



# Proceeding Paper Structure-Property Influence on the Amphiphilicity of Phenolipids <sup>+</sup>

Dobrochna Rabiej-Kozioł \*, Natalia Kryska and Aleksandra Szydłowska-Czerniak

Faculty of Chemistry, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Gagarina 7, 87-100 Toruń, Poland; 302274@stud.umk.pl (N.K.); olasz@umk.pl (A.S.-C.)

\* Correspondence: d.rabiej@umk.pl

+ Presented at the 26th International Electronic Conference on Synthetic Organic Chemistry; Available online: https://ecsoc-26.sciforum.net.

**Abstract:** In recent years, increasing interest has been observed in phenolipids used for enhancing the quality of products containing lipids in the food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries. A better understanding of the physicochemical properties of these amphiphilic compounds is crucial to maximizing their antioxidant and antiproliferation properties. Therefore, certain p-hy-droxycinnamic acid derivatives were synthesised and their lipophilicity expressed as a partition coefficient (Log P) was measured using the shake-flask method. Additionally, the obtained results were compared with the calculated data in ALOGPS 2.1. An increase in lipophilicity was observed along with an increase alkyl chain length. Moreover, hydrophilic/hydrophobic properties are closely related with number substituents, especially hydroxyl group, in aromatic rings.

Keywords: phenolipids; ALOGPS 2.1; partition coefficient

## 1. Introduction

Phenolipids are amphiphilic antioxidants with both hydrophilic phenolic moiety and hydrophobic molecules. These compounds should maintain the original functional properties of their parent compounds such as: antioxidant, chelating, free radical scavenging, antiallergic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antiviral and anticarcinogenic properties. These properties, especially antioxidant properties, are mainly associated with the number and distribution of hydroxyl groups in the aromatic rings of phenolic acid [1]. Alkyl esters of phenolic acids are the main known phenolipids. These compounds can be considered as potential replacements for synthetic antioxidants such as butylated hydroxy-anisole (BHA) or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) commonly used in the fat and cosmetic industry [2]. Therefore, it is desirable gain a better understanding of the physicochemical properties of phenolic acid esters.

One of the most important parameters used to predict information regarding physicochemical properties is the partition coefficient (log P). This parameter allows to predict lipophilicty and quantitative structure-activity relationships (SAR) of bioactive compounds [3,4]. Therefore, log P is widely used in pharmaceutical industry to check the efficiency of the proposed drug such as: achievement of the target and binding at the target [5]. The most popular method for experimental determination of log P is the shake-flask method, in which the sample is partitioned between organic (n-octanol) and water (or aqueous buffer) phases in a flask. Next, the concentration of analyte in both the organic and water phases is quantified by: UV-VIS spectroscopy or a different method of spectrometric detection, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or gas chromatography (GC) [3]. However, to avoid time-consuming and labor-intensive experimental methods, computer programs were developed to estimate log P.

Citation: Rabiej-Kozioł, D.; Kryska, N.; Szydłowska-Czerniak, A. Structure-Property Influence on the Amphiphilicity of Phenolipids. *Chem. Proc.* 2022, *4*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Academic Editor(s):

Published: 15 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). The aim of this study was to estimate the lipophilicity of certain phenolipids, p-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCA) derivatives, especially sinapic acid alkyl esters. Lipophilicity was expressed as a log P and measured using the shaking-flask method. Additionally, the obtained results were compared with calculated data in ALOGPS 2.1.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Reagents

All reagents, reactants, and solvents were purchased from Merck (Warsaw, Poland).

## 2.2. Synthesis of Phenolic Acid Esters

Synthesis procedures of esters: ethyl sinapate (ESA), octyl sinapate (OSA), cetyl sinapate (CSA), octyl caffeate (OCA) and octyl ferulate (OFA) were described in our previous studies [2].

#### 2.3. Calculation of Partition Coefficients (log P) for Phenolic Compounds

## 2.3.1. Shake-Flask Method

Phenolic antioxidants: SA, ESA, OSA, CSA, CA, OCA, FA, OFA and BHA were diluted in the octanol and water phase. The prepared solutions were placed in an ultrasonic cleaner bath (Sono Swiss, SW 6H, Labo Plus, Warsaw, Poland) with ultrasound input power of 180 kW for 15 min due to enhanced solubility. The UV spectra of the analysed phenolic compounds were recorded using a Hitachi U-2900 spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan) in a 1 cm quartz cell in the octanol and water phases in order to find the characteristic band of the studied compounds. Next, calibration curves were constructed by plotting the concentrations as a function of UV absorbance values in the ranges for organic phase: 2.97 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–2.23 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 4.71 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–2.35 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 2.05 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–1.74 × 10<sup>-1</sup> μmol/mL, 2.00 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–1.70 × 10<sup>-1</sup> μmol/mL, 3.50 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–2.97 × 10<sup>-1</sup> μmol/mL, 2.55 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–  $2.55 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 4.08 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 2.04 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 4.72 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 4.08 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 2.04 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 4.72 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 4.08 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 2.04 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 4.72 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} - 4.01 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--1}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3.75 \times 10^{\text{--2}} \, \mu mol/mL, \, 3$ × 10<sup>-1</sup>–1.88 µmol/mL and for water phase: 3.37 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–2.36 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 3.59 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–2.15 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 2.94 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–1.96 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 2.12 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–1.42 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 1.28 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–1.03 × 10<sup>-1</sup> μmol/mL, 1.30 × 10<sup>-1</sup>–2.60 × 10<sup>-1</sup> μmol/mL, 1.18 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–4.71 × 10<sup>-2</sup> μmol/mL, 1.46 × 10<sup>-1</sup>–2.63 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL, 2.52 × 10<sup>-2</sup>–2.52 × 10<sup>-1</sup> µmol/mL SA, ESA, OSA, CSA, CA, OCA, FA, OFA and BHA, respectively.

1-Octanol–water distribution coefficients were determined using the shake flask method according to Guideline For The Testing Of Chemicals [6]. Briefly, selected phenolic compounds were weighed at a concentration within the calibration curve and dissolved in previously saturated two-phase solutions in a 50 mL conical flask. Then, the prepared solutions were shaken 250 cycles/min for 6 h using an orbital shaker (SHKA25081 CE, Labo Plus, Warsaw, Poland) and then the mixtures were left to stand for 6 h to be partitioned between two phases. The absorbance of both phases was measured. The patriation coefficients were calculated according to Equation (1).

$$P_{o/w} = \frac{c_{n-octanol}}{c_n} \tag{1}$$

In which:

 $C_{n-octanol}$  – concentration of phenolic compounds in n-octanol,  $C_n$  – concentration of phenolic compounds in water [6].

## 2.3.2. Theoretical Calculation

Log P values were also calculated using the ALOGPS 2.1 online program at the Virtual Computational Chemistry Laboratory [http://www.vcclab.org/lab/alogps/]. This program simulates participation in an n-octanol: water system.

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

The log P values were determined three times within one day using the shake-flask method. The obtained results were presented as mean (c)  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

#### 3. Results

The lipophilicities of selected phenolic compounds are presented in Table 1.

**Phenolic Compound** Log Pexp ± SD Log PALOGPS SA  $0.98 \pm 0.05$ 1.26 ESA  $3.87 \pm 0.13$ 2.60 OSA  $5.20 \pm 0.24$ 5.34 CSA  $7.63 \pm 0.39$ 8.87 CA  $0.99 \pm 0.12$ 0.94 **OCA**  $4.75 \pm 0.05$ 5.02 FA  $1.12 \pm 0.07$ 1.25 OFA  $5.72 \pm 0.04$ 5.32 BHA  $3.64 \pm 0.05$ 3.15

**Table 1.** Log Pexp and calculated log PALOGPS values for HCA derivatives.

Values are means  $(n = 3) \pm$  standard deviations (SD).

It is noteworthy that, log P obtained for the HCA derivatives both by calculation (Log P<sub>ALOGPs</sub>) and experimentally determined using the shake-flask method (log P<sub>exp</sub>) are similar. As can be seen in Figure 1, the relationship between Log P<sub>exp</sub> and Log P<sub>ALOGPs</sub> can be expressed using the following linear regression Equation (2):

$$Log P_{ALOGPs} = 1.0773 Log P_{exp}$$
(2)

The correlation coefficient r was 0.9678 and  $r^2 = 0.9367$ 



Figure 1. The relationship between log  $P_{ALOGPs}$  values and log  $P_{exp}$  values of the tested HCA derivatives.

In general, esterification of phenolic acids with alkyl alcohols increased the lipophilicity of the synthesised phenolipids (log  $P_{ALOGPs} = 0.94-1.26$ ; log  $P_{exp} = 0.98-1.12$  and log  $P_{ALOGPs} = 2.60-8.87$ ; log  $P_{exp} = 3.87-7.63$  for phenolic acids and their alkyl esters, respectively). As seen in Table 1, the lipophilicty of the HCA derivatives are well correlated with their structural features. The log P values increased along with the elongation of the alkyl ester side-chain. The same tendency was observed by Gaspar et al. [7] for SA derivatives and by Garrido et al. [8] for FA and CA derivatives with different acyl donor chain lengths from C1 to C4 and in our previous work. Additionally, the obtained log P values for tested HCA demonstrated that lipophilicity is closely related with the number of hydroxyl group substitutions in aromatic rings. The Log  $P_{ALOGPs} = 1.25$ ) and SA (log  $P_{ALOGPs} = 1.26$ ). The same

tendency was observed in the tested phenolic acid octyl ester—log P<sub>ALOGPs</sub> were 5.02, 5.32 and 5.34 for OCA, OFA and OSA, respectively. Surprisingly, there were no differences between log  $P_{exp}$  for CA and SA ( $\Delta$ log  $P_{exp}$  = 0.01). Moreover, the obtained data demonstrated for both series (phenolic acids and octyl esters) slight differences in lipophilicity (log P<sub>ALOGPs</sub> differences between SA and FA 0.01 and log P<sub>ALOGPs</sub> differences between OSA and OFA 0.02).

Food additives BHA had log  $P_{exp}$  = 3.64 and Log  $P_{ALOGPs}$  = 3.15. Therefore, there is more hydrophobic than phenolic acid but less than in the obtained HCA derivatives, except for ESA.

## 4. Conclusions

The obtained data in this study proves that the computational method of log P is convenient for estimating the lipophilicity of HCA derivatives. The esterification of phenolic acid with alcohol effectively increased their lipophilicity. Furthermore, log P values of phenolipids depend on the length of the alkyl-ester side chain and the number of hydroxyl groups in aromatic rings.

Log P allows to predict overall physicochemical parameters of new functionalized compounds such as phenolipids due to its correlation with antioxidant and cytotoxic activates [4,7]. The higher lipophilicity of antioxidants is often desired in fat-based products, because it changes the absorption and distribution properties of HCA derivatives. Increasing lipophilicity increased the ability of phenolipids to achieve local concentration at water-lipid interface, where lipid oxidation started, through free radical attack from the aqueous phase [4,7]. Therefore, phenolipids can be used as an effective additive to foodbased products to prevent oxidation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization D.R.-K. and A.S.-C.; methodology, D.R.-K.; software, N.K.; validation, D.R.-K., A.S.-C. and N.K.; formal analysis, N.K.; investigation, N.K.; resources, D.R.-K. and A.S.-C.; data curation, D.R.-K., N.K. and A.S.-C.; writing—original draft preparation, D.R.-K. writing—review and editing, D.R.-K.; visualization, D.R.-K. and N.K.; supervision, D.R.-K. and A.S.-C.; project administration D.R.-K., funding acquisition, A.S.-C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Menezes, J.C..; Kamat, S.P.; Cavaleiro, J.A.S.; Gaspar, A.; Garrido, J.; Borges, F. Synthesis and Antioxidant Activity of Long Chain Alkyl Hydroxycinnamates. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *46*, 773–777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2010.12.016.
- Rabiej-Kozioł, D.; Roszek, K.; Krzemiński, M.P.; Szydłowska-Czerniak, A. Phenolipids as New Food Additives: From Synthesis to Cell-Based Biological Activities. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2022, 39, 1365–1379. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2022.2086711.
- Morikawa, G.; Suzuka, C.; Shoji, A.; Shibusawa, Y.; Yanagida, A. High-Throughput Determination of Octanol/Water Partition Coefficients Using a Shake-Flask Method and Novel Two-Phase Solvent System. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2016, 117, 338–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2015.09.019.
- Esteves, M.; Siquet, C.; Gaspar, A.; Rio, V.; Sousa, J.B.; Reis, S.; Marques, M.P.M.; Borges, F. Antioxidant Versus Cytotoxic Properties of Hydroxycinnamic Acid Derivatives—A New Paradigm in Phenolic Research. *Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci.* 2008, 341, 164–173. https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.200700168.
- Dubey, S.K.; Singhvi, G.; Tyagi, A.; Agarwal, H.; Krishna, K.V. Spectrophotometric Determination of PKa and Log P of Risperidone. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 2017, 7, 155–158. https://doi.org/10.7324/JAPS.2017.71123.
- 6. OECD. 107:1995; Guideline For The Testing Of Chemicals; Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water): Shake Flask Method; OECD: Paris, France, 1995.

- Gaspar, A.; Martins, M.; Silva, P.; Garrido, E.M.; Garrido, J.; Firuzi, O.; Miri, R.; Saso, L.; Borges, F. Dietary Phenolic Acids and Derivatives. Evaluation of the Antioxidant Activity of Sinapic Acid and Its Alkyl Esters. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 5, 11273– 11280. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf103075r.
- Garrido, J.; Gaspar, A.; Garrido, E.M.; Miri, R.; Tavakkoli, M.; Pourali, S.; Saso, L.; Borges, F.; Firuzi, O. Alkyl Esters of Hydroxycinnamic Acids with Improved Antioxidant Activity and Lipophilicity Protect PC12 Cells against Oxidative Stress. *Biochimie* 2012, 94, 961–967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2011.12.015.