinnamon-flavoured chewing gums consumption. *Oral Dis.* **2014**, *20*, 637–643.
9. Campbell, T.M.; Neems, R.; Moore, J. Severe exacerbation of rosacea induced by cinnamon supplements. *J. Drugs Dermatol.* **2008**, *7*, 586–587.
10. Tremblay, S.; Avon, S.L. Contact allergy to cinnamon: Case report. *J. Can. Dent. Assoc.* **2008**, *74*, 445–461.
11. Yanakiev, S. Effects of Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum* spp.) in Dentistry: A Review. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 4184, doi:10.3390/molecules25184184.
12. Burt, S. Essential Oils: Their Antibacterial Properties and Potential Applications In Foods- A Review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2004**, *94*, 223–253.
13. Muthuswamy, S.; Rupasinghe, H.; Stratton, G. Antimicrobial Effect of Cinnamon Bark Extract on *Escherichia Coli* O157: H7, *Listeria Innocua* and Fresh-Cut Apple Slices. *J. Food Saf.* **2008**, *28*, 534–549.
14. Sadeghi, A.; Ebrahimi, M.; Raeisi, M.; Nematollahi, Z. Biological Control of Foodborne Pathogens and Aflatoxins by Selected Probiotic Lab Isolated from Rice Bran Sourdough. *Biol. Control.* **2018**, *130*, 70–79.
15. Raeisi, M.; Tajik, H.; Aminzare, M.; Sangin Abadi, S.; Yarahmadi, A.; Yarahmadi, E.; Tepe, B. The Role of Nisin, Monolaurin, and Edta in Antibacterial Effect of *Rosmarinus Officinalis*, L. and *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* Blume Essential Oils on Foodborne Pathogens. *J. Essent. Oil Bear Plants* **2016**, *19*, 1709–1720.
16. Aminzare, M.; Abbasi, Z.; Amiri, E.; Hashemi, M.; Raeisi, M.; Mousavi, N.; Hassanzadazar, H. Colibacillosis Phytotherapy: An Overview on the Most Important World Medicinal Plants Effective on *Escherichia Coli*. *J. Pharmaceut. Sci. Res.* **2017**, *9*, 629.
17. Ouelbani, R.; Bensari, S.; Mouas, T.N.; Khelifi, D. Ethnobotanical investigations on plants used in folk medicine in the regions of Constantine and Mila (North-East of Algeria). *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2016**, *194*, 196–218, doi:10.1016/j.jep.2016.08.016.
18. Muthuswamy, S.; Rupasinghe, H.; Stratton, G. Antimicrobial Effect of Cinnamon Bark Extract on *Escherichia Coli* O157: H7, *Listeria Innocua* and Fresh-Cut Apple Slices. *J. Food Saf.* **2008**, *28*, 534–549.

19. Mostafa, A.A.; Al Askar, A.A.; Almaary, K.S.; Dawoud, T.M.; Sholkamy, E.N.; Bakri, M. Antimicrobial Activity of some Plant Extracts Against Bacterial Strains Causing Food Poisoning Diseases. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* **2018**, *25*, 361–366.
20. Vazirian, M.; Alehabib, S.; Jamalifar, H.; Fazeli, M.; Toosi, A.N.; Khanavi, M. Antimicrobial Effect of Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum verum* J. Presl) Bark Essential Oil in Cream-Filled Cakes and Pastries. *Res. J. Pharm.* **2015**, *2*, 11–16.
21. Nabavi, S.; Di Lorenzo, A.; Izadi, M.; Sobarzo Sánchez, E.; Daglia, M.; Nabavi, S.M. Antibacterial Effects of Cinnamon: From Farm to Food, Cosmetic and Pharmaceutical Industries. *Nutrients* **2015**, *7*, 7729–7748.
22. Chang, S.T.; Chen, P.F.; Chang, S.C. Antibacterial activity of leaf essential oils and their constituents from *Cinnamomum osmophloeum*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2001**, *77*, 123–127.
23. Lin, C.C.; Wu, S.J.; Chang, C.H.; Ng, L.T. Antioxidant activity of *Cinnamomum cassia*. *Phytother. Res.* **2003**, *17*, 726–730.
24. Abd El-Hack, M.E.; Alagawany, M.; Abdel-Moneim, A.-M.E.; Mohammed, N.G.; Khafaga, A.F.; Bin-Jumah, M.; Othman, S.I.; Allam, A.A.; Elnesr, S.S. Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum*) Oil as a Potential Alternative to Antibiotics in Poultry. *Antibiotics* **2020**, *9*, 210, doi:10.3390/antibiotics9050210.
25. Raeisi, M.; Tabaraei, A.; Hashemi, M.; Behnampour, N. Effect of Sodium Alginate Coating incorporated with Nisin, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*, and Rosemary Essential Oils on Microbial Quality of Chicken Meat and Fate of *Listeria monocytogenes* during Refrigeration. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2016**, *238*, 139–145.
26. Smith Palmer, A.; Stewart, J.; Fyfe, L. Antimicrobial Properties of Plant Essential Oils and Essences against Five Important Food-borne Pathogens. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **1998**, *26*, 118–122.
27. Singleton, V.L.; Rossi, J.A.J. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Amer. J. Enol. Viticult.* **1965**, *16*, 144–158.
28. Müller, L.; Gnoyke, S.; Popken, A.M.V.; Böhm, V. Antioxidant capacity and related parameters of different fruit formulations. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *43*, 992–999.
29. Topçu, G.; Ay, A.; Bilici, A.; Sarıkürkcü, C.; Öztürk, M.; Ulubelen, A. A new flavone from antioxidant extracts of *Pistacia terebinthus*. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *103*, 816–822.
30. Heatley, N.G. A method for the assay of penicillin. *Biochem. J.* **1944**, *38*, 61–65, doi:10.1042/bj0380061.
31. Noori, N.; Tooryan, F.; Rokni, N.; Akhondzadeh, A.; Misaghi, A. Preservative Effect of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* Blume, Essential Oil and Storage Temperature on the Growth of *E. Coli* O157: H7 in Hamburger Using Hurdle Technology. *Food Sci. Tech.* **2010**, *7*, 35–42.
32. Jamshidi, M.; Barzegar, M.; Sahari, M.; Technology, F. Effect of Gamma Irradiation on the Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Cinnamon Powder. *J. Nut. Sci. Food Tech.* **2013**, *7*, 73–82.
33. Ribeiro Santos, R.; Andrade, M.; De Melo, N.R.; dos Santos, F.R.; De Araújo Neves, I.; De Carvalho, M.G.; Sanches-Silva, A. Biological Activities and Major Components Determination in Essential Oils Intended for A Biodegradable Food Packaging. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2017**, *97*, 201–210.
34. Oussalah, M.; Caillet, S.; Saucier, L.; Lacroix, M. Inhibitory Effects of Selected Plant Essential Oils on the Growth of Four Pathogenic Bacteria: *E. Coli* O157: H7, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food Control.* **2007**, *18*, 414–420.
35. Latti, P.; Ramanarayanan, S.; Prashant, G.M. Antifungal efficacy of spice extracts against *Candida albicans*: An in vitro study. *Indian, J. Community Med.* **2019**, *44* (Suppl. 1), S77–S80.
36. Khan, R.; Islam, B.; Akram, M.; Shakil, S.; Ahmad, A.A.; Ali, S.M.; Siddiqui, M.; Khan, A.U. Antimicrobial activity of five herbal extracts against Multi Drug Resistant (MDR) strains of bacteria and fungus of clinical origin. *Molecules* **2009**, *14*, 586–597.