



1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

# Proceedings Unlocking the Secrets of Special Micronized Wholemeal Flours: A Comprehensive Characterization Study<sup>+</sup>

Agata Wojciechowicz-Budzisz <sup>1,\*</sup>, Pavel Skřivan <sup>2</sup>, Marcela Sluková <sup>2</sup>, Ivan Švec <sup>2</sup>, Ewa Pejcz <sup>1</sup> and Joanna Harasym

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Biotechnology and Food Analysis, Wroclaw University of Economics and Business, Komandorska 118/120 Street, 53-345 Wrocław, Poland
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Carbohydrates and Cereals, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague, Technická 5, 166 28 Praha 6 - Dejvice, Czech Republic
- \* Correspondence: agata.wojciechowicz-budzisz@ue.wroc.pl
- Presented at The 4th International Electronic Conference on Foods, Focus on Sustainable Food Systems: Current Trends and Advances, 15-30 October 2023.

Abstract: This study aimed to characterize special micronized wholemeal flours with a fine granu-13 lation size of less than 160 µm. The flours under investigation included wheat, rye, spelt, barley, 14buckwheat, sorghum, and teff. Various parameters were investigated to characterize the flours, in-15 cluding moisture, energy value, fat, carbohydrates, sugars, total protein, ash, and total dietary fiber 16 (TDF). The falling number of the flours was assessed using the Hagberg-Perten method. Further-17 more, the pasting properties of tested flours were analyzed using an amylograph, and a Rapid Visco 18 Analyser (RVA). The water absorption of the wholemeal flours was examined using a farinograph. 19 Additionally, the technological quality of the tested material was assessed based on the water 20 (WRC) and sodium carbonate Solvent Retention Capacity (SRC) profile. Among the analyzed mi-21 cronized flours, special wheat flour (WWF) had the highest nutritional value and rye flour (WRF) 22 was characterized by the lowest nutrient content and the highest amylolytic activity. The lowest 23 water absorption were found in special teff flour (WTF). The lowest TDF content and amylolytic 24 activity were found in special buckwheat flour (WBWF). 25

**Keywords:** wholemeal flours; micronized flours; quality; nutritional composition; rheological properties

## 1. Introduction

The demand for cereal products with enhanced nutritional value is on the rise among 30 consumers. One effective strategy to meet this demand is by incorporating wholemeal 31 bread or pseudo-cereal flours. The consumption of whole grains has been associated with 32 a decreased likelihood of developing lifestyle-related conditions like type 2 diabetes, met-33 abolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease. This connection is attributed to the abun-34 dance of bioactive compounds found in whole grains, including fiber, vitamins, antioxi-35 dants, and phytoestrogens. Despite the numerous health advantages associated with con-36 suming whole grains, their sensory attributes often do not match those of traditional prod-37 ucts. To tackle this challenge, manufacturers are actively seeking innovative approaches 38 to craft wholemeal products that maintain satisfactory sensory qualities [1]. 39

A potential solution to enhance the visual and gustatory aspects of wholemeal bread involves the creation of flour with finer particles. This can be achieved by utilizing advanced milling technologies like impact mills, which were previously underutilized. Employing finely ground wholemeal flour obtained through this gentler milling process significantly diminishes the sensory impact on the final product. Furthermore, it preserves 44

**Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: date



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). 29

26

higher levels of easily absorbable dietary fiber and other valuable components due to the delicate grinding technique [2].

Summing up, the fine-grinding of whole grain flours using identical milling parameters as those for conventional flour ensures heightened nutritional benefits and increased water-binding capabilities. This leads to generally enhanced baking attributes and an extended shelf life for the ultimate consumer [2]. 50

The aim of the conducted research was to characterize special micronized wholemeal 51 flours: wheat, rye, spelt, barley, buckwheat, sorghum, and teff. 52

# 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Materials

In the experimental part, selected fine-ground wholegrain flours from wheat (WWF), 55 rye (WRF), spelt (WSF), barley (WBF), buckwheat (WBWF), sorghum (WSGF), teff (WTF) 56 (with fine granulation < 160  $\mu$ m) provided by the company Perner Svijany Mill, Ltd were 57 used. 58

#### 2.2. Methods

### 2.2.1. Chemical composition of raw materials

Flours were determined for: moisture ICC No. 110/1 [3], total protein content – with the Kjeldahl method (ICC No. 105/2) using a Foss Tecator Kjeltec 2400 analyzer (Foss, Hilleroed, Denmark) (WWF, WRF, WSF - N×5.7, WBF, WBWF, WSGF, WTF - N×6.25), ash content – with the ICC No. 104/1, fat - with the ICC No. 136, total dietary fiber (TDF) (Megazyme kit (Ireland) and Fibertec System (Tecator Foss, Sweden)) acc. AOAC 991.43 method [4].

The determination of carbohydrates content was calculated from the dry matter and other nutrients/components of the product (dry matter = carbohydrate + fibre + protein + fat + ash). The content of sugars (mono- and disaccharides) was determined chromatographically after extraction of sugars into aqueous liquor. Anex chromatography (HPAEC-PAD) was used for separation and quantification of sugars, and. 71

The calculation of the energy value of product was based on its nutritional value 72 (from the content of the nutrients, i.e. protein content, digestible carbohydrate content, 73 fibre content and fat content) and using conversion factors for 1 g of the ingredient. Energy 74 value calculation (kJ/100 g): content of protein (g/100 g, w/w) \* 17.2 + content of carbohy-75 drates (g/100 g, w/w) (without fibre) \* 17.2 + content of fat \* 37 + content of total dietary 76 fibre \* 8.4. 77

The samples were analyzed at least in duplicate, and the results are expressed on a dry matter (d.m.) basis.

## 2.2.2. Rheological properties of paste and dough

The falling number of flours was determined according to the Hagberg-Perten 81 method (AACC Method 56-81B) [5]. The rheological properties of dough from wholemeal 82 flours were analyzed using a farinograph (Brabender OHG, Duisburg, Germany) (AACC 83 Methods 54-21) [5]. The farinographic analysis allowed determining water absorption of 84 flour (% compared to flour used). 85

Properties of pastes made of the flours tested were evaluated using an amylograph (Brabender OHG, Duisburg, Germany) according to AACC Methods 22-10. Amylograms obtained were used to read out values of the initial and final pasting temperatures and maximal paste viscosity. 89

Pasting properties of flours were also determined using a Rapid Visco Analyser 90 (RVA) model 4500 (Perten Instruments, Australia). Distilled water  $(25 \pm 0.01 \text{ g})$  was added 91 to the flours  $(3.5 \pm 0.01 \text{ g})$  in an aluminium RVA canister. The masses of the H<sub>2</sub>O and flours 92 were adjusted ( $\pm 0.01 \text{ g}$ ) to compensate for the differences in moisture content of each sample. In all the tests a moisture level of 14 % was maintained, resulting in a relative high 94

53 54

45

46

60 61

62

63

64

65

66

59

solid percentage. Clumping was prevented by stirring with a plastic paddle after which 95 pre-programmed profiles were initiated. The profile for flour was used to capture rheo-96 logical information (RVA curves), time 16 minutes. Each suspension was kept at 50 °C for 97 1 min and then heated up to 95 °C at 12.2 °C/min (over 4.5 min) and held for 2.0 min at 98 95 °C. It was then cooled to 50 °C at 11.8°C/min (over 3.5 min) and kept for 5.0 min at 50 99 °C. All the RVA tests were done in duplicate. 100

## 2.2.3. Solvent retention capacity

Technological quality of the tested material was determined according to AACC [5] 102 method 56-11 Solvent retention capacity (SRC) profile, using flour samples of 5 g and the 103 centrifuge Eppendorf 5702 (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) and using 5.0 g/100 g sodium carbonate in water (sodium carbonate SRC) and deionized water (water retention 105 capacity WRC). The solvent retention capacity (SRC) is expressed as the weight of solvent 106 retained by the flour after centrifugation of the flour suspension with the solvent under 107 the given conditions. It is expressed as a percentage by weight of flour. The result is based 108 on 14% moisture of flour. 109

#### 2.3. Statistic analysis

The results presented are mean values. Statistical analysis such as one-way ANOVA 111 were analysed using Statistica 13.3 (StatSoft, Kraków, Poland). Significant differences (p 112  $\leq 0.05$ ) between the mean values were determined using the Duncan's Multiple Range 113 Test.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The chemical composition and energy value of micronized wholemeal flours are pre-116 sented in Table 1. Among the analyzed flours, the highest moisture (11.2%) was found in 117 WTF, and the lowest in WWF – 8.0% and WSF – 8.7%. Very similar results for wholemeal 118 finely granulated flour were obtained by Skřivan et al. [2]. The highest energy value and 119 carbohydrates content was found in WBWF - respectively 356.9 kcal/100g, 68.0 g/100g 120 d.m. and WSGF - respectively 353.4 kcal/100g, 65.0 g/100g d.m. and the lowest amount of 121 energy was provided by WWF (334.8 kcal/100g), WRF (335.3 kcal/100g) and WTF (337.3 122 kcal/100g). The highest total protein content was found in WWF (14.7 g/100g d.m.) and 123 WSF (14.6 g/100g d.m.), and the lowest in WTF (11.3 g/100g d.m.). Our total protein results 124 were higher than those obtained by Skřivan et al. [2], who also tested micronized flours, 125 but also higher than the results of Lin et al. [6] and Warechowska et al. [7], who analyzed 126 traditional ground wheat and rye wholemeal flours. The highest content of ash and TDF 127 was found in WWF (2.36 and 18.7 g/100g d.m.), and the lowest amount of TDF was ob-128 tained for WBWF (4.4 g/100g d.m.). Skřivan et al. [2] obtained lower ash and TDF content 129 for wheat, higher for rye and similar for spelt wholemeal finely granulated flour. 130 Marchenkov et al. [8] noted lower ash content in whole wheat and spelt flours. In the case 131 of buckwheat flour, the TDF content was more than double that obtained in our research. 132 Hussein et al. [9] noted in their research lower content of protein, ash and fat in traditional 133 ground whole wheat flour. The highest content of sugars was recorded for WRF (8.1 134 g/100g d.m.) and the lowest for WBWF and WTF (1.9 and 2.1 g/100g d.m.). 135

Table 1. Chemical composition and energy value of micronized wholemeal flours.

Flour type	Moisture [%]	Energy value [kcal/100g]	Total protein content [g/100g d.m.]	Ash [g/100g d.m.]	Fat [g/100g d.m.]	Carbohydrates [g/100g d.m.]	Sugars [g/100g d.m.]	TDF [g/100g d.m.]
WWF	8.0 c	334.8 b	14.7 a	2.36 a	2.8 ab	54.0 b	3.2 b	18.7 a
WRF	9.7 b	335.3 b	11.0 b	1.54 c	1.9 b	62.0 ab	8.1 a	14.6 ab
WSF	8.7 c	345.4 ab	14.6 a	1.63 c	2.3 b	61.0 ab	3.8 b	12.4 b
WBF	9.8 b	340.2 ab	13.8 ab	1.75 c	3.0 ab	59.0 ab	3.3 b	14.1 ab
WBWF	10.2 ab	356.9 a	13.8 ab	2.08 b	2.9 ab	68.0 a	1.9 c	4.4 d

101

104

114

110

115

WSGF	10.5 ab	353.4 a	13.4 ab	1.66 c	3.4 a	65.0 a	3.1 b	7.5 c
WTF	11.2 a	337.3 b	11.3b	2.23 ab	2.0 b	62.0 ab	2.1 c	15.9 ab
Values are expressed as the mean $(n = 2)$ Mean values bearing different letters in the same row								

137 les are expressed as the mean (n = 2). Mean values bearing different letters in the same row denote statistical difference (a > b > c ... etc.). WWF – wholemeal wheat flour, WRF - wholemeal 138 rye flour, WSF - wholemeal spelt flour, WBF - wholemeal barley flour, WBWF - wholemeal buck-139 wheat flour, WSGF - wholemeal sorghum flour, WTF - wholemeal teff flour. 140

Rheological properties of paste and dough and technological quality of flours are 141 presented in Table 2. Among the analyzed flours, WRF was characetrized by the lowest 142 falling numer (200 s), initial and final gelatinization temperatures (54.6 °C and 72.8 °C) 143 and the highest WRC (128.8 g/100g) and sodium carbonate SRC (121.6 g/100g). WBWF 144 had the highest falling number (>900 s) and maximum viscosity (3702 AU). Among the 145 whole-grain rye flours tested by Warechowska et al. [7], two obtained a lower falling num-146 ber (by 35.5% and 20%), and one obtained a 34% higher value of the tested feature than in 147 our study. WWF was characterized by the highest water absorption (87.4%) and final ge-148 latinization temperature (92.3 °C) and the lowest WRC (68.9 g/100g) and sodium car-149 bonate SRC (82.9 g/100g). The lowest water absorption was found in WTF (58.0%). Higher 150 water absorption values for wholemeal wheat flour were obtained in the studies of Pro-151 tonotariou et al. [10]. Hussein et al. [9] observed 32% lower, and Warechowska et al. [7] 152 36% lower flour water absorption in the case of traditional ground whole grain flours. 153 WSF was characterized by the lowest maximum viscosity (353 AU). In the study of 154 Skřivan et al. [2], lower water absorption of wheat, rye and spelt flour and the same for 155 buckwheat flour was noted. However, in the case of the mentioned flours, Skřivan et al. 156 [2] obtained higher values of WRC, sodium carbonate SRC and falling numer (except for 157 buckwheat flour, where the sample weight was reduced). The same authors [2] obtained 158 similar values of amylolytic determination of the analyzed wheat and rye wholemeal 159 flours and slightly higher values for spelt and significantly higher gelatinization temper-160 atures for buckwheat. However, we obtained a much higher maximum viscosity. 161

Table 2. Rheological properties of paste and dough and technological quality of micronized whole-162 meal flours. 163

	Falling num-	Water	Initial gelatinization	Final gelatinization tem-	Water estantion of	Sodium car-	
Flour type	ber	Absorption	temperature	perature	ity	Water retention ca- pacity WRC [%]	bonate SRC
	[s]	[%]	[°C]	[°C]	[AU]		[%]
WWF	324 d	87.4 a	63.5 ab	92.3 a	805 d	68.9 f	82.9 e
WRF	200 f	83.4 b	54.6 c	72.8 d	495 e	128.8 a	121.6 a
WSF	238 e	76.4 c	58.6 bc	80.2 c	353 f	73.4 ef	100.9 c
WBF	389 c	70.6 d	61.0 b	87.2 ab	1234 с	80.5 d	109.4 b
WBWF	>900 a	63.4 e	59.8 bc	88.7 ab	3702 a	89.0 c	90.5 d
WSGF	398 c	63.8 e	65.6 a	86.4 bc	1655 b	77.2 e	92.5 d
WTF	424 b	58.0 f	63.8 ab	85.5 bc	1628 b	99.8 b	105.0 bc

Values are expressed as the mean (n = 2). Mean values bearing different letters in the same row 164 denote statistical difference (a > b > c ... etc.). WWF – wholemeal wheat flour, WRF - wholemeal rye flour, WSF - wholemeal spelt flour, WBF - wholemeal barley flour, WBWF - wholemeal buck-166 wheat flour, WSGF - wholemeal sorghum flour, WTF - wholemeal teff flour. 167

RVA analysis results are presented in Table 3. The highest pasting temperature was 168 characterized by WWF (89.7 °C) and the lowest by WBWF (74.3 °C). The highest value of 169 peak time was characteristic for WBF and WSGF (6.1 min) and the lowest for WRF (5.1 170 min). In the case of peak viscosity, the highest values were found for WBF (2670 cP) and 171 WBWF (2729 cP), and the lowest for WRF (846 cP) and WSF (887 cP). When talking about 172 holding viscosity, final viscosity and setback, it should be mentioned that the highest val-173 ues of these properties were found in WBWF (respectively 2460 cP, 5278 cP, 2818 cP), and 174the lowest in WRF and WSF. The highest breakdown was found for WBF (1426 cP) and 175 the lowest for WBWF (270 cP). 176

	Pasting tempera-	Peak	Peak viscosity	Holding viscosity	Final	Breakdown	Setback
Flour type	ture	time			viscosity		
	[°C]	[min]	[cP]	[cP]	[cP]	[cP]	[cP]
WWF	89.7 a	5.8 bc	1282 c	761 d	2074 d	522 c	1312 cd
WRF	79.9 b	5.1 d	846 d	379 e	1038 e	466 e	658 e
WSF	86.5 ab	5.4 c	887 d	378 e	1022 e	508 d	644 e
WBF	86.4 ab	6.1 a	2670 a	1244 b	2794 с	1426 a	1550 c
WBWF	74.3 c	5.9 b	2729 a	2460 a	5278 a	270 g	2818 a
WSGF	87.2 ab	6.1 a	1914 b	1348 b	3416 b	566 b	2068 b
WTF	84.6 ab	5.8 bc	1354 c	959 c	2030 d	394 f	1071 d

Table 3. Rapid visco-analysis (RVA) starch pasting profiles of micronized wholemeal flours.

Values are expressed as the mean  $(n = 2) \pm$  standard deviation. Mean values bearing different let-178 ters in the same row denote statistical difference ( $a > b > c \dots$  etc.). WWF – wholemeal wheat flour, WRF - wholemeal rye flour, WSF - wholemeal spelt flour, WBF - wholemeal barley flour, WBWF -180 wholemeal buckwheat flour, WSGF - wholemeal sorghum flour, WTF - wholemeal teff flour. 181

### 4. Conclusions

These findings provide valuable insights into the nutritional composition, rheological properties, and technological characteristics of micronized wholemeal flours, aiding in 184 their potential applications in the food industry and dietary planning. 185

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.W.-B., P.S. and M.S.; methodology, P.S., M.S. and I.S.; 186 software, A.W.-B., M.S.; validation, A.W.-B., P.S. and M.S.; formal analysis, A.W.-B., M.S.; investi-187 gation, A.W.-B., I.S. ; resources, P.S. and M.S.; data curation, A.W.-B., M.S., J.H.; writing-original 188 draft preparation, A,W.-B., P.S., M.S.; writing-review and editing, A.W.-B., M.S., J.H.; visualiza-189 tion, A.W.-B.; E.P., supervision, A.W.-B. M.S, J.H.. All authors have read and agreed to the published 190 version of the manuscript. 191

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Results will be available at corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Torbica, A.; Radosavljević, M.; Belović, M.; Tamilselvan, T.; Prabhasankar, P. MBiotechnological tools for cereal and pseudo-1 196 cereal dietary fibre modification in the bakery products creation - Advantages, disadvantages and challenges. Trends in Food 197 Science & Technology 2022, 129, 194-209.
- Sk'rivan, P.; Sluková, M.; Jurkaninová, L.; Švec, I. Preliminary Investigations on the Use of a New Milling Technology for Ob-2. 199 taining Wholemeal Flours. Appl. Sci. 2021, 11, 6138. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11136138. 200
- ICC Standard Method, International Association for Cereal Science and Technology. 3.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). AOAC International 2012, 18th. 4.
- AACC (American Association of Cereal Chemists) 2000, 10th, St. Paul, MN, USA. 5.
- Lin, J.; Gu, Y.; Bian, K. Bulk and Surface Chemical Composition of Wheat Flour Particles of Different Sizes. Journal of Chemistry 6. **2019**, *5*, 1-11. 10.1155/2019/5101684.
- 7. Warechowska, M.; Warechowski, J.; Tyburski, J.; Siemianowska, E.; Nawrocka, A.; Miś, A.; Skrajda--Brdak, M. 2019. Evaluation of physicochemical properties, antioxidant potential and baking quality of grain and flour of primitive rye (Secale cereale var. Multicaule). Journal of Food Science and Technology 2019, 56: 3422.
- Marchenkov, D.; Khorenzhy, N.; Voloshenko, O.; Zhygunov, D.; Marenchenko, O.; Zhurenko, O. INVESTIGATION OF 8. 209 QUALITY INDICATORS OF WHOLEMEAL INDUSTRIAL-MADE FLOUR. Food Science and Technology 2022. 15. 210 10.15673/fst.v15i4.2258. 211
- Hussein, A.M.; Kamil, M.M.; Hegazy, N.A.; El-Nor, S.A. Effect of Wheat Flour Supplemented with Barely and/or Corn Flour on 9. 212 Balady Bread Quality. Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences. 2013; 63(1):11-18. doi:10.2478/v10222-012-0064-6. 213
- Protonotariou, S.; Stergiou, P.; Christaki, M.; Mandala, I. G. Physical properties and sensory evaluation of bread containing 10. 214 micronized whole wheat flour. Food Chemistry 2020, 318, Article 126497. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. FOODCHEM.2020.126497. 215
- Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual au-216 thor(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury 217 to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 218

179

177

182 183

192

193

194

195

198

201

202

203

204

205

206

207