



Proceeding Paper Testing Quantum Effects of Gravity and Dark Energy at Laboratory Scales †

Kenath Arun 1,*, C Sivaram² and Avijeet Prasad³

- ¹ CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, India
- ² Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore, India; profcsivaram@gmail.com
- ³ Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; avijeet.prasad@astro.uio.no
 - * Correspondence: kenath.arun@christuniversity.in
- + Presented at the 2nd Electronic Conference on Universe, 16 February–2 March 2023; Available online: https://ecu2023.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: One of the biggest challenges in modern physics is how to unify gravity with quantum theory. There is an absence of a complete quantum theory of gravity, and conventionally it is thought that the effects of quantum gravity occur only at high energies (Planck scale). Here we suggest that certain novel quantum effects of gravity can become significant even at lower energies and could be tested at laboratory scales. We also suggest a few indirect effects of dark energy that can show up at laboratory scales. Using these ideas, we set observational constraints on radio recombination lines of the Rydberg atoms. We further suggest that high-precision measurements of Casimir effects for smaller plate separation could also show some manifestations of the presence of dark energy.

Keywords: quantum gravity; dark energy; Rydberg atoms; Casimir effect

1. Introduction

One of the most challenging open questions in modern physics is to describe gravity through quantum mechanics [1,2]. The current understanding of gravity is based on the general theory of relativity (in the framework of classical physics). However, this description is incomplete as quantum mechanics is considered to be more fundamental. Although there are several different approaches to the problem of quantizing gravity, no fully consistent theory is yet to emerge [3]. Even in the absence of such a complete theory, there are interesting implications of quantum gravity that are testable. So far, the proposed tests of quantum effects of gravity have focussed on specific models, phenomenology, and cosmological observations [4–7].

Normally it is thought that quantum effects of gravity will show up only at the Planck energy (of $\sim 10^{19}GeV$). However, Planck energies (or scales) are likely to remain inaccessible in the foreseeable future. To accelerate particles to Planck scale, the energies required are very high. Using the most intense lasers of intensity $\sim 10^{26}W/m^2$, the arm of the linear accelerator will have to be a few light-years to achieve Planck energies. Even in cosmic rays we do not see such high energy particles (maximum energy being $\sim 10^{21}eV$) [8]. So we are left looking for testability at lower energies, and on laboratory scales.

There have been numerous experimental confirmations of Einstein's theory of relativity from observations of massive astronomical objects and their dynamics, such as the direct detection of gravitational waves from the merger of two black holes and neutron stars [9,10]. Also, laboratory experiments such as the tests of the equivalence principle, precision measurements of gravitational constant, validity of Newton's law at microscales, have been continuously increasing. A recent experiment [11] shows the gravitational coupling between two gold spheres of 1 millimetre radius, which extends the

Citation: Arun, K.; C Sivaram; Prasad, A. Testing Quantum Effects of Gravity and Dark Energy at Laboratory Scales. **2023**, *3*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx Published date: 15 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). gravity measurements to small, single source masses and to low gravitational field strengths. This provides a viable path to explore a regime of gravitational physics that involves precision tests of gravity of microscopic masses at around the Planck mass ($\sim 10^{-5}g$). This could help us in understanding how gravity fits with quantum mechanics on smaller scales.

Here, we consider some new quantum effects of gravity and their testability at laboratory scales, without going to Planck energies (scales). We also discuss in this context, the possibility of looking for effects of dark energy (cosmological constant due to quantum fluctuation) at atomic (laboratory) scales.

2. Quantum Effects and Modification of Newtonian Gravity

In a possible unified description of gravity and quantum theory, since quantum theory is more general (with classical theory being a special case), the role of the uncertainty principle should be fundamental [12]. As we go to smaller scales, the momentum increases and a wave packet of wavelength λ will have an effective mass given by $h / \lambda c$. Further, a particle of mass *m* cannot be localized to a distance less than h / mc, which is the spread of the wave packet.

Now, if two quantum particles come closer and closer till they are separated by a distance *r*, then the uncertainty principle implies that their mutual gravitational force becomes, $F = G \frac{\left(\frac{\hbar}{rc}\right)\left(\frac{\hbar}{rc}\right)}{r^2} = \frac{\hbar^2 G}{c^2} \frac{1}{r^4} = \frac{\hbar c}{r^4} \left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}\right)$. This gives:

$$F = \frac{\hbar c L_{pl}^2}{r^4} \tag{1}$$

where, $L_{pl} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}}$ is the Planck length.

So, we have a $\frac{1}{r^4}$ dependence rather than the usual Newtonian $\frac{1}{r^2}$. Therefore, at short distances, the gravitational force would be very different from the classical case. Testing with smaller and smaller masses on smaller scales could shed some light on such quantum modifications of gravity. This force will be maximum at the Planck length. Hence when $r = L_{pl}$ in equation (1), we have the maximum force,

$$F_{max} = \frac{c^4}{G} \tag{2}$$

To get an estimate of the magnitude of this force, we note that at the beta decay length of $r_{\beta} = 10^{-17} cm$, $F_{\beta} \approx 8 \times 10^{-15} dyne$ and at a scale of the proton Compton wavelength of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-14} cm$, the corresponding force will be $\approx 5 \times 10^{-28} dyne$. For the current experimental detection limit of force, $F_{limit} \approx 10^{-19} dyne$ [13], the corresponding length scale will be, $r_{limit} \approx 2 \times 10^{-16} cm$.

This modification may also have consequences for avoiding the singularity in black hole collapse. Since the particles can't come closer due to the uncertainty principle, they can't be localized to smaller distances. Indeed, it turns out this maximal force given by equation (2) would imply a finite radius (for the collapsing mass inside the horizon) of,

$$r_{min} = \left(\frac{GM}{a_{max}}\right)^{1/2} \tag{3}$$

where a_{max} is the maximum acceleration (field strength) corresponding to maximum force F_{max} [14–16].

3. Dark Energy can Limit the Size and Energies of Rydberg Atoms

1

Can the effects of dark energy manifest in limiting sizes of Rydberg atoms? We have the general relativistic Reissner-Nordström solution for a particle of mass *m* and charge *e*. When the cosmological constant Λ (considered to be dark energy) is included in the energy-momentum tensor, we still have an exact solution (sometimes referred to as the Kottler metric). This solution has a g_{00} component given as:

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{2Gm}{rc^2} + \frac{Ge^2}{c^4r^2} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}$$
(4)

For electron mass, $m = m_e$, the second term is negligible. If *e* is the electron charge, the third and fourth terms, i.e., the electrostatic and dark energy terms become comparable for a region with size *r*, give as:

$$\frac{Ge^2}{c^4 r^2} = \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}$$

$$r^4 = \frac{3Ge^2}{\Lambda c^4},$$
or $r = \left(\frac{3Ge^2}{\Lambda c^4}\right)^{1/4} \approx 10^{-3} \text{cm}.$
(6)

Physically this would imply that for an electron, the two terms become comparable for a region of this extent. Now Rydberg atoms (those atoms with high principal quantum number, n) can have sizes of this order [17]. The atomic radius of these atoms is:

$$r = \frac{n^2 \hbar^2}{m_e e^2} \approx n^2 r_B \tag{7}$$

where r_B is the Bohr radius. Combining Equations (6) and (7), we get:

$$r \approx 10^{-3} cm, \ n < 10^3$$
 (8)

These Rydberg atomic states are well observed in astrophysics as radio recombination lines, since the transition energy involved are in the radio wavelengths. So far, the highest n observed is around 700, which is consistent with Equations (7) and (8) [18]. In other words, the fact that dark energy density and electrostatic energy density become comparable for atoms of this size, could be a possible reason why we do not observe higher n hydrogen recombination lines.

We can also consider heavier atoms, i.e., with higher atomic number Z. In this case the nuclear charge of these atoms will be Ze. With a charge of Ze, the balance between electrostatic and dark energy would occur at a value of r given by:

$$r = \left(\frac{3GZe^2}{\Lambda c^4}\right)^{1/4}.$$
(9)

For Z = 12, this would give about twice the radius given by equation (6), which gives $r \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ cm. But since the size of the higher Z Rydberg atoms would be:

$$r = \frac{n^2 \hbar^2}{m_e Z e^2}.$$
(10)

In this case the limiting n—when dark energy density is comparable to electrostatic energy density—would have a dependence on the atomic number given as,

п

$$\propto Z^{5/8}$$
. (11)

This then leads to a higher limit on *n* as compared to that for the hydrogen recombination lines. For Z = 12, this limit on *n* would be $< (12)^{5/8} \times 10^3 \approx 4.7 \times 10^3$, which is consistent with the highest observed carbon recombination lines [19]. This balance of forces could be tested with experiments with single ions or electrons in devices like Penning traps etc. There could thus be manifestations of dark energy at laboratory scales.

Casimir effects when tested over sub-micron scales could reveal anomalies or deviations from expected results due to quantum vacuum background. In Casimir effect, the force between two plates becomes significant, with the force per unit area given as:

$$\frac{F_{Cas}}{A} = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar c}{240r^4}.$$
(12)

This is a purely quantum effect independent of any coupling. With the background dark energy density, the force (given by Equation (12)) becomes important at a separation of $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ cm, which is one-two orders less than that obtained from Equation (8). These effects can come under the purview of future high precision measurements of Casimir effect, and hence the Casimir effect, when tested for smaller plate separation, could show some manifestation of the presence of the dark energy background.

4. Conclusions and Future Directions

Here, we have considered some new quantum effects of gravity and how they can be tested without having to achieve Planck energies. In this context, we have also shown the possibility of looking for effects of dark energy also at atomic scales. We point out the possible tests for the quantum effects of gravity at laboratory scales including the manifestations of dark energy. This could well have consequences for atomic physics, especially for large *n* Rydberg atom. We also set limits on the radio recombination lines of such atoms, which are consistent with observations. We further predict that the limit of highest *n* for higher *Z* atoms will be higher, scaling as $Z^{5/8}$. Finally, we mention that the future high-precision measurements of the Casimir effect could also show some manifestations of dark energy, which are again testable.

There have been other aspects of quantum effects of gravity that have been studied. Renormalization group improved Schwarzschild black holes (RGISBHs) originate from renormalization group improvement of the Einstein–Hilbert action by using the running Newton constant. Recent results provide some insights into distinguishing RGISBHs from the classical black holes by using periodic orbits and epicyclic motions around the strong gravitational field [20]. The orbital dynamics in the strong gravitational field also presents unique features of quantum gravity and high-dimensional theory [21]. Results have also shown that the bound orbits around the quantum-corrected Schwarzschild black hole have larger angular momentum and radius compared to the classic black hole [22]. The dynamics of charged test particles around quantum-corrected Schwarzschild black holes in an external magnetic field is distinct from those around Schwarzschild black holes [23]. The large degeneracy in the Rydberg levels (with high n) can also account for a large black hole entropy, as well as long lifetime of massive black holes to quantum decays. This is a possible paradigm to link quantum geometry at Planck scales, to classical space-time [24].

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the preparation of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Informed Consent Statement:

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Schulz, B. Review on the quantization of gravity. arXiv 2014, arXiv:1409.7977v1 [gr-qc].
- 2. Penrose, R. On Gravity's role in Quantum State Reduction. Gen. Rel. Grav. 1996, 28, 581.
- 3. Kiefer, C. Quantum Gravity: General Introduction and Recent Developments. Annalen Phys. 2005, 15, 129.
- 4. Hossenfelder, S. Experimental Search for Quantum Gravity. *arXiv* 2010, arXiv:1010.3420v1 [gr-qc].
- 5. Ashoorioon, A.; Dev, P.S.B.; Mazumdar, A. Implications of purely classical gravity for inflationary tensor modes. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 2014, 29, 1450163.
- 6. Pikovski, I.; Vanner, M.R.; Aspelmeyer, M.; Kim, M.; Brukner, C. Probing Planck-scale physics with quantum optics. *Nature Phys.* **2012**, *8*, 393.
- Albrecht, A.; Retzker, A.; Plenio, M.B. Testing quantum gravity by nanodiamond interferometry with nitrogen-vacancy centers. *Phys. Rev. A* 2014, 90, 033834.
- 8. Linsley, J. Evidence for a Primary Cosmic-Ray Particle with Energy 10²⁰ eV. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1963, 10, 146.

- Abbott, B.P.; Abbott, R.; Abbott, T.D.; Abernathy, M.R.; Acernese, F.; Ackley, K.; Adams, C.; Adams, T.; Addesso, P.; Adhikari, R.X. et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2016, 116, 061102.
- 10. Abbott, B.P.; Abbott, R.; Adhikari, R.X.; Ananyeva, A.; Anderson, S.B.; Appert, S.; Arai, K.; Araya, M.C.; Barayoga, J.C.; Barish, B.C.; et al. Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger. *Astrophys. J.* **2017**, 848, L12.
- 11. Westphal, T.; Hepach, H.; Pfaff, J.; Aspelmeyer, M. Measurement of gravitational coupling between millimetre-sized masses. *Nature* **2021**, 591, 225.
- 12. de Sabbata, V.; Kenath, A.; Prasad, A. Testing Quantum Effects of Gravity and Dark Energy at Laboratory Scales. *Annalen Phys.* **1991**, 503, 497.
- 13. Biercuk, M.J. Ultrasensitive force and displacement detection using trapped ions. Nature Nanotech. 2010, 5, 646.
- 14. de Sabbata, V.; Sivaram, C. On limiting field strengths in gravitation. Found. Phys. Lett. 1993, 6, 561.
- 15. Sivaram, C.; Arun, K. Enigmatic aspects of entropy inside the black hole: what do falling comoving observers see? *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **2012**, 337, 169.
- 16. Sivaram, C. String tension and fundamental constants in the early Universe. Astrophys. Space Sci. 1990, 167, 335.
- 17. Sivaram, C. Classical space-time as Rydberg states of underlying quantum geometries. arXiv 2016, arXiv:1607.08114v1 [gr-qc].
- Dunning, F.B.; Mestayer, J.J.; O Reinhold, C.; Yoshida, S.; Burgdörfer, J. Engineering atomic Rydberg states with pulsed electric fields. J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 2009, 42, 022001.
- 19. Stepkin, S.V.; Konovalenko, A.A.; Kantharia, N.G.; Shankar, N.U. Radio recombination lines from the largest bound atoms in space. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2007**, 374, 852.
- Lin, H.-Y.; Deng, X.-M. Bound Orbits and Epicyclic Motions around Renormalization Group Improved Schwarzschild Black Holes. Universe 2022, 8, 278.
- 21. Lin, H.-Y.; Deng, X.-M. Rational orbits around 4 Einstein–Lovelock black holes. Phys. Dark Universe 2021, 31, 100745.
- 22. Deng, X.-M. Geodesics and periodic orbits around quantum-corrected black holes. Phys. Dark Universe 2020, 30, 100629.
- Gao, B.; Deng, X.-M. Dynamics of charged test particles around quantum-corrected Schwarzschild black holes. *Eur. Phys. J. C* 2021, 81, 983.
- 24. Sivaram, C. Classical space-time as Rydberg states of underlying quantum geometries. arXiv 2016, arXiv:1607.08114v1 [gr-qc].

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.