



2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

37

38

39

Type of the Paper (Proceedings, Abstract, Extended Abstract, Editorial, etc.)

A Survey of Effective Parameters in Biomass Separation Using Vacuum Membrane Filtering: A Case Study of Pectin Acidic Solution ⁺

Shoaib Gholami1, Saeid Minaei2, Alireza Mahdavian3, Pourya Bazyar4*

- 1 Biosystems Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University (TMU), Tehran P.O. Box 14115-111, Iran
- 2 Biosystems Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University (TMU), Tehran P.O. Box 14115-111, Iran
- 3 Biosystems Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University (TMU), Tehran P.O. Box 14115-111, Iran
- 4 Department of Mechanical Engineering and Production Management, Hamburg University of Applied Science, 20999 Hamburg, Germany*
- * Correspondence: Pourya.bazyar@haw-hamburg.de
- + Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Agriculture, 1-15 November 2023; Available online: https://iocag2023.sciforum.net/

Abstract: Pectin, which is made from citrus peel and waste, is one of the most commonly used com-17 pounds in the food industry. For large scale production, a combination of membrane-vacuum fil-18 tering has been suggested as an alternative to traditional methods of purifying the acidic solution 19 for pectin extraction. This study investigates the main factors involved in membrane filtering system 20 for separation of fibrous materials from an acidic pectin solution under vacuum. These factors which 21 include: filter-aid-particle size, amount of filter-aid (perlite) added to the solution, and the vacuum 22 level, affect, separation quality, volumetric flow rate, and energy consumption. A vacuum separa-23 tion device was developed for this purpose in order to separate the fibrous material dissolved in 24 solution. The independent variables were examined at three levels, the data were analyzed, and the 25 optimum value for each variable was determined using the response surface method (RSM). Results 26 revealed that increasing the vacuum level from 0.2 to 0.4 bar increases the flow-rate 6.5 folds, while, 27 further increase in the vacuum level decreases the flow-rate. This indicates clogging of the paper 28 filter and decreased flow-rate at vacuum level of 0.6 bar and perlite particle size of 100 microns. The 29 evaluation results showed that thickness of the perlite layer has the greatest effect on the separation 30 efficiency and when increased from 1 to 2 cm, increases the efficiency 2.5 folds. The maximum value 31 of separation efficiency was obtained at a vacuum level of 0.2 bar, particle size of 20 microns and 32 perlite thickness of 2 cm. The energy consumption of 60-micron perlite was 0.74 Wh in the optimal 33 state, and the larger and smaller sizes of perlite had 4.5 times the energy consumption. These find-34 ings are applicable in the industrial scale implementation of a biomaterial separation system using 35 vacuum membrane filtering. 36

Keywords: Extraction, Yield, Energy Consumption, Orange Peel, Perlite

Published: date

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

Citation: To be added by editorial

Academic Editor: Martin Weih

staff during production.

Separation is a critical process in engineering, especially when dealing with fluids con-
taining vastly different components. This process is also crucial in the food industry and
related equipment [1]. Separation techniques include liquid-solid, solid-gas, and solid-
solid, which are carried out using several methods, including centrifugation, sedimenta-
tion, disk filtration, positive pressure, and vacuum filtration [2]. In one of the techniques40

used for solid-liquid separation, a suspension passes through a porous medium that retains solid particles. To get there, a driving force like positive pressure, negative pressure (vacuum), gravity, or centrifugal force is applied to force the fluid through the medium [3].

Vacuum filtration is a process in which, a liquid passes through a filter medium, such as 5 a paper or cloth filter, where solid particles are trapped and form a cake that is removed 6 by applying vacuum pressure [4]. In vacuum filters, when the liquid approaches the fil-7 ter medium, solid particles settle on the surface of the filter as a cake, while the liquid 8 passes through the medium due to the negative pressure created by the vacuum. As the 9 initial layer of cake is formed by perlite, the cake structure acts as a filter medium, and 10 more solid particles are deposited on it and increase its thickness as the liquid passes 11 through. Large industrial processes require continuous filters [5]. Rotary vacuum drum 12 filters, such as the one shown in Figure 1, are the most commonly used filtration devices 13 in the industry. 14



Figure 1. Rotary vacuum drum filter schematic [6].

A rotary vacuum drum filter (RVDF), first introduced in 1872, is one of the oldest 17 filters used in the separation of solid and liquid materials in the industry. The rotary vac-18 uum drum filter consists of a filter drum covered by a filter or cloth. Other components 19 include a vacuum pump, a fluid storage tank, and a filter aid [7]. The rotary vacuum drum 20 filter is used in the pharmaceutical industry to collect calcium carbonate, magnesium car-21 bonate, starch, and separate mycelium for the production of antibiotics. On the other 22 hand, it is used in the food industry to filter fluids with a significant amount of solid ma-23 terial that requires continuous filtration [8]. Common problems with vacuum filters in-24 clude premature clogging and settling of solid materials in the fluid storage tank [9]. Many 25 engineering variables are playing a vital role in vacuum filters, but they may vary depend-26 ing on the filtered fluid type. Important variables include the cake layer thickness, the 27 filter aid particles size, and the vacuum pressure required amount for filtration [8]. 28

1

2

3

4



Figure 2. How Filter Assistance Operates [10].

Pectin is an acidic hydrocolloid compound which has many applications as a natural 3 additive in various industries, especially in food, pharmaceuticals, and medicine [11]. 4 Food industries, use it for its gelling, stabilizing, texture-creating, emulsifying, thickening, 5 and fat-replacing features [12]. Additionally, in medicine and pharmaceuticals, it is used 6 7 as a dietary fiber for the digestive treatment problems. The most common use of pectin in the food industry is in the jams production and jellies as a gelling and thickening agent 8 [13], [14]. Pectin is present in all plants, but its amount and chemical properties vary de-9 pending on the plant species, variety, maturity, plant part, tissue, and growth conditions 10 [15]. Regarding its medical applications, pectin is really useful in preventing colon cancer 11 and reducing blood cholesterol levels [16]. There are various methods for Pectin extrac-12 tion. 13

The industrial method for pectin extraction is the extraction with acidic solutions at high temperatures. Traditionally, pectin is extracted by continuously stirring it in an acid solution at 80 to 100 degrees Celsius temperature for one hour. Pectin extraction depends on various factors, such as temperature, pH level, solvent properties, solid-to-solvent ratio, dried solid materials, particle size, and diffusion rate [17].

Given the mentioned applications of pectin, the increasing importance of productiv-19 ity improvement in the food industry, especially in the field of recycling crucial materials 20 such as pectin extraction, and the lack of scientific reports on the use of vacuum filters in 21 separating such fluids have been the motivation behind this research. On an industrial 22 scale, solid-liquid separation is performed using a combination of vacuum and membrane 23 filters. On the other hand, regarding the important variables in vacuum separation, which 24 have a significant impact on the quality and efficiency of separation and the fluid impuri-25 ties are highly dependent on them, no report has been observed. Therefore, in this study, 26 the important variables of vacuum filters in separating fibrous materials from acidic pec-27 tin solutions were investigated. The dependent variables include the size of the filter aid 28 particles, the thickness of the filter aid layer, and the vacuum level of the filter, all of which 29 have an effect on the dependent research variables, namely energy consumption, produc-30 tion yield, flow rate, and separation quality. 31

2. Method and materials

2.1. Design and construction method of a vacuum filter system

In order to simplify the components and apply dependent variable surfaces, a smallscale experimental vacuum filter system was constructed as described below. The trend chart of the rotary vacuum filter system is visible in Figure 3, which is explained further 36

1

2

32



below. In order to provide vacuum levels, a vacuum pump was used, which can be ad-1 justed using a gate valve at those vacuum levels. 2

Figure 3. Vacuum-operated filterm system. A: software design was made, B: a test system was constructed.1:holding chassis, 2:fluid storage tank, 3:vacuum tank, 4:storage v alve for design templates, and holding chassis.

In order to utilize different sizes of filter aids (perlite) for separation, perlite with a 3 specific size was used at three levels less than 20 microns, 40 to 60 microns, and 60 to 80 4 microns. Also, the variable thickness of the layer was considered at three levels of 1, 1.5, 5 and 2 centimeters. Templates were made according to Figure 4 for precise application of 6 these sizes and used. 7



Figure 4. shows a view of three different stencil thicknesses, 2, 1.5, and 1 cm.

In order to maintain the prepared fluid and the vacuum chamber, two tanks were 10 designed in Solidworks 2016 software and then made of Plexiglas using sheet metal. Fi-11 nally, to prevent possible leaks, aquarium glue was used. Considering that solid materials 12 in the fluid are insoluble, it is obvious that they will eventually settle. Therefore, a me-13 chanical stirrer was used. 14

In order to connect the vacuum pump and the vacuum chamber, pneumatic connec-15 tions were used. The system of the vacuum separator is shown in Figure (5), considering 16 the various components and their connections. In this system, different parts of the sup-17 porting chassis are used to hold the system and the vacuum pump to create a vacuum 18 force for filtration, as well as 2 tanks for storage and vacuum reservoir. 19



Figure 5. Vacuum-built filter system.

1: holding chassis, 2: fluid storage tank, 3: vacuum tank, 4: mechanical stirrer, 5: and vacuum pump are listed in that order

2.2. Method of preparing filtration fluid

To prepare orange peel powder, 40 kilograms of fresh Thomson oranges were ob-6 tained from the fruit and vegetable market in Tehran, and their peels were separated for 7 drying. The orange peels were dried in an electric oven at a temperature of 45 degrees 8 Celsius for 24 hours. The dried peels were ground using a mill and sieved with a mesh 9 number 40 sieve to ensure uniform particle size. Finally, the prepared powder was stored 10 in a refrigerator at a temperature of 4- degrees Celsius for extraction. The orange powder 11 was mixed with distilled water in a ratio of 1 to 25 and the pH of the solution was adjusted 12 to 7.1 using hydrochloric acid. The solution was mixed using a mixer for 50 minutes at a 13 temperature between 80 to 82 degrees Celsius (Figure 6). After the solution was prepared, 14 it was passed through a cloth filter and cooled at room temperature. The resulting liquid 15 is the substance tested in this study. In the standard laboratory process, centrifugation is 16 performed to separate the pulp from the liquid, followed by the extraction of pectin from 17 the liquid using ethanol in a ratio of 1 to 3. In this study, the separation stage is performed 18 by centrifugation and filtration under vacuum. 19



Figure 6. A view of the sample solution preparation process using a stirrer.

2.3. Method of filtration using a vacuum filtration system

The filtration process using a vacuum filtration system In the first step, a specific 23 volume of distilled water and perlite was poured into the tank to prepare the filter aid 24

1

2

3

4

5

20 21

layer. When the filter aid reached the desired thickness, the pump was turned off and the 1 thickness of the perlite layer was adjusted. A three-dimensional structure made using 2 three-dimensional coating technology was used to adjust the layer thickness. In the next 3 step, a certain amount of prepared fluid was poured into the tank with a specific thickness 4 of perlite, and by determining the desired vacuum level, the vacuum pump started to 5 work and the mentioned solution passed through the filter aid and paper filter, and the 6 separation time was recorded. In the final step, the filtered solution was diluted with eth-7 anol (96%) at a ratio of 1:3 and kept at 4°C for 12 hours. Then, the pectin in the mixture of 8 water and alcohol, which was floating in the form of clouds, was separated using a cen-9 trifuge at a speed of 10,000 revolutions per minute for 20 minutes. Finally, the obtained 10 pectin was dried in an electric oven for 6 hours. In this study, the effect of vacuum levels 11 (6.0 bar, 4.0, 2.0), perlite layer thickness (2 cm, 1.5, 1), and perlite particle size (100 microns, 12 60, 20) on energy consumption, flow rate, separation efficiency, and pectin extraction ef-13 ficiency were evaluated. 14

2.4. Method of measuring energy consumption

The method for measuring energy consumption: In order to measure the energy consumption of each experiment, a power analyzer was used to record the power consumption at the selected vacuum levels of 6.0, 4.0, and 2.0. Based on the recorded time for each experiment, the energy consumption was calculated.

2.5. Method of measuring production flow rate

Considering that the volume of the desired solution was measurable and known, and 21 on the other hand, the separation process time was recorded, the average volumetric flow 22 rate passing through equation (1) was easily calculated. 23

$$Q = \frac{v}{t} \tag{1}$$

In this regard:24Q = Volumetric flow rate (mL/s)25v = Volume of fluid (mL)26t = Time (s)27

2-6-. Method of measuring separation efficiency

Separation efficiency measurement method A specific volume of the sample solution was taken and poured into an aluminum foil and shaped. Then it was dried for 24 hours at a temperature of 105 degrees Celsius in an electric oven. Similarly, this process was repeated for the filtered solution and the dry matter was measured using a balance in the end. By using equation (2), the separation efficiency was calculated.

 $Ra_f = \frac{m_t - m_i}{m_t} * 100 \tag{2}$

In this regard: Ra_f = Separation efficiency (%) m_t = Amount of solid material in the control solution (g) m_i = Amount of solid material in the filtered solution (g)

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical Analysis: The number of experiments performed was 17, with 5 repetitions35for the center point. Table 1 shows the treatments applied in the experiment for analysis36using response surface methodology and their corresponding responses.37

20

15

28

(2)

29 30 31

32

33

number	Pressure (bar)	Perlite particle size (micron)	Perlite layer thickness size (cm)
1	0.4	60	1.5
2	0.4	60	1.5
3	0.4	60	1.5
4	0.4	60	1.5
5	0.2	60	2
6	0.2	20	1.5
7	0.2	60	1
8	0.4	100	2
9	0.6	60	2
10	0.4	20	2
11	0.4	20	1
12	0.6	60	1
13	0.6	20	1.5
14	0.4	100	1
15	0.6	100	1.5
16	0.2	100	1.5
17	0.4	60	1.5

Table 1. treatment strategies used in the experiment for the response surface analysis.

In this study, to analyze the effect of independent variables on dependent variables, Expert Design software and the Box-Behnken method were used in the general method of response surface analysis.

3. Results and analysis

3.1. Results of variance analysis of energy consumption data by vacuum filtration system

The results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for energy consumption data by 8 vacuum filtration system are presented in Table 2. The findings of this study indicate that 9 the model is significant and the lack of fit is not significant. Therefore, the chosen model 10and analyses are reliable and valid. The effects of vacuum level and particle size second 11 power at the 1% significance level and the effects of layer thickness of perlite and vacuum 12 level second power and layer thickness of perlite at the 5% probability level are significant. 13 The significance of the mentioned effects indicates the importance and influence of the 14 selected independent variables in this experiment. The effects of vacuum level (A), parti-15 cle size of perlite (B), and layer thickness of perlite (C) are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. variance analysis of the tested variables for energy consumption.

	-					
source	df	Sum of squares	s Mean squares	F-value	p-value	
model	9	3.01	0.3343	26.93ª	0.0001	
A-pressure	1	0.8902	0.8902	71.72 ^b	0.0001<	
B- perlite particle size	1	0.0701	0.0701	^a 5.65	0.0491	
C- Perlite layer thickness size	1	0.0714	0.0714	1.46 ^a	0.0476	
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	0.0181	0.0181	^{ns} 1.08	0.2668	
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	0.0004	0.0004	^{ns} 0.0327	0.8615	
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{B}$	1	0.0169	0.0169	^{ns} 1.46	0.2820	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	0.4919	0.4919	^a 39.63	0.0004	
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$	1	1.33	1.33	106.96 ^b	0.0001<	
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$	1	0.0789	0.0789	^a 6.35	0.0398	
residual	7	0.0869	0.0124			
Lack of fit	3	0.0706	0.0235	^{ns} 5.77	0.0617	
Pure error	4	0.0163	0.0041			
Cor total	16	3.1				

^asignificant at the 5% probability level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

^bsignificant at the 1%probabilty level.

ns not significant.

Using the response surface methodology, a complete second-order polynomial 3 model with a determination coefficient of 0.97 was selected to estimate the energy consumption by varying the levels of independent variables. The proposed actual model is a second-degree polynomial function represented by equation (3).

$$Log_{10}^{E} = 1.92 - 8.15 * A - 0.03 * B + 1.61 * C - 0.008 * A * B + 0.1 * A * C - 0.003 * B * C + 8.54 * A^{2} + 0.0003 * B^{2} - 0.55 * C^{2}$$
(3)

The variable E represents energy consumption in this equation, and based on the results of the regression model, the proposed model for predicting energy consumption with the selected independent variables is significant. The positive sign of each term indicates a synergistic effect, and the negative sign indicates a negative effect of the variable(s) on the response.

3.2. The interaction effect of independent variables on energy consumption

In Figure 7, the surface response charts of the dependent variable (energy consump-13 tion) are shown as contour lines for changes in the independent variables. Based on Figure 14 7a, it can be observed that the minimum energy consumption is evaluated at the vacuum 15 level (bar 0.4). At the minimum vacuum level, the trend of changes in energy consumption 16 is somewhat proportional to the increase in the size of perlite particles, and the minimum 17 energy consumption value is obtained for particle size of 60 microns. At the maximum 18 and minimum levels of perlite particle size, with an increase in vacuum level, energy con-19 sumption decreases significantly and then the trend of changes becomes less pronounced. 20 It is evident that with an increase in vacuum level, the fluid passage time (assuming a 21 constant volume) decreases, which is why energy consumption follows a decreasing 22 trend. On the other hand, at the intermediate level of perlite particle size and minimum 23 vacuum level, the filtration process has been performed well since the particle size is ap-24 propriate, and the filter has not clogged. Therefore, the energy consumption level in this 25 case is less than other cases. Also, gradually with an increase in the thickness of the perlite 26 layer at the minimum vacuum level, energy consumption has increased slightly and then 27 decreased (Figure 7b). 28

The reduction in energy consumption in this state indicates that in thinner layers of 29 perlite, considering that the auxiliary filter absorbs less solid fluid material, the filter is 30 clogged faster and the filtration time increases, which will increase energy consumption. 31 This process has helped filtration by increasing the thickness of the auxiliary filter with 32 more solid material absorption, and the filtration process has been reduced, resulting in a 33 downward trend in energy consumption. On the other hand, increasing the vacuum level 34 reduces the filtration time, ultimately leading to a reduction in energy consumption. In 35 other states, the trend of changes is insignificant. As shown in Figure 7-j, generally, the 36 trend of changes in energy consumption is not significant. However, it should be noted 37 that the trend of changes in energy consumption is partly proportional to changes in the 38 size of perlite particles. When using perlite with a size of 20 microns, solid particles are 39 difficult to pass through the auxiliary filter and increase the filtration process time. This is 40when using perlite with a size of 100 microns due to the easier passage of solid fluid par-41 ticles through the auxiliary filter, which causes the filter to clog faster, and again increases 42 the filtration process time and energy consumption. Manu Huttunen et al. [18], in a study 43 to investigate energy consumption in a vacuum filter system by examining vacuum levels 44 of 6.0, 4.0, and 2.0 bar, showed that changes in vacuum levels do not have a significant 45 effect on energy consumption, and optimal energy consumption occurs at vacuum levels 46 of 2.0 to 3.0 bar. 47

1

2



Figure 7. the effect of different variables on the energy consumption A. reaction of pressure and perlite particle size B. reaction of pressure and perlite layer thickness size C. reaction of perlite particle size and perlite layer thickness size.

3.3. ANOVA results for fluid flow rate

The fluid permeability is equal to a specific volume of fluid passing through the filter 2 section per unit of time. The results of ANOVA for the effect of different variables on the 3 production rate are presented in Table 3. The ANOVA results showed that the model is 4 significant and the lack of fit is not significant. Therefore, the chosen model and analyses 5 are reliable and valid. The effects of the vacuum level and vacuum level squared, perlite 6 particle size, and perlite layer thickness are significant at a 5% probability level. The sig-7 nificance of these effects indicates the importance and impact of the selected independent 8 variables in this experiment. The effects of vacuum level (A), perlite particle size (B), and 9 perlite layer thickness (C) are shown in Table 3. 10

Table 3. variance analysis of the tested variables for flow rate.

source	df	Sum of squares	Mean squares	F-value	P-value
model	9	3.07	0.3414	17.96 ^a	0.0005
A-pressure	1	0.9039	0.9039	47.55 ^a	0.0002

1

B-perlite particle size	1	0.0196	0.0196	^{ns} 1.03	0.3442
C- Perlite layer thickness size	1	0.0173	0.0173	^{ns} 0.9102	0.3718
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	0.021	0.021	^{ns} 1.1	0.3285
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	0.0001	0.0001	^{ns} 0.0044	0.9488
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{B}$	1	0.0065	0.0065	^{ns} 0.3415	0.5773
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	0.7255	0.7255	^a 37.17	0.0005
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$	1	1.22	1.22	^b 64.03	0.0001<
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$	1	0.1203	0.1203	^a 6.33	0.0401
residual	7	0.1331	0.019		
Lack of fit	3	0.1103	0.0368	6.45 ^{ns}	0.0518
Pure error	4	0.0228	0.0057		
Cor total	16	3.21			

^asignificant at the 5% probability level.

^bsignificant at the 1%probabilty level.

ns not significant

The full second-degree polynomial model with an R-squared value of 85/95 was selected using the response surface methodology to estimate the value of the flow rate by changing the values of the independent variables. The proposed actual model is a seconddegree polynomial function represented by equation (4).

$Log_{10}^{q} = -1.28 + 9.51 * A + 0.03 * B - 2.04 * C + 0.009 * A * B - 0.46 * A * C + 0.002 * B * C$ $- 10.38 * A^{2} + 0.0003 * B^{2} + 0.68 * C^{2}$ (4)

Based on the results of the regression model, the proposed model for predicting the8trend of fluid flow with the selected independent variables is significant. The positive sign9of each term indicates a positive effect on the response, and the negative sign indicates a10negative effect of the variable(s) on the response.11

3.4. The mutual effect of independent changes on discharge

In figure 8, the response surface diagram of the dependent variable (flow rate) against 13 changes in the vacuum level and size of perlite particles can be seen in both three-dimen-14 sional and contour line forms. According to Figure 8a, which shows the response surface 15 diagram of the dependent variable (flow rate) against changes in the vacuum level and 16 size of perlite particles in the form of contour lines, with an increase in the size of perlite 17 particles, the changes in the flow rate are proportionate, and this trend is more intense 18 with an increase in the vacuum level. Similarly, with a fixed size of perlite particles, the 19 trend of changes in the dependent variable is proportionate to an increase in the vacuum 20 level. The maximum value of volumetric flow rate (fluid passing through the filter section) 21 was obtained at a vacuum level of 5.0 bar and a particle size of 60 microns. In cases of 22 maximum and minimum particle size, due to the rapid clogging of the filter section or the 23 inability of the fluid to pass through the auxiliary filter due to the small size of the parti-24 cles, the dependent variable is lower in the lower level. Considering the reasons men-25 tioned, the intermediate size of perlite particles is suitable, and on the other hand, the 26 trend of changes in the vacuum level on the dependent variable shows that at maximum 27 vacuum level, with a faster flow of fluid, the possibility of clogging has increased both in 28 the auxiliary filter and in the filter section. Therefore, a decrease in the flow rate passing 29 through the filter section has been observed at a vacuum level of 6.0 bar. Based on Figure 30 8b, at a vacuum level of 2/0 bar and with an increase in the thickness of the perlite layer, 31 the trend of changes in the dependent variable is slight, but with an increase in the vac-32 uum level, a partially increasing trend was observed. On the other hand, considering a 33 specific thickness of the support filter, with an increase in the vacuum level, the 34

1

3

increasing-decreasing trend of the dependent variable is observed. Since the maximum 1 amount of fluid flow passing through the filter cross-section is desired, this condition was 2 achieved at the maximum thickness of the support filter (cm2) and the intermediate vac-3 uum level (4/0 bar). As expected, with an increase in the thickness of the support filter, the 4 possibility of solid particles adhering to the support filter has decreased, so the maximum 5 particle size of the perlite layer is desired. As shown in Figure 8j, with an increase in the 6 independent variables, the dependent variable (flow rate) varies partially. As mentioned 7 in previous sections, with smaller perlite particle sizes, the density is higher and the fluid 8 passes through the perlite with difficulty. On the other hand, in the maximum particle 9 size of perlite, with the increase in the defects inside the support filter, the possibility of 10 adhering to the filter cross-sectional area has increased, and the filtration process has not 11 been well performed, and the dependent variable has decreased. In maximum and mini-12 mum particle sizes of perlite, the trend of changes in the dependent variable is negligible 13 with an increase in the thickness of the perlite layer. On the other hand, in intermediate 14 particle sizes of perlite, with a specific size considered, the dependent variable follows a 15 partially increasing trend with an increase in the thickness of the perlite layer. Considering 16 that the maximum amount of fluid flow passing through the filter cross-section is desired, 17 the maximum thickness of the perlite layer and the intermediate particle size of perlite are 18 the optimal points for maximizing the dependent variable. Other researchers report that 19 with an increase in pressure, the filtration rate increases, but the relationship between 20 pressure and the amount of fluid passing through the line is of a linear type. 21



2

Figure 8. the effect of different variables on the flow rate A. reaction of pressure and perlite particle size B. reaction of pressure and perlite layer thickness size C. reaction of perlite particle size and perlite layer thickness size.

3.5. Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for separation efficiency

The separation efficiency is defined as the ratio of the difference between the amount 3 of solid material in the witness state and the amount of solid material separated by the 4 vacuum filter system to the amount of solid material separated in the witness state. The 5 results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the effect of different variables on sepa-6 ration efficiency are presented in Table (4). The findings of this study indicated that the 7 model is significant and the lack of fit is not significant. Therefore, the selection of models 8 and analyses is reliable and valid. The effects of particle size, layer thickness, and the 9 square of particle size of perlite are significant at a 1% level of significance. The effects of 10 vacuum level, the interaction of vacuum level and particle size of perlite, vacuum level 11 and layer thickness of perlite, as well as the square of vacuum level are significant at a 5% 12 level of significance. The significance of the mentioned effects indicates the importance 13 and impact of the selected independent variables in this experiment. The effects of vac-14 uum level, particle size of perlite (labeled as A), and layer thickness of perlite (labeled as 15 C) are shown in Table (5). 16

Source	df	Sum of squares	Mean squares	F-value	P-value
Model	9	3332.33	370.26	132.24 ^b	0.0001<
A-pressure	1	168.54	168.54	60.19 ^a	0.0001
B-perlite particle size	1	940.48	940.48	335.89 ^b	0.0001<
C- Perlite layer thickness size	1	1609.71	1609.71	^b 574.9	0.0001<
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	41.54	41.54	^a 14.84	0.0063
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	82.54	82.54	^a 29.48	0.0010
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{B}$	1	0.8742	0.8742	^{ns} 0.3122	0.5937
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$	1	16.08	16.08	^a 6.00	0.0442
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$	1	460.44	460.44	^b 164.44	0.0001<
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$	1	5.36	5.36	^{ns} 1.91	0.2092
Residual	7	19.6	2.8		
Lack of fit	3	8.17	2.72	0.9531 ^{ns}	49.54
Pure error	4	11.43	2.86		
Cor total	16	3351.93			

Table 4. variance analysis of the tested variables for extraction Yield.

^asignificant at the 5% probability level.

^bsignificant at the 1%probabilty level.

^{ns} not significant

Using the response surface method, a full second-degree polynomial model with a determination coefficient of 42/99 was selected to estimate the value of separation efficiency by varying the levels of independent variables in the coded form. The proposed actual model to examine the effects of selected variables is a second-degree polynomial function with the equation (5). (42/99 = R2) 25

17

18

$$Ra_{s} = 23.14 - 18.93 * A - 1.25 * B + 58.67 * C + 0.4 * A * B - 45.42 * A * C + 0.02$$

* B * C + 49.93 * A² + +0.006 * B² - 4.5 * C² (5)

The positive sign of each term indicates a positive effect and the negative sign indicates a negative effect of the variable(s) on the response. It can be seen that the maximum impact is due to the thickness of the perlite layer and the minimum effect is due to the square of the vacuum level. Based on the results of the regression model, the proposed model is meaningful in predicting the trend of flow rate with the selected independent variables.

3.6. The interaction effect of independent variables on separation efficiency

In Figure 9, the response surface graphs of the dependent variable (extraction yield) 8 against changes in the level of vacuum and the size of perlite particles are shown as con-9 tour lines. In Figure 9A, the response surface graph of the dependent variable (separation 10 yield) against changes in the level of vacuum and the size of perlite particles is shown. 11 Based on these figures, it can be observed that the trend of the dependent variable, sepa-12 ration yield, is not significant at maximum perlite particle size with an increase in vacuum 13 level, but gradually increases with a decrease in perlite particle size for a specific vacuum 14 level. It is notable that with smaller perlite particle sizes, more solid materials are absorbed 15 by the filter aid, thus increasing the separation yield. On the other hand, with an increase 16 in the vacuum level, more solid materials pass through the filter aid, resulting in a lower 17 separation yield, and vice versa. At minimum vacuum level, the maximum separation 18 yield is achieved. Therefore, the maximum separation yield is achieved at a vacuum level 19 of 2.0 bar and a perlite particle size of 20 microns. According to Figure 9b, the separation 20 efficiency gradually increases with an increase in the thickness of the perlite layer for a 21 specific vacuum level, and this trend has a steeper slope at lower vacuum levels. With an 22 increase in the suction force at higher vacuum levels, more solid materials pass through 23 the aid filter, so the trend of variable-dependent separation efficiency decreases. On the 24 other hand, it should be noted that the change in the thickness of the perlite layer is not 25 significant in the minimum state. As Figure 9j shows, with an increase in the thickness of 26 the aid filter layer for a specific size of perlite particles, the trend of variable-dependent 27 separation efficiency is increasing. On the other hand, the trend of separation efficiency 28 changes with a specified thickness of the aid filter layer is also bilinear. Although the max-29 imum separation efficiency is desirable, it is achieved at the minimum size of perlite par-30 ticles (20 microns) and the thickness of the perlite layer (2 cm). On the other hand, the 31 parallel lines demonstrate the bilinear relationship between the size of perlite particles 32 and the variable-dependent separation efficiency. Also, Davarzani et al. [21] showed in a 33 study comparing the effect of filter size on separation performance that smaller filter par-34 ticle size leads to better separation in the purification process. Additionally, Filadieu et al. 35 [22] demonstrated that reducing the size of the pores in the membrane filtration process 36 leads to the formation of a layer of carbohydrates and proteins on the filter pores, indicat-37 ing greater separation efficiency for smaller particle sizes. 38



Figure 9. the effect of different variables on the extraction yield A. reaction of pressure and perlite particle size B. reaction of pressure and perlite layer thickness size C. reaction of perlite particle size and perlite layer thickness size.

3.7. Determining the minimum points in the evaluation range of the filtration process using the vacuum filter system

To optimize the filtration process using the vacuum filtration system, the energy con-3 sumption should be minimized and the dependent variables should reach their maximum 4 value. For this purpose, according to table 5, the boundary conditions of the independent 5 variables and the objective were determined. One of the important parts of optimization 6 is weighting the objective function variables. Considering the equal importance of inde-7 pendent variables, a weight of 1 was assigned to them. The optimal conditions occur when 8 the maximum separation efficiency and flow rate are achieved with the minimum energy 9 consumption. 10

Table 5. independent and objective programs boundary conditions to optimize the filtration process.

Name	Goal	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Lower Weight	Upper Weight
pressure	is in range	0.2	0.6	1	1
Perlite particle size	is in range	20	100	1	1
Perlite layer thickness size	is in range	1	2	1	1
Energy Consumption	minimize	0.54	13.6	1	1

11 12

1

Flow rate	maximize	0.17	5.62	1	1
Extraction yield	maximize	18.46	68	1	1

The optimal point for the filtration and separation process of pectin is shown in table 6. The optimal conditions were obtained using the RSM method by computer at a vacuum level of 4/0 bar, perlite size of 60 micron, and a perlite layer thickness of 2 cm.

 Table 6. optimum level of independent variables for Vacuum Membrane Filtering system.

number	pressure (bar)	Perlite particle size (micron)	Perlite layer thickness size (cm)	Energy consumptio n (Wh)	Flow rate (ml/s)	Extraction yield(%)
1	0.379	<u>56.174</u>	<u>2</u>	0.498	<u>5.865</u>	<u>50.216</u>
2	0.380	55.798	1.999	0.499	5.864	50.257
3	0.380	55.857	1.999	0.499	5.865	50.240
4	0.383	55.188	1.995	0.500	5.864	50.220
5	0.392	53.352	1.983	0.503	5.864	50.052

At the end, the proposed optimal point by the computer was evaluated experimen-6 tally in three repetitions, and the average of the dependent variables obtained was close 7 to the empirical equation despite the sources of error. The recorded values, by applying 8 the optimal conditions, were: energy consumption of 54.0 Wh, a flow rate of 3.5 milliliters 9 per second, and a separation efficiency of 2.54%. The values obtained by the empirical 10 equation show an acceptable error rate (8%) compared to the predicted values by the 11 model (row 1 of table 6), indicating the correct choice of the model and its suitable solution 12 for the data. 13

4. Conclusion

"Considering the importance of pectin in various industries, a vacuum filtration system was developed for pectin separation from liquid and was studied in this research.
16 The independent variables selected based on previous research were vacuum level, perlite particle size, and perlite layer thickness. The effects of these variables on energy consumption, separation efficiency, and flow rate were investigated as dependent variables. The results of the study can be summarized as follows:"

1- A vacuum filtration membrane system can be used as an effective separation method in pectin production process.

2- The dependent variable, separation yield, indicates the purity of the separated 23 fluid and the evaluation showed that the vacuum level, perlite particle size, and thickness 24 of the perlite layer have an effect on its changes. Increasing the vacuum level leads to more 25 impurities being sucked into the fluid and decreases the separation yield from 41% to 30%. 26 Increasing the particle size from 20 microns to 60 microns decreases the yield from 55% to 27 33%, but increasing the particle size from 60 microns to 100 microns has no significant 28 effect on the separation yield. The thickness of the perlite layer has the most significant 29 effect on the separation yield, and by increasing it from 1 to 2 centimeters, the yield in-30 creased by 2.5 times. The maximum separation yield was achieved at a vacuum level of 31 0.2 bar, a particle size of 20 microns, and a thickness of 2 centimeters. 32

3- The level of vacuum and the size of the perlite particles affect the effective fluid
33
flow changes. With an increase in vacuum level from 2/0 bar to 4/0 bar, the flow rate has
increased by 5/6 times, but with further increase in vacuum, the flow rate decreases. This
trend has also been observed for the size of perlite particles, indicating filter clogging and
reduced flow rate at a vacuum level of 6/0 bar and perlite size of 100 microns.

4- Evaluation of energy consumption of the filtration system showed that the effec38 tive variables on energy consumption are the vacuum level and the size of perlite particles.
39 With an increase in vacuum level from 2/0 bar to 6/0 bar, the energy consumption has
40 decreased by 5 times. The energy consumption for perlite size of 60 microns was
41

1 2 3

5

4

14

21

	optimized to be 74/0 Wh, and coarser or finer perlite sizes had 5/4 times higher energy consumption. 5- The optimal conditions were obtained by the RSM method using a computer at a vacuum level of 4/0 bar, perlite size of 60 microns, and perlite layer thickness of 2 cm.	1 2 3 4
Ref	erences	5
1.	G. R. Pesch and F. Du, "A review of dielectrophoretic separation and classification of non-biological particles," Electrophoresis, vol. 42, no. 1–2, pp. 134–152, 2021.Author 1, A.; Author 2, B. Title of the chapter. In <i>Book Title</i> , 2nd ed.; Editor 1, A., Editor 2, B., Eds.; Publisher: Publisher Location, Country, 2007; Volume 3, pp. 154–196.	6 7 8
2.	S. R. Rao, Surface chemistry of froth flotation: Volume 1: Fundamentals. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.	9
3.	N. Uduman, Y. Qi, M.K. Danquah, G.M. Forde, and A. Hoadley, "Dewatering of microalgal cultures: A major bottleneck to algae-based fuels," J. Renew. Sustain. energy, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 12701, 2010.	10 11
4.	N. P. Cheremisinoff, "Industrial liquid filtration equipment," in Fibrous filter media, Elsevier, 2017, pp. 27–50.	12
5.	R. Wakeman and S. Tarleton, Solid/liquid separation: Principles of industrial filtration. Elsevier, 2005.	13
6.	P. Shao, K. Darcovich, T. McCracken, G. Ordorica-Garcia, M. Reith, and S. O'Leary, "Algae-dewatering using rotary drum vacuum filters: Process modeling, simulation and techno-economics," Chem. Eng. J., vol. 268, pp. 67–75, 2015, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.01.029.	14 15 16
7.	D. Purchas and K. Sutherland, Handbook of filter media. Elsevier, 2002.	17
8.	L. Svarovsky, Solid-liquid separation. Elsevier, 2000.	18
9. 10.	V. Jadwani, "Development of a Method to Prepare a Test Fluid with Soft Particles in Biodiesel Fuel Blends." 2020. P. M. Doran, "Unit operations," <i>Bioprocess. Eng. Princ.</i> , pp. 445–595, 2013.	19 20
11.	J. Milani and G. Maleki, "Hydrocolloids in food industry," <i>Food Ind. Process. Equip.</i> , vol. 2, pp. 2–37, 2012.	21
12.	E. D. Ngouémazong, S. Christiaens, A. Shpigelman, A. Van Loey, and M. Hendrickx, "The emulsifying and emulsion-stabilizing properties of pectin: A. review," <i>Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.</i> , vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 705–718, 2015.	22 23
13.	A. N. Grassino, J. Halambek, S. Djaković, S.R. Brnčić, M. Dent, and Z. Grabarić, "Utilization of tomato peel waste from canning factory as a potential source for pectin production and application as tin corrosion inhibitor," <i>Food Hydrocoll.</i> , vol. 52, pp. 265–274, 2016.	24 25 26
14.	J. P. Maran, V. Sivakumar, K. Thirugnanasambandham, and R. Sridhar, "Microwave assisted extraction of pectin from waste Citrullus lanatus fruit rinds," <i>Carbohydr. Polym.</i> , vol. 101, pp. 786–791, 2014.	27 28
15.	D. R. Bagal-Kestwal, M.H. Pan, and B. Chiang, "Properties and applications of gelatin, pectin, and carrageenan gels," <i>Bio monomers green Polym. Compos. Mater.</i> , pp. 117–140, 2019.	29 30
16.	L. Leclere, P. Van Cutsem, and C. Michiels, "Anti-cancer activities of pH-or heat-modified pectin," <i>Front. Pharmacol.</i> , vol. 4, p. 128, 2013.	31 32
17.	R. Minjares-Fuentes, A. Femenia, M.C. Garau, J.A. Meza-Velázquez, S. Simal, and C. Rosselló, "Ultrasound-assisted extraction of pectins from grape pomace using citric acid: A response surface methodology approach," <i>Carbohydr. Polym.</i> , vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 179–189, 2014, doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.02.013.	33 34 35
18.	M. Huttunen <i>et al.</i> , "Specific energy consumption of vacuum filtration: Experimental evaluation using a pilot-scale horizontal belt filter," <i>Dry. Technol.</i> , 2019.	36 37
19.	J. Hammond, M. Brennan, and A. Price, "The control of microbial spoilage of beer," J. Inst. Brew., vol. 105, no. 2, pp. 113–120, 1999.	38 39
20.	T. Sivakumar, G. Vijayaraghavan, and A. V. Kumar, "Enhancing the performance of rotary vacuum drum filter," <i>Int. J. Adv. Eng. Technol.</i> , vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 41–47, 2011.	40 41
21.	F. L. Duarte, L. Coimbra, and M. Baleiras-Couto, "Filter media comparison for the removal of Brettanomyces bruxellensis from wine," <i>Am. J. Enol. Vitic.</i> , vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 504–508, 2017.	42 43
22.	L. Fillaudeau and H. Carrère, "Yeast cells, beer composition and mean pore diameter impacts on fouling and retention during	44
	cross-flow filtration of beer with ceramic membranes," J. Memb. Sci., vol. 196, no. 1, pp. 39–57, 2002, doi:10.1016/S0376-7388(01)00568-3.	45 46
	claimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual au-	47
	(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to ple or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.	48 49
ru	rest of property resulting none my news, new out in the content.	-17