

# Highest priority critically important antimicrobial resistant Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. isolated from pork and chicken meat from Argentina

Hernán D. Nievas<sup>1\*</sup>, Camila Aurnague<sup>1</sup>, Raúl E. Iza<sup>1</sup>, María Elisa Helman<sup>2</sup>, Matías Martínez Zugazúa<sup>1</sup>, Victorio F. Nievas<sup>1</sup>, Martín Carriquiriborde<sup>3</sup>, Lucía Galli<sup>4</sup> and Fabiana A. Moredo<sup>1</sup>

1.-Laboratorio de Bacteriología y Antimicrobianos. 2.-Laboratorio de Inmunoparasitología. 3.-Laboratorio de Animales de Experimentación. 4.-Instituto de Genética Veterinaria "Ing. Fernando N. Dulout" (UNLP-CONICET La Plata). Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias. Universidad Nacional de La Plata. Buenos Aires. Argentina. \*Correspondence: hnievas@fcv.unlp.edu.ar



# Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) developed the Medically Important Antimicrobial List based on the importance of the antimicrobial class in human medicine and the contribution of non-human use to the risk of transmitting AMR to humans. WHO is systematically updated. Cephalosporins (3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> generation), quinolones, polymyxins, and phosphonic acid derivatives are authorized for human and animal use and are categorized in the class as Highest Priority Critical Important (HPCIA) [1].

Resistant bacteria can be transmitted through the food chain with the consumption of raw foods or possibly through the consumption of inadequately cooked food, by cross-contamination with other food, or indirectly through the environment [2]. Pork and chicken can serve as reservoirs of antimicrobial resistance, which can be monitored using Escherichia coli as an indicator bacteria and Salmonella as a zoonotic pathogen.

This study aimed to determine the presence of the "Highest Priority Critically Important Antimicrobial" resistant E. coli and Salmonella spp. from pork and chicken meat at retail markets in La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina.



# Materials and methods

### Retail markets sampling

Between June and September 2023, were purchased meat samples from 16 retail markets randomly selected in La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Were collected a total of 80 meat samples, 48 and 32 from pork and chicken meat, respectively.

# Sample processing

Briefly, 25 g of each meat sample was mixed with 225 ml of buffered peptone water followed by incubation overnight at 37 °C. Enriched cultures (30 µl) were inoculated on Mac Conkey agar plates supplemented with 2 mg/L of cefotaxime or 0.5 mg/L ciprofloxacin (HCl salt) followed by incubation at 37 °C for 18 h. Presumptive E. coli colonies were selected for biochemical identification and those confirmed to be E. coli were subcultured and preserved at -20 °C. One colony was picked per plate, though, rarely, if colonies had clearly different morphologies, up to two colonies were picked, one representing each colony type.

Isolation of Salmonella spp. was performed according to ISO 6579-1:2017.

Molecular characterization of beta-lactamases resistance genes PCR was performed to detect common ESBL and plasmidic AmpC B-lactamase genes, and specific PCR was also used to discriminate between blaCTX-M-2, blaCTX-M-1/15, blaCTX-M-8/25, and blaCTX-M-9/14 groups.

# Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility was evaluated by the disk diffusion method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines, except for colistin for which resistance was evaluated as growth or not on Müeller–Hinton screening agar plates containing 3 mg/mL colistin. Isolates were considered multidrug resistant (MDR) when were resistant to  $\geq 1$  agent in >3 antimicrobial categories.



# Results

All retail markets were positive for at least one resistant *E. coli*. Of the total samples processed, at least one resistant *E. coli* isolate was obtained in 63.75% (51/80). From 43.7% (21/48) of the pork samples and 93.75% (30/32) of chicken meat, 84 resistant *E. coli*, 34 and 50 were obtained, respectively. Two *Salmonella* spp. were isolated from chicken meat.

#### Distribution of HPCIA-resistant E. coli

	Sample pos	sitive to res	sistant-E. coli	Resi	istant-E. c	oli isolates		Resistant-E.	coli to I	HPCIA (nº p	ork-n° cl	hicken me	eat)
Retail			Chicken			Chicken					CIP.	CTX,	CTX, CIP,
market	Total	Pork	meat	Total	Pork	meat	CTX	CIP	FOS	CTX, CIP	FOS	FOS	FOS
1	5	3/3	2/2	7	3	4	1 (0-1)	4 (2-2)	1(1-0)	1 (0-1)			
2	3	1/3	2/2	4	1	3	1 (0-1)	1 (0-1)		1 (0-1)	1(1-0)		
3	3	1/3	2/2	3	1	2		2 (0-2)				1 (1-0)	
4	4	2/3	2/2	4	2	2		2 (2-0)		2 (0-2)			
5	3	1/3	2/2	4	1	3		3 (1-2)		1 (0-1)			
6	5	3/3	2/2	10	6	4	4(3-1)	3 (3-0)		1 (0-1)		2 (0-2)	
7	3	1/3	2/2	8	2	6		2 (1-1)		1 (1-0)	2 (0-2)	2 (0-2)	1 (0-1)
8	4	2/3	2/2	5	2	3	1 (0-1)	2 (1-1)			1 (1-0)		1 (0-1)
9	5	3/3	2/2	9	6	3	3(1-2)	4 (3-1)		1 (1-0)			1 (1-0)
10	3	1/3	2/2	5	1	4		0.000		4 (1-3)			1 (0-1)
11	3	2/3	1/2	5	3	2		2 (2-0)				1 (1-0)	2 (0-2)
12	4	2/3	2/2	6	3	3	2(1-1)	2 (1-1)		1 (1-0)		0.20 0.20	1 (0-1)
13	1	0/3	1/2	1	0	1	1 (0-1)	36 59		10 S			-172 - 534 
14	2	0/3	2/2	5	0	5		2 (0-2)					3 (0-3)
15	3	1/3	2/2	4	1	3				1 (1-0)			3 (0-3)
16	3	1/3	2/2	4	2	2	1(1-0)			121 121	2(1-1)		1 (0-1)
	54/80	24/48	30/32		34		<u>10</u> . (6)						
	(67.5%)	(50%)	(93.75%)	84	(40.5%)	50 (59.5%)	14 (6-8)	29 (16-13)	1	14 (5-9)	6 (3-3)	6 (2-4)	14 (1-13)

The chances of finding HPCIA resistant-*E. coli* are 15 times more in chicken meat than in pork. The proportion of *E. coli* isolates resistant to the combinations of HPCIA CTX-FOS-CIP was significantly higher for chicken meat compared to pork.

roportion of sa oork/chicken)	mples that presented isolates	according to the type of meat	
Prec	dicted Values		Results obtained for the proportion of samples that presented
100% ຜູ		+	isolates, according to the type of meat (pork/chicken)
isolat			

#### Percentage of *E. coli* isolates resistant to important antimicrobials



#### Distribution of beta lactamase resistance genes found in pork and chicken meat

Beta-lactamases genes	Pork	Chicken meat
blaтем	16	8
bla⊂MY-2	1	1
blactx-M-1/15	1	3
blactx-M-2	1	9
blactx-M-8/25	1	0
blactx-M-9/14	3	0
blaтем, blaстх-м-2	0	4
blaтем, blaсму-2	1	2
blaстх-м-2, blaсмү-2	0	1
blatem, blactx-M-1/15	4	10
blatem, blactx-M-9/14	1	0
blaтем, blaстх-м-8/25	0	2
blactx-M-2, blactx-M-8/25	0	1
blaтем, blacтх-м-1/15, blacтх-м-2	0	1
blaтем, blaстх-м-9/14, blaстх-м-8/25	0	1
blaстх-м, blaсмү-2	1	0
blaст×-м	0	1



	prop				
Predictors	Odds Ratios	CI	р		
Sample [chicken]	15.00	3.58 - 62.77	< 0.001		
Sample [pork]	0.07	0.01 - 0.31	0.001		

# Conclusion

- Pork and chicken meat obtained from retail markets in La Plata City are contaminated with Highest Priority Critical Important resistant-*E. coli*.
- The presence of resistant-*E. coli* in pork and chicken meat is a source of multiple resistance genes associated with clones epidemiologically relevant to public health.
- Complementary studies are necessary to determine the totality of resistance genes carried by these resistant-*E. coli* isolates. The information that will be obtained will allow proposing intervention strategies that will reduce the risk of cross-contamination

### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the technical assistance of Walter Darío Nievas. This research was partially funded by Universidad Nacional de La Plata, grant number V294.

Salmonella spp. isolates were sensitive to ampicillin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, fosfomycin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, chloramphenicol, and azithromycin. The isolates showed resistance to tetracycline.

#### References

- World Health Organization. WHO Medically Important Antimicrobial List. 2023. https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/antimicrobial-resistance/amr-gcp-irc/who\_mialist\_draft\_forexternaldiscussion.pdf?sfvrsn=af6f2ebf\_\_\_\_\_\_ Himanshu, R. P.; da Costa, A.C.; Leal, E.; Chang, C.-M.; Pandey, R.P. Systematic Surveillance and Meta-Analysis of Antimicrobial Resistance and Food Sources from China and the USA. Antibiotics 2022, 11, 1471.
- ISO 6579:1 Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs horizontal method for the detection of Salmonella spp. 2017
- Clinical And Laboratory Standards Institute. M100-ED33:2023 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 33rd daptivedocs.net/GetDoc.aspx?doc=CLSI%20M100%20ED33:2023&sbssok=CLSI%20M100%20ED33:2023%20TABLE%202A&format=HTML#CLSI%20M100%20ED33:2023%20TABLE%202A
- Magiorakos, A.A.; Srinivasan, A.; Carey, R.B.; Carmeli, y.; Falagas, M.E.; Giske, C.G.; Harbarth, S.; Hindler, J.F.; Kahlmeter, G.; Olsson-Liljequist, B.; Paterson, D.L.; Rice, L.B.; Stelling, J.; Struelens, M.J.; Vatopoulos, A.; Weber, J.T.; Monnet, D.L. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012, 18, 268–281.
- Gómez, M.F.; Vinocur, F.; Rodríguez Ramos, S.; Garassino, B.J.; Nievas, H.D.; Nievas, V.F.; Alarcón, L.V.; Armocida, A.D.; Pérez, E.M.; Griffo, D.; Giacoboni, G.I.; Moredo, F.A. Porcine Escherichia coli isolates resistant to critically important antimicrobials for public health. Analecta Veterinaria 2022, 42, e067.