

Type of the Paper (Proceedings)



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

34

Comparison analysis of the effect of high and low port-activity season on air quality in the port of Heraklion⁺

Ioannis Logothetis^{*}, Christina Antonopoulou, Konstantinos Sfetsioris, Adamantios Mitsotakis, Panagiotis Grammelis

- ¹ Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute;
- * Correspondence: logothetis@certh.gr
- + Presented at the 4rd International Electronic Conference on Atmospheric Sciences, 16–31 July 2021; Available online https://ecas2021.sciforum.net/

Abstract: Emissions from port operation and shipping have a significant impact on climate risk 11 affecting the environment and human health in coastal regions (UNEP). The port of Heraklion, lo-12 cated on the island of Crete over the eastern Mediterranean, plays a key role in the sustainability of 13 Southeast Europe. Its impact on operation affects the socio-economic life and development because 14Heraklion is not just a tourist destination, but also a significant trade and transportation center over 15 the eastern Mediterranean. This study investigates the impact of port operation on the air quality of 16 Heraklion between two representative periods. The first, which is considered as the high port-ac-17 tivity season was conducted from 02.08.2018 to 08.08.2018, while the second one between 11.05.2018 18 and 16.05.2018. For the air quality measurements, a low-cost sensor is used while the recordings are 19 initially compared and finally evaluated based on the available data of the monitoring station of the 20 Ministry of Environment and Energy. To investigate the air quality differences between the two 21 studied periods, the correlation analysis, the hourly evolution of pollutants, the mean differences 22 between high and low period for gaseous pollutants and particulate matter are studied. Moreover, 23 the effect of meteorology on air quality is investigated. The results indicate that the high season is 24 characterized by significantly higher concentrations of pollutants compared to the other. In both 25 seasons studied, the air pollution level increases during hours of high port-activity indicating the 26 impact of the port-activity on air quality in Heraklion. The analysis shows that meteorology affects 27 the air quality. In particular, strong wind speeds are associated with lower concentrations of gase-28 ous pollutants other than ozone which is affected by atmospheric circulation. Finally, the analysis 29 emphasizes the importance of further investigation of the impact of port operations on coastal air 30 quality in the context of sustainable development. 31

Keywords air quality, ships, port operation, eastern Mediterranean, climate risk, green and sustain-32able development.33

1. Introduction

The eastern Mediterranean is a crucial area for the economy and sustainable devel-35 opment, as it is located over the trade crossroads of three continents (Asia, Africa and 36 Europe). This region is one of the major marine routes over the world, with a rapidly 37 growing trade activity due to globalization and socio-economic development [1]. Even if 38 the port activity is a key feature of economic development, its impact on the environment 39 and human health concerns the scientific community [2]. Increased shipping and port op-40 eration affect on the air quality degradation, and it also contributes to the increase of 41 coastal climate risk [3, 4]. The Mediterranean region is recognized by the United Nations 42 Environmental Programme [1] as one of the most prominent and vulnerable climate 43 change hot-spots [5, 6, 7] and a key area in terms of shipping, navigation and human 44 health consequences [8-10]. It is clear that port emissions are a small fraction of global 45

Citation: Logothetis, I..; Antonopoulou, C.; Sfetsioris, K.; Mitsotakis, A.; Grammelis P. Comparison analysis of the effect of high and low port-activity season on air quality in the port of Heraklion . *Proceedings* **2021**, 65, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/).

Proceedings 2021, 65, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

shipping, but have an important impact on human health [3, 11], as global shipping emission mortality for 2020 was estimated at 250,000 per year [12].

Emissions from navigation, ships on berth and port operation are a significant com-3 ponent of pollution including gases (NOx, SOx, CO, O3), particulate matter (PM) and 4 GHGs [13]. Excluding emissions, air quality is affected by weather [14], while additionally 5 the concentration of pollutants is sensitive to climate and meteorological parameters [15, 6 16]. The emissions from port operation and shipping are projected to increase in the fu-7 ture. In particular, the economic development is projected to increase the CO2 be-8 tween50% to 250% by 2050 [17], if mitigation measures are not taken by policy makers. 9 Generally, the emissions from transport have been decreased since 1900 except for ship-10 ping, where PM, SO2 and NOx emissions have been increased [8, 18] representing 11 about10% of GHG emissions by 2050 [17]. Previous studies have investigated the impact 12 of port operation and shipping on urban coastal areas [19, 20]. The impact of shipping on 13 air quality in Adriatic and Ionian ports has already been studied using numerical models, 14 measurements and air emission estimations [21]. This analysis shown that maritime emis-15 sions contribute to the SO2 concentration more significantly than the road traffic. 16 However, the shipping contributes to the concentration of PM and NOx in the same level 17 as the road traffic. Furthermore, the meteorological conditions affect the concentration of 18 pollutants and modulate the plume behavior affecting the dispersion of pollution [22]. 19 10% to 30% of PM2.5 in large coastal Mediterranean cities comes from shipping [23]. 20 Additionally, the air quality of Istanbul and Athens is affected significantly by the ship-21 ping [24]. During the period from 2013 to 2016, Civitavecchia port activity in Italy contrib-22 utes significantly to the concentration of NO2 (33%), PM10 (43%) and SO2 (60%) affecting 23 the local air quality [8]. 24

Heraklion is one of the most important Greek ports and its economic activity is a 25 significant factor for the socio-economic and sustainable development of the eastern Med-26 iterranean. It attracts thousands of tourists annually and it is also a significant partner for 27 the transit, transportation and trade network over eastern Mediterranean [25]. There are 28 not previous studies which investigate the impact of shipping and port activity over the 29 ports of south Aegean Sea. This study investigates the impact of port operation and ship 30 emissions in order to characterize the air quality, based on conducted air measurement 31 campaigns in the Heraklion port, that compared a high and a low port activity season 32 during 2018. Moreover, the effect of meteorological conditions on the air quality is inves-33 tigated for the two studied periods. 34

2. Methods and Data

The Heraklion city (25° 8' 53.7144" E, 35° 20' 30.6456" N) is located on the north-central 36 coast of Crete island and it is one of the most important shipping ports and ferry docks 37 over eastern Mediterranean (Figure 1a). Heraklion is the capital of Crete and the fourth 38 largest city of Greece (the urban area population is about 210,000). Moreover, it is one of 39 the most popular tourist destinations during the summer months and an important trade 40 hub for the eastern Mediterranean. The present analysis employs recordings from a low-41 cost sensor (LCS) operated at the port of Heraklion. The LCS recordings include gases 42 (NOx; ppb, NO; ppb, NO2; ppb, O3; ppb, CO; ppm, SO2; ppb), particle matters (PM1, 43 PM2.5, PM4, PM10, PM_tot; µg/m³) and meteorological parameters (wind speed - WS; 44 m/s, wind direction - WDir; °, temperature - T; °C, pressure - P; hPa and relative humidity; 45 RH - %). Some of the basic characteristics of the LCS used for the analysis are shown in 46 Table 1. To investigate the impact of port operation and shipping emissions in the Hera-47 klion port, two representative periods of 2018 are studied. In particular, the first period, 48with high port and shipping activity, is considered the period from 02.08.2018 to 49 08.08.2018, and the second, the low port and shipping activity period, is considered the 50 period from 11.05.2018 to 16.05.2018. In order to evaluate the variability of the LCS record-51 ings, the regression between the LCS anomalies with the corresponding available record-52 ings anomalies (PM10) from the monitoring station of the Ministry of Environment and 53

9

10

11

12

13

Energy (MEEN; Figure 2) is calculated. The Spearman correlation is performed in order 1 to study the relation between the meteorological variables and the concentration of pollu-2 tants [26]. Finally, the hourly evolution of pollutants concentration and the meteorological 3 parameters are studied to better understand the impact of port operation and shipping on 4 the air quality of Heraklion port. For the analysis, the hours with strong (weak) wind 5 speed are considered the hours with wind speed higher (lower) to the 90% (10%) of wind 6 speed distribution for the studied period (high or low port activity period, respectively). 7 The statistical significance is studied with a two-tailed t-test (significance levels 95%). 8



Figure 1. (a) Location of Heraklion on Crete Island over eastern Mediterranean (Topography map). (b) Location of monitoring station of MEEN (red star; A) and LCS (blue star; B).

| Table 1. The low-cost sensors | (LCS) used for the a | analysis and the corres | ponding certificates. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|

| Sensor | Certifications | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| PM10 and PM2.5 (HORIBA APDA-372 | CERTIFICATE QAL 1 (TUV Rheinland Energie und Umwelt GmbH) VDI 4202-1 (2002), VDI 4203-3 (2004), EN14211 (2012), EN 15267-1 (2009), EN 15267-2 (2009) | | |
| СО | CERTIFICATE QAL 1 (TUV Rheinland Energie und Umwelt GmbH) VDI 4202-1 (2002), | | |
| (HORIBA APMA 370) | VDI 4203-3 (2004), EN14211 (2012), EN 15267-1 (2009), EN 15267-2 (2009) | | |
| O3 | CERTIFICATE QAL 1 (TUV Rheinland Energie und Umwelt GmbH) VDI 4202-1 (2002), | | |
| (HORIBA APOA 370) | VDI 4203-3 (2004), EN14211 (2012), EN 15267-1 (2009), EN 15267-2 (2009) | | |
| SO2 | CERTIFICATE QAL 1 (TUV Rheinland Energie und Umwelt GmbH) VDI 4202-1 (2002), | | |
| (HORIBA APSA 370) | VDI 4203-3 (2004), EN14211 (2012), EN 15267-1 (2009), EN 15267-2 (2009) | | |
| NOx | CERTIFICATE QAL 1 (TUV Rheinland Energie und Umwelt GmbH) VDI 4202-1 (2002), | | |
| (HORIBA APNA 370) | VDI 4203-3 (2004), EN14211 (2012), EN 15267-1 (2009), EN 15267-2 (2009) | | |
| Meteorological parameters | THEODOR FRIEDRICHS | | |

3. Results

In order to evaluate the variability of recordings from the LCS the regression coefficient 14 of the anomalies of the available recordings (PM10) from the monitoring station of Minis-15 try of Environment and Energy (MEEN) regarding the anomalies of PM10 recordings of 16 LCS are calculated. The LCS is located in a representative position for the air quality of 17 the port of Heraklion (according to the indication of the Heraklion Port Authority S.A. in 18 order to ensure the representative sampling of recordings). The official monitoring station 19 (MEEN) is located southwest of the LCS location (Figure 1b). During the high port activity 20 season, the dominant sector for the wind speed is from WNW to NW (~300°) directions 21 and during the low port activity season, the wind speed blows from SSW (~200°; with 22 high variability) directions, respectively. The dominant pattern of wind speed and direc-23 tion during high season possibly reduce the impact of the port activity on central west 24 area of Heraklion. To compare the PM10 recordings between LCS and the MEEN station, 25 the recordings during the hours with high port activity are excluded from the regression 26 analysis (Figure 2; please note that the red points denote recordings during high port ac-1 tivity hours). This analysis, for the high season, shows a regression coefficient equal to 2 0.93 (R=0.69, SE 0.1 and p-value <0.05; Figure 2) between the LCS and MEEN station re-3 cordings. For the low port activity season, the regression coefficient of PM10 anomalies of 4 MEEN recordings on the PM10 anomalies of LCS is about 2.0 (R=0.77, SE 0.15 and p-value 5 <0.05). The wind direction (as recorded by LCS in the port) prevails mainly from SSW 6 direction. This may reduce the impact of port activity on the air quality acting as a "ven-7 tilation system" for the central and western urban area of Heraklion during low port 8 activity season. The analysis shows that port operation and shipping affect the air qual-9 ity of Heraklion. The impact of port operations during the high port activity season affects 10 more significantly the air quality of Heraklion compared to the low port activity season. 11



Figure 2. Scatter plot and linear regression of PM10 anomalies of the monitoring station of MEEN13(Ref. PM10) recordings on LCS PM10 anomalies during the high port activity season. Red points14indicate the recordings that are excluded from the regression analysis during the high shipping15hours.16

The correlation coefficients between air quality (gases and PM) and meteorological 17 parameters for both high (left panel) and low (right panel) port activity season are shown 18 in Figure 3. This analysis shows that wind speed (WS) is associated with the reduction of 19 gases pollutants except for ozone (O3). The concentration of O3 and the wind speed show 20 a positive correlation possibly due to the impact of summer low tropospheric circulation 21 [27-29]. The highest positive correlation coefficient during the high port activity season 22 (compared to the low port activity one) is related to the dominant characteristics of atmos-23 pheric circulation during the summer period [27]. Moreover, during high season, the wind 24 speed is not correlated with PM (PM1 excluded). This is explained by the dominant mode 25 of lower summer atmospheric circulation, the northerly winds over the eastern Mediter-26 ranean (the Etesians regime) [27, 28, 30], which contribute to the transfer of PM [31]. In 27 particular, the weaker wind speed during the low port activity season (compared to the 28 high port activity season) and the features of low troposphere circulation over eastern 29 Mediterranean illustrate the negative relation between wind speed and PM in the port. 30 The positive correlation between gaseous pollutants and PM results from the chemical 31 processes (photochemical reactions and photolysis during daytime hours) [32] and the 32 impact of port activity (operation and shipping) on local air quality. 33

14

15

16

The ratio of PM2.5/PM10 usually is associated with the sources. The highest ratio 1 shows the largest contribution of PM2.5 indicating primarily pollution for anthropogenic 2 activities [33]. During the high port activity season, the ratio is equal to 0.6 (with a stand-3 ard deviation of 0.07) and during the low season, it is equal to 0.54 (with a standard devi-4 ation of 0.08). Moreover, the hours with stronger wind speed compared to the hours with 5 weaker wind speed show a lower PM2.5/PM10 ratio (approximately-0.09). Please note 6 that, for the high port activity season, the hours with stronger wind speed are considered 7 the hours with wind speed greater than 90% of wind speed distribution of high season 8 and the hours with weak wind speed are considered the hours with wind speed less than 9 10% of wind speed distribution of high season, respectively. During the high season, the 10 negative difference between PM2.5/PM10 ratios for the hours with high port activity and 11 the hours with low port activity suggests that strong wind speed is associated with lower 12 13



Figure 3. Correlation coefficients for air quality (pollutants) and meteorological parameters (a) for High port activity and (b) for Low port activity season.

To study the impact of port operation and shipping on the air quality of Heraklion 17 port, the hourly evolution of the concentration of pollutants and meteorology parameters 18 during high and low port activity season (Figure 4) is calculated. During the high port 19 activity season, the winds prevail from NWW (~300°) direction having low variability 20 (Figure 4a) and the wind speed is stronger about 1.34m/s compared to the low season 21 (Figure 4b). The temperature (Figure 4c) shows less variability during the high season 22 compared to the low season. The hourly variation of the concentration of PM and gases 23 (excluding O3) shows two peaks, the one around 6:00-12:00 LT and the other around 24 20:00-23:00 LT. These are the two time periods during the day with maximum port oper-25 ation and shipping traffic. The hourly ozone concentration follows the hourly solar activ-26 ity and it is affected by the photochemical and photolysis reactions [32]. In particular, the 27 correlation between O3 and NO2 is -0.81 and -0.8 during the high and the low season 28 (Figure 3), respectively. During the high port activity season, the concentration of pollu-29 tants shows statistically significant higher values compared to the low season. The PMs 30 show an increase of about 4.5mg/m³ between the two studied seasons. Additionally, the 31 difference between the concentrations for NO, NO2 and SO2 is higher by about 14ppb, 32 8ppb and 5ppb, respectively. The only exception is the CO which shows a slight increase. 33 To sum up, the analysis of hourly evolution clearly shows the effect of the port activity on 34 the local air quality. Additionally, wind speed (WS) and wind direction (WDir) play a 35 significant role in the air quality by reducing the concentration of pollutants in port. 36



Figure 4. Hourly evolution of meteorology (a-e) and air quality parameters (f-p). The red/ blue lines indicate the high/ low port activity season. The shaded area indicates the range between lower and higher hourly variability during the two studied seasons (red for the high and blue for the low port activity season, respectively).

4. Conclusion

This study investigates the impact of the port operation and shipping on the air quality of 7 the port city of Heraklion. For the analysis, the comparison of a high and a low port activ-8 ity season during 2018 is conducted. The results show that port activity and shipping are 9 associated with the degradation of the local air quality for both studied seasons. The im-10 pact of port activity is more significant during the high season compared to low season. 11 In particular, the gases and PM are rising whereas the ozone presents insignificant 12 changes due to the impact of low troposperic circulation over the eastern Mediterranean. 13 The wind speed is significantly anti-correlated with gasses pollutants. Wind speed and 14 wind direction are fundamental meteorological components which affect the concentra-15 tion of pollutants in Heraklion port. Moreover, the PM2.5/PM10 ratio increases during the 16 high port activity season compared to the low season indicating the impact of port oper-17 ation and shipping on the local air quality. Finally, the analysis highlights the impact of 18 port operations and shipping activities on coastal air quality in the context of Paris agree-19 ment [34], coastal climate risk and sustainable development. 20

Funding: This research was funded by the Connecting Europe Facility of the European Union, grant21number 2014-EU-TM-0673-S and The APC was funded by Poseidon Med II Action No.2014-EU-TM-220673-S23

6

1

2

3

4

Data Availability Statement: Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://ypen.gov.gr/

Acknowledgments: The study received support by POSEIDON MEDII project which is co-financed 3 by the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) Transport Sector of the European Union. The authors 4 would like to thank the DEPA Commercial S.A (project coordinator) and DESFA S.A. (project tech-5 nical coordination). The authors acknowledge the Ministry of Environment and Energy (MEEN) for 6 the available recordings of the Heraklion monitoring station (https://ypen.gov.gr/). We wish to 7 thank Heraklion Port Authority S.A. and personnel for providing information regarding the port 8 activity to guarantee the representative sampling of recordings for the analysis. Also, we would like 9 to thank Envirosys Ltd. Environmental Applications Technological Equipment, Athens, Greece, for 10 the collaboration and the availability of the Horiba Ltd. Sensors. 11

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. United Nations Environment Programme Mediterranean Action Plan (2016): Report of the 19th ordinary meeting of the contracting parties to the convection for the protection of the marine environment and the coastal region of the Mediterranean and its protocols, 19th Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean and its Protocols Athens, Greece, **9-12 February 2016**, UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28
- 2. Prussi, M.; Scarlat, N.; Acciaro, M.; Kosmas, V. Potential and limiting factors in the use of alternative fuels in the European maritime sector, Journal of Cleaner Production, **2021**, Volume 291, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.125849.
- 3. Corbett, J. J.; Wang, C.; Winebrake, J. J.; Green, E. Allocation and Forecasting of Global Ship Emissions; Clean Air Task Force and Friends of the Earth International: Boston, MA, January, 11, **2007**; 26.
- 4. Wang T.; Qu Z.; Yang Z.; Nichol T.; Dimitriu D.; Clarke G.; Bowden D. How can the UK road system be adapted to the impacts posed by climate change? By creating a climate adaptation framework Transp. Res. Part D: Transp. Environ., 77 (2019), pp. 403-424
- 5. Giorgi F. Climate change hot-spots (2006). Geophysical Research Letters. 33. L08707. doi:10.1029/2006GL025734. 2006.
- 6. Plan Bleu, (2009). Etat de l'environnement et du developpement en Mediterranee 2009. Athens, Plan Bleu.
- 7. Lionello P.; Gacic M.; Gomis D.; Herrera R.C.; Giorgi F., Planton S.; Trigo R.; Theocharis A.; Michael N.; Ulbrich T.U.; Xoplaki E., **2012**, Program focuses on climate of the Mediterranean region, Eos, Vol. 93, No.10, doi: 10.1029/2012EO100001.
- 8. Gobbi G. P.; Liberto L.; Barnaba F. Impact of port emissions on EU-regulated and non-regulated air quality indicators: The case of Civitavecchia (Italy), Science of The Total Environment, Volume 719, **2020**, 134984, ISSN 0048-9697, doi: /10.1016/j.sci-totenv.2019.134984
- 9. UNCTAD, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Review of Maritime Transport **2012**, UNCTAD/RMT/2012., United Nations 2012), ISBN 978-92-1-112860-4
- 10. Eyring V.; Isaksen I. S. A.; Berntsen T.; Collins W. J.; Corbett J. J. Endresen O; Grainger R G; Moldanova J; Schlager H. and Stevenson D. S., Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate: shipping Atmos. Environ., **2010**, 44 4735–71
- 11. Viana M.; Fann N.; Tobías A.; Querol X.; Rojas-Rueda D.; Plaza A.; Aynos G.; Conde JA; Fernández L; Fernández C. Environmental and health benefits from designating the Marmara Sea and the Turkish Straits as an emission control area (ECA). Environ Sci Technol. **,2015 Mar 17**;49(6):3304-13. doi: 10.1021/es5049946
- 12. Sofiev, M.; Winebrake, J.J.; Johansson, L.; Carr E.W.; Prank M.; Soares J.; Vira J.; Kouznetsov R.; Jalkanen J.-P. & Corbett J.J.Cleaner fuels for ships provide public health benefits with climate tradeoffs. Nat. Commun. 9, 406 (**2018**). doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02774-9
- 13. Progiou, A.G.; Ziomas, I.C. Road traffic emissions impact on air quality of the Greater Athens Area based on a 20year emissions inventory. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2011**, 10–411, 1–7
- 14. Kalisa, E.; Fadlallah, S.; Amani, M.; Nahayo, L.; Habiyaremye, G. Temperature and air pollution relationship during heatwaves in Birmingham, UK. Sustain. Cities Soc. **2018**, 43, 111–120
- 15. Westervelt, D.M.; Horowitz, L.W.; Naik, V.; Tai, A.P.K.; Fiore, A.M.; Mauzerall, D.L. Quantifying PM2.5-meteorology sensitivities in a global climate model. Atmos.Environ., **2016**, 142, 43–56. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.07.040
- 16. Chen, Z.; Zhuang, Y.; Xie, X.; Chen, D.; Cheng, N.; Yang, L.; Li, R. Understanding long-term variations of meteorological influences on ground ozone concentrations inBeijing During 2006–2016. Environ. Pollut., **2018a**, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.117
- 17. Third IMO Greenhouse Gas Study 2014, Safe, secure and efficient shipping on clean oceans, Executive Summary and Final, Report Published in 2015 by the international maritime organization 4 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7SR www.imo.org
- 18. EEA, 2017b, 'Emissions of air pollutants from transport', TERM indicator 003, European Environment Agency (https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-8/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-4), **2017b**.
- 19. Tzannatos, E. Ship emissions and their externalities for the port of Piraeus Greece. Atmospheric Environment, 44, 400-407, **2010**, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.10.024, 2010.

1 2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

- 20. Viana M.; Hammingh P.; Colette A.; Querol X.; Degraeuwe B.; de Vlieger A.; van Aardenne J. Impact of maritime transport emissions on coastal air quality in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 90 (2014), pp. 96-105
- Merico, E.; Gambaro, A.; Argiriou, A.; Alebic-Juretic, A.; Barbaro, E.; Cesari, D.; Chasapidis, L.; Dimopoulos, S.; Dinoi, A.; Jonateo, A.; Giannaros, C.; Gregoris, E.; Karagiannidis, A.; Konstandopoulos, A.G; Ivošević, T.; Liora, N.; Melas, D.; Mifka, B.; Orlić, I.; Poupkou, A.; Sarovic, K.; Tsakis, A.; Giua, R.; Pastore, T.; Nocioni, A.; Contini, D. Atmospheric impact of ship traffic in four Adriatic-Ionian port-cities: Comparison and harmonization of different approaches. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ., 2017, 50, 431–445.
- 22. Merico, E.; Donateo, A.; Gambaro, A.; Cesari, D.; Gregoris, E.; Barbaro, E.; Dinoi, A.; Giovanelli, G.; Masieri, S.; Contini, D. Influence of in-port ships emissions to gaseous atmospheric pollutants and to particulate matter of different sizes in a Mediterranean harbour in Italy. Atmos. Environ., **2016**, 139, 1–10.
- 23. Thunis P.; Degraeuwe B.; Pisoni E.; Trombetti M.; Peduzzi E.; Belis C.A.; Wilson J.; Clappier A.; Vignati E. PM2.5 source allocation in European cities: A SHERPA modelling study, Atmospheric Environment, **2018**, Volume 187, Pages 93-106, ISSN 1352-2310, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.05.062.
- 24. Kanakidou M.; Mihalopoulos N.; Kindap T.; Im U.; Vrekoussis M.; Gerasopoulos E.; Dermitzaki E.; Unal A.; Koçak M.; Markakis K.; Melas D.; Kouvarakis G.; Youssef A. F.; Richter A.; Hatzianastassiou N.; Hilboll A.; Ebojie F.; Wittrock F.; von Savigny C.; Burrows J. P.; Ladstaetter-Weissenmayer A.; Moubasher H. Megacities as hot spots of air pollution in the East Mediterranean, Atmospheric Environment, Volume 45, Issue 6, **2011**, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.048.
- Apostolakis A.; Dimou I.; Kourgiantakis M.; Viskadouraki I. The Impact of Cultural Resources on Tourists' Decision to Visit a Destination. The Case of Heraklion, Crete, In book: Cultural Management From Theory to Practice, Publisher: London Scientific, ISBN 978-0-9954618-7-1
- Jiang, Y.; Chen, J.; Wu, C; Xin L.; Zhou Q.; Ji S.; Yang S.; Zhang X.; Liu B. Temporal cross-correlations between air pollutants and outpatient visits for respiratory and circulatory system diseases in Fuzhou, China. BMC Public Health 20, 1131 (2020). doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08915-y
- 27. Solomou E.; Poupkou A.; Bolis S.; Zanis P;, Lazaridis M.; and Melas M. "Evaluating near-surface ozone levels simulated from MACC global and regional modelling systems in Eastern Mediterranean under the influence of Etesian winds," Atmos. Res., vol. 208, pp. 191-200, Aug. **2017**. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.09.010
- Logothetis I.; Tourpali K.; Misios S.; Zanis P. Etesians and the summer circulation over East Mediterranean in Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 simulations: Connections to the Indian summer monsoon. Int J Climatol. 2019; 1–14. doi: 10.1002/joc.6259
- 29. Dafka S.; Akritidis D;, Zanis P.; Pozzer A.; Xoplaki E.; Luterbacher J.; Zerefos C. On the link between the Etesian winds, tropopause folds and troposphericozone over the Eastern Mediterranean during summer, Atmospheric Research, Volume 248, **2021**, 105161, ISSN 0169-8095, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105161.
- 30. Tyrlis, E.; Lelieveld, J. Climatology and dynamics of the summer Etesian windsover the EM. J. Atmos. Sci. 70, 3374–3396, **2013**, doi: 10.1175/JAS–D–13–035.1.
- Lazaridis M.; Dzumbova L.; Kopanakis I.; Ondracek J.; Glytsos T.; Aleksandropoulou V.; Voulgarakis A.; Katsivela E.; Mihalopoulos N.; Eleftheriadis K.PM10 and PM2.5 levels in the Eastern Mediterranean (Akrotiri Research Station, Crete, Greece), 2008, Water, Air, Soil Pollut 189:85–101
- 32. Han S.; Bian H.; Feng Y.; Liu A.; Li X.; Zeng F.; Zhang X. Analysis of the Relationship between O3, NO and NO2 in Tianjin, China, *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 11: 128–139, **2011**, doi: 10.4209/aaqr.2010.07.0055
- 33. Zhao, C.; Li, Y.N.; Zhang, F.; Sun, Y.L.; Wang, P.C Growth rates of fine aerosol particles at a site near Beijing in June 2013. Adv. Atmos. Sci. 35(2), 209–217 (2018). doi: 10.1007/s00376-017-7069-3
- 34. United Nations Climate Change Annual Report 2019, ISBN: 978-92-9219-190-0

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41