

On cosmological inflation in Palatini F(R,φ) gravity

Mahmoud Alhallak

Contents:

- Why F(R,phi) gravity?
- Analytical treatment.
- Cosmological inflation in F(R,phi)
- Case study: consine and exponential potentials

Motivation for F(R,phi) theories of gravity

C(X,Y

30

= 984 + n

Historical Motivation:

Scientific Curiosity



Eddington, A. S., 1923, The Mathematical Theory of Relativity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).

Historical Motivation:

Scientific Curiosity



Eddington, A. S., 1923, The Mathematical Theory of Relativity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).

Historical Motivation:

Scientific Curiosity



H.Weyl, A New Extension of Relativity Theory, Annalen Phys. 59(1919), 101-133 doi:10.1002/andp.191936410 02

Contemporary Motivation

Example: Explaining the Current accelerated expansion

$$S = S_{g,\varphi} + S_{\varphi}$$

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[F(R,\varphi) \right] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\varphi \partial_{\mu}\varphi - V(\varphi) \right]$$

By introducing an auxiliary scalar field , one can reexpress the last theory as Brans-Dicke theory

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(\chi + f(\varphi) \right) R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial_{\mu} \varphi - V(\varphi) - V_{eff}(\chi) \right]$$

The Model

$$= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [(\chi + f(\varphi))R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\varphi \partial_{\mu}\varphi - V(\varphi) - V_{eff}(\chi)]$$

By Applying a conformal transformation as:

The Model

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} \\ S_{\phi} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \Big\{ \sum_{j=1}^{j=2} G_j(\phi) X^j + \frac{V}{M_j(\phi) V^{j-1}} \Big\} \\ G_j(\phi) &= \frac{(2\alpha)^{j-1} (1+f(\phi))^{2-j}}{(1+f(\phi))^2 + (8\alpha V)}, \\ X &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi \end{split}$$





slow-roll canonical inflation

$$S_{limI} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ R - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial_{\nu} \varphi - 2V_{eff}(\varphi(\phi)) \bigg\}$$

slow-roll K-inflation

$$S_{limII} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ R + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial_{\nu} \chi)^2 - 2V_{eff}(\chi(\phi)) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Constant-roll} \\ & \text{K-inflation} \end{aligned} \\ S_{limIII} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ R + \alpha (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial_{\nu} \varphi)^2 - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial_{\nu} \varphi - 2V_{eff}(\chi(\phi)) \bigg\} \end{aligned}$$



Case study



Natural Inflation In Standard GR gravity



• The model failed to accommodate the observational results.

Inflation with Exponential potential In Standard GR gravity



 The model failed to accommodate the observational results.

Results

- By studying the effects upon modifying the model, we found that the αR^2 -gravity influences the scalar-tensor ratio r values. In contrast, NMC to gravity significantly impacts the spectral index values (n_s) .
- As current observational constraints on parameter α and ξ/λ are pretty loose, having contributions from both terms allows the models to accommodate the observational constraints.

Results: Cosine Potential

M.AlHallak, N.Chamoun and M.S.Eldaher, Natural Inflation with non minimal coupling to gravity in R ^2 gravity under the Palatini formalism, JCAP ,2022), 001 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/10/001



9,2009

01800

0.1608

0.1400

0.3200



#2% Planck TT, TE, EE

#IP+ Planck TT.TE.EE

HowE + brokig +BK39-b 889+ Planck TT, TELEE

track + broking +00/2010

0.04

-titled - looning

· - 210

KostErforming 80% Prants TETELEE









Figure 5: A plot of r and n_s for minimally coupled NI with extended αR^2 gravity. Each line corresponds to a fixed value for α and to scanned values of N. Number of e-foldings N is scanned form 50 at left boundaries of the lines to 70 at right boundaries

Results:



References

- 1. A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981), 347-356 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347
- 2. T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010), 451-497 doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.82.451 [arXiv:0805.1726 [gr-qc]].
- V. M. Enckell, K. Enqvist, S. Rasanen and L. P. Wahlman, JCAP 02 (2019), 022 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/02/022 [arXiv:1810.05536 [gr-qc]].
- P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP and Keck], Phys. Rev. Lett. **127** (2021) no.15, 151301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.151301 [arXiv:2110.00483 [astro-ph.CO]].
- M. AlHallak, A. AlRakik, N. Chamoun and M. S. El-Daher, Universe 8 (2022) no.2, 126 doi:10.3390/universe8020126 [arXiv:2111.05075 [astro-ph.CO]].
- M. AlHallak, N. Chamoun and M. S. Eldaher, JCAP 10 (2022), 001 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/10/001 [arXiv:2202.01002 [astro-ph.CO]].
- 7. K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990), 3233-3236 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.3233
- N. A. CHERNIKOV, E. A. TAGIROV, "Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time", Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 9, no 2 (1968), p. 109-141
- 9. Y. Reyimuaji and X. Zhang, JCAP 03 (2021), 059 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/03/059 [arXiv:2012.14248 [astro-ph.CO]].
- 10. R. Z. Ferreira, A. Notari and G. Simeon, JCAP 11 (2018), 021 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/11/021 [arXiv:1806.05511 [astro-ph.CO]].
- 11. M. AlHallak and N. Chamoun, JCAP 09 (2016), 006 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/09/006 [arXiv:1604.03966 [hep-ph]].
- N. Chamoun, S. J. Landau and H. Vucetich, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 16 (2007), 1043-1052 doi:10.1142/S0218271807010560 [arXiv:astro-ph/0612536 [astro-ph]].
- M. AlHallak, A. Al Rakik, S. Bitar, N. Chamoun and M. S. Eldaher, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 36 (2021) no.30, 2150226 doi:10.1142/S0217751X21502262 [arXiv:2105.00848 [astro-ph.CO]].
- 14. B. Bahr-Kalus, D. Parkinson and R. Easther, [arXiv:2212.04115 [astro-ph.CO]].

Thanks for your listening