



Article CO₂ Absorption Using Potassium Carbonate as Solvent

Dimitra Karali^{1,*}, Konstantina Peloriadi¹ Nikolaos Margaritis¹ and Panagiotis Grammelis¹

- ¹ Centre for Research & Technology Hellas, Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute,57001 Thessaloniki, Greece; karali@certh.gr; peloriadi@certh.gr; n.margaritis@certh.gr; grammelis@certh.gr;
- * Correspondence: karali@certh.gr;

Abstract: One of the main sources of global warming is greenhouse gasses, most importantly carbon dioxide. Reducing CO₂ emissions, as well as its utilization or storage, is a global challenge to tackle climate change. In this work, the operating conditions of the pilot CO₂ capture unit are studied using the ASPEN PLUS® software. This study describes the methodology of the simulations and the main results. The unit consists of one scrubber and one stripper. For carbon dioxide absorption from gas streams, the aqueous solvent K₂CO₃ is used. The effect on the absorption of CO₂ and regeneration of carbon dioxide and potassium carbonate were studied by varying parameters of pressure, temperature, and concentration of solvent. For each parameter, three values were evaluated with the following ranges: pressure 0.3-1bar; temperature 80-100 °C; concentration of potassium carbonate 15-25wt%. The optimum operating conditions of the pilot unit are pressure of 0.3bar; stripper temperature of 100°C and solvent concentration of 15wt%. Under these conditions, 99.91% CO₂ capture and 85.46% CO₂ regeneration were achieved. The present research aims to find the optimal operating parameters of the pilot plant, to validate the model with the experimental data. In this way, the model parameterization can be used to design large-scale CO₂ capture units.

Keywords: CO2 capture; Absorption; Potassium Carbonate;

Citation: Karali, D.; Peloriadi, K.; Margaritis, N. Grammelis, P. CO2 Absorption Using Potassium Carbonate as Solvent. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: 7 December 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The combustion of fossil fuels produces a large amounts of carbon dioxide, one of the main greenhouse gases, which impacts global warming. Tackling climate change requires reducing CO₂ emissions, either through the use of alternative fuels or through the use of carbon capture technologies [1-3]. One of the well-known CO₂ capture technologies is chemical absorption in an amine-based solvent (mono- ethanolamine (MEA), methyl-diethanolamine (MDEA) etc.) followed by desorption. Amines are widely used mainly because of their reactivity with CO₂ under mild temperature (absorber: 40-65°C; stripper: 100-120°C) and pressure (1-2bar) conditions [4, 5]. However, amines are corrosive and cause equipment problems and through their easy degradation by oxidation reaction can be potentially toxic to the environment [5-8]. Also, another major drawback of amines is the high reboiler heat duty for desorption. An eco-friendly carbon capture process has been proposed to replace the amines with potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃). Potassium carbonate is less toxic and less corrosive than amines, and is considered a particularly attractive wet chemical absorbents as it has fewer energy requirements for its regeneration [9].

In this study, the absorption of CO₂ using potassium carbonate solution is investigated, as well as its regeneration. ASPEN PLUS® software is used to evaluate the operating parameters of the CO₂ capture pilot unit.

2. Materials and Methods

In this study, the CO₂ capture pilot unit using the K_2CO_3 solution was simulated using the ASPEN PLUS® V11 software.

2.1. Rate based method

CO₂ capture can be modelled in Aspen Plus® either as a thermodynamic model or as a rate model. In this study, the methodology for a rate model is used. The rate of absorption and desorption is determined by two mechanisms, mass transfer and chemical reaction, which combined with mass and energy balance equations determine the concentration and temperature along the column. [10, 11].

Specifically, in this work the electrolyte NRTL method is chosen for computing liquid phase properties and RK equation of state is chosen for computing vapor phase properties. CO₂, H₂S, N₂, O₂, CO and H₂ are selected as Henry-components to which Henry's law is applied, while the activity coefficient basis is aqueous. All the data are retrieved from Aspen Plus® databank and chemical equilibrium is assumed. [12, 13].

In post-combustion capture applications, the absorber is operated close to atmospheric pressure, which is similar to input stream of flue gas. When CO₂ is absorbed into K₂CO₃ solvents, particularly at high concentrations of K₂CO₃, both physical reactions and chemical reactions occur [14, 15]. The summary of the reactions for the absorber and stripper specifications are:

$$CO_2 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow H_3O^+ + HCO_3^- \tag{1}$$

$$HCO_{3^{-}} + H_2O \leftrightarrow H_3O^{+} + HCO_{3^{-2}}$$
(2)

$$2H_2O \leftrightarrow H_3O^+ + OH^-$$
(3)

$$H_2O + H_2S \leftrightarrow HS^- + H_3O^+$$
 (4)

$$H_2O + HS^- \leftrightarrow S^{-2} + H_3O^+$$
 (5)

$$KOH \rightarrow K^{+} + OH^{-}$$
(6)

2.2. Simulation

The specifications and operating conditions are presented in Table 1. A schematic flowsheet developed in this study is presented in Figure 1.

Tab	le	1.	Simu	lation	parameters.
-----	----	----	------	--------	-------------

	Absorber	Stripper
Temperature (°C)	35	80, 85, 100
Pressure (bar)	1	0.3, 0.7, 1
Gas flow rate (slpm)	1	1
Solvent flow rate (slpm)	0.1	0.1
Concentration of K ₂ CO ₃ (%v/v)	15, 20, 25	15, 20, 25
Concentration of CO ₂ (%v/v)	15	15



Figure 1. Aspen Plus flowsheet of CO2 capture

Two main streams were specified, the solvent stream named "SOLVN" and the flue gas stream "FLUEIN". The flue gas was considered to be composed of CO₂ and N₂ while other components like H₂O, O₂, and SO₂ are neglected. A solvent makeup stream was added to the recycled stream before entering the absorber in order to compensate for the solvent loss during the absorption and stripping process. The solvent was added at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature of 35°C. From the absorber, a gas stream containing almost no carbon dioxide is released. Meanwhile, the liquid stream which is rich in solvent leaves the absorber and is pressurized and heated before entering the stripper. From the stripper, a gaseous stream of CO₂ is produced, while the liquid solvent stream is recycled back to the absorber.

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to estimate the influence of parameters on the absorption of carbon dioxide and the CO₂ regeneration with independent parameters: (a) stripper temperature; (b) stripper pressure and (c) concentration of solvent.

3. Results

AThe results of the ANOVA analysis are presented in Table 2 and 3. All 27 cases were simulated based on the Aspen Plus flow sheet (Figure 1) for two responses: absorption of CO₂ efficiency and regeneration of CO₂ efficiency. The CO₂ absorption efficiency for all cases exceeded 99.8%, and the simulation results for CO₂ recovery efficiency are shown in Figure 2.

Table 2. Effects of parameters on regeneration of CO₂ efficiency.

	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	P Value
Stripper pressure	1,69017	0,84508	101,3366	7,9357E-12
Stripper temperature	0,31846	0,15923	2,03963	1,55624E-5
Error	0,18347	0,00834		

Table 3. Effects of parameters on absorption of CO₂ efficiency.

	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	P Value
Solvent concentration	2,14728E-6	1,07364E-6	20,07851	7,50939E-6
Error	1,28333E-6	5,34721E-8		



Figure 2. Regeneration of CO₂ for each case.

4. Discussion

ASPEN PLUS® software was used to find the optimal operating conditions of the CO₂ capture pilot unit. The parameters studied were stripper pressure, stripper temperature and solvent concentration. CO₂ absorption in all cases exceeded 99.8%. The increase in potassium carbonate solvent has a subtle decrease in absorption of CO₂. This is inconsistent with the parametric analysis of K₂CO₃ concentration carried out by Ayittey [16]. This differentiation is due to the small variation in CO₂ absorption values. The regeneration CO₂ showed a large variation of values depending on the stripper operating conditions. Figure 2a shows that reducing the pressure of stripper significantly increases CO₂ recovery with a fine linear correlation (R^2 >0.785). Greater regeneration of CO₂ is observed when the stripper temperature is higher, as confirmed in Figure 2b. There is a perfect linear correlation of potassium carbonate in the liquid absorber is not expected to affect the regeneration of carbon dioxide (Figure 2c).

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to estimate the influence of parameters on the absorption of carbon dioxide and the CO₂ regeneration. Stripper pressure and stripper temperature were chosen as independent variables, as they were suggested to influence CO₂ recovery. The results of two-way ANOVA analysis were evaluated for CO₂ recovery as the p-value and F-factor. As shown in Table 2, the statistically significant parameters for the regeneration of CO₂ are the stripper pressure and the temperature of the stripper, with a p value lower to the level of 0.05. In addition, a one-way ANOVA analysis showed that the concentration of K_2CO_3 is statistically significant for the absorption of carbon dioxide (Table 3).

5. Conclusions

An eco-friendly carbon dioxide capture process is studied in this research using AS-PEN PLUS® software. The capture and recovery of CO₂ were simulated in an absorption and a desorption column, using potassium carbonate. The parameters examined were the concentration of K₂CO₃ and the temperature and pressure of the stripper. Stripper pressure and stripper temperature influence the regeneration of CO₂, as shown in the analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Author Contributions: "Conceptualization, K.D. and G.P.; methodology, K.D.; software, P.K.; validation, P.K., K.D. and M.N.; formal analysis, K.D.; in-vestigation, K.D.; resources, K.D.; data curation, K.D. and P.K.; writing — original draft preparation, K.D. and P.K.; writing — review and editing, M.N. and G.P.; visualization, K.D.; supervision, K.D.; project administration, K.D.; funding acquisition, G.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding: "This research was funded by Operational Program "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship & Innovation" (EPAnEK), grant number T2E∆K-02899/ MIS5134532".

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge support of this work by the project "CoCCUS" (<u>https://co2coccus.gr/en/</u>) which is co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund of the European Union and Greek national funds through the Operational Program Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation, under the call RESEARCH – CREATE - INNOVATE (project code: T2E Δ K-02899/ MIS5134532)

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- Borowski, P.F., Management of Energy Enterprises in Zero-Emission Conditions: Bamboo as an Innovative Biomass for the Production of Green Energy by Power Plants. Energies, 2022. 15(5): p. 1928.
- Borowski, P.F., I. Patuk, and E.R. Bandala, *Innovative Industrial Use of Bamboo as Key "Green" Material*. Sustainability, 2022. 14(4): p. 1955.
- 3. Wilberforce, T., et al., Progress in carbon capture technologies. Science of The Total Environment, 2021. 761: p. 143203.
- 4. Garcia, M., H.K. Knuutila, and S. Gu, ASPEN PLUS simulation model for CO2 removal with MEA: Validation of desorption model with experimental data. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 2017. 5(5): p. 4693-4701.
- 5. Isa, F., et al., CO2 removal via promoted potassium carbonate: A review on modeling and simulation techniques. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2018. **76**: p. 236-265.
- 6. Borhani, T.N.G., et al., CO2 capture with potassium carbonate solutions: A state-of-the-art review. International journal of greenhouse gas control, 2015. **41**: p. 142-162.
- 7. Grant, T., C. Anderson, and B. Hooper, *Comparative life cycle assessment of potassium carbonate and monoethanolamine solvents for CO2 capture from post combustion flue gases.* International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2014. **28**: p. 35-44.
- 8. Kittel, J., et al., Corrosion in MEA units for CO2 capture: pilot plant studies. Energy Procedia, 2009. 1(1): p. 791-797.
- 9. Chuenphan, T., et al., *Techno-economic sensitivity analysis for optimization of carbon dioxide capture process by potassium carbonate solution*. Energy, 2022: p. 124290.
- Hamborg, E.S., S.R. Kersten, and G.F. Versteeg, Absorption and desorption mass transfer rates in non-reactive systems. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2010. 161(1-2): p. 191-195.
- Li, B.-H., N. Zhang, and R. Smith, *Rate-Based Modelling of CO 2 Capture Process by Reactive Absorption with MEA*. Chemical Engineering Transactions, 2014. 39: p. 13-18.
- Chikukwa, A., et al., Dynamic modeling of post-combustion CO2 capture using amines—a review. Energy Procedia, 2012. 23: p. 82-91.

- 13. Zhang, Y. and C.-C. Chen, *Thermodynamic modeling for CO2 absorption in aqueous MDEA solution with electrolyte NRTL model*. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2011. **50**(1): p. 163-175.
- 14. Aspen Technology. 2022.
- 15. Isa, F., et al., CO2 Removal via an Environmental Green Solvent, K2CO3–Glycine (PCGLY): Investigative Analysis of a Dynamic and Control Study. ACS Omega, 2022.
- 16. Ayittey, F.K., et al., *Parametric study and optimisation of hot K2CO3-based post-combustion CO2 capture from a coal-fired power plant*. Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology, 2020. **10**(3): p. 631-642.