





2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

29

30

# RESPONSE TO GRAZING PRESSURE ON THE SOIL PROP-ERTIES AND SHRUB COMMUNITIES IN THE SEMI-DESERT STEPPE, MONGOLIA

# Enkhtuvshin Dechinperlii<sup>1\*</sup>, Ariuntsetseg Lkhagva<sup>2</sup> and Bayanmunkh Tumurkhuu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, School of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Mongolian National University of Education, Ulaanbaatar, 14191, Mongolia; enkhtuvshin.d@msue.edu.mn; mandahbayan@gmail.com <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, School of Arts and Sciences, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar 14201, Mongolia; ariuntsetseg@num.edu.mn

\* Correspondence: enkhtuvshin.d@msue.edu.mn

**Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

#### Published: date

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.

0/).

Abstract: Soil physical properties (topsoil density, 0-30cm density, soil organic carbon and soil 11 organic matter) was significant of grazing intensity in the semi-desert steppe of Mongolia. Soil 12 physical properties are playing an important role for formation of arid ecosystem. Grazing of 13 abundant livestock can alter for the spatial heterogeneity of vegetation and soil structure, espe-14 cially of arid ecosystem. According to our results, soil organic carbon was remarkably different 15 among all sites (F=13.8, P<0.0001). This study conducted to identifying grazing effects of both live-16 stock and wild ungulates (as large herbivores) on both herbaceous shrub communities' character-17 istics, including their physical performance (DF=4, F=46.73, P<0.0001) such as, height, canopy di-18 ameter, basal diameter and annual shoot in Ikh Nart Nature Reserve. Our findings revealed the soil 19 physical characters such as topsoil density, bulk density, SOC and SOM were decreased with in-20 creased grazing intensity, as well as grazing of herbivores was negatively affected for height, 21 canopy diameter and annual shoot of shrub communities. Therefore, our findings clearly indicated 22 the negative effects of grazing to studied soil properties and shrub communities in semi-desert 23 region of Mongolia. The results also showed that there is still need well managed pasture man-24 agement that covered conservation of shrub communities alongside with other-species in 25 semi-desert region. 26

**Keywords:** Soil properties; soil organic carbon; soil organic matter; shrub community; grazing; 27 semi-desert steppe 28

# 1. Introduction

Ikh Nart Nature Reserve (NR) hosts argali sheep (Ovis ammon Linnaeus, 1758), ibex 31 (Capra sibirica Pallas, 1776) and goitered gazelle (Gazella subgutturosa Guldenstadt, 1780), 32 which assessed as threatened by the IUCN Red List categories and criteria (Harris & 33 Reading, 2008; Amgalanbaatar et al., 2000; Amgalanbaatar & Reading, 2003; Reading et 34 al., 2001). The number of domestic animals owned by herders in the Dalanjargalan dis-35 trict has doubled in the last decade, from 53.92 thousand in 2000, to 196.77 thousand in 36 2021 (Mongolian Statistical Office, 2021). Consequently, livestock and wild ungulates 37 significantly affect plant diversity, soil properties, and shrub communities' growth 38 (Bayanmunkh & Enkhtuvshin, 2018; Enkhtuvshin, 2018; Dechinperlii et al., 2022). 39

Increasing domestic animal's populations and vegetation degradation by overgrazing also are likely to have a negative impact on wild ungulates as a result of enhanced competition for resource and habitats (Taro Sugimoto *et al.*, 2018).

Ecosystem changes, such as soil erosion, degradation, and changes in soil properties 43 caused by the grazing of many livestock over a long period of time, reduce the organic 44

and mechanical structure of the soil that supports plant growth (Gervasio *et al.*, 2010; 1 Kaiyang *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, researchers note that many factors, such as plant distribution characteristics, biodiversity, and vegetation biomass, are inextricably linked to the soil type and its organic composition (Silva & Batalha, 2008). 4

The positive influence of shrubs for any ecosystem may vary depending on factors 5 such as regional climate, size of shrub, nurse and beneficiary identity, and temporal scale 6 (Lopez et al., 2009). Also, currently, most of the information regarding the role of shrubs 7 on shaping community structure and diversity in xeric regions comes from the northern 8 hemisphere including Asian steppes (Lopez et al., 2009). Furthermore, a space beneath of 9 their canopies has a more mesic microclimate, contributes to improve soil water and nu-10 trient conditions, and providing many plant species by protection from the herbivores 11 (Callaway, 1995). The fact that shrubs create variations in an otherwise more or less uni-12 form habitat of open spaces means that different ecological niches become available for 13 many herbaceous species (Schmide & Whittaker, 1981; Bruno *et al.*, 2003). 14

The study of the physical properties of the soil and the impact of grazing on the growth of shrub communities is important for the development of conservation management for the protection of rare ungulates, their habitats and the ecosystem as a whole in similar areas. Therefore, this objective to examine the grazing effects of large herbivore on soil properties and shrub community growth forms in the different grazing intensity area (high intensity, low intensity).

- a. How does grazing effect by livestock and wild ungulates on the soil physical properties?
- b.Does it affect the vegetative and generative organs due to selective eating of animals and wild ungulates?

#### 2. Material and methods

#### Study area

The reserve is located in the Dalanjargalan district of the Dornogobi province, and 27 covers a transition zone between semi-desert and steppe ecosystems (Reading et al., 2011; 28 Ganbold et al., 2019). The landscape of the reserve is mainly characterized by rocky out-29 crops (Ganbold et al., 2019), which provide safe habitats for several wild ungulates, in-30 cluding Argali (Ovis ammon), Ibex (Capra sibirica), and Goitered gazelle (Gazella subgut-31 turosa), alongside various livestock. The reserve provides pasture resources for wild 32 ungulates and livestock. The climate condition is characterized by very limited precipi-33 tation (annually 100-150 mm) with a widely varying temperature range between cold 34 winter (-21°C) and hot summer (25°C) (Reading et al., 2011; Schneider, 2014). The vege-35 tation of the reserve is dominated by short grasses (Stipa gobica Roshev, S. glareosa P. 36 Smirn.), forbs (Allium polyrrhizum Turcz. ex. Regel, Haplophyllum davuricum (L.) G. Don.), 37 and shrubs (Amygdalus pedunculata Pall., Atraphaxis pungens (Biab.) Juab. Et Spach, Spiraea 38 hypercifolia L., and S. aquilegifolia Pall.) (Jackson et al., 2006; Reece et al., 2019). Sampling 39 sites were covered in the northern area of the nature reserve (Figure 1) and are described 40 in Table 1. Moreover, Mongolia's soil is divided into five latitudinal zones, and according 41 to this classification, the ikh Nart Nature Reserve belongs to the brown soil zone of the 42 semi-desert steppe. Brown soils are characterized by low humus content, lack of common 43 gypsum, no gypsum, and are almost completely covered with gravel (Tsegmid, 1969). 44

21

22

23

24

25



Figure 1. Study area – Ikh Nart Nature Reserve, and the sampling sites.

# The estimation of grazing intensity

To access grazing intensity in each sites, we based on number of livestock in herder family and around of the herders in study sites. As well as, the habitat of livestock and wild ungulates such as Argali sheep and Siberian ibex are considered based on spatial information in the area (Table 1).

## Table 1. The descriptions of the study sites in Ikh Nart Nature Reserve.

Study sites	ly sites Soil physical Dominant species		The around of study sites in herder family and number of livestock (Count of Sheep)	
S-1	Sand (Su)	Leek-Allium polyrrhizum Turcz. Ex Regel, Need- legrass-Stipa glareosa P.Smirn, Crested Wheat grass-Agropyron cristatum (L.) Beauv.	Herder family: 1, Number of live- stock: 489	
S-2	Sand (Su)	Needlegrass - <i>Stipa glareosa</i> P.Smirn, Leek - <i>Allium pol-yrrhizum</i> Turcz. Ex Regel, Chiazospermum - <i>Hypecoum erectum</i> L.	Herder family: 2, Number of live- stock: 965	
S-3	Sand (Su)	Leek - Allium polyrrhizum Turcz. Ex Regel, Gobi Need- legrass - Stipa gobica Roshev. Fringed Sage - Artemisia frigida Willd.	Herder family: 2, Number of live- stock: 1.471.8	
<b>S</b> -4	Sand (Su)	Leek - Allium polyrrhizum Turcz. Ex Regel, Fringed Sage - Artemisia frigida Willd.	Herder family: 3, Number of live- stock: 2.454.3	
S-5	Sand (Su)	Leek - Allium polyrrhizum Turcz. Ex Regel, Fringed Sage - Artemisia frigida Willd. Bindweed - Convolvulus am- manii Desr.	Herder family: 3, Number of live- stock: 2.763.8	

Characterization of Soils

We used the loss-on-ignition (LOI) procedure for soil organic matter (SOM) and soil 10 organic carbon (SOC) estimation. The soils are dried at 105°C for 1 h to remove moisture (Wang *et al.*, 2011). Then, we combusted soil in a muffle furnace at 375°C for 16 h. Soil 12 organic matter is calculated as the weight loss between 105°C and 375°C (Wang *et al.*, 13

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

2011). Moreover, soil organic carbon is calculated by the procedure provided by 1 Hoogsteen et al. (2015). We used "core" method for soil bulk density estimation (Blake & 2 Hartge, 1986). In addition, we determined to soil density, soil carbonates, and mechanical 3 composition of the soil (Han et al., 2021). 4

#### Shrub community methods

We surveyed shrub community from May until August 2019 to 2021, and shrub 6 community morphological measured in from every sites. All site considered based on 7 spatial situation by livestock and wild ungulates home range. The total of five sites were 8 different grazing intensity. Moreover, two site (10m x 10m grids) were controlled for 9 grazing intensity. Morphological measurements of shrub (height, crown, basil diameter 10 and annual shoots) were performed according to the method of Miralles-Crespo et al. 11 (2010) for each shrub occurring in the form of radiation in each field design (north, 12 southeast, southwest) in a 100m straight line (Line transect methods). 13

#### Data analysis

One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed to determine whether 15 there is a significant different among the sites in soil physical properties and morpho-16 logical forms of shrub due to herbivory grazing. Moreover, we tested to compare (T-test) 17 in grazing and un-grazing sites. As well as, the multi-variance analysis were among 18 morphological form of shrub and soil physical properties. 19

#### 3. Result

## Soil characteristic

The content of soil organic carbon and organic matter in the soil varies statistically between areas with different grazing intensities (F=20.6, P<0.0001). High grazing intensity S2 and S5 site were lowest of the soil organic carbon. However, S1 and S3 sites were 24 highest of the soil organic carbon. Therefore, all sites were among significantly different 25 (F=20.6, P<0.0001) (Table 2). In addition, sample site has an significantly different for the 26 soil layer has a depth of 0-30 cm (F=4.2392, P>0.02) and soil weight (F=7.8251, P<0.04) 27 (Table 2). The relatively high physical properties of soils in low pastureland areas indi-28 cate that they depend on pastureland impacts. 29

30

20

21

14

Sites	Soil depth (0-30 cm)	Soil weight	Soil Organic Carbon (SOC, g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil Organic Matter (SOM, g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
S1	1.03±0.07	106.2±6.1	1.372±0.07	2.92±0.15	$0.044 \pm 0.001$
S2	$1.33 \pm 0.02$	134.1±2.2	$0.705 \pm 0.04$	$1.5 \pm 0.47$	$0.048 \pm 0.002$
<b>S</b> 3	$1.29 \pm 0.09$	$1.3 \pm 9.4$	$1.504 \pm 0.47$	3.2±1.01	0.041±0.002
S4	$1.04 \pm 0.04$	$1.0\pm4.2$	1.41±0.44	3.02±0.95	0.043±0.002
S5	1.35±012	$1.4\pm6.5$	1.13±0.35	2.42±0.76	$0.046 \pm 0.001$
<i>P</i> – value (One-way ANOVA be- tween the sites	0.0291*	0.004*	0.001*	0.001*	0.2470

**Table 2.** Site differences of soil characteristic in Ikh nart nature Reserve.

# The grazing effect on growth of shrub communities

Grazing has differently affected morphological variable; height (F=3.02, P<0.0216), 4 canopy diameter (F=3.36, P<0.01), and annual shoot (F=46.7348, P<0.0001) significantly 5 differed in the sites, while basal diameter (F=1.68, P<0.16) did not respond to grazing 6 (Figure 2). As well as, shrub height displayed significantly fence and unfence sites (t = 7 4.49, df = 14, P = 0.0004), and annual shoot of shrub community (t = 5.0739, df = 11, P = 8 0.0002) (Figure 3; Figure 4).



**Figure 2.** The morphological measurment of shrub community (Height, canopy cover and annual shoot).

1

2

3

10

12 13

11



1 2

**Figure 3.** Box plot comparisons of significant differences means of three measured metrics of control site-2020 in the Ikh Nart Nature Reserve, Mongolia.



**Figure 4.** Box plot comparisons of significant differences means of three measured metrics of control site-2021 in the Ikh Nart Nature Reserve, Mongolia.

Relationship of shrub morphological and soil characteristic

We found some positive relationship among shrub morphological type and soil8properties (Table 3). In addition, soil density was significantly and positively correlated9with shrub morphology in both height (r=0.28) and canopy cover (r=0.28).10

Table 3. Relationship of soil properties and shrub morphological measurement.

11

4

5

6

N⁰	Pearson corelation analysis	Value
1	Soil density *shrub height	r=0.28
2	Soil density*shrub canopy cover	r=0.30
3	Soil porosity*shrub stem count	r=0.31
4	Soil humidity*shrub stem count	r=0.50
5	Soil weight*soil density	<i>r</i> =0.94
6	Soil pH*shrub height	r=0.58
7	Soil pH*shrub canopy cover	r=0.56

#### 4. Discussion

In our study, variation in soil bulk density, plant diversity, and composition appear 2 to reflect the impact of livestock and wild ungulate grazing in the desert-steppe of 3 Mongolia. Several studies were conducted on livestock effects on biodiversity and habitat 4 degradation (Gao & Carmel, 2020). The most convincing sign of the overstocking effect of 5 livestock and wild ungulates in the study is soil compaction, which corroborated in-6 creased bulk density in the S2 and S5 sites. Soils in the vicinity high grazing intensity area 7 had the highest soil bulk density, where we find the highest overlapping populations of 8 livestock and wild ungulates. The higher soil bulk density in the heavily stocked areas 9 may be due to greater pressure onto soils through animal hooves (up to 200 kPa), and the 10 loss of perennial vegetation cover and soil cryptogams (Proffitt et al., 1995; Daniel et al., 11 2002; Yates et al., 2008). 12

Heavy grazing pressure may result in decreased diversity with a dominance of only 13 a few tolerant species. The dominant species in heavy grazing pressure sites were fringed 14 sage (*Artemisia frigida*) and needle leaf sedge (*Carex duriuscula*), which are tolerant to 15 grazing and known to increase with moderate to heavy grazing pressure (Yunatov 1977; 16 Narantsetseg *et al.*, 2018). 17

Shrubs are one of the main food sources for grazing animals in arid ecosystems be-18 cause young shoots and leaves of shrubs containing high nutrition contribute more than 19 70% percent to the food composition of wild ungulates and livestock (Eric et al., 1988; 20 Argiuar & Sala, 1999; Wenxuan Xu et al., 2012). In previous studies (Wingard et al., 2011; 21 Wenxuan Xu et al., 2012), researchers have reported that herbivores, including gazelles, 22 argali, and ibex, had a hard time looking for food resources due to occasional snow co-23 vers. Therefore, shrub communities become valuable food sources for these animals 24 during winter rather than in other seasons (Wenxuan Xu et al., 2012). In our study sites, 25 young shoots and leaves of shrubs including A. pedunculata, S. aquilegifolia, and S. hy-26 pericifolia were recorded as completely eaten by wildlife or livestock. The dominant and 27 subdominant shrubs are resistant to defoliation by grazing through avoidance strategies 28 as they become shorter and less dense, which is less available to grazing, within their 29 architectural plasticity (Hofmann, 1988). These shrub species will likely exclude the 30 communities if the grazing intensity passes the shrubs' resistant threshold (Briske 1996). 31

There are very few attempts studying responses of A. pedunculata to grazing. This 32 species is a late-successional and regionally dominant plant of Mongolia's 33 low-mountainous semi-desert ecosystems (Tuvshintogtokh, 2014). Dashnyam (1974) re-34 ported that grazing by herbivores such as wild ungulates and livestock impact negatively 35 on heights of A. pedunculata because they only consume leaves and flowers. However, the 36 grazing intensity wasn't mentioned, but the shrub community in the semi-desert steppe 37 has been left out. For instance, Wingard et al., (2011) investigated the diet composition of 38 wild Argali sheep (Ovis ammon) and domestic sheep using their fecal in Ikh Nart NR. Our 39 study complemented his results by adding the effect of wild ungulates and livestock on 40

2

the shrub community in the semi-desert steppe of Mongolia. Our study showed that the highest grazing intensity (S1 and S2) negatively affected the species' morphology.

The density of this shrub has been diminished at the sites with high grazing inten-3 sity. It could indicate that the shrub's grazing resistance has exceeded, and the species 4 has loosened its competitive advantage. If the grazing remains the same in intensity, the 5 species will persist as small, scattered plants or locally extinct (Connor 1991). In sum-6 mary, our finding indicated the negative effect of grazing on the physical properties of 7 soil in the Semi-desert of Mongolia. Consequently, land degradation and plant morpho-8 logical trait changes can harm the ecosystem goods and services in the semi-desert of 9 Mongolia. Therefore, the study results suggest that appropriate grazing management is 10 required in the semi-desert regions across Mongolia. 11

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledge: First of all, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to Ganchimeg 13 Wingard and Rentsen Oyunbat for their guidance during our fieldwork. This study was 14 funded by the Denver Zoological Foundation and the Earth watch Institute and Managed 15 by the Mongolian Nature Gobi Ecosystem Wildlife Conservation Research Center. The 16 authors also would like to thank the scientific committee, all the Ikh Nart Natural Re-17 serve staff, and all the faculties of the Department of Biology, Mongolian National Uni-18 versity of Education. Our sincere gratitude goes to Batsaikhan Nyamsuren, Department 19 of Biology at the NUM. 20

#### Reference

- 1. Aguiar, M., and Sala, O. Patch structure, dynamics and implications for the functioning of arid ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 1999. 14:273-277. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01612-2</u>.
- Amgalanbaatar, S., Reading, R.P. & Ganchimeg, J. Concerns about the effective management and conservation of Argali sheep in Mongolia. Strategic Planning for Conservation of Mongolian Argali Sheep (*Ovis ammon*), pp. 16 20. Mongolian Ministry for Nature and Environment and World Wide Fund for Nature Mongolia, 2000. Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.04.024</u>.
- 3. Amgalanbaatar, S. & Reading, R., P. Argali sheep conservation and research activities in Mongolia.In: Mongolia: *Biodiversity at a Crossroads, Biodiversity at a Crossroads.* 2003. (ed.), pp. 14-16.
- 4. Bruno, F.J, Stachowicz, J.J, & Bertness, D.M. Inclusion of facilitation into ecological theory. *Ecology and Evolution*. 2003. 18:119-125. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)00045-9</u>.
- 5. Briske, DD. Strategies of Plant Survival in Grazed Systems: A Functional Interpretation. *The ecology and Management of Grazing Systems*. 1996. 2:37-67.
- 6. Bayanmunkh, T. 2015. Flora of Ikh Nart Nature Reserve. Ulaanbaatar, [in Mongolian].
- 7. Connor, O.G. 1991. Local Extinction in Perennial Grasslands: A Life-History Approach. *The American Naturalist*, 137:6.
- 8. Callaway, R.M. Positive interactions among Plants. The Botanical Review, 1995. 61: 306-349.
- 9. Dashnyam, B. Vegetation. In: Banzragch D, editor. Flora and vegetation of Dornod Mongol. Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia: Publishing Academy of Sciences. 1974. pp. 78-115 [in Mongolian].
- 10. Daniel, J.A., Potter, K., Altom, W., Aljoe, H. & Stevens, R. Long-term grazing density impacts soil compaction. *American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, 2002. 45: 1911–1915. <u>https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.11442</u>.
- Eldridge, J.D. Westoby, M. & Stanley, J.R. Population Dynamics of the Perennial Rangeland Shrubs Atriples vesicaria, Maireana astrotricha and M.pyramidata Under Grazing, 1980–1987. British Ecological Society. 1990. Vol. 27. pp. 502-512. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2404297.</u>
- Enkhtuvshin, D. Uuganbat, & G. Dariimaa, Sh.. Grazing effect of livestock and wild ungulates on the soil 45 physical structure and plant diversity in semi-desert steppe, Mongolia (Case of Ikh nart Nature Reserve). 46 2021. 151-156.
- 13. Eric, M. Rominger, Alan R. Dale & James A. Bailey. 1988. Shrubs in the Summer Diet of Rocky Mountain
   Bighorn Sheep. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*. Vol. 52, pp. 47-50.
- 14. Gervasio, P. Jose, M. Martin, O. & Esteban, G.J. 2010. Pathways of Grazing Effects on Soil Organic Carbon and Nitrogen. *Rangeland Ecology Manage*. 63:109-119. <u>10.2111/08-255.1</u>.

12

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

15.	Gao, J. & Carmel, Y. 2020. A global meta-analysis of grazing effects on plant richness. Agriculture, Ecosys-	1
1.0	<i>tems &amp; Environment</i> , 302: 1-10. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107072.	2
16.	Hofmann RR, & Matern B, 1988. Changes in gastrointestinal morphology related to nutrition in giraffes (Gi-	3
	raffa cameloparaalis): A comparison of wild and zoo specimens. Int zoo Yb $2/(108-1/6)$ .	4
17	<u>nttps://doi.org/10.1111/j.1/48-1090.1988.tD05210.x</u> . Harria P. & Baading P. Ovis ammon The IIICN Red List of Threatened Species 2008	5
17.	Hairis, K.D. & Keading, K. Ovis animoli. The TOCN Rea List of Threatened Species. 2008.	6
10.	Jigjidsulen, S. & Johnson, DA. Forage Flants of Mongolia. Admon, Oran Batol, 2005. [In Mongolian].	/
19.	feeding frequencies among six forests with different deer density. <i>Earest Ecology and Management</i> 2011	0
	Vol 262 (2011) pp 432-439 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.foreco.2011.04.009	9 10
20	Kaiyang Qiu Vingzhong Xie Dongmei Xu & Richard Pott Ecosystem function including soil organic car-	10
20.	bon total nitrogen and available potassium are crucial for vegetation recovery <i>Scientific Reports</i> 2018 8(1)	12
	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25875-x.	13
21.	Lopez Ramiro Pablo, larrea-Alcazarm Daniel M., & Teresa Ortuno, Positive effects of shrubs on herbaceous	14
	species richness across several spatial scales: Evidence from the semiarid Andean subtropics. <i>Journal of Veg-</i>	15
	<i>etation Science</i> , 2009. 20:728-734. https://doi.org/10.1111/i.1654-1103.2009.01067.x.	16
22.	Mark Ballantyne & Catherine Marina Pickering. Shrub facilitation is an important driver of alpine plant	17
	community diversity and functional composition. <i>Biodivers Conserv.</i> 2015. 24: 1859-1875.	18
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-015-0910-z.	19
23.	Mongolian Statistical Office. https://www.nso.mn/ 2020.	20
24.	Michael Heiner, Galbadrakh, D, Bayarjargal, Y, Batsaikhan N. Report of ecological assessment of Mongolia	21
	region. TNC Mongolia Program. 2015.	22
25.	Narantsetseg, A., Kang, S. & Ko, D., Livestock grazing and trampling effects on plant functional composition	23
	at three wells in the desert steppe of Mongolia. Journal of Ecology and Environment, 2018. 42: 1-8. https://doi.	24
	org/10.1186/s41610-018-0075-2.	25
26.	Oyungerel, B. Mongolian Protected area, Ulaanbaatar, 2004. [in Mongolian].	26
27.	Pletscher, H.D., Bedunah, J.D., Mandakh, B., Amgalanbaatar, S., and Reading, R.P. Argali food habits and	27
	dietary overlap with domestic livestock in Ikh Nart Nature Reserve, Mongolia. Journal of Arid Environments,	28
• •	2011. 75:138-145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2010.09.014</u> .	29
28.	Proffitt, R.A., Bhalla, M., Gossweller, R. & Midgett, J. Perceiving geographical slant. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin</i>	30
•	& Review, 1995. 2: 409-428.	31
29.	Taro Sugimoto, Takeniko, Y. Takeshi Taniguchi, Badamdorj Lkhagvasuren, Tsendsuren Oyunsuren, Yuma	32
	Sakamoto, & Norikazu Yamanaka. Diet of sympatric wild and domestic ungulated in southern Mongolia by	33
	DINA barcoding analysis. Journal of Mammalogy, 2018. Vol. 99(2). pp. 450-458.	34
20	<u>Inups://doi.org/10.1095/jinaninal/gyx182</u> . Teagmid Sh Dhysical geography of Mongolia Ulaenheater 171, 1060 [in Mongolian]	35
30. 31	Typyshintogtokh I Dry stappa In: Sanchir Ch. editor. Stappa vagatation of Mongolia. Illaanbaatar. Mongolia:	36
51.	Bambi San, pp. 349-352, 2014. [in Mongolian]	28
32	Ulziikhutag N Key to the pasture plant of Mongolia Ulaanbaatar, 1989 [in Mongolian]	30
33	Reading RP Amgalanbaatar S & Wingard G I Argali Sheen Conservation and Research Activities in	40
55.	Mongolia. Open Country, 2001. 3: 25-32.	41
34.	Reading, R.P., Kenny, D. & Steinhauer-Burkart, B. Ikh Nart Nature Reserve, ECO Nature Edition, Nature	42
	Guide No 4. 2011. Germany 4-13 p.	43
35.	Shmide, A., & Whittake, H.R. Pattern and Biological Microsite Effects in Two Shrub Communities, Southern	44
	California. Ecological Society of America. 1981. 62:234-251. https://doi.org/10.2307/1936684.	45
36.	Silva, D.M.D., & Batalha, M.A. Soil-vegetation relationships in cerrados under different fire frequencies.	46
	Plant. and Soil. 2008. 311: 87-96.	47
37.	Schneider, J. (eds.). Ikh Nart: Management planning of Ikh Nart Nature Reserve (2012-2017). 2014.	48
	Ulaanbaatar, 12 pp.	49
38.	Wingard, G.J., Harris, B.R., Pletscher, H.D., Bedunah, J.D., Mandakh, B., Amgalanbaatar, S., and Reading,	50
	R.P. Argali food habits and dietary overlap with domestic livestock in Ikh Nart Nature Reserve, Mongolia.	51
	Journal of Arid Environments, 2011. 75:138-145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2010.09.014</u> .	52
39.	Wenxuan, Xu., Canjun, Xia., Jie, Lin., Weikang, Yang., David, A., Blank., Jianfang Qiao., and Wei Liu. Diet	53
	of Gazella subgutturosa (Guldenstaest, 1780) and food overlap with domestic sheep in Xinjiang, China.	54

BioOne research evolved, 2012. 61:54-60. https://doi.org/10.25225/fozo.v61.i1.a9.2012.

- 41. Yates, C.J., Norton, D.A. & Hobbs, R.J. Grazing effects on plant cover, soil and microclimate in fragmented 4 woodlands in south-western Australia: Implications for restoration. *Austral. Ecology*, 2008. 25: 36-47. https:// 5 doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2000.01030.x.
- 42. Yunatov, A.A. Basic Features of the Plant Cover in the Mongolian People's Republic. Trudy Mongolskoi 7
   Komissii, Moscow, Leningrad, 1977. 39: 124-189, [in Russian].

1

2