

#### Abstract

The study of eating behavior has become increasingly important due to the alarming high prevalence of lifestyle related chronic diseases. In this study, we investigated the feasibility of automatic detection of eating events using affordable consumer wearable devices, including Fitbit wristbands, Mi Bands, and FreeStyle Libre continuous glucose monitor (CGM). Random forest and XGBoost were applied to develop binary classifiers for distinguishing eating and noneating events. Our results showed that the proposed method can recognize eating events with an average sensitivity of up to 71%. The classifier using random forest with SMOTE resampling exhibited the best overall performance.

## Introduction

The monitoring of eating behavior can help detect eating patterns and disorders. Many systems have been developed to achieve accurate detection of eating episodes using ubiquitous computing technologies.

The most used sensing modalities include accelerometer, photoplethysmogram (PPG), electromyography (EMG), and sound recorder. These sensors are used to measure jaw movement, wrist movement, chewing sound, and blood flow variation, which are fed into the detection models as input signals. The dilemma in eating activity detection is that the most effective method, which is detecting jaw movement, requires special devices that are not widely available, while using popular consumer wearable sensors cannot achieve satisfactory detection accuracy.

Our challenge is to improve the detection of eating activities by using wearable devices. We investigated whether glucose level might improve detection results. This sensing modality is easy to obtain because devices measuring glucose level are widely used by diabetic patients, so they're easily available and usable.

# **Contact Information**

- Nathan Cleyet-Marrel, Toulouse, France,
- nathan.cleyetmarrel@etu.toulouse-inp.fr • Lauriane Bertrand, Toulouse, France,
- lauriane.bertrand@etu.toulouse-inp.fr
- Zilu Liang, Kyoto/Tokyo, Japan, <u>liang.zilu@kuas.ac.jp</u>

0.90 -

0.80 -

0.75-

0.70 -

0.6 -

0.4 -

# **Recognizing Eating Activities in Free-living Environment** using Consumer Wearable Devices

Nathan Cleyet-Marrel<sup>1</sup>, Lauriane Bertrand<sup>1</sup>, Zilu Liang<sup>2</sup>, PhD <sup>1</sup> ENSEEIHT, <sup>2</sup>Ubiquitous and Personal Computing Lab, Faculty of Engineering, KUAS

**Dataset preparation:** Data were collected from 10 participants (19-51 years old) using 3 sensors (Fig. 2-4). Ground truth was recorded via the aTimeLogger app (Fig. 1). The data collection experiment last up to two weeks.

#### Feature construction and selection: 2 datasets

• Dataset I - steps and heart rate data of both wristbands for all participants

• Dataset II - steps, heart rate and glucose data of all participants.

The datasets were labelled based on the ground truth and were preprocessed to handle conflicting, erroneous and missing data. Features were constructed by using the tsfresh Python library and selected by applying a random forest algorithm. Table I shows the number of features extracted from each sensory modality after feature selection,

Model training and performance evaluation:

- **Resampling:** random down sampling, SMOTE, random up sampling - ML algorithms: random forest (RF) and extreme gradient boosting tree (XGB)

- Model tuning and testing: grid search with nested 10-fold cross validation, performing binary classification between eating and non-eating events

- Performance measures: accuracy, F1-score, Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC), precision, sensitivity, specificity



# References

Garaulet, M.; Gómez-Abellán, P.; Alburquerque-Béja, J. J.; et al. Timing of food intake predicts weight loss effectiveness. International Journal of Obesity, 37, pp. 604-611, 2013. Gabel, K.; et al. Effects of 8-hour time restricted feeding on body weight and metabolic disease risk factors in obese adults: A pilot study. Nutr Healthy Aging, 4, 4, pp. 345–353, 2018. 3. Bi, C.; et al. FamilyLog: Monitoring Family Mealtime Activities by Mobile Devices. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, 19, pp. 1818-1830, 2020. 4. Bi, S.; Wang, T.; Tobias, N.; et al. Auracle: Detecting Eating Episodes with an Ear-mounted Sensor. Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol, 2, 3, Article 92, 2018. 5. Papapanagiotou, V.; Diou, C.; Zhou, L.; et al. A Novel Chewing Detection System Based on PPG, Audio, and Accelerometry. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform, 21, 3, pp. 607-618, 2017. 6. Liang, Z.; Chapa-Martell, M. A. Accuracy of Fitbit wristbands in measuring sleep stage transitions and the effect of us-er-specific factors. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 7, 6, e13384, 2019, doi: Doi:10.2196/13384 7. Liang, Z.; Chapa-Martell, M. A. Validity of consumer activity wristbands and wearable EEG for measuring overall sleep parameters and sleep structure in free-living conditions. Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research, pp.1-27, 2018 8. Liang, Z.; Ploderer, B; Chapa-Martell, M.A. Is fitbit fit for sleep-tracking?: sources of measurement errors and proposed countermeasures. In the Proceedings of the 11th EAI International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare, Barcelona, Spain, 2017.

9. Liang, Z.; Chapa-Martell, M. A. Achieving accurate ubiquitous sleep sensing with consumer wearable activity wristbands using multi-class imbalanced classification. In Proceedings of the The 17th IEEE Intl Conf Perv Intel Comp, Fukuoka, Japan, 2019. 10. Liang, Z.; Chapa-Martell, M. A. A two-stage imbalanced learning method to sleep stage classification using consumer activity trackers. In Proceedings of HEALTHINF 2020, Valletta, Malta, 2020.

## Methods and Materials



Figure 1. aTimeLogger app.





Figure 2. Freestyle libre 2 sensor.



Figure 3. Fitbit Charge 3 and its app.



Figure 4. Mi band 4 and its app.

#### Results

Resampling techniques significantly improved sensitivity (i.e., the accuracy in detecting eating events) and F1-score, but at the sacrifice of precision and specificity (i.e., the accuracy in detecting non-eating events).

On average, XGBoost with down sampling (i.e., XGB-D) achieved the highest sensitivity of 0.67, which is 180% increase compared to the baseline models (i.e., RF and XGB). Nevertheless, the specificity of XGB-D was reduced by 23% compared to the baseline models. Overall, XGB-D improved F1-score by 42% but did not significantly improve MCC.

### **Conclusion & Future Work**

The performance of the 8 models with different combinations of machine learning algorithms and resampling techniques shows that random forest with SMOTE resampling has the best overall performance in all models.

The performance of our method is comparable to previous studies in terms of accuracy and precision, but with slightly reduced sensitivity.

In the future work we will apply the sliding window approach as well as investigating the model performance with reduced modality of input data.