

Abstract



Comparison between Different Extraction Methods in the Recovery of Bioactive Molecules from *Melissa officinalis* L. under Sustainable Cultivation: Chemical and Bioactive Characterization ⁺

Izamara de Oliveira ^{1,2}, Sandrina Heleno ^{1,*}, Márcio Carocho ¹, Maria José Alves ¹, Josiana Vaz ¹, Maria Inês Dias ¹, Celestino S. Buelga ², Spyridon Petropoulos ³, Nikolaos Tzortzakis ⁴, Antonios Chrysargyris ⁴, Isabel C.F.R. Ferreira ¹ and Lillian Barros ¹

- ¹ Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Bragança, Portugal
- ² Grupo de Investigación en Polifenoles (GIP-USAL), Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain
- ³ Department of Agriculture Crop Production and Rural Environment, University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece
- ⁴ Department of Agricultural Sciences, Biotechnology and Food Science, Cyprus University of Technology, Lemesos, Cyprus
- * Correspondence: sheleno@ipb.pt
- + Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Plant Sciences—10th Anniversary of Journal Plants, 1–15 December 2021; Available online: https://iecps2021.sciforum.net/.

Melissa officinalis L., from the Lamiaceae family, is one of the most important medicinal and aromatic plants with potential in the market. With the passing of time, the use of medicinal plants in the treatment of some illness has gone from the simplest forms of local treatment to the industrial manufacture of phytotherapics. In addition to their medicinal effect, they can also be used in the form of infusions and decoctions and in various food preparations. In this sense, the objective of this work was to compare three different extraction methods: infusion (100% water), maceration (80:20 ethanol: water v:v) and ultrasound assisted extraction (UAE) under previous optimized extraction conditions ($33.0 \pm$ 3.2 min, $371.7 \pm 19.3 \text{ W}$ and $39.9 \pm 1.4\%$ ethanol), in plants grown under sustainable cultivation under full irrigation in June. The parameters studied included bioactive evaluation by antioxidant (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances-TBARS), cytotoxicity (sulforhodamine B) and anti-inflammatory (RAW cells) assays. The composition of phenolic compounds and organic acids was also studied by GC-MS and HPLC-DAD, respectively. According to the obtained results, eight phenolic compounds were identified and quantified, being rosmarinic acid the main one for the three extraction methods (infusion: 107.1 ± 0.9 mg/g extract; maceration: 155.7 ± 0.3 mg/g extract; UAE: 118.7 ± 0.6 mg/g extract). For Lithospermic acid A isomer $(25.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg/g})$ and Hydroxylsalvianolic E $(111.70 \pm 2.20 \text{ mg/g})$ mg/g), the UAE revealed the lowest content of individual polyphenols, whereas maceration recorded the highest extractability. On the other hand, the content of six of the eight polyphenols detected for the ultrasound-assisted extraction was similar to the infusion and maceration methods. In terms of antioxidant activity, the infusions showed the highest capacity $(3.00 \pm 0.14 \,\mu\text{g/mL})$, followed by maceration $(5.33 \pm 0.30 \,\mu\text{g/mL})$ and UAE (12 \pm 0.15 µg/mL). The highest anti-inflammatory activity was verified for the infusion (244 \pm 11 μ g/mL), followed by UAE (305 ± 9 μ g/mL), with no activity recorded for the maceration extract (>400 μ g/mL). The antitumor properties were evaluated in 5 cell lines, with the best results being recorded for infusion, except AGS ($24 \pm 1 \mu g/mL$) where the best results were for the UAE; being the maceration extract more active against NCI-H460 (190 ± 7 μ g/mL). It is therefore concluded that the extraction method that contributes to the highest obtainment of phenolic compounds is maceration, followed by infusion and ultrasoundassisted extraction. As for the bioactive and antioxidant compounds, infusion is the most

Citation: de Oliveira, I.; Heleno, S.; Carocho, M.; Alves, M.J.; Vaz, J.; Dias, M.I.; Buelga, C.S.; Petropoulos, S.; Tzortzakis, N.; Chrysargyris, A.; et al. Comparison between Different Extraction Methods in the Recovery of Bioactive Molecules from *Melissa officinalis* L. under Sustainable Cultivation: Chemical and Bioactive Characterization. **2021**, *1*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Academic Editor: Giedre Samuoliene

Published: 2 December 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). efficient method, followed by maceration and ultrasound. Overall, these natural extracts are interesting ingredients, capable of replacing counterparts of synthetic origin, and can find wide applications in the industrial sector (e.g. food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic companies). Also emphasizing the high contents in rosmarinic acid, and the obtained bioactivity, that turns this samples of great interest to increase their production to obtain extracts enriched with this bioactive molecule.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT, Portugal) for financial support through national funds FCT/MCTES to CIMO (UIDB/00690/2020). L. Barros and M.I. Dias thank the national funding by FCT through the institutional scientific employment program-contract for her contract, while M. Carocho and S. Heleno thank FCT through the individual scientific employment program-contracts (CEECIND/00831/2018 and CEEC-IND/03040/2017). I. Oliveira thanks FCT for her PhD grant (BD/06017/2020). To FEDER-Interreg España-Portugal programme for financial support through the project TRANSCoLAB 0612_TRANS_CO_LAB_2_P; to ERDF through the Regional Operational Program North 2020, within the scope of Project GreenHealth—Norte-01-0145-FEDER-000042.