



Proceeding Paper

Fertilization with ZnO and ZnSO₄: Mineral analyses in *Vitis vinifera* Grapes cv. Fernão Pires ⁺

Diana Daccak ^{1,2,*}, Ana Rita F. Coelho ^{1,2}, Cláudia Campos Pessoa ^{1,2}, Inês Carmo Luís ^{1,2}, Ana Coelho Marques ^{1,2}, José C. Ramalho ^{2,3}, Paula Scotti Campos ^{2,4}, Isabel P. Pais ^{2,4}, José N. Semedo ^{2,4}, Maria Manuela Silva ^{2,5}, Paulo Legoinha ^{1,2}, Manuela Simões ^{1,2}, Fernando H. Reboredo ^{1,2}, Maria Fernanda Pessoa ^{1,2} and Fernando C. Lidon ^{1,2}

- ¹ Earth Sciences Department, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Campus da Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; email1@gmail.com (A.R.F.C.); email2@gmail.com (C.C.P.); email3@gmail.com (I.C.L.); email4@gmail.com (A.C.M.); email5@gmail.com (P.L.); email6@gmail.com (M.S.); email7@gmail.com (F.H.R.); email8@gmail.com (M.F.P.) email9@gmail.com (F.C.L.)
- ² GeoBioTec Research Center, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Campus da Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; email10@gmail.com (J.C.R.); email11@gmail.com (P.S.C.); email12@gmail.com (I.P.P.); email13@gmail.com (J.N.S.); email14@gmail.com (M.M.S.)
- ³ PlantStress & Biodiversity Lab, Centro de Estudos Florestais (CEF), Instituto Superior Agronomia (ISA), Universidade de Lisboa (ULisboa), Quinta do Marquês, Av. República, 2784-505 Oeiras, and Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal
- ⁴ Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, I.P. (INIAV), Quinta do Marquês, Av. República, 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal
- ⁵ ESEAG-COFAC, Avenida do Campo Grande 376, 1749-024 Lisboa, Portugal
- * Correspondence: d.daccak@campus.fct.unl.pt
- + Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Horticulturae, 16–30 April 2022; Available online: https://iecho2022.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: Nutrition of the world population has become a concern, making research for strategies to enhance crop production necessary. Thus, the study of nutrients and the interactions between them is highly necessary since they are important for plant physiology and influence the growth of crops. Zinc is an essential micronutrient required for normal function of plants. Its deficiency is associated with losses in yield and nutritional quality. Vine, being a crop susceptible to Zn deficits, is among the most cultivated fruit plants in the world. In this study, the reactions of the variety Vitis Vinifera Fernão Pires, located in a field in Palmela, Portugal (N 38°35'41.467" W 8°50'44.535"), to three foliar sprays of ZnO and ZnSO4 with concentrations of 150 g ha⁻¹ and 450 g ha⁻¹ were studied. Using a X-ray fluorescence analyzer (XRF), the mineral content of the grapes and leaves was determined, which showed increases in the contents of Zn. It was found that the highest concentration (450 g ha⁻¹) of ZnSO4 and ZnO, led to increases of 1.3 and 1.9-fold respectively, compared to the control (untreated plants). Importantly, XRF analysis confirmed that K and P contents of ZnO and ZnSO4-treated plants are similar to controls, indicating that there are no significant antagonistic and/or synergistic effects. Furthermore, to study the conditions of nutrient availability in the soil, parameters such as pH, organic matter and humidity were evaluated. This work showed that fertilization with ZnSO4 and ZnO was effective in increasing the concentration of Zn, without negatively affecting the contents of the crucial nutrients K and P, which is important to improve crop quality.

Keywords: nutrient's interactions; Vitis vinifera; Zn deficits

1. Introduction

Agricultural production is expected to increase with population growth, requiring the use of fertilizers to be sufficient in quantity and quality [1].

Fertilization is considered the most efficient method to increase crop yield and quality, particularly in fruit trees [2]. The use of fertilizers, have already demonstrated results,

Citation: Daccak, D.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Pessoa, C.C.; Luís, I.C.; Marques, A.C.; Ramalho, J.C.; Campos, P.S.; Pais, I.P.; Semedo, J.N.; Silva, M.M.; et al. Fertilization with ZnO and ZnSO4: Mineral analyses in *Vitis vinifera* Grapes cv. Fernão Pires. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2022**, *2*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editors:

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). being found an increase of 50 % on crop yields during the 20th century [3]. Soil composition must be considered for proper crop nutrition, as nutrient deficiencies occur in soils around the world [4]. These deficiencies negatively affect metabolic processes, leading to adverse changes in crop growth and development [5]. In fact, it is worth highlighting the importance of some nutrients as Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Sulfur (S), and Magnesium (Mg), Iron (Fe), Zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu), Boron (B), Manganese (Mn), Molybdenum (Mo) and Chloride (Cl), that are required for the normal function of crops [5].

In this context, Zn is one of the nutrients whose deficiency in agricultural soils is common, leading to a shortage of this micronutrient in plants [6] and consequently, reducing growth, tolerance to stress and chlorophyll synthesis [7]. This micronutrient has important functions related with gene expression, photosynthesis, structure of enzymes, auxin metabolism, membrane permeability and protein synthesis [8].

On an economic level, the vine is a fruit species with a high importance worldwide [9], being a common target of Zn deficiency [10]. Furthermore, the uptake and availability of nutrients on this fruit tree, depends on soil characteristics as the structure, type, fertility, temperature, and moisture [11].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Field

The workflow was implemented in a *Vitis vinifera* cv. Fernão Pires, at a field located in the region of Palmela, Portugal (N 38°35′41.467″ O 8°50′44.535″ W). Along the reproductive cycle, the grapes were subjected to three foliar applications with ZnSO₄ and ZnO with concentrations of 0, 150 and 450 g ha⁻¹. Harvest was performed at 17th of September.

2.2. Organic Matter, pH and Moisture Percentage in Soils

The determination of organic matter, pH and percentage of moisture in the experimental soil was carried out in 20 samples (about 100 g were collected from the surface to a 30 cm depth). The samples were sieved (2.0 mm mesh) to remove stones, coarse materials, and other debris, dried at 105 °C for 24 h (followed by 1 h of desiccation) and then the dry mass and the moisture percentages were determined. Afterwards, the samples were heated at 550 °C for 4 h (i.e., until constant weight), then removed from the muffle at 100 °C and desiccated until room temperature (approximately 1 h), being weighed again and determined the percentage of organic matter. With the aid of a potentiometer, the pH and electrical conductivity of the soil samples were obtained, after mixing, in the proportion of 1: 2.5 (g soil mL⁻¹ water milli-q), for 1 h with agitation (at 25 °C for 30 min) in a thermal bath, after decanting the supernatant [12].

2.3. Quantification of Mineral Elements in Soils, Grapes and Leaves

Mineral content of grapes at harvest and leaves were analyzed using an XRF analyzer (model XL3t 950 He GOLDD +) under helium atmosphere, adapted from [13]. Previously, grapes and leaves were dried at 60 °C until constant weight, grounded and processed into pellets.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Data were statistically processed applying one-way ANOVA ($p \le 0.05$) to determined differences, and then a Tukey's test for mean comparison (95 % confidence level) was performed.

3. Results

3.1. Quantification of Nutrients in Grapes and Leaves

Zn amount in grapes and leaves increased with the concentration in both treatments (ZnSO₄ and ZnO), evidencing a higher value at the maximum concentrations of ZnO in the grapes (1.94-fold increase face to control) and ZnSO₄ in the leaves (9.08-fold increase face to control). In control grapes and leaves, significant differences were found compared to the concentration of 450 g ha⁻¹ of both treatments (Table 1).

Table 1. Average \pm S.E. (n = 3) of Zn (ppm) in fruits and leaves at harvest of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Fernão Pires. Different letters (a, b, c) indicate significant differences among treatments for grapes or leaves (p < 0.05).

	<u>Control (0 g ha-1)</u>	<u>ZnO (150 g ha⁻¹)</u>	<u>ZnO (450 g ha-1)</u>	<u>ZnSO4 (150 g ha⁻¹)</u>	<u>ZnSO4 (450 g ha⁻¹)</u>
Grapes	$9.12 \pm 0.20c$	10.78 ± 0.13bc	17.69 ± 1.17a	10.10 ± 0.36bc	$12.21 \pm 0.49b$
Leaves	$32.76 \pm 4.36c$	$110.30 \pm 1.39 bc$	176.98 ± 32.37b	$91.75 \pm 13.45c$	$297.47 \pm 20.03a$

Regarding the grapes, Ca and S showed lower values in ZnO 150 g ha⁻¹ treatment, being significantly different compared to the control, as for the other samples there were no significant differences (Table 2). For P nutrient, grapes subjected to treatment didn't showed significative differences compared to control, although the higher amount was found in the concentrations of 450 g ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Values ranged from 0.20–0.38 %, 0.15–0.21 % and 0.13–0.18 % for Ca, S and P respectively (Table 2). Potassium in all grape samples did not show significant differences in relation to the control, though the ZnSO₄ 450 g ha⁻¹ treatment had a higher amount (2.16 %) and the control grapes the smallest amount (1.82 %) (Table 2).

Relatively to leaves a different tendency is observed for Ca, with the treatment ZnSO₄ 150 g ha⁻¹ showing significative differences face to control (Table 2). Concerning to S in the leaves, all the analyzed grapes didn't show significative differences compared to control (Table 2). The macronutrient K in the treatment ZnSO₄ 450 g ha⁻¹ demonstrated to be significatively different compared to grapes without Zn fertilization, showing a lower value (Table 2). As for P showed significative differences between treatment ZnO 450 g ha⁻¹ and control grapes (Table 2). In the leaves it was observed that the treatment ZnO showed higher values for all the elements analyzed with the concentration 450 g ha⁻¹ (except for Ca), although not significative (Table 2).

Grapes Treatments<u>Control (0 g ha⁻¹)ZnO (150 g ha⁻¹)ZnO (450 g ha⁻¹)ZnSO₄ (150 g ha⁻¹)ZnSO₄ (450 g ha⁻¹)</u> % Ca $0.38 \pm 0.04a$ $0.20\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$ $0.34 \pm 0.04a$ $0.27 \pm 0.02ab$ 0.31 ± 0.02 ab Κ $1.82 \pm 0.12a$ $1.93 \pm 0.02a$ $1.93 \pm 0.05a$ $2.07 \pm 0.09a$ $2.16 \pm 0.21a$ S 0.19 ± 0.01 ab $0.15 \pm 0.00c$ $0.21 \pm 0.00a$ $0.17 \pm 0.01 bc$ 0.19 ± 0.01ab Р 0.16 ± 0.01 ab $0.13 \pm 0.00b$ $0.18 \pm 0.01a$ 0.15 ± 0.01 ab $0.17 \pm 0.00a$ Leaves % Ca 3.86 ± 0.16ab $4.40 \pm 0.02a$ $4.17 \pm 0.15a$ $2.94 \pm 0.27c$ $3.26 \pm 0.25 bc$ Κ $2.17 \pm 0.05a$ $2.20 \pm 0.20a$ $2.60 \pm 0.23a$ 2.12 ± 0.17ab $1.37 \pm 0.12b$ S 0.87 ± 0.03 ab 0.94 ± 0.11ab 0.93 ± 0.15ab $0.58 \pm 0.03b$ $1.06 \pm 0.05a$ Р $0.18 \pm 0.01c$ $0.26 \pm 0.00 bc$ 0.32 ± 0.01 ab $0.36 \pm 0.03a$ $0.27 \pm 0.03 bc$

Table 2. Average \pm S.E. (n = 3) of the percentage (%) of Ca, K, S and P in fruits and leaves at harvest of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Fernão Pires. Different letters (a, b, c) indicate significant differences among treatments for grapes or leaves (p < 0.05).

3.2. Soil Parameters

Soil pH demonstrated a range approximatively between 6.4 and 7, although one sample presented more alkalinity (7.6) (Figure 1).





Regarding organic matter and moisture, all the samples analyzed, didn't presented significative differences face to control (Figure 2). Moisture and organic matter values varied approximately between 4–6 % and 0.80–1.50 % respectively (Figure 2).





4. Discussion

Crops deficiencies and the consequences in quality are a preoccupation nowadays, being used fertilizers, namely through foliar application once it prevents problems as fixation and immobilization of the nutrients in soils [14,15]. Also, the use of foliar fertilization, allows an efficient absorption and translocation [15], in different phases of development and according to necessities of plants [14].

The mineral analysis of this study demonstrated a positive response increasing Zn amount (Table 1), through foliar fertilization with ZnSO₄ and ZnO, being more pronounced in the higher concentration of both treatments in leaves and grapes. Although, Zn inorganic source more used is ZnSO₄, because is more soluble in water and cheaper [16], in this study the treatment ZnO revealed the highest increase in Zn in Fernão Pires grapes (Table 1). Zn foliar fertilization as observed in other studies have benefits in growth and development of fruit trees (i.e., mandarin, orange, and grapefruit) [16], additionally reducing Zn deficiency in crops and enhancing the uptake of other nutrients, as reported in [17].

In this context, the response to treatments in the leaves was better with ZnO, as it had a positive trend (except for Ca) and with ZnSO₄ 150 and 450 g ha⁻¹ an inhibitory response was observed for Ca and K, respectively (although no significant differences were observed). As for grapes, it was observed in our data for Ca and S, a negative response, diminishing the concentration with the application of the lowest concentration of treatment ZnO (150 g ha⁻¹). Contrarily, it was observed a positive tendency in K, S and P in grapes subjected to ZnSO₄ and/or ZnO fertilizers in the higher concentration (450 g ha⁻¹) (Table 2), but no significative antagonistic and/or synergistic relationships were observed. Although in this concentration didn't interfere significatively with these nutrients, Zn fertilization is a strategy to enhance the yields of crops and avoid the need to use more fertilizers, consequently being more sustainable to the environment [18].

On the other hand, nutrient uptake in plants is dependent on soil pH, water content and organic matter [17,19]. Yet, there are other factors in soils that interfere with bioavailability of nutrients, being those relations, a result of a combined properties of soils [20]. In this context, the organic matter and moisture data of this study showed no significant differences in the soil samples (Figure 2). Thus, these two soil parameters did not influence the differences observed with Zn fertilization in this experimental study. Regarding the pH, for the production of vines a suitable soil has a range between 5.5–8 (i.e., slightly acid and neutral), providing better growing conditions as it leads to an adequate amount of essential nutrients [21]. Which in Fernão Pires field, pH presented values ranging from 6.4 to 7 (except for one sampling site, with 7.6) (Figure 1), being these conditions suitable for the grapes production, therefore also for the performance of this study.

5. Conclusions

Application of Zn fertilizers such as ZnSO₄ and ZnO at concentration of 150 and 450 g ha⁻¹ was efficient increasing the Zn amount in Fernão Pires grapes. With ZnO fertilizer showing greater ability to increase the Zn amount, although it is less soluble than ZnSO₄. Additionally demonstrating that the highest concentration in grapes does not interfere negatively with other essential nutrients such as K, P and S, since no antagonistic or synergistic relationships were observed. Since fertilization with Zn is related to benefits in the growth and development of fruit trees, the results of this study show potential benefits in crop productivity.

6. Patents

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.L., and F.C.L.; methodology, P.L, and F.C.L.; formal analysis, D.D., A.R.F.C., C.C.P., I.C.L., and A.C.M.; resources, J.C.R., P.S.C., I.P.P., J.N.S., M.M.S., P.L., M.S., F.H.R., M.F.P. and F.C.L.; writing – original draft preparation, D.D.; writing – review and editing, D.D. and F.C.L.; supervision, F.C.L.; project administration, F.C.L.; funding acquisition, F.C.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by PDR2020, grant number 101-030727.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thanks to Engenier Luís Silva (Adega Cooperativa de Palmela-Casa Agrícola Nunes Oliveira da Silva Lda) for technical assistance to project PDR2020-101-030727 for the financial support. We also thanks to the Research centres (GeoBioTec) UIDB/04035/2020. This work was further supported in part by the research center Grant N°. UID/FIS/04559/2013 to LIB-Phys-UNL, from the FCT/MCTES/PIDDAC and by the project PDR2020-101-030727.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Fróna, D.; Szenderák, J.; Harangi-Rákos, M. The challenge of feeding the world. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 5816. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11205816.
- 2. Brunetto, G.; Melo, G.W.B.D.; Toselli, M.; Quartieri, M.; Tagliavini, M. The role of mineral nutrition on yields and fruit quality in grapevine, pear and apple. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* **2015**, *37*, 1089–1104. https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-2945-103/15.
- 3. Fageria, N.K.; Baligar, V.C.; Li, Y.C. The role of nutrient efficient plants in improving crop yields in the twenty first century. *J. Plant Nutr.* **2008**, *31*, 1121–1157. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160802116068.

- 4. Baligar, V.C.; Fageria, N.K.; He, Z.L. Nutrient use efficiency in plants. *Commun. Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2001, 32, 921–950. https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-100104098.
- Kumar, S.; Kumar, S.; Mohapatra, T. Interaction between macro- and micronutrientes in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 753. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.665583.
- 6. Sadeghzadeh, B. A review of zinc nutrition and plant breeding. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2013, 13, 905–927. http://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162013005000072.
- Sharma, A.; Patni, B.; Shankhdhar, D.; Shankhdhar, S.C. Zinc–an indispensable micronutrient. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 2013, 19, 11–20. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-012-0139-1.
- 8. Hacisalihoglu, G. Zinc (Zn): The last nutrient in the alphabet and shedding light on zn efficiency for the future of crop production under suboptimal zn. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 1471. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9111471.
- Vivier, M.A.; Pretorius, I.S. Genetically tailored grapevines for the wine industry. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2002, 20, 472–478. http://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-7799(02)02058-9.
- 10. Sabir, A.; Sari, G. Zinc pulverization alleviates the adverse effect of water deficit on plant growth, yield and nutrient acquisition in grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* **2019**, 244, 61–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.09.035.
- 11. Wang, R.; Sun, Q.; Chang, Q. Soil types effect on grape and wine composition in Helan Mountain area of Ningxia. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0116690. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116690.
- Marques, A.C.; Lidon, F.C.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Pessoa, C.C.; Luís, I.C.; Scotti-Campos, P.; Simões, M.; Almeida, A.S.; Legoinha, P.; Pessoa, M.F.; et al. Quantification and Tissue Localization of Selenium in Rice (Oryza sativa L., Poaceae) Grains: A Perspective of Agronomic Biofortification. *Plants* 2020, *9*, 1670. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9121670.
- Luís, I.C.; Lidon, F.C.; Pessoa, C.C.; Marques, A.C.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Simões, M.; Patanita, M.; Dôres, J.; Ramalho, J.C.; Silva, M.M.; et al. Zinc enrichment in two contrasting genotypes of triticum aestivum L. Grains: Interactions between edaphic conditions and foliar fertilizers. *Plants* 2021, *21*, 204. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020204.
- Kurešová, G.; Menšík, L.; Haberle, J.; Svoboda, P.; Raimanova, I. Influence of foliar micronutrients fertilization on nutritional status of apple trees. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2019, 65, 320–327. https://doi.org/10.17221/196/2019-PSE.
- 15. Sathishkumar, A.; Sakthivel, N.; Subramanian, E.; Rajesh, P. Productivity of field crops as influenced by foliar spray of nutrients: A review. *Agric. Rev.* **2020**, *41*, 146–152. https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.R-1991.
- Fu, X.Z.; Xing, F.; Cao, L.; Chun, C.P.; Ling, L.L.; Jiang, C.L.; Peng, L.Z. Effects of foliar application of various zinc fertilizers with organosilicone on correcting citrus zinc deficiency. *HortScience* 2016, 51, 422–426. https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.51.4.422.
- 17. Erdem, H.; Sahin, O. Foliar zinc sprays affected yield and bioactive compounds of granny smith apple. *Int. J. Fruit Sci.* **2021**, *21*, 670–680. https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2021.1920554.
- El-Ramady, H.R.; Alshaal, T.A.; Amer, M.; Domokos-Szabolcsy, É.; Elhawat, N.; Prokisch, J.; Fári, M. Soil quality and plant nutrition. In *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews* 14; Ozier-Lafontaine, H., Lesueur-Jannoyer, M., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2014; Volume 14, pp. 345–447. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06016-3_11.
- Coelho, A.R.F.; Lidon, F.C.; Pessoa, C.C.; Marques, A.C.; Luís, I.C.; Caleiro, J.; Simões, M.; Kullberg, J.; Legoinha, P.; Brito, M.; et al. Can Foliar Pulverization with CaCl₂ and Ca(NO₃)₂ Trigger Ca enrichment in Solanum tuberosum L. Tubers? *Plants* 2021, 10, 245. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020245.
- Pessoa, C.C.; Lidon, C.F.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Caleiro, J.C.; Marques, A.C.; Luís, I.C.; Kullberg, J.C.; Legoinha, P.; Brito, M.G.; Ramalho, J.C.; et al. Calcium biofortification of Rocha pears, tissues accumulation and physicochemical implications in fresh and heat-treated fruits. *Sci. Hortic.* 2021, 277, 109834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109834.
- Jakšić, S.; Ninkov, J.; Milić, S.; Vasin, J.; Banjac, D.; Jakšić, D.; Živanov, M. The state of soil organic carbon in vineyards as affected by soil types and fertilization strategies (Tri Morave Region, Serbia). *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11010009.