

Digital polarization holography: challenges and opportunities

Rakesh Kumar Singh

Home | Optics Lab | Information photonics and optical metrology |

<u>Varanasi</u>

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, 221005, India

> krakeshsingh.phy@iitbhu.ac.in krakeshsingh@gmail.com

International conference on: Holography meets Advanced manufacturing, University of Tartu, Estonia: 20-22 Feb 2023



Outline

- Background
- Optical Imaging
- Digital Holography
 - Recording and reconstruction of wavefront
 - Polarization holography
 - Jones matrix imaging
- Randomness assisted imaging
 - Speckle illumination polarization holography
 - Stokes holography
 - Holography with higher order Stokes correlations
- Conclusion



Optical Imaging

*Replica at imaging plane



Imaging lens

Microscopy: improvement in resolution possible by tailored illumination



*Holography: Provides 3D complex field reconstruction

Optical imaging through randomness





Phase objects

- Transparent specimen
- Do not absorb or scatter light
- Instead produces a phase change of light



Quantitative Phase Imaging



Holography

Gabor's Holography: In line Holography



 $I = |U|^2$ = $|O + R|^2$ = $|O|^2 + |R|^2 + O^*R + OR^*$ = $I_o + I_r + 2\sqrt{I_o I_r} \cos\varphi$



J. Goodman, Fourier Optics



Polarization

***Polarization ellipse representation**



$$\tan 2\psi = 2 \frac{E_{0x} E_{0y} \cos \delta}{E_{0x}^2 - E_{0y}^2}$$
$$\tan \alpha = \frac{E_{0y}}{E_{0x}}$$
$$\sin 2\chi = (\sin 2\alpha) \sin \delta$$



***Poincare representation**

$$S_{0} = E_{x}^{*}E_{x} + E_{y}^{*}E_{y}$$

$$S_{1} = E_{x}^{*}E_{x} - E_{y}^{*}E_{y}$$

$$S_{2} = E_{x}^{*}E_{y} + E_{y}^{*}E_{x}$$

$$S_{3} = i\left[E_{y}^{*}E_{x} - E_{x}^{*}E_{y}\right]$$





$$\sqrt{I^{(1)}(Q_{1},\omega)I^{(2)}(Q_{2},\omega)} \Big[\mu(Q_{1},Q_{2};\omega) \exp\{ik(R_{1}-R_{2})\} + \mu(Q_{2},Q_{1};\omega) \exp\{-ik(R_{1}-R_{2})\} \Big]$$

$$\mu(Q_{1},Q_{2};\omega) = \frac{\langle E^{*}(Q_{1},\omega)E(Q_{2},\omega)\rangle}{\sqrt{I^{1}(Q_{1},\omega)I^{2}(Q_{2},\omega)}}$$

✓ coherent light sources makes high visibility fringe

M. Born and E. Wolf, Principle of Optics



Generalized Interferometry



 $S_n(r) = S_n^1(r) + S_n^2(r) + 2\sqrt{S_0^1(r)S_0^2(r)} \left| S_n(Q_1, Q_2) \right| \cos\left\{ \arg\left[S_n(Q_1, Q_2) - k(R_1 - R_2) \right] \right\}$

 $S_{0}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) = \eta_{xx}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) + \eta_{yy}(Q_{1},Q_{2})$ $S_{1}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) = \eta_{xx}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) - \eta_{yy}(Q_{1},Q_{2})$ $S_{2}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) = \eta_{xy}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) + \eta_{yx}(Q_{1},Q_{2})$ $S_{3}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) = i \Big[\eta_{yx}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) - \eta_{xy}(Q_{1},Q_{2}) \Big]$

 $\eta_{ij}(Q_1, Q_2) = \frac{W_{ij}(Q_1, Q_2)}{\sqrt{trW(Q_1)trW(Q_2)}}$

In general four kind of polarization modulation takes place
Only one (first one) in conventional holography

T. Setala, J. Tervo, and A. Friberg, Opt. Lett 31 (2006) 2208



K. Oka and T. Kaneko, Opt. Express 11,1510 (2003)





Angular & Polarization multiplexing



Opt. Lett. 41 (2016) 906



Imaged orthogonally polarized components



Biological cells



Liquid crystal droplets



Light matter interaction: Jones matrix



Jones matrix formalism

$$\begin{pmatrix} E'_{x} \\ E'_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_{xx} & j_{xy} \\ j_{yx} & j_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{x} \\ E_{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

Jones matrix microscopy from a single shot measurment

OF TECHNOLOG





Jones matrix microscopy: multiplexed hologram



Opt. Lett. 42 (2017) 5194



Recovered Jones matrix elements



Jxx









Opt. Lett. 42 (2017) 5194



Speckle field digital polarization holography



Optics Letter 44 (2019) 5711



Enhanced resolution



Optics Letter 44 (2019) 5711

MO=5X & 0.1NA



Reconstruction of polarization holograms



 $S_n(r) = S_n^1(r) + S_n^2(r) + 2\sqrt{S_0^1(r)S_0^2(r)} \left| S_n(Q_1, Q_2) \right| \cos\left\{ \arg\left[S_n(Q_1, Q_2) - k(R_1 - R_2) \right] \right\}$

Polarization imaging with correlations

- 1. Stokes Holography, Opt. Lett. 39 (2012) 966
- Phase shifting holography with HBT method- Opt. Letter 45 (2020) 212; Opt. Express 28 (2020) 8145
- 3. HBT with polarized light: Opt. Express 26 (2018) 10801
- 4. Holography with higher order Stokes correlations, Phys. Rev. A 106 (2022) 013508



Holography with Stokes correlations





Holography with higher order Stokes correlations

Recording of Hologram **Object**

Reconstruction of Hologram



Phys. Rev. A 106 (2022) 013508



Holography with Stokes correlation

The correlation between SPs fluctuations is

$$\begin{split} C_{nm}(\Delta r) = <\Delta S_{n}\Delta S_{m} >, \\ \begin{pmatrix} C_{00}(\Delta r) & C_{01}(\Delta r) & C_{02}(\Delta r) & C_{03}(\Delta r) \\ C_{10}(\Delta r) & C_{11}(\Delta r) & C_{12}(\Delta r) & C_{13}(\Delta r) \\ C_{20}(\Delta r) & C_{21}(\Delta r) & C_{22}(\Delta r) & C_{23}(\Delta r) \\ C_{30}(\Delta r) & C_{31}(\Delta r) & C_{32}(\Delta r) & C_{33}(\Delta r) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Using un-polarized source: $W_{xy}(\Delta r) W_{yx}^{*}(\Delta r)=0$

$$C_{22}(\Delta r) = \operatorname{Re}[W_{xx}(\Delta r)W_{yy}^{*}(\Delta r)]$$
$$C_{32}(\Delta r) = i\operatorname{Im}[W_{xx}(\Delta r)W_{yy}^{*}(\Delta r)]$$

 $C(\Delta r) = C_{22}(\Delta r) + iC_{32}(\Delta r)$

Phys. Rev. A 106 (2022) 013508



Experimental measured Stokes parameters :(a)-(b) for l=txperimental measured Stokes parameters :(a)-(b) for l=txperimenta



Conclusion:

Polarization digital holography (PDH) is discussed and described in the context of recovery and reconstruction of the complete wavefront
Few experimental designs of the PDH are discussed
A possible extension of the digital holography with random light is also discussed

Acknowledgement

INSERB DIA

CORE/2019/000026

SIR INDIA

080(0092)/2020/EMR-II



DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

Ministry of Science & Technology Government of India

> BT/PR35557/MED/707 /2019

58/14/0/2021-BRNS/37092



Dr. Vinu R V & Dr. Darshika Singh, IIST-Trivandrum Former master students: Niraj Soni, Atul Somukuwar, Annie Varghese, Sreelal M,

