



# The effect of deficit water irrigation on the performance of promising lines of grain sorghum <sup>+</sup>

Azim Khazaei <sup>1,\*</sup>, Leyla Nazari <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>,\* Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Iran; email: a.khazaei@areeo.ac.ir
- <sup>2</sup>, Crop and Horticultural Science Research Department, Fars Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Shiraz, Iran; l.nazari@areeo.ac.ir
- Presented at 1st International Online Conference on Agriculture Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology, 10–25 Feb 2022.

# Abstract

The current research aimed to perceive the effect of water deficit irrigation and plant density on yield and yield ١٣ components of promising lines of grain sorghum. The experiment was conducted in the form of split-plot facto-١٤ rial design with three replications at Seed and Plant Improvement Institute (SPII) for two years (2015-2016). ۱٥ ١٦ Irrigation was considered as the main factor (60, 120 and 180 mm evaporation from pan class A) and plant spac-11 ing on row (8, 12 and 15 cm) and lines (KGS23, KGS32 and KGS36) were as factorial. The combined analysis of ۱۸ variance indicated that there was a significant difference between the lines in terms of grain yield (P<0.01). The lines KGS23 and KGS36 exhibited the highest grain yield with 5333 and 4645 kg ha-1, respectively, while line ۱۹ KGS32 produced the lowest grain yield of 4011 kg ha-1. The results indicated different reaction of the grain ۲. sorghum lines to irrigation. Under water deficit irrigation, the line KGS23 had a significant advantage in com-۲١ parison to the other two lines in terms of high yield, morphological characteristics, and adaptation to drought ۲۲ stress conditions. Grain yield was positively correlated with panicle weight, biological yield, and 1000-grain ۲٣ weight. As well, Line KGS36 performed better than KGS32 in terms of grain yield and drought tolerance. The ۲٤ highest grain yield (7964 kg ha-1) was observed for line KGS23 under normal irrigation and plant space on row 50 of 12 cm in the second year. Moreover, the effect of plant density on grain yield was not significant (P<0.05). ۲٦

Keywords: drought, density, sorghum morphology, correlation

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Chem. Proc.* 2021, 3, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx Published: date

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). 1. Introduction

۳. Drought is the most important environmental stress that greatly reduces crop ۳١ production in areas where the annual rainfall is reduced and the distribution is ٣٢ uneven [1]. Iran is considered as an arid (65%) to semi-arid (25%) region (25° to 38° N latitude) with an area of about 1.5 million km<sup>2</sup>, which is equivalent to 3% of the 37 area of arid and semi-arid regions of the world [2]. Sorghum has been introduced ٣٤ as a drought-tolerant crop due to its unique morphological and physiological 30 ٣٦ characteristics and less water requirement compared to maize [3]. Onken et al. [4] reported an increase in water use efficiency in sorghum during long irrigation ٣٧ ۳۸ cycles.

٣٩ Determining the density, planting date, and suitable cultivars of grain sorghum in each region are important factors for optimal crop production. Hum and ٤. ٤١ Kebda [5] showed that increasing plant density from 75000 to 450000 plants ha-1 grain yield increased linearly. The effect of plant density on grain yield of three ٤٢ sorghum cultivars different in terms of maturity reported that early cultivars ٤٣ needed higher plant density than late cultivars for maximum yield [6]. Despite its źź ٤٥ complexity, grain yield is an indicator of plant response to environmental stresses. ٤٦ The selection of drought-tolerant genotypes is generally performed under both stress and non-stress conditions to select genotypes adapted to both conditions [7]. ٤٧

٤ 0

٦

٧

٨

٩

۱.

11

This study was conducted to determine the effect of drought stress and plant density on the yield and phenotypic traits of promising lines of grain sorghum.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This experiment was carried out in the research farm of Seed and Plant Improvement Research Institute, Karaj (35° 59' N, 50° 75' E). The soil texture of the experimental field was clay-sand and with pH=7.5 in the depth of 0-30 cm. Split ٧ plot-factorial was implemented in a randomized complete block design with three replications during 2015-2016.

۱. Irrigation was applied as the main factor (A) at three levels (60, 120, and 180 mm evaporation from the Class A Evaporation Pan). Plant spacing on row (B) at 11 ۱۲ three levels (8, 12, and 15 cm) and lines (C) at three levels (KGS23, KGS32, and ۱۳ KGS36 (BC) were evaluated as factorial (BC). The experimental plots were 4 lines ١٤ 5m long with a row distance of 60 cm. Ammonium phosphate was distributed with tillage as 250 kg ha-1, while urea was applied 100 kg ha-1 at planting time and 100 10 ١٦ kg ha-1 in the 6-8 leaf stage, based on the soil test.

۱۷ Phenotypic parameters including plant height, panicle length, stem diameter, panicle weight, forage weight, biological yield, 1000-grain weight, and grain yield ۱۸ ۱۹ were measured. Grain harvest was performed at the physiological maturity stage. ۲. For this purpose, the middle two rows were harvested by removing marginal ef-۲١ fects. Panicles were removed from the plant and weighed. The seeds were counted by Seed Counter and then weighed. The plant height was calculated from the soil ۲۲ ۲٣ surface until the panicle head. The stem diameter was calculated by measuring the ۲٤ first node by the caliper. Analysis of variance, comparison of means, and simple correlations was performed using SAS software. Means comparison was performed by LSD test method (p < 0.05). ٢٦

### 3. Results and discussion

Combined analysis of variance showed that the effect of year was significant for plant height, panicle length, stem diameter, panicle weight, 1000-seed weight, 31 and grain yield at p < 0.01 and for forage weight and biological yield at p < 0.05. The grain yield was influenced by the effect of the year. The results showed that the ٣٢ ٣٣ grain yield in the second year was superior compared to the first year (Table 1).

٣٤ Significant differences between irrigation regimes were observed for all traits 30 except stem diameter (p<0.01). Comparison of means showed that the highest grain ٣٦ yield with an average of 6350 kg ha-1 was related to the well-watered condition and ۳V the lowest was obtained under severe water stress with an average yield of 3320 kg ha-1 (Table 1). Our results demonstrated that sorghum grain yield significantly de-۳۸ ٣٩ creases under water stress.

٤. It seems that balanced water consumption (normal irrigation) during different development stages may lead to improved grain yield. The effect of water stress on ٤١ ٤٢ sorghum and millet in the reproductive growth stage reduced grain yield up to 50%, however, the stress in the vegetative growth stage in millet decreased grain ٤٢ ٤ź yield by 25% and in sorghum by 30% [8].

Irrigation in well-watered conditions led to an increase in biological yield 20 ٤٦ (33.21 t ha-1). Biological yield significantly decreased under mild and severe water ٤V stress conditions (23.98 t ha-1) (Table 1).

There was a significant difference between the promising lines in terms of ź٨ ٤٩ grain yield (p<0.01) (Table 1). Comparison of mean showed that KGS23 and KGS36 produced the highest yield (5333 and 4645 kg ha-1, respectively), and KGS32 (4011 0. 01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) had the lowest grain yield (Table 1).

٥٢ The interaction of promising lines and irrigation levels was significant on 03 grain yield (p<0.05) (data not shown). KGS23 line had the highest grain yield in

۲ ٣ ٤

٥

٦

۱

- 50
- ۲۷ ۲۸
- ۲٩ ۳.

three irrigation levels with a grain yield of 7113 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at IR<sub>1</sub>, 5053 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at IR<sub>2</sub>,  $\uparrow$  and 3832 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at IR<sub>3</sub> (Table 1).  $\uparrow$ 

٣





1. Mean of comparison s	some of	morphological	characteristics,	biological	yield	and	grain	yield	i
ing grain sorghum lines									
									Ĩ

Tuestanout	plant height	panicle weight	biological yield	grain yield	
	(cm)	(t ha-1)	(t ha-1)	(Kg ha-1)	
<u>Year(Y)</u>					
Y1	100.5 <sup>b</sup>	6.8 <sup>b</sup>	26.4ª	3797.1 <sup>b</sup>	
Y2	107.3ª	8.9ª	27.7ª	5529.1ª	
Irrigation regime (IR)					
well-watered (IR1=60mm)	117.50 <sup>a</sup>	10.37 <sup>a</sup>	33.21ª	6350.0ª	
mild water stress (IR2=120mm)	99.57 <sup>ь</sup>	7.01 <sup>b</sup>	23.98 <sup>b</sup>	4318.70ь	
severe water stress(IR3=180mm)	94.65 <sup>c</sup>	6.15 <sup>b</sup>	23.98 <sup>b</sup>	3320.20 <sup>c</sup>	
<u>Cultivars</u>					
V1(KGS23)	90.82°	8.95ª	28.31ª	5333.0ª	
V2(KGS32)	121.0ª	6.87°	26.65 <sup>b</sup>	4011.0 <sup>c</sup>	
V3(KGS36)	99.91 <sup>b</sup>	7.70 <sup>b</sup>	26.25 <sup>b</sup>	4645.0 <sup>b</sup>	
<u>Density</u>					
D1=8Cm	103.0ª	7.99ª	28.31ª	4819.0ª	
D2=12 Cm	104.4ª	7.56ª	26.65 <sup>ab</sup>	4451.0ª	
D3=15 Cm	$104.4^{a}$	7.97ª	26.25 <sup>b</sup>	4719.0ª	

Table in promisi

Means with same letters in each column are not significantly different at 5% level



۲

٤

٥ ٦

٧

Different levels of density were significantly different in terms of forage weight (p<0.01) and terms of biological yield (p<0.05). However, density did not ٣ affect grain yield. The highest forage and biological yield was related to the plant spacing of 8 cm on row (Table 1).

Grain yield was positively correlated with panicle weight, biological yield, and 1000 seeds, while biological yield was positively correlated with plant height, panicle length, and panicle weight (Table 2).

٨ ٩ ۱.

۱۱

۱٩

Table 3. Correlations between morphological characteristics, biological yield and grain yield in promising grain sorghum lines

	8						
Grain	1000 grain	Biological	Panicle	Stem	Panicle	Plant	parameters
yield	weight	Yield	weight	diameter	length	height	1
(kg ha-1)	(g)	(t ha-1)	(t ha-1)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	
						1	Plant height
							(cm)
					1	0.76**	Panicle length
							(cm)
				1	0.19 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.11 <sup>ns</sup>	Stem diameter
							(cm)
			1	_ 0 17 ns	0.10 <sup>ns</sup>	0.18 <sup>ns</sup>	Panicle weight
				0.17			(t ha-1)
		1	0.66**	0.01 ns	0.28*	0.43**	<b>Biological Yield</b>
							(t ha-1)
	1	0.45**	_ 0 21 ns	0.39**	0.37**	0.27*	1000 grain weight
			0.21				(g)
1	0.29**	0.57**	0.92**	-0.17 <sup>ns</sup>	0.10 <sup>ns</sup>	0.14 <sup>ns</sup>	Grain yield
						(kg ha-1)	

The results of this research support the idea that the reaction of grain sorghum ۱۲ ۱٣ lines was different with irrigation treatment. Totally, under water stress conditions, line KGS23 showed a significant advantage in terms of most traits than the ١٤ ۱٥ over two lines. KGS23 showed more tolerance to drought stress and exhibited su-١٦ periority in terms of morphological traits and grain yield. Irrigation restriction in grain sorghum caused a reduction in most of the measured traits which led to a 11 ۱۸ significant reduction in yield.

#### ۲. ۲١ **Author Contributions:** ۲۲ Azim Khazaei: Conceptualization; data curation; investigation; methodology; project ad-۲٣ ministration; resources; supervision; writing-original draft; writing-review and editing. ۲٤ Leyla Nazari: Data curation; investigation; methodology; writing-original draft; writ-۲0 ing-review and editing. ۲٦ ۲٧ Funding: This research received no external funding. ۲۸ Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. ۲۹ Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable. ۳. Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 2. Koochaki, A. and Alizadeh, A. The Principles of Agriculture in Arid Areas. Vol. I, 4th Edition, Astane Ghodse Razavi Publications, Mashhad, 2004 (book in perian).
- Nazari, L.; Dehghanian, E.; Estakhr, A.; Khazaei, A.; Sorkhilalehloo, B.; Abbasi, M.R. Introduction of the best criterion for evaluation of tolerance to drought stress in sorghum's genotypes. *Acta Agric. Slov.* 2021, 117(4), 1-13. ISSN 1854-1941. http://dx.doi.org/10.14720/aas.2021.117.4.2176.
- 4. Onken, A.B.; Wendet, C.W.; Payane, W.A.; Drew, M.C. Soil phosphorus availability and pearl millet water use efficiency. *Crop Sci.* **1992**, 32, 1010-1015. <u>https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1992.0011183X003200040035x</u>
- 5. Hum, D.; Kebda, J. Response to plant hybrids date and population densing by early- maturing sorghum in ontario. Can. J. *Plant Sci.* **1981**, 61, 265-273. https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps81-039
- Wade, L.J.; Douglass, A.C. Effect of plant density on grain yield and yield stability of sorghum hybrids differing in maturity. *Agron. J.* 1990, 30, 257-264. https://doi.org/10.1071/EA9900257
- Fernandez, G.C. Effective selection criteria for assessing plant stress tolerance. In: Kuo, C.G.(Ed), Proceedings of the International Symposium on Adaptation of Vegetables and Other Food Croops in Temperature and Water Stress, Tainan, Taiwan. 1992.
- 8. Rame, R.; Kumari, S. Influence of variable amounts of irrigation water and nitrogen fertilizer on growth, yield and water use of grain sorghum. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 1995, 47, 61-151.
  Y.

۱

۲

٣

٤

٥

٦

٧

٨

٩

۱.

۱۱