

Proceeding Paper 1 **Effect of the Type of Thermal Treatment on the Nutritional and** ² **Nutraceutical Characteristics of Pacaya Inflorescences** ³ **(***Chamaedorea tepejilote* **Liebm) †** 4

Pedro Mancera-Castro ‡ , Aurea Bernardino-Nicanor, José Mayolo Simitrio Juárez-Goiz, Gerardo Teniente-Martínez 5 **and Leopoldo González-Cruz *** 6

> Departamento de Ingeniería Bioquímica, Tecnológico Nacional de México – Instituto Tecnológico de Celaya. 7 Antonio García Cubas Pte. 600, Celaya 38010, Guanajuato, México; aurea.bernardino@itcelaya.edu.mx (A.B.- 8 N.); mayolo.juarezg@itcelaya.edu.mx (J.M.S.J.-G.); gera_tm@hotmail.com (G.T.-M.) 9 ‡ Presenting author: pedromancera.ibq@gmail.com 10

***** Correspondence: leopoldo.gonzalez@itcelaya.edu.mx 11 † Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Foods: Food, Microbiome, and Health; online, 1- 12 15 October 2022. 13

Abstract: *Chamaedorea tepejilote* Liebm is a palm native to the south of Mexico and Central America. 14 In Mexico, the male inflorescences are roasted, fried, boiled, or accompanied with other ingredients 15 to decrease its bitter aftertaste and can be consumed by the inhabitants. However has been observed 16 that the raw inflorescences have hypoglycemic, antitussive, and antimicrobial potentials, but the 17 thermal treatment effect in these activities has not been studied; for this reason, this study evaluated 18 the impact of three thermal treatments (hydrothermal (HP), steaming at elevated pressure (SEP), 19 and microwave (MW)) on the nutritional and nutraceutical characteristics of Pacaya inflorescences, 20 inflorescences without thermal treatment (WTT) were considered as control. In nutritional charac- 21 terization, only the protein content was the fraction that increased significantly (*p* < 0.05) when ther- 22 mal treatment was applied. On the other hand, all thermal treatments modified significantly $(p < 23$ 0.05) the chlorophyll "a" content (HP reduced 0.59-fold; SEP and MW increased 0.07-0.25-fold), and 24 chlorophyll "b" decreased. A significant (*p* < 0.05) carotenoid content increase in all thermally 25 treated samples (between 0.80-fold and 8.73-fold) and total phenolic compounds (between 7.75-fold 26 and 8.16-fold) than in WTT samples were observed. Microwave cooking was the only thermal treat- 27 ment that significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased 0.97-fold the antioxidant activity in the DPPH radical. 28 HP (14.11%) and SEP (18.20%) significantly (*p* < 0.05) reduced the dipeptidyl peptidase-IV enzyme 29 inhibition concerning WTT (24.42%). These changes have been associated with partial loss, destruc- 30 tion, or denaturalization of cell walls' proteins, lipids, or cellulose, causing the liberating or creation 31 of compounds with nutritional and nutraceutical activity. 32

Keywords: Pacaya; thermal treatment; antioxidant activity; nutraceutical characteristics 33

34

1. Introduction 35

Modifications in the functional characteristics of raw materials affect their applica- 36 tion in food processing, quality, acceptance, and how they are used as ingredients in for- 37 mulations of other foods. Thermal treatments on foods could significantly impact nutri- 38 tional, nutraceutical, and functional properties, such as solubility, water, and oil absorp- 39 tion, gelation, ability to create emulsions, and others; the main food components that 40 modify these properties are the polymers such the proteins or carbohydrates because ther- 41 mal treatment may transform the composition, structure, conformation, and interaction 42 with other food components such as lipids, and polyphenols. In particular, the thermal 43 treatments may change the carbohydrates' composition, structure, and functionality, 44

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2022**, *2*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral about jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. It was submitted for possible open access publication under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

mainly on polysaccharides such as pectins, mucilages, cellulose, hemicelluloses, and 45 starch [1]; these modifications can be observed primarily in foods such as flowers that are 46 becoming increasingly popular because they represent a new source of nutraceutical food, 47 which have proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, and carotenoids, among others. Recent re- 48 search has reported that edible flowers may have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antibac- 49 terial, antifungal, and antiviral activity [2-4]. In the south of Mexico, Central America, and 50 northwest Colombia [5] grow the *Chamaedorea tepejilote* Liebm palm. In some Mexico re- 51 gions (Veracruz, Oaxaca, and Chiapas), their male inflorescence is consumed by indige- 52 nous communities; however, due to their bitter aftertaste, inflorescences are treated with 53 different thermal treatments, such as roasted, fried, boiled, or mixed with other ingredi- 54 ents such as egg and tomato sauce [6,] in addition have been reported the incorporating 55 of Pacaya powder in frequently consumed foods such as Mexican tostadas, Mexican chor- 56 izo, and breakfast cereal [5,7]. Some authors have evaluated the *in vivo* hypoglycemic po- 57 tential in normoglycemic rats [8] and antitussive and antimicrobial activities concerning 58 nutraceutical characteristics [9] in raw inflorescences. However, the Pacaya is an underuti- 59 lized plant that has not been thoroughly studied; for this reason, this work aimed to iden- 60 tify some nutritional and nutraceutical modifications on the inflorescences of Pacaya by 61 the effect of thermal treatment. 62

2. Material and methods 63

2.1. Vegetal material 64

Male inflorescences from Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico, were collected in February 65 2022. The opaque yellow inflorescences without mechanical or microbiological damage 66 were selected; later, they were cut into cubes of approximately 1 cm and stored under 67 vacuum in PVC bags in batches of 300 g. Batches were refrigerated for no more than $24 h$ 68 before processing. 69

2.2. Thermal treatments 70

Batches (300 g) in vacuum bags were thermally treated with established conditions 71 previously reported by Hernández-Castillo et al. [10]: hydrothermal processing (90°C in 72 a water bath for 15 min), steaming at elevated pressure (121° C and \sim 124 Pa for 15 min in 73 a pressure cooker) and microwave cooking (1500 W and operating frequency of 2450 MHz 74 for 15 min in a microwave oven). At the end of each thermal treatment, the samples were 75 cooled, frozen, lyophilized, ground, sieved in mesh No. 40, and stored in airtight glass 76 jars. Inflorescences without thermal treatment were considered a control. 77

2.3. Nutritional characterization 78

The proximal analysis was carried out with methods proposed by the AOAC [11]: 79 moisture (925.09), protein (N x 6.25, 955.04), lipids (920.39), and ash (923.03). Nitrogen- 80 free compound's content was determined by difference. The results are expressed as a 81 percentage for each component on a wet basis of lyophilized samples. 82

2.4. Nutraceutical characterization 83

2.4.1. Total Phenolic Compounds (TPC) 84

The phenolic compounds were extracted using methanol 80% v/v for 30 min under 85 stirring; extracts were later centrifugated at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatants were 86 stored at -20°C and protected from light until used. The Folin-Ciocalteu method quanti- 87 fied total phenolic compounds [15], using gallic acid as the standard. Total phenolic com- 88 pounds are expressed as micrograms equivalents of gallic acid per gram of sample (μ g 89 EGA/g). 90

2.4.2. Chlorophyll a and b content and total carotenoids 91

To extract these components, 400 mg of Pacaya powder were dispersed in 20 mL of 92 acetone 80% v/v and kept under constant stirring (150 rpm) for two hours, protected from 93 light at 4°C. Subsequently, the dispersion was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min. The con- 94 tent of carotenoids and chlorophyll was estimated spectrophotometrically according to 95 equation (1) to equation (3) proposed by Lichtethaler & Wellburn [16]: 96

97

$$
C_a (\mu g/g) = 12.21 [Absorbane_{663 \text{ nm}}] - 2.81 [Absorbane_{646 \text{ nm}}]
$$
(1)

$$
C_b (\mu g/g) = 20.13 [Absorbane_{646 \text{ nm}}] - 5.03 [Absorbane_{643 \text{ nm}}]
$$
(2)

$$
C_b \text{ (µg/g)} = 20.13 \text{ [Absorbane}_{646 \text{ nm}}] - 5.03 \text{ [Absorbane}_{663 \text{ nm}}] \tag{2}
$$
\n
$$
1000 \text{ [Absorbane}_{470 \text{ nm}}] - 3.27 \text{ [C}_a] - 104 \text{ [C}_b] \tag{2}
$$

$$
C_{\text{carotenoids}} \, (\mu g/g) = \frac{1000[\text{Absorbane}_{470 \text{ nm}}] - 3.27[C_{\text{a}}] - 104[C_{\text{b}}]}{229} \tag{3}
$$

2.4.3. Antioxidant activity assays 98

The DPPH $*$ assay [17] was used with methanol 80% v/v as a dissolvent. Results were 99 expressed as % radical inhibition. 100

2.4.4. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibition assay 101

According to Lin et al. [18], the DPP-IV inhibitory activity was tested using porcine 102 DPP-IV enzyme and Gly-Pro-p-nitroanilide as substrate. The reaction was incubated at 37 103 °C for one hour, and its absorbance was measured at 405 nm. Commercial sitagliptin (0.1 104 mM as positive control) and samples (1 mg/mL) were diluted in distilled water and cen- 105 trifugated at 5000 rpm for 5 min. Results were expressed as % DPP-IV inhibition. 106

2.5. Experimental design and statistical analysis 107

The experiments were set up on a single-factor, completely randomized design with 108 three replicates per level of treatment. The "thermal treatment" factor was evaluated at 109 four levels: hydrothermal, steam pressure, microwave, and without thermal treatment (as 110 control). All data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation. A one-way analysis of 111 variance (ANOVA) was performed, followed by a *post-hoc* Tukey-Kramer analysis to iden- 112 tify differences between treatments at a *p*-value < *0.05*. The statistical package Origin Pro, 113 Version 2021 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA) was used. 114

3. Results and discussion 115

3.1. Nutritional characterization 116

The Pacaya powder obtained after the microwave cooking showed a significant (*p* < 117 0.05) decrease in the moisture content than in the other treatments. The protein content in 118 all thermal treatments increased significantly (*p* < 0.05), while no significant differences (*p* 119 *> 0.05*) in ash content were detected between all thermal treatments. Similar findings were 120 already reported by Sun et al. [19], who associated increased protein with partial loss or 121 destruction of other components, for example, lipids or fiber. Microwave cooking may 122 cause the partial loss or destruction of the volatile and water-soluble fatty acids, which 123 decrease lipids content [19]. 124

Table 1. Proximal analysis of Pacaya inflorescences with different thermal treatments 125

Treatment	Moisture $($ %)	Protein $($ %)	Lipids (%)	Ash (%)	NFC^* (%)
Without thermal treatment	8.67 ± 1.15 ^a	18.40 ± 0.79 c	9.00 ± 0.16 ^a	12.35 ± 0.39 a	51.58 \pm 0.47 a
Hydrothermal processing	7.58 ± 0.38 a	26.82 ± 0.98 ab	9.83 ± 0.29 a	10.59 ± 0.13 a	45.18 ± 0.43 b
Steaming at elevated pressure	7.33 ± 0.58 ^a	27.31 ± 0.83 a	9.29 ± 0.21 a	11.15 ± 1.57 a	$4492 + 274$
Microwave cooking	3.33 ± 0.58 b	24.81 ± 0.81 b	6.33 ± 1.05 b	12.12 ± 0.86 ^a	53.41 ± 1.51 a

Different letters in each column indicate significant differences at *p* < 0.05. *Nitrogen's free com- 126 pounds (NFC = 100 – moisture – protein – lipids – ash). 127

3.2. Nutraceutical characterization 128

-
-
-

The chlorophyll "a" and "b", total carotenoids, total phenolic compounds, and other 129 nutraceutical characteristics after different thermal treatments are shown in Table 2. The 130 hydrothermal thermal treatment showed a significant (*p* < 0.05) decrease in the chloro- 131 phyll "a" content than the other treatments; nonetheless, exists an increase in steaming at 132 elevated pressure treatment and microwave cooking treatment concerning without ther- 133 mal treatment. Regarding chlorophyll "b" content, all thermal treatments significantly (*p* 134 < 0.05) reduced it. Mazzeo et al. [20] observed similar comportment of these phytochemi- 135 cals by the type of thermal treatment in green beans, asparagus, and zucchini. They ascribe 136 these results due to their conversion to pheophytins or possibly thermal degradation of 137 chlorophylls. 138

All thermal treatments significantly $(p < 0.05)$ increased the total carotenoid content, 139 which could be attributed to the carotenoids on some occasions being associated with 140 proteins and cellulose or immersed in lipid droplets, and the thermal treatment released 141 a higher carotenoid content than in the samples without thermal treatment. Therefore, an 142 appropriate thermal treatment (with adequate cooking conditions) could denature pro- 143 teins and break down cellulose structure, releasing carotenoids by softening cell walls 144 [21,22]. The other point is that some pigment complexes with protein may be created dur- 145 ing thermal treatments and liberated during extraction, altering the carotenoids' quantifi- 146 cation. Therefore, it is essential to determine the carotenoid profile to know crucial 147 changes in these phytochemicals' content. 148

Also, thermal treatments significantly (*p* < 0.05) increased approximately 8-fold to 11- 149 fold the total phenolic compounds. These changes are associated with a substantial weak- 150 ening of cell walls by heat that facilities polyphenols release; another point is the effect of 151 concentration in the food matrix after partial or total moisture evaporation increases the 152 polyphenols concentration. Similarly, *de novo* compounds production has been reported, 153 such as Maillard reaction products reacting with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent [23]. 154

Microwave cooking was the only thermal treatment that significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased 0.97-fold the antioxidant activity by the DPPH method in the Pacaya after thermal 156 treatments. Hydrothermal processing and steaming at elevated pressure were not caused 157 substantial changes. Jiménez-Monreal et al. [24] suggested four possibilities for the in- 158 crease in antioxidant activity in some cooking methods: 1) the release of high amounts of 159 antioxidants due to thermal destruction of cell walls and subcellular compartments; 2) 160 production of more robust radical-scavenging antioxidants by thermal-chemical reaction; 161 3) elimination of the oxidation capacity of antioxidant by thermal inactivation of oxidative 162 enzymes; and 4) the formation of new compounds with antioxidant activity as a result of 163 the Maillard reaction. 164

It was observed that hydrothermal processing and steaming at elevated pressure sig- 165 nificantly (p < 0.05) reduced the DPP-IV enzyme inhibition. Sitagliptin (0.1 mM) as positive 166 control showed 95% inhibition. The hypoglycemic activity of *Chamaedorea tepejilote* inflo- 167 rescences has been studied. However, the mechanism of action and thermal treatment's 168 effect is not reported. Riquett Robles et al. found that 300 mg/kg of aqueous extract ad- 169 ministration to normoglycemic mice reduced blood glucose by 29.77% compared to the 170 control group [8]. Plant dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors characterized and studied are 171 phenolic compounds and protein hydrolysates (bioactive peptides) [25]. 172

Table 2. Effect of thermal treatment on chlorophyll a and b, total carotenoids, total phenolic com- 173 pounds, and other nutraceutical characteristics of Pacaya inflorescences 174

Treatment	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Carotenoids	TPC	DPPH	DPP-IV
	μ g/g			μ g GAE/g	$%$ Inhibition	
Without thermal treatment	27.71 ± 2.62 a	35.07 ± 3.95 a	4.28 ± 0.80 d	0.36 ± 0.23 c	5.60 ± 0.14 b	21.42 ± 1.04 a
Hydrothermal processing	16.26 ± 2.52	15.44 ± 3.42 b	8.11 ± 0.68 c	3.15 ± 0.06 b	5.03 ± 0.29 bc	14.11 ± 0.33 c
Steaming at elevated pressure	34.64 ± 4.77 a	21.15 ± 2.97 b	28.11 ± 1.96 b	3.96 ± 0.06 ^a	4.55 ± 0.05 c	18.20 ± 0.22 b
Microwave cooking	29.83 ± 0.81 ^a	17.12 ± 1.31 b	41.66 ± 0.44 a	3.30 ± 0.32 b	11.06 ± 0.56 a	20.76 ± 0.13 a

Different letters in each column indicate significant differences at *p* < 0.05. Abbreviations: total phe- 175 nolic compounds (TPC), gallic acid equivalents (GAE), and dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV). 176

3. Fernandes, L.;

- 18. Lin, Y.S.; Chen, C.R.; Wu, W.H.; Wen, C.L.; Chang, C.I.; Hou, W.C. Anti-α-glucosidase and anti-dipeptidyl peptidaseities of extracts and purified compounds from *Vitis thunbergii* var. *taiwaniana*. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *63*, 6393-6401. 234
- 19. Sun, H.; Mu, T.; Xi, L.; Song, Z. Effects of domestic cooking methods on polyphenols and antioxidant activity of sweet potato 235 leaves. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *62*, 8982-8989. 236
- 20. Mazzeo, T.; Paciulli, M.; Chiavaro, E.; Visconti, A.; Fogliano, V.; Ganino, T.; Pellegrini, N. Impact of the industrial freezing 237 process on selected vegetables-Part II. Colour and bioactive compounds. *Food Res. Int.* **2015**, *75*, 89-97. 238
- 21. Danowska-Oziewicz, M.; Narwojsz, A.; Draszanowska, A; Marat, N. The effects of cooking method on selected quality traits 239 of broccoli and green asparagus. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *55*, 127-135. 240
- 22. Lee, S.W.; Kim, B.K.; Han, J.A. Physical and functional properties of carrots differently cooked within the same hardness- 241 range. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *93*, 346-353. 242
- 23. Arkoub-Djermoune, L.; Boulekbache-Makhlouf, L.; Zeghichi-Hamri, S.; Boukhalfa, F.; Madani, K. Influence of the thermal 243 processing on the physicochemical properties and the antioxidant activity of a solanaceae vegetable: eggplant. *J. Food Qual.* 244 **2016**, *39*, 181-191. 245
- 24. Jiménez-Monreal, A.M.; García-Diz, L.; Martínez-Tomé, M.; Mariscal, M.; Murcia, M.A. Influence of cooking methods on anti- 246 oxidant activity of vegetables. *J. Food Sci.* **2009**, *74*, 97-103. 247
- 25. Turdu, G.; Gao, H.; Jiang, Y.; Kabas, M. Plant dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors as antidiabetic agents: a brief review. *Future* 248 *Med. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 1229-1239. 249