ECA 2023

The 3rd International Electronic Conference on Antibiotics

Rise of Antibiotic Resistance: Mechanisms Involved and Solutions to Tackle it December 1-15, 2023

Epidemiology, Prevalence and Mechanisms of Microbial Resistance

Prevalence of antibiotic resistance of uropathogenic bacteria isolated from contaminated urine

Zahia benmouna-benamar ^{1, 2}, Hayate Messaoui ³, Narimane Abdi ¹ and Chahinez Azzi ¹

¹ Department of Living and Environment, Faculty of Natural and Life Sciences, University of Sciences and Technology of Oran Mohamed Boudiaf, USTOMB, PO Box 1505, El Mnaouar, 31000 Oran, Algeria;

² Laboratory of Research in Arid Areas, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene, PO Box 32, El Alia, Bab Ezzouar, 16111 Algiers, Algeria; ³ Higher School of Biological Sciences of Oran. Laboratory of Micro-organisms Biology and Biotechnology, University of Oran 1, P.B. 1524, El M'Naouer, 31000 Oran, Algeria;

Introduction

The prevalence of uropathogenic bacteria resistance to antibiotics constitutes a major health problem and it is the subject of much research [1]. The inhibition of the uropathogenic bacteria by lactic acid bacteria is the subject of a number of studies [2].

4	Isolats	Gram stain	Oxydase Test	Catalase	Vitek identificaion
				Test	
	UI 1	Bacille à Gram -	-	/	E. coli
	UI 2	Bacille à Gram -	-	/	E. coli
	UI 3	Bacille à Gram -	-	/	Klebsiella pneumoniae
	UI 4	Bacille à Gram -	-	/	Klebsiella pneumoniae
	UI 5	Bacille à Gram -	-	/	Enterobacter cloacae
	UI 6	Bacille à Gram -	-	/	Serratia marcescens
	UI 7	Cocci à Gram +	/	-	Streptococcus agalactiae
	UI 8	Cocci à Gram +	/	+	Staphylococcus aureus
	UI 9	Cocci à Gram +	/	+	Staphylococcus saprophyticus
	UI 10	Bacille à Gram -	+	/	Pseudomonas aeruginosa



LAB strains Uropathogenic bacteria	СМ9		LBM2
E. coli UI 1	35	32	30
E. coli UI 2	38	30	35
Klebsiella pneumoniae UI 3	27	34	29
Klebsiella pneumoniae UI 4	35	37	35
Enterobacter cloacae UI 5	40	-	-
Serratia marcescens UI 6	32	30	28
Streptococcus agalactiae UI 7	29	20	-
Staphylococcus aureus UI 8	30	30	32
Staphylococcus saprophyticus UI 9	34	33	32
Pseudomonas aeruginosa UI 10	30	30	25

Table 2. Inhibition of uropathogenic bacteria by the three Lactic acid bacteria strains

Methodology

The resistance identification and the of uropathogenic bacteria to antibiotics was accomplished by the Vitek 2 Compact using an adequate card and was performed as the standard procedure [3].

The inhibition of the uropathogenic bacteria by the lactic acid bacteria strains was performed using the streak agar test described by Ayeni et al. [4].

Results

Ten uropathogenic strains from urine samples obtained from patients with urinary tract infections were isolated, which were identified as: Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Sterptococcus agalactiae and Entrobacter cloacae. The antibiogram test revealed that K. pneumoniae UI4 was the most resistant to antibiotics, while E. coli UI1 and UI2 was the most sensitive. The study also showed that E. faecium CM9, E. faecium H3 and L. brevis LBM2 had a strong antimicrobial activity against the uropathogen bacteria isolated.



Figure 3. Antibiotics resistances of uropathogenic bacteria

Summarv

In this study, we evaluate the resistance and the susceptibility of some bacteria isolated from contaminated urine and their inhibition by three lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from feed: Enterococcus faecium CM9, Enterococcus faecium H3 and Lactobacillus brevis LBM2. The resistance of uropathogenic bacteria to antibiotics was evaluated by the Vitek 2 Compact using an adequate card and was performed as the standard procedure. The inhibition of the uropathogenic bacteria by the LAB strains was performed using the streak agar test described by Ayeni et al. [4].

Ten uropathogenic strains from urine samples obtained from patients with urinary tract infections were isolated, which were identified as: Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Sterptococcus agalactiae and Entrobacter cloacae. The antibiogram test revealed that K. pneumoniae was the most resistant to antibiotics, while E. coli was the most sensitive. The study also showed that E. faecium CM9, E. faecium H3 and L. brevis LBM2 had a strong antimicrobial activity against the uropathogen bacteria.

This research work has shown an alarming antibiotic resistance patterns of some uropathogenic bacteria isolated. Thus, it is imperative to rationalize the use of antibiotics, improve hygiene in hospitals and establish a system for continuous monitoring bacterial resistance.

References

(1), 001-012.
(2) El-Mekkawy, et al (2023). Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of Weissella confusa K3 cell-free supernatant against extended-spectrum βeta lactamase (ESBL) producing uropathogenic Escherichia coli U60.
Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 103595.
(3) Shaker, et al (2023). Measuring the effectiveness of antibiotics against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli* that isolated from urinary tract infection patients in Al-Najaf city in Iraq. Materials Today:

Proceedings, 80, 3196-3199. [4] Ayeni, e al (2009). Inhibition of uropathogens by lactic acid bacteria isolated from dairy foods and cow's intestine in western Nigeria. Archives of microbiology, 191, 639-648.

^[1] Arsene, et al (2021). Urinary tract infections: Virulence factors, resistance to antibiotics, and management of uropathogenic bacteria with medicinal plants—A review. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 11(7), 001-012. , 001-012.