Role of Extracts Obtained from Rainbow Trout Side Streams by Accelerated Solvent Extraction and Pulsed Electric Fields on Modulating Bacterial and Anti-inflammatory Activities

Min Wang ^{1,2}, Jianjun Zhou ^{1,2}, Noelia Pallarés ¹, Christine Bäuerl ², Maria Carmen Collado ², B.N. Dar³ and Francisco J. Barba ^{1, *}

¹Nutrition and Food Science Area, Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Food Science, Toxicology and Forensic Medicine Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitat de València, Avda. Vicent Andrés Estellés, s/n, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain; minwang@alumni.uv.es_(M.W.); jianz@alumni.uv.es_(J.Z.); noelia.pallares@uv.es_(N.P.)

² Department of Biotechnology, Institute of Agrochemistry and Food Technology-National Research Council (IATA-CSIC), Agustin Escardino 7, 9 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain; <u>cbauerl@iata.csic.es</u> (C.B.); <u>mcolam@iata.csic.es</u> (M.C.C.) 10

³ Department of Food Technology, Islamic University of Science and Technology, Awantipora, JK, India -192122; <u>darnabi@gmail.com</u> (B.N.D.) 11

* Correspondence: francisco.barba@uv.es (F.J.B.); Tel.: +34-963-544-972 (F.J.B.)

Abstract: In this study, accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) and pulsed electric field (PEF) were used as innovative approaches to 13 recover extracts from rainbow trout side streams rich in high-added-value compounds. Then, after aseptic filtration, the impact of 14 the obtained extracts on bacterial growth and anti-inflammatory potential was evaluated. Moreover, the protein content and the total 15 antioxidant capacity of the samples were determined. The results showed that some extracts could inhibit the growth of pathogenic 16 bacteria, such as the ASE rainbow trout skin extracts, which showed significant antibacterial activity on Staphylococcus aureus. In 17 addition, some extracts promoted probiotic bacteria growth. For example, the PEF rainbow trout head and skin extracts promoted 18 Lactobacillus casei growth, while the ASE rainbow trout head and skin extracts promoted Bifidobacterium lactis growth. In addition, 19 some samples, such as ASE rainbow trout viscera extracts had interesting anti-inflammatory properties. Therefore, the use of ASE 20 and PEF can be considered as useful strategies to recover antimicrobial, prebiotic, and anti-inflammatory extracts from rainbow trout 21 side streams although it is necessary to evaluate each specific side stream. 22

Keywords: fish side streams; rainbow trout; accelerated solvent extraction; pulsed electric fields; antimicrobial; prebiotic; antiinflammatory 24

1. Introduction

Over the last decades, growing attention has been paid to the development of natural and alternative antibiotics, 27 especially due to the large use of the traditional ones, which has led to the increase of drug-resistant bacteria [1]. At the 28 same time, food safety problems caused by food-borne pathogens are also concerned by consumers, which makes re-29 searchers urgently seek new natural anti-bacterial compounds from food and side streams [2]. There are thousands of 30 naturally active compounds or foods that are thought to have anti-bacterial potential, being marine species of the oceans 31 an interesting potential source of these antimicrobial compounds [3,4]. 32

For instance, around 70% of the earth's surface is covered by water, representing the marine species \approx 50% of the global 33 biodiversity, among which fish resources occupy an important position. As the annual output of fish products increases, 34 some by-products from processing side streams are produced. In the industrial processing of fish, each ton of fish processed produces \approx 350~600 kg of waste, including head, viscera, bones, and so on [5,6]. These side stream by-products 36 may be used as fertilizers, livestock feeds or directly discarded. The high-value-added bioactive compounds in the side 37 streams have not been utilized very well, causing the waste of resources [7]. 38

These side streams contain several biologically active ingredients, such as protein, fish oil, gelatin, *etc.*, which have 39 high application value. For example, fish protein can be used as an important source of high-quality protein, in addition 40 to containing a large amount of collagen. Moreover, it is an important source of bioactive peptides with antioxidant 41 properties [8]. In addition, previous studies also have shown that some components in fish and their side streams show 42

26

25

6 7

8

interesting antibacterial and antiviral capacities. For example, Beaulieu et al. [9] confirmed that the enzymatic hydrolysates of mackerel by-products show antibacterial effects on *Listeria* and *Escherichia coli*; Fuochi et al. [10] also found that
the skin mucus of the *Dasyatis pastinaca* (Linnaeus, 1758) showed anti-bacterial and anti-fungal effects. So, at this stage
of development, there is a growing interest regarding the valorization of these side streams as potential sources of highadded-value compounds for the development of antioxidants, antimicrobials or antiviral compounds.

Traditionally, heat treatment and/or organic solvent extraction, *etc.*, have been used as conventional extraction methodologies to recover valuable compounds from the food side streams. However, these techniques are not in full correspondence with the green extraction concept as they use great amounts of solvents, which in some cases are toxic, long extraction times and can have negative effects on the thermolabile valuable compounds due to the high temperatures used, among other drawbacks [11,12].

In this study, two innovative non-thermal approaches, such as pulsed electric fields (PEF) and accelerated solvent 53 extraction (ASE) were applied to improve the extraction rate according to the green extraction concept. As a short-time 54 pulse effect, PEF has been widely studied in non-thermal food processing. The application of PEF will disintegrate the 55 biological cell membrane of the food matrix and form temporary or permanent membrane pores, which can retain the 56 nutritional and health characteristics of the food to a large extent, ensure the taste and improve the extraction rate [13]. 57 The use of PEF to pretreat fish and algae to extract bioactive compounds has been reported [14,15]. ASE is also a green 58 and efficient extraction method, which works in a high-pressure environment, it can increase the extraction rate of the 59 samples through the accumulation of heat and pressure. Due to its characteristics of being environmentally friendly 60 and safe, ASE has been widely used in the extraction of a variety of high-added value compounds [16,17] and has been 61 recently shown as a useful technique to recover bioactive peptides with antioxidant and antimicrobial properties from 62 salmon side streams [18]. 63

Therefore, in the present work, based on a previous study [19], the fish side streams of rainbow trout with high 64 nutritional value were selected as the target matrices to recover high-value-added compounds with potential antioxidant, antimicrobial, and prebiotic activities. For this purpose, PEF and ASE were used to recover the bioactive compounds from fish side streams (head, skin, and viscera), then protein content and total antioxidant capacity of the recovered were evaluated. Afterwards, the effect of these recovered on bacterial growth (pathogenic and probiotic) and anti-inflammatory activity was explored.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) was purchased from VWR (Saint-Prix, France). Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), AAPH (2,2'-azobis-2-methyl-propanimidamide), ABTS (2,2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline 6-sulfonic acid)), fluorescein sodium salt, potassium persulfate (K₂S₂O₈) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Baden-Württemberg, Germany). Ethanol (99%) was acquired from Baker (Deventer, Overijssel, The Netherlands). Potassium dihydrogen phosphate, sodium phosphate dibasic were purchased from VWR International Eurolab S.L. (Barcelona, Spain). Deionized water was obtained by a Milli-Q SP Reagent Water System (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA, USA).

2.2. Sample preparation

The rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) samples used in the experiments were purchased from a local market in Valencia (Spain). The whole fish was separated in the laboratory to obtain the different fish side streams, including fish head, skin, and viscera for extraction. For PEF treatments, fresh samples were used while for the ASE experiments, samples were pretreated stored at -20 °C for more than 12 h, then freeze-dried at -48 °C for 72 h. The freeze-dried samples were stored at -20 °C until needed.

2.3.	Extraction	conditions	

2.3.1. PEF-assisted extraction

94

79

70

71

85

A PEF-Cellcrack III (German Institute of Food Technologies (DIL)) equipment (ELEA, Quakenbrück, Osnabrück, Germany) was used for extractions. Specifically, fish side streams were placed in the treatment chamber and tap water was added. Then, the conductivity was measured to be between $1000~2000 \ \mu s/cm$. The samples were pretreated according to the best conditions previously obtained in the laboratory (**Table 1 a**). Samples were transferred to a beaker and were kept under agitation using a magnetic stirrer for a certain period at room temperature. Then, the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 μm sterile filter membrane to obtain the samples. The control group was obtained under the same conditions but without applying PEF pretreatment.

2.3.2. ASE-assisted extraction

94

103

Similarly, the selection of ASE conditions was also based on the optimal conditions obtained previously in the labor-95 atory [20]. An ASE-200 accelerated solvent extractor (Sunnyvale, California, USA) was used in this study. According to 96 the different samples, it was modified as the ratio of diatomaceous earth: sample utilized being 1.0:2.0 g/g, 1.5:3.0 g/g, 97 and 2.0:2.0 g/g for head, skin and viscera, respectively. The samples and diatomaceous earth were mixed in a mortar 98 and transferred to the extraction tank. The standard parameters used for ASE extraction were: preheating time (1 min), 99 heating time (5 min), flushing volume (60%), nitrogen scanning (60 s) and pumping pressure (103.4 bar). Other condi-100 tions are shown in **Table 1 b**. The samples processed by ASE were filtered through a 0.22 µm sterile filter membrane 101 and the control groups were also prepared. 102

 Table 1 (a). Pulsed electric fields (PEF)-assisted extraction experimental conditions.

Sample	Weight (g)	Field strength (kV/cm)	H ₂ O (mL)	Specific energy (kJ/kg)	Time (h) ¹
Head	100.25	1.00	1500	219.76	21.33
Skin	45.30	3.00	675	300.00	24.00
Viscera	45.30	3.00	675	123.75	15.17

¹ time of supplementary extraction

Table 1	(b). A	ccelerated	solvent	extraction	(ASE)	experimental	conditions.
I uvic I	(2).1	lecenciated	Solvent	extraction	(1101)	caperintental	contantionoi

Sample	T (°C)	Time (min)	pH	Pressure
Head	55	15	5.2	103.4
Skin	45	15	6.5	103.4
Viscera	50	15	6.8	103.4

2.4. Chemicals analyses

2.4.1. Protein content

The BCA (Bicinchoninic acid) assay was used to determine the protein content of the extracts [21]. The working solution was prepared according to the BCA kit. Bovine serum albumin (0~2000 mg/L) was used as a standard to prepare the standard curve. Ten microliters of sample/standard and 200 μ L of BCA working solution were added to the microplate, then the mixture was mixed well and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, the absorbance of the samples was measured at 562 nm.

2.4.2. Total antioxidant capacity

113

106

107

104

2.4.2.1. Oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay (ORAC)

The determination of ORAC values was carried out according to the previously described method [18,22]. Phosphate 115 buffer (pH 7.0~7.4) was used as the blank group and 1 mM Trolox solution was the standard. Fifty microliters of sample 116 and the 50 μ L fluorescein sodium salt were added respectively to a 96-well plate, then the 25 μ L AAPH was added, and 117 the plate was kept under 37 °C for 10 min. Wavelengths of emission at 520 nm and excitation at 480 nm were established 118 to record the results within 60 min. Then, the antioxidant capacity of the sample was calculated according to the formula: 119

$$ORAC (trolox unit) = \frac{A_{sample} - A_{blank}}{A_{trolox} - A_{blank}}$$
(1) 120

A measured ORAC value of 1 unit indicates that the antioxidant capacity of the sample solution is equivalent to 100 μ M Trolox solution. 121

2.4.2.2. Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity assay (TEAC)

The TEAC assay was used to determine the ABTS free radical scavenging capacity of the extracts. According to De la 124 Fuente's method and with some modifications, 25 mL ABTS (7 mM) and 440 µL K₂S₂O₈ (140 mM) were mixed to obtain 125 an ABTS⁺ working solution, which was stored at room temperature in dark about 12~16 h for use [18]. The ABTS⁺ work-126 ing solution was diluted with 96% (v/v) ethanol to maintain the absorbance of 0.700±0.020 at 734 nm. During the test, 127 the samples were diluted to obtain a 50% free radical inhibition rate. The absorbance of 2 mL of the working solution 128 was recorded as the initial value, then 100 μ L of the correct dilution of the samples were added, the absorbance was 129 recorded after 3 min of reaction. Different concentrations of Trolox (0~250 µM) were used as the standard to prepare 130 the standard curve and calculate the total antioxidant capacity of the samples. 131

2.4.3. Impact of extracts on bacterial growth

The impact of the different extracts obtained on several pathogenic and probiotic bacteria was investigated. The culture conditions of the different bacteria are shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2	. The cu	lture con	ditions	of the	bacteria	used in	this study

Bactorial		Culture medium	Culture conditions	
Dacterial	number	Culture mealum	Culture conditions	
Listeria innocua	(CECT 910)			
Escherichia coli	(CECT 99)		27. °⊖ 24 h l	
Staphylococcus aureus	(CECT 86)	BHI	37 C, 24 h, aerobic	
Salmonella enterica	(CECT 4138)			
Lactobacillus casei	(BB 12)	MRS		
Bifidobacterium lactis	(NCC 2818)	MRS+0.05% L-cys	37 ℃, 48 h, anaerobic	

Collection

¹ BHI: Brain heart infusion medium. ² MRS: Man rogosa sharpe medium; *L*-cys: *L*-cysteine hydrochloride; CECT: Spanish National 136 Culture Collection (www.cect.org). 137

In this study, four common pathogenic and two probiotic bacteria modulating human health were selected to evaluate 138 growth patterns in the presence or absence of extracts. Bacterial cultures were collected by centrifugation and inoculated 139

132

123

in the corresponding medium at a final optical density at 595 nm of 0.05. The culture medium (200 μ L) and sample (20 μ L) were added to 96-well microplates and incubated in a POLARstar (BMG, Labtech, Offenburg, Germany) equipment 141 at 37 °C for 20 h and optical density was recorded. Gompertz equation was used to describe the bacterial growth rate 142 and maximum optical density: 143

$$y = K + A \exp\left[-\exp\left(-\frac{\mu_{max}e}{A}(\lambda - t) + 1\right)\right]$$
(2)

where y is the extent of growth at time t (h), K is an initial cell number, A is the change in the number of cells between 145 the inoculum and the stationary phase, μ_{max} is the maximum growth rate (the variation in the number of cells per unit 146 of time), λ is the length of the lag phase (h) and e is a constant (2.7182). 147

2.4.4. Anti-inflammatory analysis

2.4.4.1. Cell culture

To investigate the anti-inflammatory potential of the extracts, a reporter gene assay to analyze the activation of the pro-inflammatory transcription factor NF- κ B was performed. Therefore, the human colon tumorigenic cell line HT-29 151 was previously stably transfected with the plasmid pNiFty2-SEAP (Invivogen, California, USA) containing a secreted 152 alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene [23]. The cell line was routinely cultured in DMEM high glucose medium, 153 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 154 150 µg/mL zeocin. Cells were cultured at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ under a humidified atmosphere. 155

2.4.4.2. Analysis of NF-кВ activation

In the experiment, 65.000 cells/well were seeded into 96-well plates and grown for 24 hours. Then, to investigate the 157 activation of NF-κB, 10 µL of pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α was added to achieve a final concentration of 10 ng/ml, 158 and 10 µL of extracts to achieve a total volume of 100 µL in each well. After 24 h of stimulation, the supernatant was 159 collected and cells were lysed in PBS containing 1% Triton, 1 mM PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluorid) and 1 mM 160 EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid). The protein content of each well was determined using the Bradford Protein 161 Assay (Biorad). SEAP activity in the supernatant was measured using p-nitrophenyl phosphate as the phosphatase 162 substrate and was normalized to the protein content of each well. The absorbance at 414 nm was measured with a 163 microplate analyzer, the activity of NF- κ B induced by TNF- α was recognized to be 100%. 164

2.5. Statistical analysis

Significant differences between the results were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). A Tukey's Multiple 166 Comparison Test was used to indicate the significant differences in the means. All statistical analyses were performed 167 using the software Statgraphics Centurion XV (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., USA). 168

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Protein content

Although the antimicrobial, prebiotic, and anti-inflammatory activities were the main focus of this study, first of all, 171 the protein content was evaluated. Figure 1 shows the effect of the two selected alternative technologies (PEF and ASE) 172 to assist the recovery of protein from rainbow trout side streams. As can be seen in the table, when ASE-assisted extrac-173 tion was used, the protein content of fish head extracts was significantly increased (p<0.05), and the content reached 174 almost 2-fold higher values compared to the control group. ASE also significantly (p < 0.05) increased the protein content 175 of fish skin and viscera extracts. Compared with ASE, PEF-assisted extraction had less effect on the protein content of 176 fish side streams extracts. For rainbow trout, PEF-assisted treatment had no significant (p>0.05) effect on the increase of 177 protein content compared with the control group, being the protein content of fish head and skin extracts slightly lower 178 than that observed for the control group, while no significant effect was observed for viscera extracts (*p*>0.05). 179

156

148

149

165

169



Figure 1. Protein content in control and optimal PEF/ASE assisted extracts from fish by-products. PEF: pulsed electric fields; ASE: 181 accelerated solvent extraction; Different letters in the graph indicate a statistically significant difference (p<0.05). 182

Fish side streams contain a large amount of protein and other bioactive compounds with high-added value, which 183 can be used as a source of high-quality amino acids. In recent years, in order to reduce the waste of fish side streams, a 184variety of technologies have been used for the recovery of protein from fish side streams. In this line, Álvarez et al. [24] 185 used ultrasound-assisted acid/alkaline isoelectric solubilization precipitation to recover the protein in mackerel. The 186 results showed that compared with traditional methods, ultrasound-assisted can significantly increase the protein re-187 covery rate from 50~64% to 94%. Similarly, Al-Khawli et al. [25] also used ultrasound to assist the extraction of protein 188 from the sea bass side stream. Under ultrasound-assisted treatment, the protein content of the fish side stream extracts 189 was significantly increased, observing the highest modifications for viscera samples. ASE and PEF have been also re-190 cently used as green processing technologies to assist the recovery of nutrients and bioactive compounds from side 191 streams of different species. For instance, De la Fuente et al. [26] used pressurized liquid-extraction (PLE) assisted tech-192 nology to obtain a protein with antioxidant activity from sea bream side stream, showing that the protein recovery rate 193 can reach 1.2~4.5 times that of the control group. During PLE processing, high pressures and temperature increase the 194 solubility and diffusion rate of high-added-value compounds, thereby improving their extraction efficiency. 195

3.2. Total antioxidant capacity

Figure 2 shows the antioxidant capacity of different extracts, oxygen-free radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) and 197 trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) were used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity of the extracts. For 198 rainbow trout side streams extracts, both ASE and PEF treatments improved the ORAC values of the extracts, showing 199 significant (p<0.05) differences, except for the PEF-assisted skin sample. Among the different side streams extracts, the 200 skin extract obtained under ASE-assisted showed the most obvious difference, which was about three times higher than 201 that observed for the control group. The TEAC values also showed the same trend after applying ASE, observing a 202 significant increase for all the extracts independently of the target side streams evaluated. For instance, compared with 203 the control group, the TEAC values were increased by about 1.4~3.3 times. On the contrary, after PEF-assisted treatment, 204 the TEAC values of PEF fish heads extracts were slightly lower than those of control samples. Moreover, no significant 205 effect on TEAC values was observed after applying PEF to the skin compared to control samples (p>0.05). However, 206 interestingly, PEF enhanced the TEAC values of viscera extracts to a certain extent. 207

ASE also significantly increased the TEAC values of the extracts, which was about 1.7~2.0 times higher than that of the control group (without ASE). Previously, the antioxidant capacity of extracts without sterile membrane filtration was also measured [19]. Comparing the results before and after filtration, it was found that filtration had relatively little effect on the antioxidant capacity of the extracts, meaning the nutrients and antioxidant compounds in the extracts are well retained, which provides a basis for further experiments. 212



Figure 2. Total antioxidant capacity (ORAC and TEAC) in control and optimal PEF/ASE-assisted extracts from fish by-products.213PEF: pulsed electric fields; ASE: accelerated solvent extraction; Different letters in the graph indicate a statistically significant differ-
ence (p<0.05). * ORAC: oxygen radical absorbance capacity; ABTS: ABTS⁺ scavenging ability.213

3.3. Impact of fish side streams extracts on bacterial growth

3.3.1. Anti-bacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria

The effects of different extracts on the growth of four common pathogens are presented in **Tables 3**. The growth rate 218 of different bacteria in 20 h and the optical density of bacterial strains were obtained by fitting the Gompertz equation 219 [27]. As can be depicted from Table 3, the extracts obtained from rainbow trout side streams after applying PEF and 220 ASE can induce the growth of Listeria and E. coli, being the viscera extracts that show the most obvious effect. In addition, 221 viscera extracts also significantly increased the optical density of bacteria. When the effect of PEF was evaluated, it was 222 observed that the extracts obtained from the head and skin had a significant effect on the growth of E. coli (p<0.05), but 223 there was not a significant effect on the optical density (p>0.05), independently of the PEF treatment. Compared to the 224 control group, the ASE-assisted extracts reduced the optimal density of the viscera extract, not observing significant 225 differences with the blank group (without ASE extract). 226

Moreover, for PEF extracts, no significant effect was found on the growth of *S. aureus*. For instance, the extracts increased the optical density of bacterial growth, showing a significant difference for the viscera extracts (p<0.05). Unlike PEF, the head and skin extracts obtained by ASE reduced the growth rate of *S. aureus* (p<0.05), but the viscera extract showed an inducing effect on the growth of *S. aureus*. The addition of the extracts did not show any inhibitory effect on the growth of *Salmonella* and had no significant effect on the optical density of the bacteria. In summary, among the four pathogens, rainbow trout head and skin extracts had an inhibitory impact on *S. aureus*, being significant (p<0.05) when ASE was used.

Since fish side streams contain a large amount of high-added-value compounds, many people have explored their 234 antibacterial properties over the last years to further expand their applications in food and health. For instance, Robert 235 et al. [28] evaluated the in vitro antibacterial activity of tilapia by-products hydrolysate, the peptides produced by hy-236 drolysis showed an important antibacterial activity against Yersinia ruckeri. Moreover, they also observed the resistance 237 of these hydrolysates against Edwardsiella tarda and Bacillus megaterium, thus indicating that tilapia by-products have an 238 important antimicrobial activity. In another study, Ennaas et al. [29] used different proteases to hydrolyse the by-prod-239 ucts of Atlantic mackerel skin and the antibacterial properties of hydrolyzed collagen were evaluated. The results 240 showed that the crude hydrolysates of mackerel had an inhibitory effect on Listeria and E. coli, while the inhibition rate 241

varied according to the different hydrolysates used. In addition to the properties of the pathogens themselves, the different types of fish also had an impact on the antibacterial properties. Previous studies have shown that fish by-products
with a large number of low-molecular-weight peptides have higher activity [30]. In this study, the two kinds of fish
showed inhibitory effects on *S. aureus* and could be considered as one of the potential sources of new antibacterial
products.

247

<u> </u>	PEF ¹		ASE ²		
Sample	Growth rate (µmax, h [.] 1)	MOD *	Growth rate (µmax, h [.] 1)	MOD*	
Listeria					
Bacteria-control	0.442±0.027ª	1.558±0.039ª	0.435±0.017ª	1.524±0.015ª	
Head	0.472±0.004ª	1.538±0.046ª	0.479±0.025ª	1.511±0.019ª	
Head-control	0.461±0.001ª	1.543±0.022ª	0.454±0.016ª	1.525±0.031ª	
Skin	0.464±0.001ª	1.503±0.001ª	0.467±0.014ª	1.588±0.017 ^b	
Skin-control	0.475±0.006ª	1.498±0.026ª	0.435±0.011ª	1.486±0.020ª	
Viscera	0.599±0.007°	1.692±0.023 ^b	0.576±0.007 ^b	1.666±0.026 ^c	
Viscera-control	0.526±0.031 ^b	1.686±0.015 ^b	0.614±0.037 ^b	1.635±0.028b ^c	
E. coli					
Bacteria-control	0.176±0.009ª	2.346±0.009ª	0.176±0.009 ^{ab}	2.346±0.009 ^{ab}	
Head	0.208±0.002 ^b	2.300±0.044ª	0.180 ± 0.002^{b}	2.307±0.061 ^{ab}	
Head-control	0.201±0.001 ^b	2.284±0.030ª	0.193±0.003°	2.203±0.034ª	
Skin	0.194±0.009 ^b	2.318±0.033ª	0.185±0.002b	2.472±0.103 ^b	
Skin-control	0.195±0.011 ^b	2.292±0.006ª	0.168±0.003ª	2.338±0.120 ^{ab}	
Viscera	0.172±0.000ª	2.644±0.046 ^b	0.275 ± 0.002^{d}	2.188±0.038ª	
Viscera-control	0.178±0.001ª	2.730±0.064 ^b	0.167±0.008ª	2.757±0.135°	
S aureus					

Table 3. Effect of rainbow trout extracts on the growth rate and maximal optical density of four pathogenic bacteria strain

Bacteria-control	0.591±0.039 ^b	2.216±0.215ª	0.524 ± 0.056 ^{cd}	2.401±0.047ª
Head	0.560±0.054 ^{ab}	2.309±0.142ª	0.441 ± 0.041^{ab}	2.559 ± 0.018^{ab}
Head-control	0.559±0.003ªb	2.309±0.124ª	0.448±0.026 ^b	2.455±0.053ª
Skin	0.505±0.043ªb	2.545±0.126 ^{ab}	0.404±0.008ª	2.796±0.034°
Skin-control	0.496±0.036ª	2.533±0.135 ^{ab}	0.482±0.003 ^{bc}	2.492±0.048ª
Viscera	0.550±0.026ªb	2.751±0.067 ^b	0.579 ± 0.037^{d}	2.724±0.034 ^{bc}
Viscera-control	0.596±0.041 ^b	2.579±0.077 ^{ab}	0.578±0.049 ^d	2.642±0.053 ^{bc}
Salmonella				
Saimonella Bacteria-control	0.335±0.026ª	1.838±0.065	0.335±0.026ª	1.838±0.065
Salmonella Bacteria-control Head	0.335±0.026ª 0.353±0.030ªb	1.838±0.065 1.831±0.164	0.335±0.026ª 0.308±0.002ª	1.838±0.065 1.714±0.151
Salmonella Bacteria-control Head Head-control	0.335±0.026ª 0.353±0.030ªb 0.361±0.025ªb	1.838±0.065 1.831±0.164 1.756±0.151	0.335±0.026ª 0.308±0.002ª 0.308±0.005ª	1.838±0.065 1.714±0.151 1.655±0.055
Saimonella Bacteria-control Head Head-control Skin	0.335 ± 0.026^{a} 0.353 ± 0.030^{ab} 0.361 ± 0.025^{ab} 0.323 ± 0.007^{a}	1.838±0.065 1.831±0.164 1.756±0.151 1.859±0.043	0.335±0.026ª 0.308±0.002ª 0.308±0.005ª 0.315±0.024ª	1.838±0.065 1.714±0.151 1.655±0.055 1.766±0.157
Saimonella Bacteria-control Head Head-control Skin Skin-control	0.335 ± 0.026^{a} 0.353 ± 0.030^{ab} 0.361 ± 0.025^{ab} 0.323 ± 0.007^{a} 0.346 ± 0.022^{ab}	1.838±0.065 1.831±0.164 1.756±0.151 1.859±0.043 1.810±0.171	0.335±0.026 ^a 0.308±0.002 ^a 0.308±0.005 ^a 0.315±0.024 ^a 0.302±0.025 ^a	1.838±0.065 1.714±0.151 1.655±0.055 1.766±0.157 1.798±0.049
Satmonella Bacteria-control Head Head-control Skin Skin-control Viscera	0.335 ± 0.026^{a} 0.353 ± 0.030^{ab} 0.361 ± 0.025^{ab} 0.323 ± 0.007^{a} 0.346 ± 0.022^{ab} 0.308 ± 0.021^{a}	1.838±0.065 1.831±0.164 1.756±0.151 1.859±0.043 1.810±0.171 1.863±0.214	0.335±0.026 ^a 0.308±0.002 ^a 0.308±0.005 ^a 0.315±0.024 ^a 0.302±0.025 ^a 0.418±0.021 ^b	1.838±0.065 1.714±0.151 1.655±0.055 1.766±0.157 1.798±0.049 1.687±0.049

¹ PEF: pulsed electric fields. ² ASE: accelerated solvent extraction. Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Different superscripts in the same column indicate a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) and mean values without any superscript indicate statistically non-significant difference. *MOD: maximal optical density measured at 595 nm (difference between initial and final optical density); Bacteria-control: bacterial growth without fish by-products extracts; Head-control/Skin-control/Viscera-control: Head/Skin/Viscera extracts without PEF/ASE-assisted treatment.

3.3.2. Effect on the growth of probiotic bacteria

Table 4 shows the effect of different extracts on the growth of two probiotics. By analysing the effect of rainbow trout 254 on the growth of probiotics, it can be seen that the addition of head and skin extracts in the PEF group promoted the 255 growth of Lactobacillus casei with significant differences (p<0.05) while no significant differences were observed between 256 PEF and control group (p>0.05). Moreover, these extracts also increased the optical density of Lactobacillus casei. Com-257 pared to the control group, the optical density of PEF extracts was lower than that of the control group, not observing 258 significant differences between the two skin extracts (p>0.05). In addition, the viscera extracts did not have any signifi-259 cant effect on the growth rate and optical density of the Lactobacillus casei. Studying the effect of PEF extracts on Bifidobac-260 terium lactis it was observed that the addition of extracts did not have any significant effect on the growth rate of 261 Bifidobacterium lactis. 262

On the other hand, the addition of ASE extracts reduced the growth rate of Lactobacillus casei. Compared with the 263 control group, the head and viscera extracts obtained with the ASE-assisted treatment did not show any significant 264 difference on the Lactobacillus casei growth rate. The ASE-assisted skin extract had a weaker effect on the growth rate of 265 Lactobacillus casei than the control group (skin-control), being this difference significant (p<0.05). At the same time, it 266 was also seen that the head and skin extracts increased the optical density of the Lactobacillus casei, showing a significant 267 difference compared to the control group, but there was not a significant effect of ASE-assisted extracts (p>0.05). Com-268 pared to the control group, the ASE-assisted extracts increased the growth rate of Bifidobacterium lactis, but no significant 269 differences were observed. For example, the addition of ASE-assisted viscera extracts did not have any effect on the 270 growth rate of Bifidobacterium lactis. On the other hand, head and skin extracts increased the optical density of Bifidobac-271 terium lactis, being the optical density of ASE-assisted extracts significantly higher than that of the control group. 272

		PEF ¹		ASE ²	
	Sample	Growth rate (µmax, h [.] 1)	MOD *	Growth rate (µmax, h ⁻¹)	MOD *
	Lactobacillus casei				
	Bacteria-control	0.349±0.008 ^{ab}	3.597±0.011 ^{ab}	0.360±0.012°	1.524±0.015ª
	Head	0.382±0.011 ^{cd}	3.681±0.038 ^b	0.349±0.007 ^{bc}	1.603±0.008 ^{bc}
	Head-control	0.374 ± 0.004^{cd}	3.836±0.053°	0.337±0.009 ^b	1.554±0.041 ^{ab}
	Skin	$0.369 \pm 0.002 b^{cd}$	3.719±0.062 ^{bc}	0.334±0.007 ^b	1.503±0.037ª
	Skin-control	0.390±0.017 ^d	3.683±0.069 ^b	0.288±0.011ª	1.512±0.016ª
Rainbow	Viscera	0.360±0.000 ^{bc}	3.533±0.036ª	0.283±0.006ª	1.613±0.015 ^{cd}
trout	Viscera-control	0.336±0.012ª	3.595±0.071 ^{ab}	0.271±0.005ª	1.616±0.005 ^d
	Bifidobacterium lactis				
	Bacteria-control	0.536±0.027	3.597±0.011 ^b	0.536±0.027 ^{ab}	2.346±0.009 ^{abc}
	Head	0.542±0.035	3.681±0.006°	0.557±0.019 ^b	2.274±0.039 ^{ab}
	Head-control	0.544±0.027	3.836±0.006 ^d	0.536 ± 0.024^{ab}	2.256±0.029 ^{ab}
	Skin	0.508±0.028	3.719±0.000 ^d	0.547 ± 0.014^{b}	2.202±0.106 ^{ab}
	Skin-control	0.561±0.014	3.683±0.010°	0.498 ± 0.008^{a}	2.159±0.059ª

Table 4. Effect of rainbow trout extracts on the growth rate and maximal optical density of two probiotic bacteria strain

_					
	Viscera	0.550±0.021	3.533±0.007ª	0.529±0.010 ^{ab}	2.299±0.003 ^{abc}
	Viscera-control	0.532±0.023	3.595±0.009ª	0.530±0.007 ^{ab}	2.243±0.022 ^{ab}

¹ PEF: pulsed electric fields. ² ASE: accelerated solvent extraction. Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Different superscripts in the same column indicate a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) and mean values without any superscript indicate statistically non-significant difference. *MOD: maximal optical density measured at 595 nm (difference between initial and final optical density). Bacteria-control: bacterial growth without fish by-products extracts; Head-control/Skin-control/Viscera-control: Head/Skin/Viscera extracts without PEF/ASE-assisted treatment.

Exploring the effect of ASE, it was observed that skin extract significantly (p<0.05) reduced the growth rate of Lacto-279 bacillus casei, thus having ASE an obvious impact on the results. The ASE-assisted viscera and head extracts did not have 280 a significant effect on the growth rate of Lactobacillus casei, while the viscera extract without ASE-assisted treatment 281 reduced the growth rate of Lactobacillus casei. In addition, all the extracts increased the optical density, but there was not 282 a significant difference between each other (p>0.05). At the same time, the addition of head extract decreases the growth 283 rate of Bifidobacterium lactis, but ASE did not have any significant effect on the results. Moreover, skin and viscera ex-284 tracts did not show any significant effect on the growth rate of Bifidobacterium lactis. Compared to the control group, the 285 ASE-assisted head and skin extracts increased the optical density of Bifidobacterium lactis and the viscera extract also 286 increased the optical density, but no impact of ASE was observed (p>0.05). 287

In recent years, many studies have shown that bioactive compounds and hydrolysates derived from fish side streams 288 have antibacterial activity, which can inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria to a certain extent, although there are 289 only a few studies on the growth of probiotics. Probiotics are used in the fermentation and preservation of food to help 290 maintain food quality and improve nutrition. In the study of Safari et al. [31], two different peptones were obtained 291 from the yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) head by enzymatic hydrolysis, their effects on the growth of a variety of 292 bacteria including pathogens and probiotics were explored. The results obtained by these authors showed that the pro-293 teins obtained by hydrolysis promoted the growth of Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus bulgaricus, making their 294 growth rate higher than that of Lactobacillus sakei and others. It is speculated that the main reason may be that the growth 295 of Lactobacillus from different sources has different requirements for the types of amino acids, while the matching degree 296 of the peptides produced by enzymatic hydrolysis to different types of Lactobacillus is also different. Combining with 297 the effect of the extract on the pathogenic bacteria, it can be known that the type of peptide in the extract will affect the 298 growth of bacteria, while peptides of appropriate molecular weight can make probiotics show greater growth activity. 299 In addition, the difference in the form and concentration of the samples during PEF and ASE-assisted extraction is also 300 one of the reasons for the different results. 301

3.5. Anti-inflammatory activity

The anti-inflammatory potential of rainbow trout fish side streams are shown in **Figure 3**. For rainbow trout, PEF 303 extracts did not show any significant anti-inflammatory potential, while ASE extracts had a significant inhibitory effect 304 on NF- κ B activity, which could inhibit \approx 40~45% of TNF- α -induced NF- κ B activity in viscera extracts. Interestingly, in 305 the PEF group of rainbow trout extracts, the extracts from skin and viscera (without PEF and ASE treatments) enhanced 306 the TNF-induced NF- κ B activity to levels of 150 and 126%, suggesting that PEF treatment could alter some components 307 of these extracts and reduce their intrinsic pro-inflammatory potential. 308

309



Figure 3. The NF- κ B activation induced by TNF- α and the effect of fish by-products extracts using different treatment 310 technology (PEF/ASE) were determined. The SEAP activity induced by TNF- α was considered 100%. *PEF: pulsed elec-311 tric fields; ASE: accelerated solvent extraction; Different letters in the graph indicate a statistically significant difference 312 (p<0.05). 313

Inflammation is the immune system's response that can effectively protect our body from injury and infection, how-314 ever, excessive release of inflammatory mediators can become chronic and lead to many inflammatory diseases. In the 315 intestinal tract, proinflammatory stimulants activate in intestinal epithelial cells NF-KB, a master regulator of inflam-316 matory processes among several others, which upregulates cytokines and chemokines. [32,33]. Studies have shown that 317 protein polypeptides are anti-inflammatory, anti-hypertensive, etc. For instance, Gao et al. [34] obtained synthetic pep-318 tides from sturgeon muscle and found that they can effectively reduce the release of inflammatory mediators and cyto-319 kines. It can be speculated that the anti-inflammatory potential of some extracts may be related to the bioactive peptide 320 in extracts. 321

4. Conclusions

From the results obtained in this study, it may be concluded that PEF and ASE may be used as useful alternative 323 approaches in recovering extracts with antimicrobial, prebiotic, and anti-inflammatory properties. Some extracts 324 showed antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects, including those obtained by ASE rainbow trout extracts that pro-325 moted inhibitory effects on the growth of S. aureus and Salmonella. When PEF was studied, PEF rainbow trout head and 326 skin extracts also showed an inhibitory effect on the growth of S. aureus. In addition, they also enhanced the growth of 327 Lactobacillus casei. It was also found that some extracts showed anti-inflammatory potential, including those obtained 328 from ASE and non-ASE rainbow trout viscera. This may be because the bioactive peptides in them play a vital role. In 329 general, these extracts can be considered as potentially valuable functional substances to further study their beneficial 330 effects on humans. 331

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.J.B., M.C.C., M.W. and J.Z.; methodology, M.W., J.Z., C.B. and N.P.; formal analysis, 333 M.W. and J.Z.; software, M.W., J.Z. and B.N.D.; investigation, M.W., J.Z., N.P., M.C.C., CB., B.N.D., and F.J.B.; resources, F.J.B. and 334 M.C.C.; data curation, M.W., J.Z. and B.N.D.; writing-original draft preparation, M.W., J.Z. and F.J.B.; writing-review and editing, 335 M.W., J.Z., N.P., M.C.C., B.N.D. and F.J.B.; supervision, F.J.B. and MC.C.; funding acquisition, F.J.B. and M.C.C. All authors have 336 read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. 337

Funding: This research was funded by BBI-JU through the H2020 Project AQUABIOPRO-FIT "Aquaculture and agriculture bio-338 mass side stream proteins and bioactives for feed, fitness and health promoting nutritional supplements" (Grant number 790956). 339

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

332

322

341

Acknowledgments: M.W. was supported by a PhD fellowship from the China Scholarship Council (CSC) (No. 201908420245). J.Z. would also like to thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for her PhD fellowship (No. 201908420246). Authors also thank Generalitat Valenciana for the financial support (IDIFEDER/2018/046 - Processos innovadores de extracción y conservación: pulsos eléctricos y fluidos supercríticos) through European Union ERDF funds (European Regional Development Fund).	342 343 344 345
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.	346
References	347
1. Lewis, K. New approaches to antimicrobial discovery. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2017, 134, 87–98, doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2016.11.002.	348
2. Negi, P.S. Plant extracts for the control of bacterial growth: Efficacy, stability and safety issues for food application. <i>Int. J. Food Microbiol.</i> 2012 , <i>156</i> , 7–17, doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.03.006.	349 350
3. Enan, G. Nature and phenotypic characterization of plantaricin UG1 resistance in <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> LMG 10470. <i>J. Food, Agric. Environ.</i> 2006 , <i>4</i> , 105–108.	351 352
4. Tong, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, Q.; Huang, Z.; Malakar, P.K.; Chen, L.; Liu, H.; Pan, Y.; Zhao, Y. Antibacterial peptides from seafood: A promising weapon to combat bacterial hazards in food. <i>Food Control</i> 2021 , <i>125</i> , 108004, doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108004.	353 354
5. Pérez-gálvez, R.; Espejo-carpio, F.J.; Morales-medina, R.; García-moreno, P.J.; Guadix-escobar, A.; Guadix-escobar, E. <i>Health-Promoting Biopeptides</i> ; Elsevier Inc., 2018; ISBN 9780128114469.	355 356
6. Stevens, J.R.; Newton, R.W.; Tlusty, M.; Little, D.C. The rise of aquaculture by-products: Increasing food production, value, and sustainability through strategic utilisation. <i>Mar. Policy</i> 2018 , <i>90</i> , 115–124, doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2017.12.027.	357 358
7. Ananey-Obiri, D.; Matthews, L.G.; Tahergorabi, R. Proteins From Fish Processing By-Products; Elsevier Inc., 2019; ISBN 9780128166956.	359 360
8. Rivero-Pino, F.; Espejo-Carpio, F.J.; Guadix, E.M. Evaluation of the bioactive potential of foods fortified with fish protein hydrolysates. <i>Food Res. Int.</i> 2020 , <i>137</i> , 109572, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109572.	361 362
9. Beaulieu, L.; Thibodeau, J.; Bryl, P.; Carbonneau, M.É. Proteolytic processing of Atlantic mackerel (<i>Scomber scombrus</i>) and biochemical characterisation of hydrolysates. <i>Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.</i> 2009 , 44, 1609–1618, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2009.01924.x.	363 364
10. Fuochi, V.; Li Volti, G.; Camiolo, G.; Tiralongo, F.; Giallongo, C.; Distefano, A.; Petronio, G.P.; Barbagallo, I.; Viola, M.; Furneri, P.M.; et al. Antimicrobial and anti-proliferative effects of skin mucus derived from <i>Dasyatis pastinaca</i> (linnaeus, 1758). <i>Mar. Drugs</i> 2017 , <i>15</i> , 342, doi:10.3390/md15110342.	365 366 367
11. Cheng, J.; Sun, J.; Huang, Y.; Feng, J.; Zhou, J.; Cen, K. Dynamic microstructures and fractal characterization of cell wall disruption for microwave irradiation-assisted lipid extraction from wet microalgae. <i>Bioresour. Technol.</i> 2013 , <i>150</i> , 67–72, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.09.126.	368 369 370
12. Chemat, F.; Abert Vian, M.; Fabiano-Tixier, A.S.; Nutrizio, M.; Režek Jambrak, A.; Munekata, P.E.S.; Lorenzo, J.M.; Barba, F.J.; Binello, A.; Cravotto, G. A review of sustainable and intensified techniques for extraction of food and natural products. <i>Green Chem.</i> 2020 , <i>22</i> , 2325–2353, doi:10.1039/c9gc03878g.	371 372 373

Barba, F.J.; Jäger, H.; Meneses, N.; Esteve, M.J.; Frígola, A.; Knorr, D. Evaluation of quality changes of blueberry juice during 374 refrigerated storage after high-pressure and pulsed electric fields processing. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2012, 14, 18–24, 375 doi:10.1016/j.ifset.2011.12.004.

14. Luo, Q.; Hamid, N.; Oey, I.; Leong, S.Y.; Kantono, K.; Alfaro, A.; Lu, J. Physicochemical changes in New Zealand abalone 377 (*Haliotis iris*) with pulsed electric field (PEF) processing and heat treatments. *LWT* **2019**, *115*, 108438, doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108438. 378

15. Scherer, D.; Krust, D.; Frey, W.; Mueller, G.; Nick, P.; Gusbeth, C. Pulsed electric field (PEF)-assisted protein recovery from 379 *Chlorella vulgaris* is mediated by an enzymatic process after cell death. *Algal Res.* **2019**, *41*, 101536, doi:10.1016/j.algal.2019.101536. 380

16. Ahmad, R.; Ahmad, N.; Al-Anaki, W.S.; Ismail, F.A.; Al-Jishi, F. Solvent and temperature effect of accelerated solvent extraction381(ASE) coupled with ultra-high-pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC-PDA) for the determination of methyl xanthines in382commercial tea and coffee. Food Chem. 2020, 311, 126021, doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.126021.383

Ahmad, R.; Ahmad, N.; Alkhars, S.; Alkhars, A.; Alyousif, M.; Bukhamseen, A.; Abuthayn, S.; Aqeel, M.; Aljamea, A. Green
 accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) with solvent and temperature effect and green UHPLC-DAD analysis of phenolics in pepper
 fruit (*Capsicum annum L.*). J. Food Compos. Anal. 2021, 97, 103766, doi:10.1016/j.jfca.2020.103766.

Fuente, B. De; Pallarés, N.; Berrada, H.; Barba, F.J. Salmon (*Salmo salar*) side streams as a bioresource to obtain potential antioxidant peptides after applying pressurized liquid extraction (PLE). *Mar. Drugs* 2021, *19*, 323, doi:doi.org/10.3390/md19060323.
 388

19. Wang, M.; Zhou, J.; Collado, M.C.; Barba, F.J. Accelerated solvent extraction and pulsed electric fields for valorization of
rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Sole (*Dover sole*) by-products: protein content, molecular weight distribution and
antioxidant potential of the extracts. *Mar. Drugs* 2021, 19, 207, doi:10.3390/md19040207.389

20. Fuente, B. de la; Pallarés, N.; Barba, F.J.; Berrada, H. An integrated approach for the valorization of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus* 392 *labrax*) side streams : evaluation of contaminants and development of antioxidant protein extracts by pressurized liquid extraction.
393 *Foods* 2021, *10*, 546, doi:10.3390/foods10030546.
394

Parniakov, O.; Barba, F.J.; Grimi, N.; Marchal, L.; Jubeau, S.; Lebovka, N.; Vorobiev, E. Pulsed electric field assisted extraction 395 of nutritionally valuable compounds from microalgae *Nannochloropsis spp.* using the binary mixture of organic solvents and water. 396 *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2015, 27, 79–85, doi:10.1016/j.ifset.2014.11.002. 397

22. Cao, G.; Alessio, H.M.; Cutler, R.G. Oxygen-radical absorbance capacity assay for antioxidants. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 1993, 14, 398
 303–311, doi:10.1016/0891-5849(93)90027-R.
 399

23. Rocchetti, G.; Alcántara, C.; Bäuerl, C.; García-Pérez, J. V.; Lorenzo, J.M.; Lucini, L.; Collado, M.C.; Barba, F.J. Bacterial growth
and biological properties of *Cymbopogon schoenanthus* and *Ziziphus lotus* are modulated by extraction conditions. *Food Res. Int.* 2020,
401
136, 109534, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109534.

24. Álvarez, C.; Lélu, P.; Lynch, S.A.; Tiwari, B.K. Optimised protein recovery from mackerel whole fish by using sequential
acid/alkaline isoelectric solubilization precipitation (ISP) extraction assisted by ultrasound. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol. Sci. Technol.* 2018,
88, 210–216, doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2017.09.045.

25. Khawli, F. Al; Pallarés, N.; Martí-Quijal, F.J.; Ferrer, E.; Barba, F.J. Sea bass side streams valorization assisted by ultrasound. LC-MS/MS-it determination of mycotoxins and evaluation of protein yield, molecular size distribution and antioxidant recovery. <i>Appl. Sci.</i> 2021 , <i>11</i> , 1–20, doi:10.3390/app11052160.	406 407 408
26. Fuente, B. De; Pallar, N.; Berrada, H.; Barba, F.J. Development of antioxidant protein extracts from gilthead seabream (<i>Sparus aurata</i>) side streams assisted by pressurized liquid extraction (PLE). <i>Mar. Drugs</i> 2021 , <i>19</i> , 199.	409 410
27. Zwietering, M.H.; Jongenburger, I.; Rombouts, F.M.; Van't Riet, K. Modeling of the bacterial growth curve. <i>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</i> 1990 , <i>56</i> , 1875–1881, doi:10.1128/aem.56.6.1875-1881.1990.	411 412
28. Robert, M.; Zatylny-gaudin, C.; Fournier, V.; Corre, E.; Le, G.; Bernay, B.; Henry, J. Molecular characterization of peptide fractions of a Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) by-product hydrolysate and in vitro evaluation of antibacterial activity. <i>Process Biochem.</i> 2015 , <i>50</i> , 487–492, doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2014.12.022.	413 414 415
29. Ennaas, N.; Hammami, R.; Beaulieu, L.; Fliss, I. Production of antibacterial fraction from Atlantic mackerel (<i>Scomber scombrus</i>) and its processing by-products using commercial enzymes. <i>Food Bioprod. Process.</i> 2015 , <i>96</i> , 145–153, doi:10.1016/j.fbp.2015.07.014.	416 417
30. He, S.; Franco, C.; Wei Zhang Functions, applications and production of protein hydrolysates from fish processing co-products (FPCP). <i>Food Res. Int.</i> 2013 , <i>50</i> , 289–297, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2012.10.031.	418 419
31. Safari, R.; Motamedzadegan, A.; Ovissipour, M.; Regenstein, J.M.; Gildberg, A.; Rasco, B. Use of hydrolysates from Yellowfin Tuna (<i>Thunnus albacares</i>) heads as a complex nitrogen source for lactic acid bacteria. <i>Food Bioprocess Technol.</i> 2012 , <i>5</i> , 73–79, doi:10.1007/s11947-009-0225-8.	420 421 422
32. Ávila-Román, J.; Talero, E.; de los Reyes, C.; García-Mauriño, S.; Motilva, V. Microalgae-derived oxylipins decrease inflammatory mediators by regulating the subcellular location of NF-κB and PPAR-γ. <i>Pharmacol. Res.</i> 2018 , <i>128</i> , 220–230, doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2017.10.009.	423 424 425
33. Peng, Y.; Gan, R.; Li, H.; Yang, M.; McClements, D.J.; Gao, R.; Sun, Q. Absorption, metabolism, and bioactivity of vitexin: recent advances in understanding the efficacy of an important nutraceutical. <i>Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.</i> 2021 , <i>61</i> , 1049–1064, doi:10.1080/10408398.2020.1753165.	426 427 428
34. Gao, R.; Shu, W.; Shen, Y.; Sun, Q.; Bai, F.; Wang, J.; Li, D.; Li, Y.; Jin, W.; Yuan, L. Sturgeon protein-derived peptides exert anti-inflammatory effects in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages via the MAPK pathway. <i>J. Funct. Foods</i> 2020, 72, 104044, doi:10.1016/j.jff.2020.104044.	429 430 431 432