

Treatment of agro-industrial wastewaters by coagulation-flocculationdecantation and advanced oxidation processes – A literature review

Nuno Jorge^{1,2}^{*}; Ana R. Teixeira²; Carolina Santos²; Leonilde Marchão²; Pedro B. Tavares²; Marco S. Lucas²; José A. Peres²

¹ Escuela Internacional de Doctorado (EIDO), Campus da Auga, Campus Universitário de Ourense, Universidade de Vigo, As Lagoas, 32004, Ourense, Spain ² Centro de Química de Vila Real (CQVR), Departamento de Química, Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Quinta de Prados, 5001-801, Vila Real, Portugal

* njorge@uvigo.es

The 1st International Electronic Conference on Processes: Processes System Innovation

Session 2. Environmental and Green Processes

17 – 31 May 2022

Introduction



The olive mill wastewater (OMW) contains water, organic constituents, mineral com-pounds, polyalcohols, polyphenols, volatile acids, nitrogen compounds, pectins, oil and tannins that gives the OMW its dark color



(a) Flow diagram of winemaking, (b) Two and three phase olive oil production scheme

Coagulation-flocculation-decantation processes

Principles of coagulation-flocculation-decantation



(1) compression of the electrical double layer

in which if ions are added into the solution or if the ions have greater charge (divalent or trivalent instead of monovalent), then the electroneutrality can be satisfied in a shorter distance

(2) adsorption and charge neutralization

in which particles can be destabilized by adsorption of oppositely charged ions or polymers

(3) adsorption and interparticle bridging

in which the polymer may remain extended into the solution and adsorb on available surface sites of other particles, thus creating a "bridge" between particle surfaces that results in a larger particle that can settle more efficiently

(4) enmeshment in a precipitate, or "sweep floc" which occurs when high enough dosages of coagulant are used. The aluminum and iron forms insoluble precipitates and the particles become entrapped in the amorphous precipitates



Addition of coagulant

Coagulation-flocculation-decantation scheme

3

Coagulation-flocculation-decantation processes

Application of CFD process for agro-industrial wastewater treatment

Wastewater	Coagulant	Operational conditions	Results	References
Sanitary landfill	Ferric chloride,	Fast mix = 5 min/ 200	COD rem = 80%	Tatsi et al., [19]
leachates	and lime + polyelectrolytes	rpm Slow mix = 55 min/ 60 rpm Sedimentation time = 1 h	Color rem = 100%	
Palm Oil Mill Effluent	Modifiedalum(Envifloc-40L)+industrialgradeflocculant (Profloc 4190)	[Coagulant] = 15 g/L [Flocculant] = 300 mg/L pH = 6.0	Turbidity rem > 98% TSS rem = 30 – 95% Water recovery = 78%	Ahmad <i>et al.,</i> [20]
Winery wastewater	Potassium caseinate + bentonite	[Coagulant] = 1.0 g/L pH = 4.0 Fast mix = 150 rpm/ 20 min Slow mix = 20 rpm/ 20 min Sedimentation time = 12 h	Turbidity rem = 98.3% TSS rem = 97.6% Total polyphenols = 87.8%	Jorge <i>et al.</i> , [21]
Winery wastewater	Chitosan	[Chitisan] = 20 mg/L pH 4.0	Turbidity rem = 80% TSS rem = 94% COD rem = 73%	Rizzo et al., [23]
Vegetable oil refinery wastewater	Opuntiaficus-indica (Cactus)	[Cactus] = 40 mL/L pH = 9.87	Turbidity rem = 99% Color rem = 95% COD rem = 76%	Dkhissi et al., [22]





In the works of Tatsi *et al.*, and Ahmad *et al.*, it was observed that the mixture of metallic-based coagulants with a polyelectrolyte achieved an increase of the organic matter, turbidity and color removal



Jorge *et al.*, observed that the application of a mixture with potassium caseinate and bentonite achieved a high turbidity, total suspended solids (TSS) and total poly-phenols removal

In the wo applied wastewat chemical

In the work of Dkhissi *et al.*, a plant-based coagulant was applied for the treatment of vegetable oil refinery wastewater, achieving a high removal of turbidity, chemical oxygen demand (COD) and color Advanced Oxidation Processes



Scheme of AOPs applied in wastewater treatment

Conventional treatment methods have been reported to be inefficient for agro-industrial wastewater treatment, considering that some contaminants are recal-citrant to some degree

> Advanced oxidation processes (AOP) can be applied as an alternative or a complement treatment to degrade these recalcitrant compounds. The AOPs generate extremely reactive hydroxyl radicals (HO•) radicals that are responsible for the degradation of pollutants

> > The AOPs can be divided in accordance to the oxidant used: hydroxyl radical based AOP (HR-AOP), sulfate radical based AOP (SR-AOP) and ozone based AOP



Oxidizing agent	Molecular structure	Oxidation potential (E ⁰) (V)
Fluorine	F ₂	3.06
Hydroxyl radical	HO●	2.80
Atomic oxygen	0	2.42
Ozone	0.	2.06

Oxidation potential against Standard Hydrogen Electrode of some relevant oxidants.

Fluorine	F ₂	3.06
Hydroxyl radical	НО●	2.80
Atomic oxygen	0	2.42
Ozone	O ₃	2.06
Hydrogen peroxide	H_2O_2	1.78
Hydroperoxyl radical	HO ₂	1.70
Manganate ion	MnO_4^-	1.67
Chlorine dioxide	ClO ₂	1.50
Hypochlorite	ClO-	1.49
Chlorine	Cl ₂	1.36
Molecular oxygen	O ₂	1.23

- The Fenton process was discovered in 1894 by H.J.H. Fenton for maleic acid oxidation. The \geq Fenton reaction is based on the formation of HO• radicals, by the combination of hydrogen peroxide (oxidant agent) and iron ions (catalyst). In the Table above, it's observed that HO• is the second highest powerful oxidant after fluorine;
- One of the key parameters of the Fenton process is the solution pH, which is normally used \geq between 2.0 and 4.0. At a pH < 2.0, the H⁺ acts as a scavenger of HO• radicals, and it is produced oxonium ions $[H_3O_2]^+$ that causes the H_2O_2 to become electrophilic reducing its reactivity with iron. With the application of a pH > 3.5, the Fe³⁺ will precipitate as ferric hydroxide and at a pH > 7.0, the ferrous iron will precipitate as ferrous hydroxide.



Precipitation and form of iron catalyst at different pH.

HR-AOPs

Application of HR-AOPs in agro-industrial wastewater treatment.

Wastewater	Operational conditions	Radiation	Results	References
Winery effluents	pH = 3.0 $[H_2O_2] = 500 \text{ mg/L}$ $[Fe^{2+}] = 5 \text{ mg/L}$ DOC = 435 mg C/L	Solar radiation	DOC rem = 80%	Velegraki and Mantzavinos [39]
Crystallized-fruit wastewater	pH = 2.9 - 3.1 $[H_2O_2] = 5459 mg/L$ $[Fe^{3+}] = 286 mg/L$ COD = 20 - 35 g/L	UV LED photo-system (370 nm)	COD rem = 74%	Rodríguez-Chueca et al., [40]
Winery wastewater	pH = 3.0 [H ₂ O ₂] = 0.5 M [Fe ³⁺] = 5 mg/L COD = 10 g/L	Xenon emitting at 290 and 400 nm spectral range.	TOC rem = 95%	Ormad <i>et al.,</i> [42]
Agro-food industrial wastewater	pH = 3.0 $[H_2O_2] = 5000 mg/L$ $COD = 3499 mg O_2/L$ $COD = 10 g O_2/L$	Mercury vapor lamp ((UV-C radiation, λ = 254 nm)	COD rem = 70%	Leifeld et al., [43]
Olive mill wastewater	$[H_2O_2] = 10 \text{ mmol/L}$ $T = 45 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ P = 525 W F = 20 kHz Time = 90 min	Ultrasonic Processor, VCX 750, with a frequency of 20 kHz	COD rem = 59%	Al-bsoul et al., [41]

Fenton process

$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + HO^{\bullet} + HO^{-}$	(1)
$Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + H^+$	(2)

Photo-Fenton process

$Fe^{3+} + H_2O + hv \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + HO^{\bullet} + H^+$	(3)
Fe(HO) ²⁺ + $hv \rightarrow$ Fe ²⁺ HO [•] ; Λ < 580 nm	(4)
$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2HO^{\bullet}$; $\Lambda < 310 \text{ nm}$	(5)

Sono-Fenton process

$H_2O +))) \rightarrow HO^{\bullet} + HO^{-}$	(6)
$H_2O_2 +))) \rightarrow 2HO^{\bullet}$	(7)
$FeHO_2^{2+}$ +))) → Fe^{2+} + HO_2^{\bullet}	(8)

In the work of Velegraki and Mantzavinos, a pilot-scale solar Fenton process was applied for the treatment of winery wastewater in a CPC photocatalytic reactor under natural solar irradiation. The results showed that the photo-Fenton process utilizing solar energy is highly efficient in the mineralization and detoxification of real winery wastewater



Rodríguez-Chueca et al., used a UV-A LED light system to treat crystallized-fruit effluents, characterized by a very low biodegradability (BOD5/COD <0.19). The photo-Fenton process was coupled with the CFD process and results showed an increase of the COD removal and biodegradability

In the work of Al-Bsoul et al., a Sonicator (Ultrasonic Processor, VCX 750, Ger-many) with a frequency of 20 kHz was applied through a horn (40 mm diameter) into a cylindrical double-jacketed Reactor for the treatment of OMW. The COD removal achieved a high removal rate ($k = 0.0309 \text{ min}^{-1}$) under the best operational conditions



Schematic representation of the activation mechanism of persulfate.

- The interest in persulfate began in earnest around 2000–2002, when work on persulfate began to appear regularly in conference proceedings and in presentations at major remediation meetings.
- > There are two types of sources to obtain the sulfate radical (SO₄ \bullet -):
- (1) peroxymonosulphate (HSO₅-; PMS), which is the active ingredient of a triple potassium salt, 2KHSO5•KHSO4•K2SO4;
- > (2) persulfate (PS), which is a colorless or white crystal and has high stability.

leat activation	
$s_2 o_8^{2-} \rightarrow 2 s o_4^{\bullet-}$	(9)
$HSO_5^- \rightarrow SO_4^{\bullet-} + HO^{\bullet}$	(10)
Base activation	
$S_2O_8^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow 2SO_4^{2-} + HO_2^{-} + H^+$	(11)
$S_2O_8^{2-} + HO_2^- \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + SO_4^{\bullet-} + O_2^{\bullet-} + H$	+ (12)
JV activation	
$S_2O_8^{2-} + hv \rightarrow 2SO_4^{\bullet-}$	(14)
$HSO_5^{-} + hv \rightarrow SO_4^{-} + HO^{-}$	(15)
$H_2O + hv \rightarrow H^{\bullet} + HO^{\bullet}$	(16)
$S_2O_8^{2-} + H^{\bullet} \rightarrow SO_4^{\bullet-} + SO_4^{2-} + H^{+}$	(17)
$HSO_5^- + H^{\bullet} \rightarrow SO_4^{\bullet-} + H_2O^{\bullet-}$	(18)

Transition metal activation

$S_2O_8^{2^-} + M^n \rightarrow M^{n+1} + SO_4^{\bullet^-} + SO_4^{2^-}$	(19)
$HSO_5^- + M^n \rightarrow M^{n+1} + SO_4^{\bullet-} + HO^-$	(20)

SR-AOPs

Application of SR-AOPs in agro-industrial wastewater treatment.

Wastewater	Operational conditions	Results	References
Winery wastewater	COD = 5000 mg O ₂ /L UV-A LED 70 W/m ²		Rodríguez-Chueca et al., [49]
	PMS/Co ²⁺ = 3.33/1.33 mM pH = 6.5	COD rem = 86%	
	T = 323 K		
Olive mil westewater	$1 \text{ ime} = 180 \text{ min}$ $COD = 800 \text{ mg} \Omega 2/I$	COD rom = 39%	Domingues et al [51]
Onve nin wastewater	TOC = 284 mg C/L	Total phenols rem = 63%	Domingues et u., [51]
	Total polyphenols = 300 mg/L	TOC = 37%	
	$Fe^{2+1} = 300 \text{ mg/L}$		
	[PS] = 600 mg/L		
Winery wastewater	TOC = 1700 mg C/L	TOC rem = 51%	Rodríguez-Chueca et al., [52]
	Solar radiation		
	pH = 4.5		
	[PMS] = 10 mM		
Winery wastewater	$COD = 9870 \text{ mg } O_2/I$	COD rem = 81.4%	Iorge et al [50]
which waste water	$S_2 O_2^{2-}/H_2 O_2$ ratio = 0.1:0.025	COD 1011 01.470	Joige et ul., [00]
	(g/g)		
	pH = 7.0		
	T = 343 K		
	Time = 2 h		

In the work of Rodríguez-Chueca *et al.,*, a high COD removal was obtained with activation of PMS by transition metal (Co^{2+}) and high temperatures (T = 323 K)



In the work of Jorge *et al.*, the sulfate radicals were activated by high temperatures, achieving 81.4% COD removal



In the work of Domingues *et al.*, the application of PS/Fe²⁺ in the treatment of OMW achieved a total polyphenols removal of 63%



Illustration of different ozone-based treatments

Ozone-based AOPs

- In 1840, Schönbein discovered ozone and by 1872 the chemical structure of ozone (O₃) was finally confirmed as a triatomic oxygen molecule;
- In 1886, de Meritens found that ozone could be used as a germicide for sterilization of polluted water and after a few years of pilot tests at water treatment plants in Paris, ozone was firstly used in water treatment (and used continuously) in Nice, France in 1906 for drinking water disinfection;
 - Ozone is a powerful oxidant with a redox potential of 2.07 V in an alkaline solution

Ozone in alkaline conditions

$O_3 + HO^- \rightarrow HO_4^-$	(21)
$HO_4^- \leftrightarrow HO_2^\bullet + O_2^{\bullet-}$	(22)
$O_2^{\bullet-} + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + O_3^{\bullet-}$	(23)
$0_3^{\bullet-} \rightarrow 0_2 + 0^{\bullet-}$	(24)
$0^{\bullet-} + H_2 O \rightarrow HO^{\bullet} + HO^{-}$	(25)

Ozone + H_2O_2

$H_2O_2 \leftrightarrow H^+ + HO_2^-$	(26)
$HO_2^- + O_3 \leftrightarrow HO_5^-$	(27)
$HO_5^{-} \rightarrow HO_2^{\bullet} + O_3^{\bullet-}$	(28)

Ozone + UV

Ozone + catalyst

$M^{n+} + O_3 + H^+ \rightarrow M^{(n+1)+} + HO^{\bullet} + O_2$	(30)
$O_3 + HO^{\bullet} \rightarrow O_2 + HO_2^{\bullet}$	(31)
$M^{(n+1)+} + HO_2^{\bullet} + HO^{-} \rightarrow M^{n+} +$	10 (32)
$H_{2}O + O_{2}$	(32)

Ozone-based AOPs

Application of ozone-based AOPs for agro-industrial wastewater treatment.

Wastewater	Operational conditions	Results	References		
Winery wastewater	$COD = 9432 \text{ mg O}_2/L$ pH = 4.0 [Fe ²⁺] = 1.0 mM Ozone flow rate = 5 mg/min Air flow = 1.0 L/min	COD rem = 66.4%	Jorge <i>et al.,</i> [21]	In wa	the work as previou DD remov
Industrial wastewater	$COD = 12 \text{ g } O_2/L$ $O_3/Solar$ radiation/TiFeAC $Ozone \text{ dose} = 4 \text{ g/L/h}$ $pH = 7.0$ Time = 5 - 8 h	TOC rem = 70% COD rem = 80%	Chávez <i>et al.,</i> [57]	OZ	onation, t
Tequila Industry Vinasses wastewater	COD = 37 g O ₂ /L Ozone dose = 30 mg/L Flow rate = 0.1 L/min	Color rem = 91% COD rem = 50%	Ferral-Pérez <i>et al.</i> , [59]	COOOOO	In the
Olive mill wastewater	COD = 13160 mg O ₂ /L Ozone dose = 765 mg/L	COD rem = 56% Total phenol rem = 61%	Oz et al., [60]	å. So	comple
Olive mill wastewater	$O_3/BiFeO_3/S_2O_8^{2-}$ O_3 dose = 600 mg/h $[S_2O_8^{2-}] = 0.05 M$ $m_{BiFO3} = 0.6 g$ pH = 12 T = 30 °C	Total polyphenols rem = 82.9% COD rem = 98.0%	lboukhoulef <i>et al.,</i> [58]		

In the work of Jorge *et al.*, a winery wastewater was previously treated by CFD process achieving a COD removal of 48.0%. With application of ozonation, the COD removal increase to 60.7%

> In the work of Chávez *et al.,* it was observed that application of ozonation process as a complement of biologic process could achieve higher organic matter removal

In the work of Iboukhoulef *et al.*, a BiFeO₃ nanocatalyst was developed and applied in a $O_3/BiFeO_3/S_2O_8^{2-}$ system with a COD removal of 98.0%



The agro-industry has shown to be very important for the development of the populations, been capable to respond to the need to provide food and to develop the economy of the countries, generating large volumes of wastewater. Therefore, it is necessary to perform treatments of coagulation-flocculation-decantation and advanced oxidation processes before releasing these wastewaters. Based in literature review, it is concluded:

1. Several reviews show that application of plant-based coagulants have similar efficiency than metallic coagulants, with lower costs and reduced environmental contamination



2. The literature review shows that HR-AOPs are effective in the removal of organic matter from agro-industrial wastewater, reducing the toxicity to lower levels



3. The literature review shows that PMS and PS have similar effect in the removal of or-ganic matter from agroindustrial wastewater. The results also show a high efficiency of SR-AOPs, for the removal of total polyphenols



4. The literature review shows that application of ozonation process have a high effi-ciency in the removal of organic carbon and total polyphenols from agro-industrial wastewater

Acknowledgements

• The authors thank the North Regional Operational Program (NORTE 2020) and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), and express their appreciation for the financial support of the Project AgriFood XXI, operation n^o NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000041, and to the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) for the financial support provided to CQVR through UIDB/00616/2020. Ana R. Teixeira also thanks the FCT for the financial support provided through the Bolsa de Doutoramento UI/BD/150847/2020.







Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional

Thank you for your attention

