



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Proceedings Unravelling Synergistic Effects of Palm Bunch Ash and Glutathione on Plant Growth ⁺

Yi Sze Koh¹, See Kiat Wong¹, Acharaporn Duangjai², Surasak Saokaew^{2,3,4,5,6}, Pochamana Phisalprapa⁷, Khang Wei Tan⁸, Bey Hing Goh^{9,10}, Siah Ying Tang^{1,11*}

- ¹ Chemical Engineering Discipline, School of Engineering, Monash University Malaysia, Bandar Sunway 47500, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia; ykoh0003@student.monash.edu (Y.S.K.); see.wong@monash.edu (S.K.W.):
- ² Unit of Excellence in Research and Product Development of Coffee, Division of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand; achara.phso@gmail.com (A.D.); saokaew@gmail.com (S.S.)
- School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Center of Health Outcomes Research and Therapeutic Safety (Cohorts), University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand
- Unit of Excellence on Clinical Outcomes Research and IntegratioN (UNICORN), School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand
- Unit of Excellence on Herbal Medicine, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand
- ⁶ Division of Pharmacy Practice, Department of Pharmaceutical Care, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand
- School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand
- 8 Division of Ambulatory Medicine, Xiamen University Malaysia, Sepang, Malaysia; khangwei.tan@xmu.edu.my (K.W.T.)
- 9 Biofunctional Molecule Exploratory Research Group, School of Pharmacy, Monash University Malaysia, Bandar Sunway 47500, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia; goh.bey.hing@monash.edu (B.H.G.)
- ¹⁰ College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 31005, Zhejiang Province, China
- ¹¹ Tropical Medicine and Biology Platform, School of Science, Monash University Malaysia, Bandar Sunway 47500, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
- Correspondence: patrick.tang@monash.edu (S.Y.T.); Tel.: +603-55144435 (S.Y.T.)
- + Presented at the 1st International Online Conference on Agriculture Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology, 15-25th February 2022.

Abstract: Palm bunch ash (PBA), a waste biomass from the palm oil industry, has been widely re-32 garded as an alternative source of fertilizer to improve soil health, plant growth and yield. Gluta-33 thione (GSH), a bioactive tripeptide with potent antioxidant properties, has been proposed as a 34 plant growth regulator that improves stress tolerance in plants. However, the use of PBA in combi-35 nation with GSH has yet to be explored and remains as new gap in the literature. Herein, we aimed 36 to assess the individual and the combinatory effects of PBA and GSH on vegetative plant growth, 37 whereby okra was selected as the model plant and cultivated under well-watered, outdoor condi-38 tions. Plant growth parameters such as plant height, stem girth, number of leaves and leaf surface 39 area were measured over a period of two months. The results showed that the application of PBA 40 and GSH significantly influenced the plant growth parameters. The GSH-treated group recorded 41 the tallest plant height (47.19 cm) as compared to the control group, PBA-treated group, and com-42 bination group of PBA and GSH. The combination group of PBA and GSH recorded the best pa-43 rameters in terms of stem girth (4.45 mm), number of leaves (6.35), leaf surface area (118.38 cm2) 44 with improved resistance towards diseases. These results implied that the combined application of 45 PBA and GSH may have led to a synergistic effect on okra plant growth. Our findings suggest that 46 the combined application of PBA and GSH is indeed recommended to improve plant growth and 47 development. 48

Keywords: Palm bunch ash; glutathione; fertilizer; plant growth regulator.

49 50

Citation: Koh, Y.S.; Wong, S.K.; Duangjai, A.; Saokaew, S.; Phisalprapa, P.; Tan, K. W.; Goh, B.H.; Tang, S.Y. Unravelling Synergistic Effects of Palm Bunch Ash and Glutathione on Plant Growth. IOCAG 2022. 6. x.

https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Palm bunch ash (PBA) has become increasingly popular as an organic fertilizer for 2 various plant crops, especially for countries heavily involved in cultivation of oil palms 3 like Indonesia, Malaysia, and Nigeria [1,2]. PBA is produced as a result of incinerated 4 empty fruit bunches (EFB), which is deemed as one of the major waste products of oil 5 palm cultivation [3]. PBA have pH values of more than 7 and are rich in potassium, along 6 with varying amount of nutrients such as phosphorous, calcium and magnesium [3]. 7 These properties allow PBA to reduce the acidity and improve the soil nutrient levels, 8 which result in improvement of vegetative growth and yield of several plant crops like 9 maize, ginger, and okra [2,4,5]. 10

Looking beyond soil conditions, abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, and metal 11 toxicity still pose a threat during the growth of plants. Abiotic stresses typically cause an 12 excess production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which increase the likelihood of en-13 zyme inhibition, and eventually damage to the plant cells [6]. In response, the antioxidant 14 defense system regulates the ROS in plants, protecting them from abiotic stresses. Among 15 the non-enzymatic antioxidants, glutathione (GSH) is proven to be one of the most abun-16 dant water-soluble thiol compounds found throughout plant tissues [7]. The biosynthesis 17 of GSH is usually induced by abiotic stresses but could be possibly inhibited under ex-18 treme stress conditions. Hence, recent studies have been focused on the exogenous appli-19 cation of GSH on plants via foliar spray or seed soaking, to overcome the deficiency of 20 endogenous GSH during extreme stresses and has proven successful [6-10]. Under 21 stressed conditions, exogenous GSH play key roles in increasing the reduced antioxidant 22 levels, enzymatic activities, and photosynthetic activities, effectively reducing the oxida-23 tive damage, and alleviating any toxicity imposed on the plants [7,11]. Evidently, exoge-24 nous application of GSH have been proven to increase the stress tolerance of plants that 25 ultimately led to improved growth and development of plants. 26

In view of the benefits that might be conferred by PBA and GSH on plants, there has 27 yet to be a systematic assessment done on their combinatory effects on the vegetative 28 growth of plants. Hence, this study hypothesizes that the co-treatment of PBA and GSH 29 could potentially result in synergistic effects on plant growth. Okra (Abelmoschus esculen-30 tus (L.) Moench) plants were chosen to be assessed in this study due to their suitability to 31 be grown in tropical regions like Malaysia and relatively shorter maturity period of 60 – 32 70 days as compared to other crops [12,13]. A comprehensive design of experimental 33 groups was employed for PBA and GSH application, with both in individual and com-34 bined treatments on the vegetative growth of okra plants, observed through parameters 35 such as plant height, stem girth, number of leaves and leaf surface area. 36

2. Methods

The experiment was conducted in Penang, Malaysia, from March 2021 to July 2021. 38 Plastic pots (25 cm x 20 cm) were used to plant the sterilized okra seeds that were subjected 39 to 4 different treatments, namely: A) Control group; B) PBA group; C) GSH group; D) 40 PBA-GSH group. For the control group, 6 water-soaked okra seeds were sown into a pot 41 of black soil (3 kg). For the PBA group, 6 water-soaked okra seeds were sown into a pot 42 of PBA-soil mix (200 g: 3 kg). For the GSH group, 6 GSH-soaked okra seeds were sown 43 into a pot of black soil (3 kg). For the PBA-GSH group, 6 GSH-soaked okra seeds were 44 sown into a pot of PBA-soil mix (200 g: 3 kg). Each treatment group consisted of 4 replicate 45 pots where 6 pre-treated okra seeds were sown at 2 cm depth and equally distanced from 46 one another in each pot, respectively. All pots were watered daily throughout the different 47 growth stages. 48

Soil pH and nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium (NPK) tests were performed to analyze 49 the new black soil, PBA and spent soil from each treatment group at day 35. Soil samples 50 were added into test tubes, followed by their respective test reagents, gently shaken, and 51 left to settle. The color obtained was compared against the respective color charts to obtain 52

1

the pH and NPK nutrient level readings. Data was recorded based on various growth-1 related parameters of the okra plants such as plant height, stem girth, number of leaves 2 per plant, area of leaves and visual assessment. Measuring tape and digital vernier cali-3 pers were used to measure shoots and girth of every plant and recorded as mean values 4 for each group weekly. Number of leaves on each plant in every pot was counted and 5 recorded as mean values on a weekly basis. For the area of leaves, 6 leaves were randomly 6 selected from each pot where the widths and lengths of each leaf were measured using a 7 measuring tape, calculated using Equation (1) in the below and recorded as mean leaf 8 area. For visual assessment, observable plant conditions such as chlorosis, necrosis, or 9 wilting were noted and recorded. The following shows the equation used to calculate area 10 of okra leaves: 11

> Area of okra leaves = Lamina length \times Maximum width \times k, (1)

Where k is the coefficient using ratios of linear measurement to graph determination, 12 which was found to be 0.75 for okra plants [14]. 13

The data obtained were recorded as mean values and analyzed using one-way Anal-14 ysis of Variance (ANOVA) test and Least Significance Difference (LSD) test to determine 15 the significance of differences between the treatment groups at $P \le 0.05$. Both tests were 16 conducted using the IBM SPSS Statistics v26.0 software for all parameters. 17

3. Results and Discussion

The pH of pure black soil and PBA-black soil mix at day 35 remained the same as day 19 0 at pH 6.5 and 7, respectively, lying between the suitable range of pH of okra plants. 20 Phosphorus levels were sufficient for all soil samples, but nitrogen levels were found to 21 be deficient for all soil samples. Potassium levels were adequate for black soil samples 22 including control and GSH group soil samples, whereas potassium levels were in surplus 23 for PBA-soil mix samples, including PBA and PBA-GSH groups. 24

From Figure 1 (a), a consistent trend was observed where the highest mean height of 25 the okra plant was recorded from the GSH group significantly, followed by the control 26 group, PBA-GSH group and PBA group throughout the experiment. The application of 27 GSH improved shoot height significantly due to an increase in various enzymatic activi-28 ties and improvement in total photosynthetic pigment [8,11]. Notably, the mean height 29 recorded from the PBA and PBA-GSH groups were significantly lower than the control 30 and GSH groups. This is possibly due to the surplus in potassium as recorded from the 31 soil test. The interaction of potassium and other macronutrients, especially nitrogen, is 32 essential to maintain efficient nutrient transport and promote shoot growth of plants [15-33 17]. Hence, the surplus of potassium may have caused a reduction in nitrogen-metaboliz-34 ing enzymatic activities, decreasing nitrogen uptake, which led to an overall shorter plant 35 height [2,18].

From day 14 till day 42, a similar trend was observed for mean stem girth, mean 37 number of leaves per plant and mean leaf area, where the GSH group recorded the best-38 improved parameters followed by the control group, PBA group and PBA-GSH group as 39 shown in Figure 1 (b), Figure 1 (c) and Figure 1 (d), respectively. This may be best ex-40 plained by the role of GSH in increasing total photosynthetic pigments by up-regulation 41 of gene expressions for photosynthesis. The light energy is captured by chlorophyll, found 42 in chloroplasts, that are highly concentrated in the leaves of a plant and the outer parts of 43 stems [19]. Hence, the application of GSH that increased the net photosynthetic rate cor-44 relates to the positive impacts on mean stem girth, number of leaves per plant and area of 45 leaves. 46

On day 35, the number of leaves in the control group decreased significantly due to 47 several conditions observed through visual assessment, such as white spots as shown in 48Figure 2 (a) and chlorosis. The white spots are indicative of *powdery mildew*; a fungal dis-49 ease that favors hot and humid weathers [12]. The main cause of chlorosis is the lack of 50

18

chlorophyll, which is often related to a deficiency in nutrients like magnesium and nitrogen as recorded from the soil test [20-22]. Chlorosis is often followed by wilting of the leaves, leading to a significant decrease in the mean number of leaves in the control group. Plants in the other groups were less susceptible to the fungal disease due to sufficient nutrients supplemented by PBA and the improvement in resistance of GSH.

From day 42 onwards, both PBA and PBA-GSH groups surpassed the mean stem 6 girth and mean leaf area compared to the control group as shown in Figure 1 (b) and 7 Figure 1 (d). As opposed to the adverse effect in plant height, the surplus of potassium 8 resulted in a positive effect on the stem girth due to the significantly lower potassium 9 accumulation in stems among the other organs in the plant [16]. The presence of sufficient 10 potassium in the stem cell differentiation area increased cell elongation, leading to the 11 increase in stem girth [23]. Similarly, the accumulation of potassium in the leaves effec-12 tively contributed to an increased rate of photosynthesis and gas exchange rate in leaves, 13 which led to an increase in cell expansion in the leaves, increasing the area of leaves 14[16,24]. 15



Figure 1. Graphs of plant growth parameters from different treatment groups with standard errors (n = 12) from day 14 till day 56. (a) Mean plant height; (b) Mean stem girth; (c) Mean number of leaves per plant; (d) Mean leaf surface area.

Unlike the other growth parameters, the mean number of leaves recorded an overall 18 decrease after day 42 from all groups except the PBA-GSH group. The mean number of 19 leaves from the PBA group decreased significantly, mainly due to the attack of whiteflies, 20 which was observed through the white eggs behind several leaves of the PBA group as 21 shown in **Figure 2 (b)**. Notably, some leaves were curled up, crinkly and had a shrunken 22

leaf area. This observation fits the symptoms of the okra enation leaf curl virus caused by1a virus named *Begomovirus* and transmitted via vectors like whiteflies [25]. This disease2will essentially lead to decreased number of leaves, smaller leaf area and even reduced3okra yield. Interestingly, the leaves from GSH and PBA-GSH group were less susceptible4to the attacks as seen in Figure 2 (c) and Figure 2 (d).5

From day 49 onwards, the PBA-GSH group surpassed the GSH group and recorded 6 the best results in terms of mean steam girth, the mean number of leaves and mean leaf 7 area. PBA fulfilled the increasing demand for potassium intake due to the increased rate 8 of transpiration, and stomatal conductance, while the application of GSH enhanced the 9 increase in photosynthetic activities [26]. Furthermore, the plants from the PBA-GSH 10 group had healthier leaves with a darker shade of green as compared to leaves from the 11 GSH and the control group as shown in Figure 2 (d). This is because the PBA-GSH appli-12 cation resulted in better resistance to diseases and enhanced chlorophyll b, an accessory 13 pigment responsible for reflecting the yellow-green color in the leaves [27,28]. 14



Figure 2. Pictures of leaf conditions observed from different treatment groups. (a) White spots on leaves or *Powdery mildew* from control group; (b) Whitefly eggs spotted behind one of the curled leaves from PBA group; (c) Big green leaves with minimal white spots in some leaves from GSH group; (d) Bigger and darker green leaves from PBA-GSH group.

4. Conclusions

This study showed that the combined application of PBA-GSH bring about the best 19 improvement in terms of the mean stem girth, the mean number of leaves per plant, the 20 mean leaf area, and the resistance of plants to diseases. The synergistic effects of the com-21 bined PBA-GSH applications were increasingly evident in the later growth stages as the 22 PBA fulfilled the increasing demand of nutrients of the plants, coupled with the enhance-23 ment of GSH in increasing photosynthetic activities in the plants. For future works, en-24 capsulation could be proposed to produce controlled release for the application of PBA 25 and GSH to cater for the varying demands of supplements or nutrients at different plant 26 growth stages. In short, the study suggests that the combined application of PBA and GSH 27 is indeed the best treatment for the vegetative growth and development of okra plants. 28

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.S.K., S.Y.T., B.H.G.; methodology and software, Y.S.K.;29investigation, Y.S.K.; original draft preparation and writing revision, Y.S.K.; writing – review and30editing, S.K.W, B.H.G., S.Y.T.; resources and review, A.D, S.S., P.P., K.W.T.; supervision and project31administration, S.Y.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.32

Funding: This work was supported by Tropical Medicine and Biology Platform, School of Science33and School of Engineering, Monash University Malaysia.34

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the
design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manu-
script, or in the decision to publish the results.3638

39

35

17 18

15

References

1. Lai, O.M.; Phuah, E.t.; Lee, Y.Y.; Basiron, Y. Palm Oil. In Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products; 2020; pp. 1-101.

2. H.C., O. Potential of Palm Bunch Ash Application on the Growth and Yield of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.). *Global Journal of Biology, Agriculture & Health Sciences* **2016**, *5*, 8.

3. Lim, K.C.; Zaharah, A.R. Decomposition and N and K release by oil palm empty fruit bunches applied under mature palms. . *Journal of Oil Palm* **2008**, *12*, 8.

4. Ewulo, B.; Babadele, O.O.; Ojeniyi, S. Sawdust ash and urea effect on soil and plant nutrient content and yield of tomato. **2009**, *3*, 88-92.

5. Ojeniyi, S.; Ezekiel, P.O.; Asawalam, D.O.; Awo, A.O.; Odedina, S.A.; Odedina, J. Root growth and NPK status of cassava as influenced by oil palm bunch ash. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **2009**, *8*.

Vittoria Locato; Sara Cimini; Gara, L.D. Glutathione as a Key Player in Plant Abiotic Stress Responses and Tolerance. In *Glutathione in Plant Growth, Development*; Springer International Publishing AG: Unit of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Department of Medicine, Campus Bio-Medico, University of Rome, via Alvaro del Portillo 21, 00128 Rome, Italy, 2017; pp. 127-145.
 Hasanuzzaman, M.; Nahar, K.; Anee, T.I.; Fujita, M. Glutathione in plants: biosynthesis and physiological role in

environmental stress tolerance. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* 2017, 23, 249-268, doi:10.1007/s12298-017-0422-2.
8. Khattab, H. Role of Glutathione and Polyadenylic Acid on the Oxidative Defense Systems of Two Different Cultivars of Canola Seedlings Grown under Saline Condition. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 2007, 1, 323-334.

9. Wang, R.; Lin, K.; Chen, H.; Qi, Z.; Liu, B.; Cao, F.; Chen, H.; Wu, F. Metabolome Analysis Revealed the Mechanism of Exogenous Glutathione to Alleviate Cadmium Stress in Maize (Zea mays L.) Seedlings. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, doi:10.3390/plants10010105. 10. Semida, W.M.; Abd El-Mageed, T.A.; Abdalla, R.M.; Hemida, K.A.; Howladar, S.M.; Leilah, A.A.A.; Rady, M.O.A. Sequential Antioxidants Foliar Application Can Alleviate Negative Consequences of Salinity Stress in Vicia faba L. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, doi:10.3390/plants10050914.

11. Godoy, F.; Olivos-Hernández, K.; Stange, C.; Handford, M. Abiotic Stress in Crop Species: Improving Tolerance by Applying Plant Metabolites. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, doi:10.3390/plants10020186.

12. Tripathi, K.K.; Warrier, R.; O.P. Govilla; Ahuja, V. Biology of Abelmoschus esculentus L. (Okra). 2011, 35.

13. Sorapong, B. Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench) as a valuable vegetable of the world. *Ratarstvo i povrtarstvo* **2012**, *49*, 105-112, doi:10.5937/ratpov49-1172.

14. Musa, U.; Hassan, U. Leaf area determination of Maize, Okra and Cowpea crops using linear measurements. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare* **2016**, *6*, 103-111.

15. Jahan, S.; Wahocho, N.; Laghari, G.; Laghari, A.; Bhabhan, G.; HussainTalpur, K.; Ahmed, T.; Wahocho, S.; Lashari, A. Role of Nitrogen for Plant Growth and Development: A review. *Advances in Environmental Biology* **2016**, *10*, 209-218.

16. Xu, X.; Du, X.; Wang, F.; Sha, J.; Chen, Q.; Tian, G.; Zhu, Z.; Ge, S.; Jiang, Y. Effects of Potassium Levels on Plant Growth, Accumulation and Distribution of Carbon, and Nitrate Metabolism in Apple Dwarf Rootstock Seedlings. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **2020**, *11*, doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00904.

17. Ali Raza, H.M.; Bashir, M.A.; Rehim, A.; Raza, Q.-U.-A.; Berlyn, G.P.; Ur Rahman, S.; Geng, Y. Application of K and Zn Influences the Mineral Accumulation More in Hybrid Than Inbred Maize Cultivars. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, doi:10.3390/plants10102206.

18. Adjei-Nsiah, S. Response of Maize (Zea mays L.) to Different Rates of Palm Bunch Ash Application in the Semi-deciduous Forest Agro-ecological Zone of Ghana. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* **2012**, 2012, 6, doi:10.1155/2012/870948.

Tanaka, A.; Makino, A. Photosynthetic research in plant science. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2009, *50*, 681-683, doi:10.1093/pcp/pcp040.
 Bassi, D.; Menossi, M.; Mattiello, L. Nitrogen supply influences photosynthesis establishment along the sugarcane leaf. *Scientific Reports* 2018, *8*, 2327, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20653-1.

Khalil, U.; Ali, S.; Rizwan, M.; Rahman, K.U.; Ata-Ul-Karim, S.T.; Najeeb, U.; Ahmad, M.N.; Adrees, M.; Sarwar, M.; Hussain, S.M. Role of Mineral Nutrients in Plant Growth Under Extreme Temperatures. In *Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance*, Hasanuzzaman, M., Fujita, M., Oku, H., Nahar, K., Hawrylak-Nowak, B., Eds.; Springer Singapore: Singapore, 2018; pp. 499-524.
 Elad, Y.; Barnea, D.; Rav-David, D.; Yermiyahu, U. Nutrient Status of Cucumber Plants Affects Powdery Mildew (Podosphaera xanthii). *Plants* 2021, *10*, doi:10.3390/plants10102216.

23. Ariadne, F.L.d.S.; Sérgio, V.V.; Mara, C.P.d.C.; José, C.B.; Gustavo, M.R.; Matheus, P.T. Effects of potassium application and soil moisture on the growth of Corymbia citriodora plants. *CERNE* **2014**, *20*, 7, doi:10.1590/01047760201420041422.

24. Nazli, F.; Bushra; Iqbal, M.; Bibi, F.; Zafar-ul-Hye, D.M.; Kashif, M.R.; Ahmad, M. Modeling the potassium requirements of potato crop for yield and quality optimization. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **2018**, *6*, 169-180.

25. Mishra, G.P.; Singh, B.; Seth, T.; Singh, A.K.; Halder, J.; Krishnan, N.; Tiwari, S.K.; Singh, P.M. Biotechnological Advancements and Begomovirus Management in Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.): Status and Perspectives. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **2017**, *8*, doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00360.

26. Prajapati, K. The importance of potassium in plant growth - A review. Indian Journal of Plant Sciences 2012, 1, 177-186.

27. Nahar, K.; Hasanuzzaman, M.; Alam, M.M.; Fujita, M. Exogenous glutathione confers high temperature stress tolerance in mung bean (Vigna radiata L.) by modulating antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification system. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **2015**, *112*, 44-54, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.12.001</u>.

28. Ke, W.; Yin, Y.; Chen, X.; Qiu, B. Chlorophylls. 2021; pp. 95-106.