



Investigating the Terrain Complexity from ATL06 ICESat-2 data for Terrain Elevation and its Use for Assessment of Openly accessible InSAR based DEMs in parts of Himalaya's

Ashutosh Bhardwaj^{1,*}



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- ¹ Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing Department, Indian Institute of Remote Sensing, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248001, India; <u>ashutosh@iirs.gov.in</u>
- * Correspondence: <u>ashutosh@iirs.gov.in</u>; +91-135-2524117
- + Presented at 8th International Electronic Conference on Sensors and Applications, 1–15 November 2021; Available online: https://ecsa-8.sciforum.net.

Abstract: Spaceborne sensors are now providing invaluable datasets for the Earth's surface studies. 11 Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2) with Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter 12 System (ATLAS) instrument was launched by NASA on September 15, 2018, to measure the eleva-13 tion of Earth's surface using laser wavelength of 532 nm and pulse repetition frequency of 10kHz 14 giving footprint of approximately 70cm on the ground. The ICESat-2 datasets are used in this study 15 for the visualization and investigation of the complex Himalayan terrain in the parts of the Kinnaur 16 district and surroundings, which are prone to landslides due to the geology of the region as ob-17 served during the recent landslide events. The ICESat-2 elevation data sets were compared with the 18 openly accessible DEM datasets namely, ALOS PALSAR RTC HR (12.5m) and TanDEM-X (90m) at 19 ICESat-2 footprint locations. Preprocessing of datasets was done for selecting ICESat-2 footprints 20 (Track ID: 325, 1270, 828, 386) at locations of high-quality datasets for analysis. The analysis of pre-21 processed 19,755 ICESat-2 footprints (out of 20,948 footprints) was done with ALOS PALSAR RTC 22 HR (12.5m) and TanDEM-X (90m) datasets. The visualization of the region in the Google earth and 23 OpenAltimetry 3D viewer depicts that the mountain slopes are very steep indicating rugged terrain 24 difficult to access and challenging for construction of transport facilities. The results of Track ID: 25 325, show that the range of elevations in ICESat-2 elevation values in the study area is from 3409.75m 26 to 5976.31m. The standard deviation representing terrain ruggedness using ICESat-2 elevation val-27 ues is found as 432.06m. Considering higher accuracy ICESat-2 values for the difficult terrain as a 28 reference, the mean error (ME), mean absolute error (MAE), and RMSE for TanDEM-X were found 29 as 0.26m, 12.92m, and 17.4m respectively. Whereas the ME, MAE, and RMSE for ALOS PALSAR 30 RTC HR DEM were found as 0.20m, 9.50m, and 13.88m respectively. Thus for the study site, using 31 ICESat-2 ATL06 products, ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEM is found more suitable than TanDEM-X 32 90m openly accessible datasets for any kind of application. 33

Keywords: LiDAR; ATLAS; DEM; ALOS PALSAR RTC HR; TanDEM-X

34

35

36 37

38

Every topographic region has its advantages and disadvantages affecting socioeco-39nomic wellbeing in the region. Some regions are prone to flood, forest fires, earthquakes,40tsunami, landslides, and so on. The mountainous regions have always been a challenge41for the dwellers due to the availability of resources and infrastructure. Different moun-42tainous regions of the world face different challenges, such as snow avalanches, rock-43slides, shortage of oxygen in higher reaches, health facilities, etc. The Himalayas also have44

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Eng. Proc.* **2021**, *3*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). 1. Introduction

1

2

3 4

16

similar challenges due to their ruggedness making the terrain less convenient for dwellers. However, at the same time, it has pleasant weather and a scenic atmosphere around, making many locations a choice for tourists.

The major mountain ranges of the world include the Andes, the Rockies, the Great 5 Dividing Range, the Transantarctic Mountains, the Ural Mountains, the Atlas Mountains, 6 the Appalachian Mountains, the Himalayas, the Altai Mountains, the Western Ghats, the 7 Alps, the Drakensberg, and the Aravalli Range among others. Performing traditional sur-8 veys in these regions will be time taking and costly. ICESat-2 provides a good opportunity 9 to study these mountains through its ATLAS instrument in addition to other remote sens-10 ing technologies. Landslides are common in the Alps [1], [2], Himalayas [3], [4], eastern 11 Patagonian Andes [5], and other mountains of the world depending on the geology and 12 weather conditions primarily. The State of Conservation Index (SCIx), which serves as an 13 input in a Spatial Multicriteria Evaluation (SMCE), was used to study the vulnerability of 14 60 cultural heritage objects in Georgia from landslides and avalanches [6]. 15

Chen et al. (2020) have evaluated the accuracy of SRTM3 DEM using ICESat/GLAS 17 data over Jiangxi province, China [7], [8]. Zhang et al. (2021) analyzed 208 footprints of 18 ICESat-2 ATL06 data and found that they have very high vertical and horizontal position-19 ing accuracies. The average RMSE calculated for continuously operating reference sta-20 tions (CORS) is 0.0846 m, and the corresponding RMSE for unmanned aerial vehicle 21 (UAV) data is 0.1517 m [9]. Liu et al. (2020) found in a study over steep terrain of the south-22 central Chinese province of Hunan that the DEMs (SRTM-1 DEM, SRTM-3 DEM, ASTER 23 GDEM2, AW3D30 DEM, and TanDEM-X 90-m DEM) provide the elevations higher than 24 those of reference points [10]. A regional landslide inventory prepared with a focus on the 25 Swiss and French Alps shows that the post-1970 portion of the database is more reliable, 26 highlighted through an improved power-law relationship [11]. The week areas having 27 fractured materials resting on steep slopes falls during landslides in the parts of the Ap-28 palachian Mountains, the Rocky Mountains, the Pacific Coastal Ranges, and some parts 29 of Alaska and Hawaii [12]. Gracheva et al. (2009) studied the effects of landslides on ar-30 cheological site Gruzinka (North Caucasus, Russia), including soil and minerals [13]. 31 Wang et al. (2019) studied algorithms including the influencing factors namely, the signal-32 to-noise ratio (SNR), slope, vegetation height, and vegetation cover on the accuracy of 33 ground elevation over the forest, tundra, and bare land areas in interior Alaska using air-34 borne LiDAR data. The overall mean difference and RMSE values between the ground 35 elevations are -0.61 m and 1.96 m, respectively. Whereas in the forest, tundra, and bare 36 land scenarios, the mean differences are -0.64 m, -0.61 m, and -0.59 m, with RMSE values 37 of 1.89 m, 2.05 m, and 1.76 m, respectively which are quite acceptable for difficult terrains 38 having a large influence of slope [7]. A recent event of a rockslide in Chamoli, Uttarak-39 hand has also been studied by researchers extensively Ronti [14]-[16]. The Copernicus 40 DEM is found better than other openly accessible DEMs (ALOS, ASTER, NASA, and 41 SRTM). in all eight test areas, when tested against both LiDAR and ICESat-2 data [17]. 42 Openly accessible DEMs (TanDEM-X, SRTM, ASTER, ALOS PALSAR, CartoDEM) 43 and Radargrammetric DEM generated using RISAT-1 (C-band) SAR stereo pair were 44 evaluated with ICESat-2 footprints for the highly vegetated Himalayan mountainous ter-45 rain of Dehradun and surroundings in Uttrakhand state, India [18]. The presented study 46 focuses on the evaluation of two recent high-quality InSAR-based DEMs using spaceborne 47 LiDAR data from the ICESat-2 mission. The study on the quality of DEMs is essential as 48DEMs play an important role in generating correct results from geographic information 49 system (GIS) based modeling from inputs for any phenomenon using remote sensing tech-50 niques. 51

- 52 53
- 54

2. Study Area

The study area is part of Himachal Pradesh in India, which shares its borders with the union territories of Jammu and Kashmir and Ladakh towards its north, and with the states of Haryana towards the southwest, Uttarakhand to the southeast, Uttar Pradesh to 5 the South, and with Punjab to the west (Figure 1). The study area includes parts of the 6 Kinnaur district and its surroundings, where some of the heavy landslides have been re-7 ported recently in the mid of the year 2021 during monsoon season. The state of Himachal Pradesh including the study area is characterized by an extremely undulating landscape having several peaks and regions with extensive drainage networks consisting of various river systems.



Figure	1.	Study	area
--------	----	-------	------

3. Material

3.1. Openly accessible DEMs

3.1.1. TanDEM-X 90

The TanDEM-X (90 m) DEM dataset was downloaded from the website platform pro-19 vided by DLR (https://tandemx-90m.dlr.de). The detailed specifications of TanDEM-X 20 DEM products can be seen in the data guide provided by DLR (https://ge-21 oservice.dlr.de/web/dataguide/tdm90/#introduction). 22

3.1.2. ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEM

3 of 4

2 3 4

8

9

10

11 12

1

14 15

17 18

23

1

2

3

4 5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

The radiometrically terrain corrected (RTC) products is a project of the Alaska Satellite Facility (ASF) that makes SAR data accessible to the user community at a high resolution (HR) of 12.5m and a resolution of 30m. The ALOS PALSAR RTC HR product (12.5m) was used for the experiment (<u>https://asf.alaska.edu/data-sets/sar-data-sets/alos-palsar/</u>).

3.2. Spaceborne LiDAR data (ICESat-2)

Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2) with ATLAS instrument was launched by NASA and measures the elevation of Earth's surface using laser wavelength of 532 nm and PRF of 10kHz producing ~ 70cm footprint on the ground. This data set (ATL06) provides geolocated land-ice surface heights (above the WGS 84 ellipsoid, ITRF2014 reference frame), plus ancillary parameters that can be used to interpret and assess the quality of the height estimates.

4. Method

The ICESat-2 shapefiles were generated using the ICESat-2 (ATL06) excel datasheets 18 in ArcGIS software after preprocessing of ICESat -2 data for removal of outlier values 19 resulting in 19,755 footprints (out of 20,948 footprints). The shapefile was used further to 20 extract the elevation values from TanDEM-X and ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEMs. Further, 21 the extracted values from DEMs were tested against ICESat-2 elevation datasets in the 22 WGS84 datum. Considering the data characteristics of openly accessible DEMs used in 23 this study and the ICESat-2 data; the ICESat-2 elevation values after pre-processing are 24 used as reference elevation for computation of statistical measures. Statistics comprising 25 of the mean error (ME), mean absolute error (MAE), and RMSE were computed for both 26 the openly accessible DEMs as detailed in [19], [20]. Besides this, the standard deviation 27 of terrain height is computed for the terrain using ICESat-2 data for the representation of 28 topographic ruggedness. 29

5. Results and Discussion

Considering the ATL06/ICESat-2 elevation values for 19,755 ICESat-2 footprints as a reference, the 31 mean error (ME), mean absolute error (MAE), and RMSE for TanDEM-X were found as 0.26m, 32 12.92m, and 17.4m respectively. Similarly, the ME, MAE, and RMSE for ALOS PALSAR RTC HR 33 DEM were found as 0.20m, 9.50m, and 13.88m respectively. Thus for the study site in the higher 34 reaches of Himalayan terrain using ICESat-2 ATL06 products, ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEM (L-35 band InSAR product) was found more suitable than TanDEM-X 90m (X-band InSAR product) 36 openly accessible datasets for any kind of application. The range of elevations in ICESat-2 elevation 37 values in the study area is from 3409.75m to 5976.31m alongside the ICESat-2 track ID 325 (Figure 38 2). Figure 3 (a, b, and c) depicts a similar undulating pattern as depicted by Figure 2 (b). 39

The standard deviation of terrain height values representing terrain ruggedness using ICESat-2 el-40evation values was found as 432.06m which is quite higher than the one reported for the younger 41 Himalayan region such as Dehradun, and Kalka having standard deviations as 134.79m and 42 261.08m respectively in earlier studies [21]. The visualization of the experimental sites in the Google 43 earth and OpenAltimetry 3D viewer depicts that the mountain slopes are steep with a large number 44 of peaks and valleys representing the rugged terrain. The region needs more conservation measures 45 for the stabilization of slopes, which pose challenges to the dwellers and tourists. The recent land-46 slides at Nugulsari and Batseri villages show the danger posed by the landslides. During the recent 47 landslides, the Batseri Bridge has collapsed due to the large boulders that tumbled down from the 48top of the mountains. 49



Figure 2. Depicts the study area: (**a**) Parts of Kinnaur District, Himanchal Pradesh and surroundings overlaid with ICESat-2 footprints; (**b**) Show the OpenAltimetry 3Dviewer describing the terrain cross-sections along the ICESat-2 ground track at footprint/beam locations.



Figure 3. Show the OpenAltimetry 3Dviewer describing the terrain cross-sections along the ICEsat-2 ground track at footprint / beam locations for: (**a**) Track 325; (**b**) Track 828; (**c**) Track 1270.

The study suggests that the locations near drainage lines shall be kept free from habitation 1 as they have more erosion and have chances of rockslides or debris flows as experienced 2 in the past due to high slopes. The rugged regions should be marked for such regions 3 prone to landslides so that the commuters can avoid these routes during rains. During 4 rains, the pore pressure increases and increase the chances of rain-induced landslides. A 5 mechanism can be made for the stabilization of known sensitive landslide-prone areas 6 and marking through regular monitoring of sensitive spots in the changing landscape 7 with time. The regulated control on the movement of vehicles can also reduce pressure on 8 the sensitive zones during monsoon season when there are higher probabilities of rainfall-9 triggered landslides. 10

11

12

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

6. Conclusion

L-band ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEM is found better than the TanDEM-X 90 DEM 13 using the ICESat-2 footprints as a reference due to its high penetration capability as well 14 as higher resolution (point posting). The L-band ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEM is more 15 suitable for any application as compared to the other openly accessible InSAR-based 16 DEMs such as the TanDEM-X 90m. Detailed investigations including geological investigations will be required for conservation practices in the region for the reduction of landslides due to high slopy mountainous terrain. 19

Funding: "This research received no external funding".

Institutional Review Board Statement: "Not applicable."

Informed Consent Statement: "Not applicable."

Data Availability Statement: The ICESat-2 data, TanDEM-X 90 DEM, and ALOS PALSAR RTC HR DEM datasets are available and can be downloaded from https://openaltimetry.org/, https://tan-demx-90m.dlr.de, and https://www.asf.alaska.edu/sar-data/palsar/terrain-corrected-rtc/ respectively.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks the space agencies: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), German Aerospace Center (DLR), and Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) along with the Google Earth platform for their insights and support through data sharing platforms, which are highly valuable and critical in this study. The author is highly indebted to Director, IIRS for encouraging the research studies at the Indian Institute of Remote Sensing.

Conflicts of Interest: "The author declares no conflict of interest."

References

- L. Simeoni, F. Ronchetti, C. Costa, P. Joris, and A. Corsini, "Redundancy and coherence of multi-method displacement 38 monitoring data as key issues for the analysis of extremely slow landslides (Isarco valley, Eastern Alps, Italy)," *Eng. Geol.*, 39 vol. 267, p. 105504, Mar. 2020.
- M. R. Palladino *et al.*, "Rainfall thresholds for the activation of shallow landslides in the Italian Alps: the role of environmental conditioning factors," *Geomorphology*, vol. 303, pp. 53–67, Feb. 2018.
- [3] T. R. Martha, "Detection of Landslides by Object- Oriented Image Analysis," University of Twente, 2011.
- T. R. Martha, P. Roy, R. Mazumdar, K. B. Govindharaj, and K. V. Kumar, "Spatial characteristics of landslides triggered by the 2015 Mw 7.8 (Gorkha) and Mw 7.3 (Dolakha) earthquakes in Nepal," *Landslides*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 697–704, Apr. 2017.
- T. Pánek *et al.*, "Moraines and marls: Giant landslides of the Lago Pueyrredón valley in Patagonia, Argentina," *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 46 vol. 248, p. 106598, Nov. 2020.
- [6] A. Alcaraz Tarragüel, B. Krol, and C. van Westen, "Analysing the possible impact of landslides and avalanches on cultural 48

35 36

37

	heritage in Upper Svaneti, Georgia," J. Cult. Herit., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 453–461, Oct. 2012.		
[7]	C. Wang et al., "Ground elevation accuracy verification of ICESat-2 data: a case study in Alaska, USA," Opt. Express, vol. 27,	2	
	no. 26, 2019.	3	
[8]	C. Chen, S. Yang, and Y. Li, "Accuracy Assessment and Correction of SRTM DEM Using ICESat/GLAS Data under Data	4	
	Coregistration," Remote Sens. 2020, Vol. 12, Page 3435, vol. 12, no. 20, p. 3435, Oct. 2020.	5	
[9]	Y. Zhang, Y. Pang, D. Cui, Y. Ma, and L. Chen, "Accuracy Assessment of the ICESat-2/ATL06 Product in the Qilian Mountains	6	
	Based on CORS and UAV Data," IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens., vol. 14, pp. 1558–1571, 2021.	7	
[10]	Z. Liu, J. Zhu, H. Fu, C. Zhou, and T. Zuo, "Evaluation of the Vertical Accuracy of Open Global DEMs over Steep Terrain	8	
	Regions Using ICESat Data: A Case Study over Hunan Province, China," Sensors (Basel)., vol. 20, no. 17, pp. 1–16, Sep. 2020.	9	
[11]	J. L. Wood, S. Harrison, and L. Reinhardt, "Landslide inventories for climate impacts research in the European Alps,"	10	
	<i>Geomorphology</i> , vol. 228, pp. 398–408, Jan. 2015.	11	
[12]	USGS, "Landslide Hazards." [Online]. Available: https://www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/landslide-	12	
	hazards/science/landslides-101?qt-science_center_objects=0#qt-science_center_objects. [Accessed: 14-Aug-2021].	13	
[13]	R. Gracheva and A. Golyeva, "Landslides in Mountain Regions: Hazards, Resources and Information," Geophys. Hazards, pp.	14	
	249–260, 2009.	15	
[14]	T. R. Martha et al., "Rock avalanche induced flash flood on 07 February 2021 in Uttarakhand , India – a photogeological	16	
	reconstruction of the event," <i>Landslides</i> , no. March, pp. 1–13, 2021.	17	
[15]	P. Pandey et al., "Cause and Process Mechanism of Rockslide Triggered Flood Event in Rishiganga and Dhauliganga River	18	
	Valleys, Chamoli, Uttarakhand, India Using Satellite Remote Sensing and in situ Observations," J. Indian Soc. Remote Sens.,	19	
	pp. 1–14, 2021.	20	
[16]	S. R. Meena, K. Bhuyan, A. Chauhan, and R. P. Singh, "Snow covered with dust after Chamoli rockslide: inference based on	21	
	high-resolution satellite data," https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2021.1931532, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 704–714, Jul. 2021.	22	
[17]	P. L. Guth and T. M. Geoffroy, "LiDAR point cloud and ICESat-2 evaluation of 1 second global digital elevation models:	23	
	Copernicus wins," Trans. GIS, p. tgis.12825, Aug. 2021.	24	
[18]	O. Saini, A. Bhardwaj, and R. S. Chatterjee, "Analysis of Back-Scattering Coefficient of NovaSAR-1 S-Band SAR," in National	25	
	Seminar on Recent Advances in Geospatial Technology and Application, 2018, pp. 1–7.	26	
[19]	A. Bhardwaj, "Assessment of Vertical Accuracy for TanDEM-X 90 m DEMs in Plain, Moderate, and Rugged Terrain,"	27	
	Proceedings, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 8, Jun. 2019.	28	
[20]	O. Saini, A. Bhardwaj, and R. S. Chatterjee, "Generation of Radargrammetric Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and Vertical	29	
	Accuracy Assessment using ICESat-2 Laser Altimetric Data and Available Open-Source DEMs," in 39th INCA International	30	
	Congress on New Age Cartography And Geospatial Technology in Digital India, 2019, p. 12.	31	
[21]	A. Bhardwaj, "Evaluation of openly Accessible MERIT DEM for vertical accuracy in different topographic regions of India,"	32	
	in 39th INCA International Congress on New Age Cartography And Geospatial Technology in Digital India, 2019, pp. 1–9.	33	
		34	