



1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

# Proceedings Developing Potentiometric PVC-Plasticized Sensors for Sc<sup>3++</sup>

<mark>Monireh Dehabadi 1-</mark>2, Eugene Legin 1, Andrey Legin 1, Soheila Yaghmaei 2.\*, Vasiliy Babain 1<mark>and</mark> Dmitry Kirsanov 1.\*

- St Petersburg 199034, Russia
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
  - Correspondence: yaghmaei@sharif.edu (S.Y.); d.kirsanov@gmail.com (D.K.)
- + Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Chemical Sensors and Analytical Chemistry, 01–15 July 2021; Available online: https://csac2021.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: Nowadays scandium is widely used in high-tech fields such as electronics, aerospace, op-11 tics, catalysis, and metallurgical industries due to its unique physical, chemical, electric and mag-12 netic characteristics. With the growth of miscellaneous commercial applications of this element and 13 its compounds, monitoring of scandium in technological processes is in demand and thereby mak-14ing it interesting in the analytical chemistry area. Different conventional analytical methods have 15 been employed to measure scandium, and the most often applied are ICP-MS and ICP-AES. Despite 16 the high precision and sensitivity of these tools, serious drawbacks including sophisticated and 17 time-consuming analysis limit their wide use. On the other hand, potentiometric sensors possess 18 merits over these conventional analytical methods due to their cost-effectiveness and reagent-free 19 procedures as well as their reasonable precision and rapid response time. Potentiometric sensors 20 based on polymeric membranes are routinely used to measure different cations and anions, but no 21 study has yet been carried out for scandium sensors. This research is devoted to the development 22 of Sc3+ potentiometric sensors. A series of potentiometric electrodes with polymer plasticized mem-23 branes was prepared using different neutral ligands adopted from liquid extraction of rare earth 24 metals as sensing components. These ligands include phosphine oxides and diamides of various 25 organic acids. The membranes also contained poly(vinylchloride) as polymeric matrix, o-nitro-26 phenyloctyl ether as a solvent-plasticizer, and chlorinated cobalt dicarbollide or fluorinated tetra-27 phenyl borate derivatives as cation-exchangers. Sensors exhibited Nernstian or super-Nernstian re-28 sponse towards  $Sc^{3+}$  across the concentration range ( $10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$  M) with a low detection limit of about 29 0.4 mg/l in acidic media (pH = 2). Interferences from other rare earth metals were measured by the 30 separate solution method, and most of the proposed sensors were found to be more selective to-31 wards Sc3+. Reproducible, stable, and precise results for sensing properties of the developed sensors 32 imply the relevance of using these instruments for scandium quantification in real technological 33 solutions. 34

**Keywords:** potentiometric sensors; ion-selective electrodes; scandium measurement; neutral ionophores; rare earth metals 36

37

38

# 1. Introduction

Ekaboron, with the atomic number of 21, was first predicted by Dmitry Ivanovich 39 Mendeleev in 1869 by observing a gap of atomic weight between Calcium and Titanium. 40 It was renamed to Scandium after being discovered in Scandinavia Peninsula in 1879 by 41 Lars Fredrik Nilsson, the Swedish chemist, through studying euxenite and gadolinite ores 42 in Uppsala [1]. Scandium has an intermediate position between lanthanides and light me-43 tallic elements in the periodic table of elements [2] and has similar chemical properties to 44 lanthanides. It is found as Scandia Sc<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in different ores such as thortveitite Sc<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 45 sterrettite (ScPO4.2H2O), euxenite, gadolinite, and bazzite (Be3Sc2Si6O18). These ores 46

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Chem. Proc.* 2021, *3*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Published: 01 July 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute of Chemistry, Mendeleev Center, St. Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya Emb., St. Betersburg 100024, Buogia

generally contain trace amounts (0.005–0.3 wt.%) of Scandium. Nowadays, scandium is 1 produced as a by-product of ores residues in the production of other metals such as uranium, titanium, tungsten, etc [3,4]. The methods employed to extract scandium from these 3 residues include precipitation, solvent extraction, solid-phase extraction, cloud phase extraction, ion exchange, floatation, fractional crystallization, etc [2–4]. 5

Despite being expensive, due to its scarcity, commercial applications of scandium are growing in high technology fields such as optic, electronic, aerospace, catalysis, fuel cells, as well as nuclear medicine [3,4]. Furthermore, it is widely used in metallurgical industry, in particular, manufacturing aluminium-scandium alloys due to its unique electric, physical, and chemical features [2,3,5] 10

Mining activities and industrial wastes are major sources of scandium release into 11 the environment. Besides, infiltration of rainfall water into the soil near abandoned mining sites and tailings can lead to dissolution of scandium and other metals, and consequently, contaminate ground and underground water and soil. Similarly, it is capable of bioaccumulating in plants and making effect on the growth of algae [4]. Thus, both the growing applications of scandium and its environmental effects emphasize the importance of developing accurate methods for measuring this element 17

Currently, the most universal methods for the quantitative determination of scan-18 dium are inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and inductively cou-19 pled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) techniques which exhibit high sen-20 sitivity to the Sc<sup>3+</sup> ion and provide reproducible and precise results as well as a relatively 21 wide measurement range. However, their use is limited due to serious disadvantages such 22 as high equipment and operating costs, need for trained staff, time-consuming sample 23 preparation steps, matrix effect, and presence of the spectral interferences due to the iso-24 tropic nature of scandium [6-8]. Neutron activation analysis (NAA) method has the same 25 drawbacks as the aforementioned methods. Besides, the intervals during measurement 26 are long, and usually last about three weeks [9]. The XRF method has lower sensitivity 27 compared to other methods; therefore, using this method for determining lower concen-28 trations is burdened with significant error. 29

On the other hand, potentiometric sensors can be considered as an appropriate alter-30 native to conventional analytical methods since they have major advantages over these 31 techniques like high sensitivity, reproducibility, low detection limit, rapid response time, 32 and cost-effectiveness. Furthermore, not only can these systems be used for real-time 33 measurement, but also they are capable of being miniaturized [10,11]. Plasticized PVC is 34 the most popular type of membrane matrix for ion-selective electrodes, and many iono-35 phores and membrane compositions are applied for the environmental monitoring of dif-36 ferent analytes. Developing potentiometric sensors for scandium detection would be a 37 challenging task because of high hydrophilicity and similar chemical properties to lantha-38 nides [12]. 39

The aim of the present study is the development of potentiometric sensors for the 40 detection of scandium. Noteworthy, no study has yet been performed for scandium de-41 tection by potentiometric sensors. 42

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Reagents and Chemicals

The polymeric membrane matrix of electrodes was composed of poly(vinyl chloride) 45 (PVC) (33 wt.%) as a polymeric matrix and 2 - nitrophenyloctyl ether (NPOE) as a plasti-46 cizer (59.5-64.3 wt.%). 10 mmol/kg sodium tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluorometyl)phenyl]borate 47 (NaTFPB) or the acidic form of chlorinated cobalt dicarbollide (CCD) were used as cation-48 exchangers (0.89 wt.% and 0.53 wt.%, respectively). PVC, NPOE, and NaTFPB were ob-49 tained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) in Selectophore® grade. CCD was provided by 50 Katchem (Prague, Czech Republic). All sensor membranes contained 50 mmol/kg of one 51 of the ligands listed in Table 1. ligands were selected based on liquid extraction literature 52

- 43
- 44

data [12]. These ligands contain phosphine oxides, ether, and diamide functional groups 1 and were purchased from Sorbent Technologies, LLC (Moscow, Russia). Tetrahydrofuran 2 (THF) as a solvent was purchased from Merck and used as received. Nitrate salts of metals 3 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany), and were used without further purifica-4 tion. Doubly distilled water was used for solutions preparation. 5

Table 1. Description of the studied ligands.

Sensor	Composition	Chemical structure
M1	N,N'-Dimethyl-N,N'-dicyclo- hexyldiamide of dipicolinic acid	CH <sub>3</sub> N N N N N N N N N N N N
M2	N,N,N',N'- Tetraoctyldiglycolamide (TODGA)	$C_8H_{17}$ $C_8H_{17}$ $C_8H_{17}$ $C_8H_{17}$ $C_8H_{17}$
М3	Tetraphenylmethylene diphosphine dioxide	
M4	1,9-Bis-(diphenylphosphynyl)- 2,5,8-trioxanonane	
M5	5,11,17,23- Tetra(diethylcarbamoyl- ethoxymethylcarboxamido)- 25,26,27,28- tetrapropoxycalix[4]aren	

#### 2.2. Sensors Preparation

The sensor membranes were prepared using a standard protocol. The weighted 8 amounts of membrane components were dissolved in freshly distilled THF and poured into flat bottomed Teflon beakers, and were left overnight for solvent evaporation. Circu-10 lar pieces (3 mm in diameter) were cut from the parent membrane of each composition 11 and glued upon the end of PVC sensor bodies (4 mm outer diameter, 2.5 mm inner diam-12 eter, 90 mm long). These tubes were then equipped with inner Ag/AgCl electrodes, and 13 were filled in with 0.01 M NaCl solution and then immersed in the same solution for 48 h 14 before measurements to equilibrate sensor membranes with aqueous solutions. 15

## 2.3. Potentiometric Measurements

Following galvanic cell was used during experimental studies:

Cu | Ag | AgCl, KClsat | sample solution | membrane | NaCl, 0.01M | AgCl | Ag | Cu

The potential values of sensors were measured with 0.1 mV precision against the 19 standard reference electrode using a multi-channel digital high-impedance milli-20

6

9

16

17

18

4 of 4

voltmeter connected to a PC for data acquisition. Measurement time was 3 minutes for 1 each sample solution. After each measurement sensors were washed with several portions 2 of distilled water until initial readings in water was observed. Each sample solution was 3 50 ml. Solutions were magnetically stirred to eliminate mass transport resistance and re-4 duce response time of the sensors. 5

## 2.4. Sensors characteristics

Calibration of the sensors was carried out in solutions containing 10<sup>-7</sup> and 10<sup>-3</sup> M of 7 scandium metal ions at pH 2 (by nitric acid) to suppress hydrolysis. Sensitivity value of 8 sensors were calculated as the slopes of the linear parts of the calibration curves and were 9 averaged over at least three measurements. The potentiometric selectivity coefficients of 10 the Sc<sup>3+</sup> sensors were evaluated according to the separated solution method (SSM). Lower 11 detection limit values of sensors were determined according to The potentiometric selec-12 tivity coefficients of the Sc<sup>3+</sup> sensors were evaluated according to the separated solution 13 method (SSM)/ bi-ionic potentials method (BIP) the standard procedure from the calibration measurements by finding the intersection between two linear segments of each calibration curve of scandium. 16

#### 3. Results and discussion

The scandium response curve (the dependence between the potential of the sensors 18 and the logarithm of the concentration) of the studied sensors is illustrated in Figure 1. All sensors showed a linear response at the concentration range of 10<sup>-5</sup> to 10<sup>-3</sup> M. Sensitivity values of sensors M1 to M5 were 27, 18, 22, 30, and 19 mV/dec respectively.

Sensor responses were stable and reproducible, and standard deviations of the re-22 ported values were not exceeded 2  $\frac{mV}{pMe}$ , towards Scandium. According to Figure 2 it 23 can be noticed that sensors showed pronounced sensitivity for scandium. The highest sen-24 sitivity to scandium was observed for sensors M1 and M4, similar to divalent cations. This 25 super-Nernstian sensitivity is probably attributed to the existence of  $Sc(NO_3)^{2+}$  ions in 26 the solution. In the presence of neutral ionophores, triply-charged cations can bind nitrate 27 anion and form  $Sc(NO_3)^{2+}$ . This complex shows the potentiometric response similar to 28 doubly-charged ions. 29



30

Figure 1. Potentiometric response curve for scandium at pH = 2.

32

The results of selectivity (in the term of the logarithm of the selectivity coefficient) of 33 sensors for scandium towards lanthanides were calculated (Table 2). All data are averaged 34

6







over three replicate measurements. Most of the sensors exhibited the good selectivity to 1 scandium, because of negative values of  $log k_{IJ}^{pot}$ . The highest selectivity towards scan-2 dium was observed by the sensor M3 and M4 with ligands containing phosphine oxide 3 functional groups. 4

**Table 2.** Selectivity coefficient values of the sensors towards scandium,  $\pm 0.2 log K_{Sc,Me}^{pot}$ 

Sensors	La <sup>3+</sup>	Eu <sup>3+</sup>	Gd <sup>3+</sup>	Lu <sup>3+</sup>
M1	-2.0	-2.2	-0.9	-1.3
M2	-2.3	-2.7	-0.2	-0.1
M3	-2.3	-3.0	-2.1	-2.8
M4	-1.3	-1.9	-1.3	-1.9
M5	-1.1	-0.1	+0.6	-0.3

Detection limits (LOD) of the sensors for scandium detection were calculated and the 7 averaged values over three replicas for sensors M1 to M5 were 5.4, 5.8, 5.0, 5.1, and 5.5 in 8 terms of are  $pC_{sc^{3+}}$  (SD<0.2) The dynamic response of sensor M5 is shown in Figure 2. As 9 it is seen, the sensor reaches its steady response in a short time of about 30 s. 10 11



Figure 2. Dynamic response curve of the sensor M5 for step changes in scandium concentration.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this study, potentiometric sensors based on PVC-plasticized membranes for detec-15 tion of scandium were fabricated on the basis of extractive ligands in liquid extraction of 16 lanthanides and actinides, and their electrochemical properties for detection of scandium 17 were studied. Sensors exhibited pronounced sensitivity and good selectivity to scandium 18 at pH = 2 with fast response time and low detection limit. Altogether, taking into account 19 the cost and simplicity of the electrochemical sensors, the obtained outcomes exhibited a 20 good potential for the development of new sensing devices alternative to the conventional 21 analytical methods for scandium measurement. 22

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.K. and V.B.; methodology, D.K. and E.L.; validation, 24 S.Y.; formal analysis, D.K., S.Y., and M.D.; investigation, M.D., E.L., and A.N.; resources, E.L., A.L., 25 and A.N.; data curation, M.D. and A.N.; writing-original draft preparation, M.D., V.B., and D.K.; 26 writing-review and editing, A.L., V.B., and D.K.; visualization, M.D.; supervision, D.K.; project administration, D.K. 28

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

5

6

12 13

14

23

27

29

# References

[1]	D. Mendeleev, The natural system of elements and its application to the indication of the properties of undiscovered elements, J. Russ. Chem. Soc. (in Russ. 3 (1871) 25–56.	2 3
[2]	O.D. Neikov, S.S. Naboychenko, I.B. Murashova, Production of Rare Metal Powders, 2nd ed., Elsevier Ltd., 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100543-9.00024-5.	4 5
[3]	S. Giret, Y. Hu, N. Masoumifard, JF. Boulanger, Estelle Juère, F. Kleitz, D. Larivière, Selective separation and preconcentration of scandium with mesoporous silica, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 10 (2018) 448–457. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b13336.	6 7 8
[4]	K. Pyrzyńska, K. Kilian, M. Pęgier, K. Pyrzy, K. Kilian, P. Mateusz, Separation and purification of scandium : From industry to medicine Separation and puri fi cation of scandium : From industry to medicine, Sep. Purif. Rev. 00 (2018) 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/15422119.2018.1430589.	9 10 11
[5]	T. Behrsing, G.B. Deacon, P.C. Junk, The chemistry of rare earth metals, compounds, and corrosion inhibitors, Woodhead Publishing Limited, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857093585.1.	12 13
[6]	K. V Zhernokleeva, V.B. Baranovskaya, Analysis of pure scandium, yttrium, and their oxides using methods of inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Inorg. Mater. 47 (2011) 1627–1634. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0020168511150192.	14 15 16
[7]	P. Robinson, N.C. Higgins, G.A. Jenner, Determination of rare-earth elements, yttrium and scandium in rocks by anion exchange—X-ray fluorescence technique, Chem. Geol. 55 (1986) 121–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(86)90132-4.	17 18 19
[8]	S. Wilschefski, M. Baxter, Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry: Introduction to analytical aspects, Clin. Biochem. Rev. 40 (2019) 115–133. https://doi.org/10.33176/AACB-19-00024.	20 21
[9]	J.E. Myers, P.F. Ervin, N.D. Eckhoff, D.G. Brookins, The scandium content of the utopia limestone, Greenwood County, Kansas, Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci. 73 (1970) 481. https://doi.org/10.2307/3627076.	22 23
[10]	M. Khaydukova, D. Militsyn, M. Karnaukh, B. Grüner, P. Selucký, V. Babain, A. Wilden, D. Kirsanov, A. Legin, Modified diamide and phosphine oxide extracting compounds as membrane components for cross-sensitive chemical sensors, Chemosensors. 7 (2019) 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/chemosensors7030041.	24 25 26
[11]	A.V Legin, D.O. Kirsanov, V.A. Babain, A. V Borovoy, R.S. Herbst, Cross-sensitive rare-earth metal sensors based on bidentate neutral organophosphorus compounds and chlorinated cobalt dicarbollide, 572 (2006) 243–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2006.03.115.	27 28 29
[12]	D. Kirsanov, M. Khaydukova, L. Tkachenko, A. Legin, V. Babain, Potentiometric sensor array for analysis of complex rare earth mixtures, Electroanalysis. 24 (2012) 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201100439.	30 31 32