



1

2

3

4

5

6 7

Source and source region of carbonaceous species and trace elements in PM₁₀ over Delhi, India

Rubiya Banoo 1,2*, Sudhir Kumar Sharma^{1,2}, Martina Rani^{1,2}, Tuhin Kumar Mandal^{1,2}

2

1 CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, D, K S Krishnan Road, New Delhi-110012, India

* Correspondence: rubiyabanoo31@gmail.com

Abstract: The study investigated the carbonaceous species [elemental carbon (EC), organic 8 carbon (OC), water soluble organic carbon (WSOC)] along with the trace elements (Al, S, Ti, Mn, 9 Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Br, Pb, Cr, F, Cl, Na, K, Mg, Ca, P) in PM10 over megacity Delhi, India (collected 10 from 2015-2019) to address the significant scientific issues (i.e., what are the directionality or 11 pathway of these emissions; what are the possible emission sources, which are distressing the 12 observation site; periodical variations in these emissions; whether the emissions are local, regional 13 or trans-boundary). Integration of these problems are addressed using the statistical approaches 14 potential source areas (PSA) [using hybrid modelling i.e., potential source contribution factor 15 (PSCF)], conditional bivariate probability function (CBPF) and principal component analysis 16 (PCA). Further, seasonal PSCF and CBPF indicates local sources (highly polluted residential, 17 traffic congestions and industrial emissions) and regional sources (Haryana, Punjab) dominancy 18 during winter and post-monsoon seasons at the receptor site whereas during summer and 19 monsoon along with local source and the regional, trans-boundaries (Indo-Gangatic 20 plane, Pakistan, Afganistan and Bay of Bengal) air parcel pattern also contribute to the aerosol 21 loading at the site. Moreover, PCA approach framed four common sources [crustal/road dust 22 (RD), industrial emission (IE), fossil fuel combustion + biomass burning (FCC+ BB), vehicular 23 emission (VE)] with one mixed source over the sampling site of Delhi. 24

Keywords: PM10; OC; EC; Elements; PSCF; CBPF; PCA; Delhi

26

27

25

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.;

Lastname, F. Title. Proceedings 2021,

65, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Enhancement of anthropogenic activites in the local and regional regions of 28 mega-city Delhi results in a polluted atmospheric, exposure to such atmosphere has a 29 great impact on the human health and climate [1]. Addition to regional and local 30 source's contribution, meteorological parameters like wind speed and wind direction 31 plays dynamic role in pollutants distribution [2-3]. Mass concentration at the downwind 32 regions (low local emissions) are effected by the long range transported aerosols [1]. 33 Important studies has been focused on the carbonaceous particles (organic carbon OC, 34 elemental carbon EC and water soluble organic carbon (WSOC)) such particle disturb 35 the atmospheric chemistry resulting poor air quality [4]. Organic carbon contains large 36 number of volatile compounds where EC is defined by non-volatile compounds further 37 EC shows a strong light absorbing species [5]. Because of the light weight EC have 38 tendency to travel long range so EC can be consider as a metric over the receptor sites 39

Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad-201002, India

[6-7]. Biomass burning and incomplete in automobiles leads to the EC. While OC 1 generates from sources like gasoline and diesels. Whereas, WSOC may be classified into 2 hydrophilic (moderately) and hydrophilic (strong) portion [8]. Intrested one understand 3 the particulate matter pathways, sources, optical, physical and chemical properties by 4 analyzing the concentration, composition size at the receptor sites [9-10]. 5 Delhi being one of the metropolitan megacity of Asia where urbanization, 6 industrialization economic growth is very rapid, also it is surrounded by Indo-Gangetic 7 plain (IGP) in the East, Thar desert in the West, Himalayas in the North, and the hot plains 8 in the South region [11], it becomes important to observe the atmosphere of such 9 urbanized city. 10

2. Methodology

2.1. Observation Site

Measurements of PM₁₀ were carried out for five year (2015-2019) at the rooftop of 13 CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, Delhi (28°38′N, 77°10′E) at 10m (AGL). This 14 observation site reflects an urban background including walled traffic roads and junctions 15 points, agriculture and residential sector with small scale industries in the north-west [11]. 16

2.2. Sample collection

 PM_{10} samples (*n* = 452) were collected on pre-baked PallFlex tissue quartz filters using 18 Respirable Dust Sampler (average flow rate 1.13 m³min⁻¹; Model: AAS 212 NL, Make: M/s. 19 Ecotech, India) installed at the rooftop of CSIR-NPL, New Delhi from January 2015 -20 December, 2019. The sampler used in this study was periodically calibrated using 21 National Standards [11]. The meteorological parameters were [such as wind speed (WS, 22 accuracy: $\pm 2\%$), wind direction (WD, accuracy: $\pm 3^\circ$), temperature (T, accuracy: $\pm 1^\circ$), and 23 relative humidity (RH accuracy: ±2%)] also collected during the PM10 sampling. National 24 ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) protocol by central pollution control board 25 (CPCB), India, was accepted for sampling throughout. Filters were properly dessicated 26 and stored (at - 20° C) and weighted before and after the sampling so to get the mass of 27 collected PM₁₀. Gravimetrical method (using microbalance: M/s. Sartorius, reolution: ± 10 28 µg) was applied to calculate the concentration of PM₁₀. The samples and their 29 concentrations were further carried for the study of organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon 30 (EC), water soluble organic carbon (WSOC), trace elements, trajectories, potential source 31 contributor factor (PSCF) and conditional bivariate probability function (CBPF). 32

2.3. Analysis (OC,EC,WSOC, trace metals)

PM₁₀ samples along with a filter blank samples were punched to an area 0.536 cm² 34 and carried out OC and EC analysis using thermal/optical carbon analyzer (DRI Model 35 2001A, Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA, USA) working on the principle of preferential 36 oxidation (Improve-A protocol) [12]. The detail about the analytical procedure for OC, 37 EC has been mentioned in [13]. For WSOC analysis TOC-LCPH/CPN, M/s. Shimadzu, 38 Japan Total Organic Carbon Analyzer was runned. Operational calibration was done 39 following standard protocol. Instrumentation details can be found in [14-15]. Assembly 40

17

33

11

1

2

3

4

of WD-XRF (wave lenghth dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence spectrometer) is used for the analysis of elements in PM₁₀. This setup is supposed to quantify elements ranging (B - U). For intensity error blank filters were also analysed. Details are available in [16].

2.4. Potential component analysis (PCA)

Statistical multivariate tools based on true eigen vector (PCA) was used for source 5 apportionment of PM₁₀. PCA is a dimensionality- reduction statistical tool, it reduces the 6 large data set dimension to small dimension which still have the information of large 7 data set [17-18-19]. Extraction is done by forming new orthogonal variables as principle 8 components thus to get the similarity patter between observations and variables [19]. 9 Steps are followed by standardization then orthogonal transformation with Varimax 10 rotation. 11

2.5. Conditional bivariate probability function (CBPF)

12

15

19

27

32

33

Including the meterological parameter (ws and wd) along with the pollutants CBPF 13 discriminate the sources and the directionality. Mathematically defined as 14

CBPF = $(m_{\Delta\theta, \Delta u, /n, \Delta\theta, \Delta u})$ condition : $C \ge x$ (1) As, the numerator represents the number of samples in wind sector ($\Delta\theta$) with wind speed

As, the numerator represents the number of samples in wind sector ($\Delta \theta$) with wind speed 16 (Δu), and the denominator represents the total number of samples. C is measured 17 concentrations, x is threshold criterion. 18

2.6. Trajectory Analysis

Considering the influences of transported pollutants, 5-days isentropic backward 20 trajectories arriving at study site, Delhi (28°38′N, 77°10′E) at 500 m above ground level 21 (AGL) (including the winds in the lower boundary region and neglect surface frictions) 22 were calculated every 5h using HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated 23 Trajectory) model [20]. Backward trajectory represents the range of particulate matter. 24 Further trajectory is the time integration of particle position vector in space as particles are 25 assumed to follow the wind.

Mathematically defination,

$\{P(t+\Delta t)=P(t)+0.5\{V(P,t)+V(P't+\Delta t)\}\Delta t,$	(2)	28
As $P'(t+\Delta t)$ is the first guess position of the particle.		29
$P(t+\Delta t)$ is the final position of the particle.		30
And V(P,t) is the velocity of the particle.		31

2.7. Potential source contribution factor (PSCF)

PSCF is a statistical approach used to measure the residence time of air parcels for a 34 given geographical area. Depending on the geographical scale the entire geographic 35 region covering the trajectories is divided into a series of grid cells. Analysing the 36 trajectory pathways PSCF identifies the source regions. Back trajectories from the 37 receptor sites are represented by the segment endpoints. By defining the number of 38

1 2 3

45

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

 $PSCF_{ij} = m_{ij} / n_{ij}$ (3)

endpoints that fall in the ij^{th} cell as n_{ij} and the number of endpoints that corresponds to a

PM₁₀ concentration above the criterion when arriving at receptor in the same grid cell as

One can interpret PSCF as conditional probability by defining the potential contributions of a grid cell. Arbitrary weighted function W_{ij} is multiplied to the PSCF to scale down the uncertainty due to small n_{ij} . In the present study domain the grid size is $1.0^{\circ} \times 1.0^{\circ}$ further the regions extends from 40°E to 90°E and 10°N to 40°N for all the study sites.

3. Result and Discussions

mij [21].

Mathematically

3.1. Concentration profile

Table 1 contents the annual statistical results of the PM₁₀ and the carbonaceous 15 species. 5-year annul (2015-2019) average concentrations for PM₁₀ was observed to be 16 $237 \pm 104 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ with ranging from $31 - 733 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$. This observed mass concentration 17 exceeds by more than four times of the standard limit (annual : $60 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) defined by 18 NAAQS controlled by central pollution control board (CPCB), India. Analogous 19 scientific results were reported in [16] i.e., 249 ± 103 µgm⁻³, [13] i.e., 191 ± 45 µgm⁻³, [11] 20 i.e., $202 \pm 74 \mu$ gm⁻³, [22] i.e., $238 \pm 106 \mu$ gm⁻³, more like [23-18-24-14]. Likewise, EC (6.7 ± 21 5.2 μ gm⁻³) with range (0.9 – 35.6 μ gm⁻³), OC (25.3 ± 14.6 μ gm⁻³) with rang (4.2 – 77.6 22 μ gm⁻³) and WSOC (10.6 ± 7.5 μ gm⁻³) with range (2.4 – 56.0 μ gm⁻³), respectively. Figure 1 23 showed a positive correlation between EC vs. OC ($R^2 = 0.73$) and OC vs. WSOC ($R^2 = 0.51$) 24 signifying the same sources of origination (biomass burning and/or vehicular emissions), 25 moreover, annual OC/EC profile was 4.3 ± 1.6 (range: 1.3-12.6). Furthermore, the 26 diagonal plots in Figure 1 represents the annual box plot (25% ~ 75%) for EC, OC and 27 WSOC with the mean and median labels. Noted the seasonal variation of PM₁₀ mass 28 concentration as post-monsoon > winter > summer > monsoon. Increasing incineration 29 activities and low boundary layer during dry seasons leads to higher concentration. 30



Figure 1. Annual scatter plot of EC vs OC, OC vs WSOC and the box plots of EC, OC, WSOC

Table 1. Annual average concentrations (average \pm SD) carbonaceous species of PM10

⁵ Carbonaceous species	Concentration	Range
⁶ PM ₁₀ (µg m ⁻³)	237 ± 104	31 - 733
⁷ EC (μg m ⁻³)	6.7 ± 5.2	0.9 – 35.6
⁸ OC (μg m ⁻³)	25.3 ± 14.6	4.2 – 77.6
𝒱SOC (µg m⁻³)	10.6 ± 7.5	2.4 - 56.0
¹⁰ OC/EC	4.3 ±1.6	1.3 – 12.6

3.2. Source apportionment

4

Statistical extraction method PCA with rotation method (Varimax with Kaiser 13 normalization) was applied to 21 chemical parametes of PM10 (EC, OC, WSOC, Al, S, P, 14 Mn, Ti, Br, Pb, Zn, Cr, Na, Ca, Fe, Mg, F, K, Cl, Cu and As) so to classify different possible 15 sources or factors. Implications of the this tool result in five factors (Table 2). With an 16 extracted variance of 18.59% factor-1 was higly loaded with Al, Ti, Mn, P signifying 17 crustal or road dust [16-25]. Pb, Zn, Cr, Na corresponds to the factor-2 loading to PM10 18 with extracted variance 16.56 %, suggesting Industrial emssion origin [26]. Factor-3, with 19 15.59% extracted variance attributes to biomass burning plus fossil fuel combustion as 20 there is significant loading of EC, OC, WSOC, K, S [27] with extracted variance of 15. 59%. 21 Factor-4, extracted variance 13.56%, PM10 is loaded with Ca, Fe, Mg, F, K attributing to a 22 mix source i.e., biomass burning plus road dust. Factor-5, extracted variance 5.95%, Cu is 23 the dominating load to PM10 suggesting vehicular emission origin, brake linings during 24 traffic cogestion emits Cu, thus a good traffic indicator [28]. 25

> 26 27

28

12

1

2

Species	Factor-1	Factor-2	Factor-3	Factor-4	Factor-5
EC	-	0.161	0.833	0.148	-
OC	-	0.154	0.876	0.118	-
WSOC	-	0.160	0.820	-	-
Al	0.893		0.104	0.110	0.111
S	0.157		0.554	-	0.205
Р	0.927	-	-	-	-
Mn	0.897	0.109	-	-	-
Ti	0.798	0.373	-	0.160	-
Br	0.579	0.418	0.247		0.105
Pb	-	0.854	0.159	-	-
Zn	0.129	0.846	0.155	-	-
Cr	0.436	0.823	-		
Na	-	0.543	0.300	0.408	0.284
Ca	0.129	0.207	0.116	0.796	0.288
Fe	0.302		-	0.764	
Mg	-		-	0.653	
F	-	0.177	0.166	0.608	
К	-	0.340	0.549	0.558	-
Cl		0.340	0.388	0.438	-
Cu	0.188	-	0.217		0.805
As	0.208	-	0.263	-	
% Variance	18.59	16.56	15.59	13.56	5.95
CV %	18.59	35.15	50.74	64.30	70.25
Sources	Crustal/RD	IE	BB+FFC	BB+RD	VE

Table 2. Potential component analysis (PCA) of PM10 during study period

RD (road dust); IE (industrial emission); BB (biomass-burning);

FFC (fossil fuel combustion); VE (vehicular emission); CV (cumulative variance)

3.3. Conditional bivariate probability function (CBPF)

To stimulate the local source regions CBPF was programmed in the present study. 6 Figure 2 is the profile for CBPF (for 75% i.e., 318), where pollutant (PM10) was computed 7 along with the meteorological parameters (ws and wd). The radial pattern defines the ws, 8 annual PM10 concentration values > 75th percentile of total observations were attributed to 9 local regions with wind speed (0.5 - 1.5 m/s). The local region emissions could be from 10 traffic, industrial emission and biomass burning, as the location is walled with the traffic 11 junctions and residential area i.e., Patel-Nagar, shadipur, Rajandar place and traffic 12 junction in the north-west and north-east direction including small scale industries in 13 north-west direction. 14

2

1

3 4

5







3.4. Trajactory and potential source contribution factor (PSCF)

Figure 3a. reflects the airparcel pathways to the receptor site. The airparcel followed the 13 flow patter from regional region IGP in the north-east, Haryana, Punjab etc., in the 14 north-west, Gujarat, Rajasthan in the south-west, including the trans-boundry Pakistan, 15 Afganistan, Arabian sea, Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh etc.,. Furthermore, PSCF profile 16 reflects the source contribution from local, regional and trans-boundry respectively. 17 Beside local contribution, regional contribution dominates the trans-boundary during the 18 dry season (post-monsoon and winter) because of the increasing incineration activities 19 (e.g., crop residual burning) in the region Punjab and Haryana. 20



Figure 3. (a) Air parcels pathway (five days backward trajectories) (b) Potential source contribution factor (PSCF), for the observational site CSIR-NPL, New-Delhi.

4. Conclusions

Concluding with the high mean concentration of PM10 (µg m-3), PCA identified five 35 possible sources (crustal/RD, BB+FCC, IE, VE and mixed source), additionally CBPF 36 identified the local regions contributing to the receptor site, whereas trajectory analysis 37 and PSCF concluded the air parcel flow from IGP, Afganistan, Pakistan, Arabian sea, 38

11 12

32

33

Bangladesh, Haryana, Punjab etc., i.e., contribution from regional region along with the trans-boundary in addition to the local regions over the receptor site.

3

9

13

14 15

1

2

Author Contributions: Conceptualization by RB and SKS; Data collection and analysis were 4 performed by RB and MR; the first draft was written by RB and SKS. Data interpretation 5 was carried out by RB, SKS, and TKM. All the authors read and approved the final 6 manuscript. 7

Data Availability Statement: The datasets developed during the current study are available 8 from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to Director, CSIR-NPL and Head, Environmental 10 Science and Biomedical Division (ES&BMD), CSIR-NPL for the encouragement and support. Au-11 thors thankfully acknowledge the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory for download trajectories. 12

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

1.	Xin, Y.; Wang, G.; Chen, L. Identification of long range transported pathways and potential sources of PM10 in Tibet	16
	plateau uplift area: Case study of Xining, China in 2014. Aerosols and air quality research 2016, 16, 1044-1054.	17
	https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2015.05.0296.	18
2.	Fleming, Z. L.; Monks, P.S. Alistair, J. M. Review: Untangling the influence of air-mass history in interpreting observed	19
	atmospheric composition, Atmospheric Research 2012, 104, 1-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.09.009	20
3.	Mahapatra, P.S.; Sinha, P.R.; Boopathya, R.; Dasa, T.; Mohantye, S.; Sahuf, S.C.; Gurjar, B.R. Seasonal progression of at-	21
	mospheric particulate matter over an urban coastal region in peninsular India: Role of local meteorology and long-range	22
	transport. Atmospheric Research 2018, 199,145–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.09.001.	23
4.	Jeong, U.; Kim, J.; Lee, H.; Jung, J. K. Y. J.; Song, C. H.; Koo, J. H. Estimation of the contributions of long range transported	24
	aerosol in East asia to carbonaceous aerosols and PM concentration in Seoul, Korea using highly time resolved measure-	25
	ment: a PSCF model approach. J . Environ. Monit. 2011, 13,1905- 1918. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C0EM00659A.</u>	26
5.	Chung, S. H.; and J. H. Seinfeld, J. H. Global distribution and climate forcing of carbonaceous aerosols, J. Geophys 2002.	27
	Res.,107(D19),4407. https://doi:10.1029/2001JD001397.	28
6.	Chýlek, P.; Ramaswamy, V.; & Cheng, R. J. Effect of graphitic carbon on the albedo of clouds. Journal Of the Atmospheric	29
	Sciences 1984, 41, 3076-3084. https://doi:10.1175/1520-0469,1984.	30
7.	Hansen, A.D.A.; Rosen, H.; Novakov, T. The aethalometer – An instrument for the real-time measurement of optical ab-	31
	sorption by aerosol particles, Science of The Total Environment 1984 , 36, 191-196.	32
	https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(84)90265-1.	33
8.	Yu, Q.; Chen, J.; Qin, W.; Cheng, S.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Xin, K.; and Ahmad, M. Characteristics, primary sources and sec-	34
	ondary formation of water-soluble organic aerosols in downtown Beijing. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2021, 21, 1775-1796,	35
	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1775-2021.	36
9.	Putaud J. P.; Van Dingenen R.; Alastuey A.; Bauer H.; Birmili W.; Cyrys J. A European aerosol phenomenology-3: Physi-	37
	cal and chemical charac-teristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside sites across Europe. Atmospheric	38
	Environment 2010, 44(10), 1308–1320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.011	39
10.	Yin, J.; & Harrison, R. M. Pragmatic mass closure study for PM1, PM2.5and PM10 at roadside, urban background and	40
	rural sites. Atmospheric Environment 2010,42(5), 980–988.	41

11.	Jain, S.; Sharma, S. K.; Srivatava, M. K.; Chaterjee, A.; Singh, R. K.; Mandal, T. K.; Saxena, M. Source apportionment of	1
	PM10 over three tropical urban atmospheres at Indo- Gangetic Plain of India: An approach using different receptor mod-	2
	els. Arch Environment Contamination and Toxicology 2018, 76, 114-128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-018-0572-4	3
12.	Chow, J. C.; Watson, J. G.; Chen, L. W. A.; Arnott, W. P.; Moosmüller, H.; Fung, K. Equivalence of elemental carbon by	4
	thermal/optical reflectance and transmittance with different temperature protocols. Environ Science Technol 2004, 38(16),	5
	4414–4422. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es034936u</u>	6
13.	Sharma, S. K.; Mandal, T. K.; Saxena, M.; Sharma, A.; Datta, A.; & Saud, T. (2014). Variation of OC, EC, WSIC and trace	7
	metals of PM10 in Delhi, India. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 2014, 113, 10-22.	8
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.jastp.2014.02.008	9
14.	Banoo, R.; Sharma, S.K.; Gadi, R.; Gupta, R.; Mandal, T.K. Seasonal variation of carbonaceous species of PM10 over urban	10
	sites of National Capital Region of India. Aerosol Science and Engineering 2020, 4(2) 111-123.	11
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s41810-020-00058-2.	12
15.	Rai, A.; Mukherjee, S.; Chatterjee, A.; Choudhary, N.; Kotnala, G.; Mandal, T.K.; Sharma, S.K. Seasonal variation of OC,	13
	EC and WSOC of PM10 and their CWT analysis over the eastern Himalaya. Aerosol Sci Eng. 2020, 4: 26-40.	14
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s41810-020-00053-7	15
16.	Jain, S.; Sharma, S.K.; Choudhary, N.; Masiwal, R.; Saxena, M.; Sharma, A.; Mandal, T.K.; Gupta, A.; Gupta, N.C.; Sharma,	16
	C. Environment Science and Pollution Research 2017, 24(17),14637-14656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8925-5.	17
17.	Paul, C., Suman, A.A., Sultan., (2013). Methodological Analysis of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Method. Interna-	18
	tional Journal of Computational Engineering & Management 2013 , 16, 32-38.	19
18.	Gupta, S., Gadi, R., Sharma, S.K., Mandal, T.K., (2018). Characterization and source apportionment of organic compounds	20
	in PM10 using PCA and PMF at a traffic hotspot of Delhi. Sustainable Cities and Society 2018, 38, 52-67.	21
	https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCS.2018.01.051.	22
19.	Mishra, S.P.; Sarkar, T.; Taraphder, S.; Datta, S.; Swain, D.P.; Saikhom, R.; Panda, S.; Laishram, M. Multivariate Statistical	23
	Date Analysis-Principal Component Analysis (PCA). International Journal of Livestock Research 2017, 5,	24
	60-78. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/ijlr.20170415115235</u> .	25
20.	Draxler, R.R. and Rolph, G.D. (2003) Hysplit (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model.	26
21.	Liu, N.; Yu, Y.; Chen, J. B.; He, J. J.; Zhao, S. P. Identification of potential sources and transport pathways of atmospheric	27
	PM10 using HYSPLIT and hybrid receptor modelling in Lanzhou, China. Transactions on Ecology and the Environment 2011,	28
	147. <u>http://doi:10.2495/AIR110061</u> .	29
22.	Jain, S.; Sharma, S.K.; Vijayan, N.; Mandal, T.K. Seasonal characteristics of aerosols (PM2.5 and PM10) and their source ap-	30
	portionment using PMF: A four years study over Delhi, India. Environ Poll 2020,262: 114337.	31
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114337.	32
23.	Khillare, P.; Sarkar, S. Airborne inhale metals in resident areas of Delhi, India: Distribution, source apportionment and	33
	health risks. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and physics</i> 2012,3 46-54. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5094/APR.2012.004.</u>	34
24.	Bawase, M.; Sathe, Y.; Khandaskar, H. Chemical composition and source attribution of PM2.5 and PM10 in Delhi-National	35
	Capital Region (NCR) of India: results from an extensive seasonal campaign. J Atmos Chem. 2021, 78, 35–58.	36
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-020-09412-7.	37
25.	Begum, B. A.; Biswas, S. K.; Hopke, P. K. Key issues in controlling air pollutants in Dhaka, Bangladesh, Atmospheric En-	38
	vironment 2010 , 45, 7705-7713, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.022</u> .	39
26.	Balachandran, S.; Meena, B. R.; Khillare, P.S. Particle size distribution and its elemental composition in the ambient air of	40
	Delhi, Environment International 2000, 26, 49-54, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(00)00077-5</u> .	41

27.	Khare, P.; Baruah, B.P. & Rao, P.G. (2011) Water-soluble organic compounds (WSOCMs) in PM2.5 and PM10 at a subtropi-							1		
	cal	site	of	India, Tellus	B:	Chemical	and	Physical	Meteorology	2
	2011 , 63:5	5, 990-1000,	<u>https://d</u>	oi.org/10.1111/j.160)-0889.201	1.00564.x				3
28.	Chakrab	orty, A. and	d Gupta, T	Г. Chemical Charac	terization	and Source App	ortionment	of Submicron (PM1) Aerosol in	4
	Kanpur I	Region, Indi	ia. Aerosol	Air Qual 2010 . 10: 43	33-445. <u>htt</u>	<u>ps://doi.org/10.42</u>	.09/aaqr.200	<u>9.11.0071</u> .		5
										6
										7
										8
										9
										10
										11