



1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25 26

27

Proceedings

Effects of short-wavelength blue light on fibroblasts. Experimental evidence in wound healing and cutaneous fibrosis ⁺

Giada Magni^{1*}, Federica Cherchi², Martina Banchelli¹, Francesca Tatini¹, Patrizia Nardini³⁻⁴, Daniele Guasti³⁻⁴, Elisabetta Coppi², Anna Maria Pugliese², Marco Fraccalvieri⁵, Stefano Bacci¹⁻⁴⁻⁶ and Francesca Rossi¹

- ¹ Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Fisica Applicata "Nello Carrara", (CNR-IFAC), 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy; m.banchelli@ifac.cnr.it; f.tatini@ifac.cnr.it; f.rossi@ifac.cnr.it.
 ² Dipartimento NEUROFARBA (Neuroscienze, Psicologia, Area del Farmaco e Salute del Bambino), sezione
- di Farmacologia e Tossicologia, Università di Firenze, Florence, Italy; federica.cherchi@unifi.it; elisabetta.coppi@unifi.it; annamaria.pugliese@unifi.it.
- ³ Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche, Sperimentali e Cliniche "Mario Serio", Università di Firenze, Florence, Italy;
- ⁴ Imaging Platform, Department Experimental and Clinical Medicine & Joint Laboratory with Department Biology, University of Florence, Viale Pieraccini 6, 50139 Florence, Italy
- ⁵ Sezione di Chirurgia Plastica e Ricostruttiva, Dipartimento di Chirurgia, Università di Torino, Turin, Italy
- ⁶ Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Firenze, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy
- * Correspondence: g.magni@ifac.cnr.it; Tel.: 0555225327.

Abstract: Fibroblasts play a crucial role in wound healing and skin fibrosis. It is also probably the18cell model used to study in vitro photobiomodulation. Our previous in vivo results evidenced a19faster recovery in blue light-treated wounds (410-430 nm). In vitro experiments demonstrated that20the lower dose increases cell metabolism, while higher doses (30.9 and 41.2 J/cm²) reduce it. Further-21more, 20.6 J/cm² affects outward currents and Cytochrome C. Here, we described our preliminary22results on the effects of blue LED light on mitochondria and reactive oxygen species production.23Globally, our results demonstrated that short-wavelength blue LED light has PBM properties.24

Keywords: photobiomodulation; blue light; wound healing; fibroblasts; skin fibrosis

1. Introduction

More than 50 years have passed since Endre Mester identified the first medical evi-28 dence of Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT). Experimental observations such as the faster 29 hair regrowth in rats and the promotion of wound healing were categorized as "laser bi-30 ostimulation" [1]. Soon it became clear that it was not necessary to use the laser and its 31 peculiarities (monochromatic, coherent, etc.) to obtain an effect at a biological level. Non-32 coherent light-emitting diodes (LEDs) with comparable application parameters could also 33 be exploited with lower production costs and better handling. For these reasons, the term 34 LLLT has been replaced with Photobiomodulation (Therapy), PBM(T) [2]. Following the 35 debates about the appropriateness of the terms, a nomenclature consensus meeting was 36 organized by the North American Association for Light Therapy and the World Associa-37 tion for Laser Therapy. The term LLLT (cited in the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)) 38 led to thinking of laser as the only usable source, and gathered together terms and tech-39 niques significantly different from each other without any distinction. In November 2015, 40 the term "Photobiomodulation Therapy" officially entered in the MeSH. This event rep-41 resents an advance not only from a technological and methodological point of view, but 42 also considering a rigorous organization of the scientific literature and the experimental 43 protocols [1,2]. 44

Today, the mechanism underlying PBM effects is still partially unclear. The most 45 widely accepted theory is based on "hormesis", a dose-response relationship caused by a 46

Citation: Magni, G.; Cherchi, F.; Banchelli, M.; Tatini, F.; Nardini, P.; Guasti, D.; Coppi, E.; Pugliese, A.M.; Fraccalvieri, M.; Bacci, S.; et al. Effects of short-wavelength blue light on fibroblasts. Experimental evidence in wound healing and cutaneous fibrosis. **2023**, *3*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx Published: 21 March 2023

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

1

2

3

biphasic effect. From a biological point of view, it is considered an adaptive response: a very low dose, or a treatment applied for a very short time does not induce any effect, while a high dose or a prolonged treatment time induces an inhibitory response.

Our research is focused on the effects of short-wavelength blue LED light (emission 4 range 410-430 nm) in the field of wound healing and skin fibrosis. For these reasons, we 5 dedicated our studies to analyze the behaviour of fibroblasts, both through in vivo and in 6 vitro experiments. 7

In vitro experiments were performed on several cultures of fibroblasts isolated from 8 keloids and their perilesional tissue, compared to fibroblasts obtained from normal hu-9 man skin and purchased-cell cultures of adult human dermal fibroblasts (HDFa). Using 10 colourimetric tests, we showed that blue LED light (3.43 - 6.87 - 13.75 - 20.6 - 30.93 - 41.2)11 J/cm²) affects in different ways on fibroblasts with different origins, but the response is 12 always dose-dependent. Electrophysiological recordings in patch-clamp showed that 13 only keloid-derived fibroblasts increased the outward current after applying 20.6 J/cm². 14 Furthermore, micro-Raman spectroscopy performed on single cells demonstrated that the 15 same dose of blue LED light directly affects Cytochrome C, inducing a transition from 16 oxidized to reduced form [3]. Scratch-test executed in co-culture of healthy fibroblasts and 17 human keratinocytes (HaCaT cells) showed that the application of 20.6 J/cm² of blue light 18 induces an acceleration of the closure of the scratch, compared with unirradiated samples 19 [4]. 20

From in vivo studies performed on superficial wounds induced in CD1 mice, we 21 demonstrated that the application of 20.6 J/cm² of blue LED light stimulates the activation 22 of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, without inducing any changes in the cell density. Myo-23 fibroblasts were detected using alpha-smooth muscle actin antibody (alpha-SMA, Sigma-24 Aldrich, Milano, Italy) and revealed by fluorescence microscopy. Furthermore, the distri-25 bution and morphology of type I collagen in the wounded and treated tissue appears to 26 be more similar to a never-injured one, when compared to the wounded but untreated 27 tissue [5,6]. 28

Wound healing is an intricate process consisting in three different phases: inflamma-29 tion, tissue formation and remodeling [7]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a key role 30 in the tissue regeneration. Indeed, regulated production of ROS is crucial to maintain 31 physiological processes and adaptive responses such as chemotaxis, cytoskeletal remodeling and calcium homeostasis [8,9]. 33

Here, we irradiated human dermal fibroblast cells with three different doses of blue light $(5 - 21 - 42 \text{ J/cm}^2)$ to study ROS production and changes in the shape and morphology of mitochondria.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell cultures

Adult Human Dermal Fibroblast cells (HDFa, Lot# 2207322) were purchased from 39 Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and used following the recom-40 mendation of the manufacturer. The primary cultures of human dermal fibroblasts were 41 obtained from 7 patients subjected to mole removal, while keloid-derived fibroblasts were 42 obtained from 11 patients subjected to keloid tissue removal. In each case, the samples 43 were fragmented into small pieces (2-4 mm in diameter), collected in a scratched-Petri 44 dish (Greiner Bio-One, GmbH) and kept under laminar flow until the adhesion to the plate 45 occurred. Within three weeks from the preparation, fibroblasts migrated out of the tissue. 46 All the cells were cultivated in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, 1.5 g/L in glu-47 cose) added with 10 % of Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 1% of Glutamine and Streptomycin 48 (PAN-Biotech GmbH, Aidenbach, Germany) and detached using Trypsin-EDTA solution 49 (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) when necessary. DMEM was refreshed every 48 hours. 50

2.2. Irradiation protocols in cultured cells

36 37

38

34

Cells were counted using Neubauer chamber (Karl Hecht Assistent GmbH, Sondheim vor der Rhön, Germany), and an appropriate number of cells were seeded in a specific support for the analysis to be performed. The following fluences of blue LED light 3 were applied: 5-21 and 42 J/cm².

2.3. ROS analysis

Reactive oxygen species were detected using a cell-based, fluorescent kit purchased from AbCam (ab113851, Cambridge, UK) and was used according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The signal was revealed by confocal microscopy (Leica SP8, Martinsried, Germany).

2.4. Electron microscopy

Twenty-four hours after irradiation, fibroblasts were detached using trypsin EDTA 11 0.25% (Pan-React Applichem, Milan, Italy). After 3 centrifuges in phosphate buffer saline, 12 the pellet was resuspended in an appropriate volume of Karnovsky's fixative. Electron 13 microscopy was conducted as follows. Briefly, the specimen were washed with cold 0.01 14 M phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4, and were directly fixed in cold 4% glutaraldehyde 15 in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C and post-fixed in cold 1% 16 osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 hour at room temperature. The 17 samples were dehydrated in graded acetone, passed through propylene oxide and em-18 bedded in Epon 812. Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyless and alkaline bismuth 19 subnitrate and examined under a JEM 1010 electron microscope (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) at 80 20 kV. 21

3. Results

3.1. Blue LED light modulates Reactive Oxygen Species

Confocal microscopy (Figure 1A-E) showed that the application of 5 and 21 J/cm² 24 (Figure B,C) did not induce a significant ROS increase, compared to the control (unirradi-25 ated cells, Figure A). The application of 41 J/cm² (Figure D) induces an increase in ROS signal. Figure 1E represents the signal after the addition of 100 μ M of H₂O₂. 27



Figure 1. Confocal microscope imaging of unirradiated cells (A), cells irradiated with 5 (B), 21 (C) 29 and 42 (D) J/cm². Positive control (E) was obtained by incubating cells in 100 μ M of H₂O₂. Magnifi-30 cation: 20x. 31

3.1. Blue LED light affects mitochondria in human dermal fibroblasts

1

2

4

5

10

22 23

26

32

No substantial changes in cell morphology were evidenced by electron microscopy, 1 with any of the blue light doses in the range 0-21 J/cm². The size of the mitochondria increases significantly when 42 J/cm² is applied. Mitochondrial morphology also slightly 3 changes from rod-shaped in the controls to oval-shaped in the irradiated cells. Mitochondrial cristae show no significant changes in their overall extent. 5





7

10

Figure 2. Electron microscopy of unirradiated cells (**A**), cells irradiated with 5 (**B**), 21 (**C**) and 42 (**D**) 8 J/cm². Magnification: 5000x. 9

4. Discussion

Despite the limitation, in vitro experiments are still helpful in studying some biolog-11 ical processes. Here, we applied three fluences of short wavelengths of blue LED light: a 12 low, a medium and a high dose, in human dermal fibroblasts to investigate eventual ef-13 fects on the mitochondria shapes and ROS synthesis. Our preliminary results show that 14 at low and medium fluences (4 and 21 J/cm², respectively), blue LED light does not stim-15 ulate ROS and does not damage the mitochondria, while the higher fluence (42 J/cm²) in-16 duces a significant increase in ROS and mitochondrial size. However, mitochondrial cris-17 tae show no significant changes in their overall extent, suggesting substantially similar 18 patterns of energy metabolism. Together with our previous observations [3,4,6,10] and 19 clinical evidence [11–13], these findings suggest that blue LED light-photobiomodulation 20 (410 - 430 nm) represents a safe and effective treatment in the management of skin wounds 21 and fibrosis. 22

23

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.R.; F.T.; S.B.; and G.M.; methodology, A.M.P.; F.C.;1M.B.; E.C.; G.M.; D.G.; P.N.; D.B.; and F.T.; validation, F.C.; G.M.; D.G.; P.N.; and M.B.; formal anal-2ysis, F.C.; G.M and M.B.; investigation, F.C.; G.M and M.B.; resources, F.R.; and S.B.; data curation,3F.R.; G.M.; and S.B.; writing—original draft preparation, G.M.; writing—review and editing, F.R.;4G.M.; and S.B.; supervision, F.R.; and S.B.; funding acquisition, F.R.; and S.B. All authors have read5and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.6

Funding: This research was funded by EmoLED s.r.l, and Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio (grant n. 2017/0771) and University of Florence.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of A.O.U Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino – A.O. Ordine Mauriziano di Torino – A.S.L. Città di Torino (protocol code 0073787, 26/07/2017) and by the Hospital Ethical Board of A.O.U di Perugia (protocol code 16806/19/AV, 07/17/2019). The animal study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Italian Ministry of Health (protocol code 791/2016-PR).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank Prof. Daniele Bani and the Imaging Platform, Dept. Experimental & Clinical Medicine of the University of Florence for valuable support in electron microscopy. The authors wish to thank Azienda Ospedaliera Università degli Studi di Perugia (Italy).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1.	Anders, J.J.; Lanzafame, R.J.; Arany, P.R. Low-Level Light/Laser Therapy Versus Photobiomodulation Therapy. Photomed.	27
	Laser Surg. 2015 , 33, 183, doi:10.1089/PHO.2015.9848.	28
2.	Hamblin, M.R. Photobiomodulation or low-level laser therapy. J. Biophotonics 2016, 9, 1122–1124, doi:10.1002/JBIO.201670113.	29
3.	Magni, G.; Banchelli, M.; Cherchi, F.; Coppi, E.; Fraccalvieri, M.; Rossi, M.; Tatini, F.; Pugliese, A.M.; Degl'innocenti, D.R.;	30
	Alfieri, D.; et al. Experimental Study on Blue Light Interaction with Human Keloid-Derived Fibroblasts. Biomedicines 2020, 8,	31
	573, doi:10.3390/BIOMEDICINES8120573.	32
4.	Rossi, F.; Magni, G.; Tatini, F.; Banchelli, M.; Cherchi, F.; Rossi, M.; Coppi, E.; Pugliese, A.M.; Rossi degl'Innocenti, D.; Alfieri,	33
	D.; et al. Photobiomodulation of Human Fibroblasts and Keratinocytes with Blue Light: Implications in Wound Healing.	34
	Biomedicines 2021, 9, 41, doi:10.3390/biomedicines9010041.	35
5.	Cicchi, R.; Rossi, F.; Alfieri, D.; Bacci, S.; Tatini, F.; De Siena, G.; Paroli, G.; Pini, R.; Pavone, F.S. Observation of an improved	36
	healing process in superficial skin wounds after irradiation with a blue-LED haemostatic device. J. Biophotonics 2016, 9, 645-	37
	655, doi:10.1002/jbio.201500191.	38
6.	Magni, G.; Tatini, F.; Siena, G. De; Pavone, F.S.; Alfieri, D.; Cicchi, R.; Rossi, M.; Murciano, N.; Paroli, G.; Vannucci, C.; et al.	39
	Blue-LED-Light Photobiomodulation of Inflammatory Responses and New Tissue Formation in Mouse-Skin Wounds. Life	40
	(Basel, Switzerland) 2022 , 12, doi:10.3390/LIFE12101564.	41
7.	Singer, A.J.; Clark, R.A.F. Cutaneous Wound Healing. N. Engl. J. Med. 1999, 341, 738–746, doi:10.1056/NEJM199909023411006.	42
8.	Gammella, E.; Recalcati, S.; Cairo, G. Dual Role of ROS as Signal and Stress Agents: Iron Tips the Balance in favor of Toxic	43
	Effects. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2016, 2016, doi:10.1155/2016/8629024.	44
9.	Szarka, A.; Lőrincz, T.; Hajdinák, P. Friend or Foe: The Relativity of (Anti)oxidative Agents and Pathways. Int. J. Mol. Sci.	45
	2022, Vol. 23, Page 5188 2022 , 23, 5188, doi:10.3390/IJMS23095188.	46
10.	Magni, G.; Tatini, F.; Bacci, S.; Paroli, G.; De Siena, G.; Cicchi, R.; Pavone, F.S.; Pini, R.; Rossi, F. Blue LED light modulates	47

inflammatory infiltrate and improves the healing of superficial wounds. Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed. 2020, 36, 48

	166–168, doi:10.1111/phpp.12527.	1
11.	Fraccalvieri, M.; Amadeo, G.; Bortolotti, P.; Ciliberti, M.; Garrubba, A.; Mosti, G.; Bianco, S.; Mangia, A.; Massa, M.; Hartwig,	2
	V.; et al. Effectiveness of Blue light photobiomodulation therapy in the treatment of chronic wounds. Results of the Blue	3
	Light for Ulcer Reduction (B.L.U.R.) Study. Ital. J. dermatology Venereol. 2022, 157, 187–194, doi:10.23736/S2784-8671.21.07067-	4
	5.	5
12.	Orlandi C; Purpura V; Melandri D Blue Led Light in Burns: A New Treatment's Modality Case Report. 2021.	6
13.	Mosti, G.; Gasperini, S. Observations made on three patients suffering from ulcers of the lower limbs treated with Blue Light.	7
	Chronic Wound Care Manag. Res. 2018, 5, 23–28, doi:10.2147/CWCMR.S172060.	8
		9
		10