

Article A Light-weight CNN based Multi-task Architecture for Apple Maturity and Disease Classification

Li Zhang ^{1,*} and Jie Cao ^{1,2}

¹ Key Laboratory of Biomimetic Robots and Systems, School of Optics and Photonics,

- Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China; zhanglsky@126.com (L.Z.)
- ² Yangtze Delta Region Academy, Beijing Institute of Technology, Jiaxing 314003, China
 - * Correspondence: zhanglsky@126.com (L.Z.)

Abstract: Quickly and accurately judging the quality grades of apples is the basis for choosing 1 suitable harvesting date and setting a suitable storage strategy. At present, the research of multi-task 2 classification algorithm models based on CNN is still in the exploration stage, and there are still some 3 problems such as complex model structure, high computational complexity and long computing 4 time. This paper presents a light-weight architecture based on multi-task convolutional neural 5 networks for maturity (L-MTCNN) to eliminate immature and defective apples in the intelligent 6 integration harvesting task. L-MTCNN architecture with diseases classification sub-network (D-Net) and maturity classification sub-network (M-Net), to realize multi-task discrimination of the apple 8 appearance defect and maturity level. Under different light conditions, the image of fruit may have 9 color damage, which makes it impossible to accurately judge the problem, an image preprocessing 10 method based on brightness information was proposed to restore fruit appearance color under 11 different illumination conditions in this paper. In addition, for the problems of inaccurate prediction 12 results caused by tiny changes in apple appearance between different maturity levels, triplet loss 13 is introduced as the loss function to improve the discriminating ability of maturity classification 14 task. Based on the study and analysis of apple grade standards, three types of apples were taken 15 as the research objects. By analyzing the changes in apple fruit appearance in each stage, the data 16 set corresponding to the maturity level and fruit appearance was constructed. Experimental results 17 show that D-Net and M-Net have significantly improved recall rate, precision rate and F1-Score in all 18 classes compared with AlexNet, ResNet18, ResNet34 and VGG16. 19

Keywords: Light-weight CNN; Multi-task; Fruit Quality

20

21

1. Introduction

Fruit appearance grades are usually determined by attributes such as color, maturity, 22 shape, texture, and size of the fruit. Manual grading faces practical difficulties such 23 as subjective inconsistency, high cost, long time required, and insufficient number of 24 professional grading staff during peak seasons. The appearance grade of apples has a great 25 influence on consumers and market prices, so the task of fruit grading has become one of 26 the most important research areas for many orchard planters and farm entrepreneurs [1-5]. 27 Measuring the grade of apples mainly includes two important indicators of apple maturity 28 and whether the appearance is defective. These two indicators are also important criteria 29 for market sales[6]. As the apple ripens, the chlorophyll content inside the fruit decreases, 30 the red pigment in the skin increases, the flesh becomes softer, starch converts into sugar, 31 and the acidity decreases. Therefore, the maturity of an apple is determined by multiple 32 factors, including the appearance color, hardness, starch content[7], soluble solids content, 33 and sugar content. Chemical methods based on quantitative testing of various components 34 inside the fruit are commonly used to assess its maturity[8,9], but they often cause damage 35 to the fruit, rendering it unsuitable for further sale [10]. Changes in the appearance color 36 are a key indicator of apple ripeness and can be used to determine the maturity grade. 37

Citation: Zhang, L., Cao, J.. A Light-weight CNN based Multi-task Architecture for Apple Maturity and Disease Classification. *Journal Not Specified* 2023, 1, 0. https://doi.org/

Received: Revised: Accepted: Published:

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). With the development of computer image processing and machine vision technology, non-38 destructive automatic assessment of apple maturity can be achieved. By analyzing and 30 processing the changes in appearance color, it is possible to discern the maturity level 40 of the fruit. This method enables fast and accurate maturity evaluation without causing 41 damage to the fruit. To achieve intelligent integrated operations and automate task of 42 apple selecting by removing unripe or defective apples, this paper proposes a lightweight 43 multitask maturity classification model called Light-Weights Multi-Task Convolutional 44 Neural Network for Maturity (L-MTCNN). The model consists of two sub-networks: the 45 Disease-Classification Sub Network (D-Net) and the Maturity-Classification Sub Network 46 (M-Net). These sub-networks enable the multi-task classification of apple appearance 47 defects and maturity levels. Furthermore, to address the issue of inaccurate predictions 48 caused by minimal appearance changes between different maturity levels, Triplet-Loss [11] 49 is employed as the loss function for the Maturity-Classification Sub Network (M-Net). This 50 loss function aims to increase the distance between different maturity levels and reduce the 51 distance within the same level. By analyzing the appearance changes of apples at various 52 stages and utilizing industry standards, a dataset is constructed that correlates maturity 53 levels with corresponding fruit appearance. The experimental results demonstrate that 54 both D-Net and M-Net exhibit significant improvements in terms of recall, precision, and 55 other metrics compared to classification models which validates that M-Net possesses high 56 generalization capabilities. 57

2. Image Dataset Setup

The presence of disease for fruits is an important criterion for its quality. Apple surface 59 defects primarily result from diseases, pests, and external damages. To setup diversity 60 dataset, this paper utilizes methods such as on-site photography and online retrieval to 61 collect 1680 images of apples with disease. These images are manually labeled and cropped 62 to create a defect dataset. In addition, to establish an accurate relationship between fruit 63 appearance and maturity, on-site collection is employed to analyze the changes in apple 64 appearance during the ripening period. Depending on the corresponding relationship 65 between the changes in fruit epidermis and ripeness, the fruit ripeness grade was divided 66 into four categories according to the United States Standards for Grades of Apples [12]. 67 [12] indicated as a mature apple becomes overripe it will show varying degrees of firmness, 68 depending upon the stage of the ripening process, hence "Hard", "Firm", "Firm ripe", 69 and "Ripe" were the four terms used for describing the different stages. Due to the 70 appearance of fruits being highly related to its attributes, we invited experts to label these 71 images. According to the captured image date and the appearance of each fruit, the experts 72 classified these images into unripe, turning, ripe, and overripe. These four categories were 73 respectively marked as grade one (G1), grade two (G2), grade three (G3), and grade four 74 (G4) for short.

3. CNN-based lightweight Multi-task Classification

To achieve integrated non-destructive maturity assessment of apples, the task is 77 divided into two subtasks: fruit disease detection and maturity classification. For fruits 78 with diseases, their maturity categories are not considered. For fruits without diseases, 79 further assessment is required to determine their maturity levels.

There are limitations to using color thresholding based on image space transformation 81 to classify fruit maturity levels, as it may not effectively capture the small differences 82 between different levels of the same fruit type. Additionally, image processing or machine 83 learning classification models often require appropriate feature selection based on empirical 84 knowledge, which can lead to lower accuracy if the features are not chosen correctly. Cur-85 rently, CNN-based classification models such as VGG-16[13], ResNet[14], and AlexNet[15] 86 have shown excellent performance in various classification tasks. However, these models 87 are deep and have a large number of parameters, requiring a significant amount of training 88 data to prevent issues such as gradient explosion or overfitting. Lightweight classification 89

58



76

models like the MobileNet[16] series have the advantage of having a shallower network structure with fewer parameters compared to the aforementioned models. However, these models are designed for single-task classification and cannot handle multiple classification tasks simultaneously. Therefore, in this section, based on the strengths and weaknesses of various classification models and the specific requirements of apple classification tasks, a lightweight multi-task CNN classification model is designed and implemented. The structure of the model is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. The structure of the multi-task classification architecture. Note: The dimensions and numbers in parentheses represent the output feature dimensions of each corresponding layer. CONV denotes the convolutional layer, where k represents the size of the convolutional kernel and s represents the stride. MP denotes the max pooling layer, where s represents the stride information. RELU represents the non-linear activation function. FLATTEN denotes the flattening operation. DP denotes the dropout processing. FC denotes the fully connected layer.

The classification model consists of a backbone network, a Disease Classification 97 Sub-Network (D-Net), and a Maturity Classification Sub-Network (M-Net). The backbone 98 network is responsible for extracting common feature information from the input images 99 and providing this information to both the disease detection task and the maturity clas-100 sification task. After obtaining the feature information extracted by the trunk network, 101 feature extraction is performed for disease detection to determine whether the fruit has any 102 appearance disease. The maturity classification task utilizes the feature information from 103 the trunk network to classify the maturity level of the fruit, achieving differentiation based 104 on maturity. The following is a detailed introduction to each sub network: 105 1.

106 107 108

111

- 2. D-Net: Focuses on targeted feature extraction for defect detection,
- 3. M-Net: Utilizes the shared features from the trunk network to classify the maturity grades of fruits.

Trunk Network: Responsible for extracting common feature information from input

3.1. Trunk Network

images.

The trunk network is responsible for extracting common feature information required 112 by both the M-Net and D-Net. Therefore, the design of this component directly affects 113 the prediction results of the two sub-tasks and the accuracy of fruit quality classification. 114 During the training process, as the depth of the neural network increases, there is a risk 115 of degradation in model performance[14]. To address this issue, an improved backbone 116 network based on the principles of residual networks is adopted. In this section, a five-layer 117 CNN model is used as the backbone network to extract feature information. The design 118 of the backbone network utilizes a skip connection structure to mitigate problems such as 119 gradient explosion or over-fitting. By sharing features, the extracted feature information is 120 simultaneously provided to both the defect classification task and the maturity classification 121 task. 122

3.2. Defects Classification Sub-Network

Due to the prominent feature information of whether the fruit appearance is defective, a two-layer CNN model is designed for feature extraction in the D-Net. The loss function is defined as equation:

$$L_{det}^{i} = -(y_{det}^{i} log(p^{i}) + (1 - y_{det}^{i})(log(1 - (p^{i})))$$
(1)

3.3. Maturity Classification Sub-Network

Compared to the binary classification task of whether the fruit appearance is defective, the apple maturity classification task is more complex. Therefore, the design of M-Net is based on a model architecture with short connections[14]. Additionally, due to the small differences between different maturity grades of apples, to increase the distance between different categories and reduce the distance within the same category during model training, Triplet-loss is used as the loss function, as shown as equation:

$$L = \left[\left\| f(x_a^i) - f(x_p^i) \right\|_2^2 - \left\| f(x_a^i) - f(x_n^i) \right\|_2^2 + \alpha \right]_+$$
(2)

Where $f(x_a^i)$ denotes the learned feature vector, $f(x_n^i)$ denotes the feature vector of the 134 current positive sample, and $f(x_n^i)$ denotes the feature vector of the current negative sam-135 ple. $\|f(x_a^i) - f(x_p^i)\|_2^2$ and $\|f(x_a^i) - f(x_n^i)\|_2^2$ represent the Euclidean distances between the 136 current learned feature vector and the normalized feature vectors of the positive and neg-137 ative samples, respectively. The distance between the current feature and the positive 138 sample should be smaller than the distance between the current feature and the negative 139 sample, with q_i representing the threshold value for the difference between the positive 140 and negative sample distances. 141

4. Experiments

The main configuration of the experiment equipment used in this study includes an Intel i5-7500 CPU @ 3.20GHz processor, 8 GB of RAM, and a dedicated graphics card NVIDIA GeForce GTX1060 with 3GB of memory. The operating system is Ubuntu 16.04, the software development environment is PyTorch, and the programming language used is Python.

142

123

4.1. Evaluation Standard

The terms of precision, recall, and F_1 -score, and accuracy were taken as the evaluation indexes to verify our proposed network extensively and quantitatively. The corresponding formulas are shown as equations: 151

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
(3)

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{4}$$

$$F_1 = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN} \tag{5}$$

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FN + FP} \tag{6}$$

Specifically, the *TP*, *TN*, *FP*, and *FN* represent the relationship between the observed value and the predicted value, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Instructions for the elements of TP, TN, FP and FN.

Label Name	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
True Positive	TP	FN
True Negative	FP	TN

4.2. Model Training

The proposed network model consists of a backbone network, M-Net, and D-Net. However, the datasets used for training M-Net and D-Net are completely different, making it challenging to train both networks simultaneously. Considering that the classification task of M-Net is more complex than that of D-Net, this study adopts a two-step training approach. First, the M-Net subnetwork model is trained independently. After completing the training of M-Net, the backbone network parameters and M-Net parameters are fixed, and then the D-Net network is trained.

5. Results

5.1. D-Net Results

The evaluation results of the D-Net model compared to the classical network models AlexNet, ResNet-18, ResNet-34, and VGG-16 in terms of precision, recall, F1-score, and accuracy for different categories. The table shows the performance of the D-Net in comparison to the other models. The evaluation results of the model for disease and normal category are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

Table 2. The classification results of defect fruits by D-Net.

Model	Accuracy	Recall	Precision	F1-score
AlexNet	0.90	0.92	0.88	0.90
ResNet-18	0.94	0.96	0.92	0.94
ResNet-34	0.94	0.92	0.96	0.94
VGG-16	0.86	0.85	0.88	0.86
D-Net	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96

148

154

Model	Accuracy	Recall	Precision	F1-score
AlexNet	0.90	0.92	0.88	0.90
ResNet-18	0.94	0.92	0.96	0.94
ResNet-34	0.94	0.96	0.92	0.94
VGG-16	0.86	0.88	0.84	0.86
D-Net	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96

Table 3. The classification results of normal fruits by D-Net.

According to the results, for the classification task of appearance diseases, the D-Net 169 model achieves higher accuracy compared to AlexNet, ResNet-18, ResNet-34, and VGG-16, 170 with improvements of 6%, 2%, 2%, and 10%, respectively. This indicates that the proposed 171 D-network outperforms the classical network models in terms of accuracy. To further 172 evaluate the model, the performance of D-Net in terms of recall, precision, and F1-score 173 is analyzed for both disease and normal classes. For the disease classification task, D-Net 174 shows improvements in recall of 4%, 0%, 4%, and 11% compared to AlexNet, ResNet-18, 175 ResNet-34, and VGG-16, respectively. The precision also improves by 8%, 4%, 0%, and 8% 176 for the respective models. For the normal classification task, D-Net achieves improvements 177 in recall of 8%, 4%, 0%, and 8% and in precision of 4%, 0%, 4%, and 12% compared to 178 AlexNet, ResNet-18, ResNet-34, and VGG-16, respectively. These results demonstrate that 179 the proposed D-Net model achieves higher accuracy for the binary classification task of 180 appearance classification task, and it also highlights the superior performance of ResNet-18 181 and ResNet-34 compared to AlexNet and VGG-16. The probably reason for these results 182 are due to the residual structure for D-network, which are more effective for extracting 183 image features. The distribution of values in the confusion matrix is shown in Figure2. 184



Figure 2. Confusion matrix results for bi-classification of disease from D-Net. In particular, (1), (2), (3) and (4) are the results of Alexnet, Resnet, VGG-16 and D-net, respectively

As the confusion matrix shown, it can be observed that the AlexNet, ResNet-18, VGG-16, and D-Net network models achieve good results in predicting the binary task of defect and non-defect classes. All the models are able to accurately discriminate whether a fruit 187 has a disease or not with high accuracy. In particular, D-Net achieves a prediction accuracy of 0.96, which is higher than the other network models, indicating its superior performance.

5.2. *M*-Net Results

We evaluated the proposed M-Net with AlexNet, ResNet18, ResNet34, and VGG16 on maturity classification task. The evaluation includes average accuracy and the indicator of recall, precision, and F1-score for each maturity grade, as shown in Table 4.

Grade Level	indicators	AlexNet	ResNet-18	ResNet-34	VGG-16	M-Net
G1	Recall	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.65	0.91
G1	Precision	0.68	0.76	0.72	0.60	0.84
G1	F1-score	0.71	0.76	0.75	0.63	0.87
G2	Recall	0.50	0.63	0.62	0.44	0.76
G2	Precision	0.56	0.60	0.64	0.48	0.76
G2	F1-score	0.53	0.61	0.63	0.46	0.76
G3	Recall	0.68	0.76	0.72	0.67	0.81
G3	Precision	0.68	0.76	0.72	0.64	0.88
G3	F1-score	0.68	0.76	0.72	0.65	0.85
G4	Recall	0.92	0.88	0.88	0.85	0.96
G4	Precision	0.88	0.92	0.92	0.88	0.96
G4	F1-score	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.86	0.96
-	Accuracy	0.70	0.76	0.75	0.65	0.86

Table 4. The classification results of maturity fruits by M-Net.

According to the results, compared to AlexNet, ResNet-18, ResNet-34, and VGG-16, 194 the M-Net model shows a significant improvement in average accuracy. Furthermore, 195 M-Net outperforms AlexNet, ResNet-18, ResNet-34, and VGG-16 by evaluating the model's 196 performance in terms of recall, precision, and F1-score for each maturity grade. Specifically, 197 as for G1, M-Net improves the F1-score by 16%, 11%, 12%, and 24% respectively. As for G2, 198 the improvements are 23%, 15%, 13%, and 30% respectively. For G3, the improvements are 199 17%, 9%, 13%, and 20% respectively. And for G4, the improvements are 6%, 6%, 6%, and 200 10% respectively. These results indicate that M-Net achieves significant improvements in 201 classifying different maturity grades. The distribution of values in the confusion matrix for 202 each maturity grade is shown in Figure 3. 203



Figure 3. Confusion matrix of ripenss classification results from M-Net. In particular, (1), (2), (3) and (4) are the results of Alexnet, Resnet, VGG-16 and D-net, respectively

As the results shown, for the ripeness classification task of Fuji apple, all models perform the best in predicting G4, followed by maturity G3 and G1, and the most challenging predictions are for maturity G2. The possible reason for this phenomenon is that maturity G4 has distinct features compared to the other three grades, while G2 shares similar characteristics with G1 and G3, leading to confusion and affecting the final prediction results.

6. Conclusions

This paper proposes a lightweight CNN based architecture to achieve task of apple ma-211 turity grade and fruit appearance defects. The model proposed a lightweight trunk network 212 to extract feature information, share the weights to the D-Net and M-Net sub-networks, 213 which improving the utilization of features and reducing the number of parameters. To 214 address the issue of low accuracy caused by tiny changes between different ripeness grades, 215 Triplet-Loss function is introduced as the loss function for M-Net, enlarging the feature 216 distance between different ripeness grades and reducing the feature distance for the same 217 grade. To enhance the model's generalization ability in practical applications, this paper 218 constructs an apple maturity dataset based on the study of appearance changes in multiple 219 apple varieties during the ripening process. Experimental results demonstrate that the 220 proposed L-MTCNN outperforms the compared models in multi-classification task. 221

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.Z., Q.H. and J.C.; methodology, L.Z.; software, Y.M.;validation, Q.H. and J.C.; formal analysis, J.S.; investigation, J.C.; writing—original draft preparation,L.Z.; writing—review and editing, L.Z.; visualization, Y.M.; supervision, Q.H.; project administration,J.C.; funding acquisition, J.C. and Q.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version ofthe manuscript.

9 of 9

230

231

232

256

Funding: This work was supported by the Beijing Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 4222017).227Funding of Science And Technology Entry program under grant (KJFGS-QTZCHT-2022-008). Na-tional Natural Science Foundation of China (62275022).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Tu, S.; Xue, Y.; Zheng, C.; Qi, Y.; Wan, H.; Mao, L. Detection of passion fruits and maturity classification using Red-Green-Blue Depth images. *Biosystems Engineering* 2018, 175, 156–167.
- Villaseñor-Aguilar, M.J.; Botello-Álvarez, J.E.; Pérez-Pinal, F.J.; Cano-Lara, M.; León-Galván, M.F.; Bravo-Sánchez, M.G.; Barranco-Gutierrez, A.I. Fuzzy classification of the maturity of the tomato using a vision system. *Journal of Sensors* 2019, 2019.
- Faisal, M.; Albogamy, F.; Elgibreen, H.; Algabri, M.; Alqershi, F.A. Deep learning and computer vision for estimating date fruits type, maturity level, and weight. *IEEE Access* 2020, *8*, 206770–206782.
- Baeten, J.; Donné, K.; Boedrij, S.; Beckers, W.; Claesen, E. Autonomous fruit picking machine: A robotic apple harvester. In Proceedings of the Field and Service Robotics: Results of the 6th International Conference. Springer, 2008, pp. 531–539.
- Muscato, G.; Prestifilippo, M.; Abbate, N.; Rizzuto, I. A prototype of an orange picking robot: past history, the new robot and experimental results. *Industrial Robot: An International Journal* 2005, 32, 128–138.
- Zhang, B.; Zhang, M.; Shen, M.; Li, H.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, Z.; Ren, X.; Ding, Y.; Xing, L.; et al. Quality monitoring method for apples of different maturity under long-term cold storage. *Infrared Physics & Technology* 2021, 112, 103580.
- Menesatti, P.; Zanella, A.; D'Andrea, S.; Costa, C.; Paglia, G.; Pallottino, F. Supervised multivariate analysis of hyper-spectral NIR images to evaluate the starch index of apples. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* 2009, 2, 308–314.
- Pathange, L.P.; Mallikarjunan, P.; Marini, R.P.; O'Keefe, S.; Vaughan, D. Non-destructive evaluation of apple maturity using an electronic nose system. *Journal of Food Engineering* 2006, 77, 1018–1023.
- Chagné, D.; Lin-Wang, K.; Espley, R.V.; Volz, R.K.; How, N.M.; Rouse, S.; Brendolise, C.; Carlisle, C.M.; Kumar, S.; De Silva, N.; et al. An ancient duplication of apple MYB transcription factors is responsible for novel red fruit-flesh phenotypes. *Plant physiology* 2013, 161, 225–239.
- Lunadei, L.; Galleguillos, P.; Diezma, B.; Lleó, L.; Ruiz-Garcia, L. A multispectral vision system to evaluate enzymatic browning in fresh-cut apple slices. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 2011, 60, 225–234.
- Schroff, F.; Kalenichenko, D.; Philbin, J. Facenet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2015, pp. 815–823.
- 12. Usda, U.; Ams, A. United States standards for grades of apples. USDA Publication 2002.
- Simonyan, K.; Zisserman, A. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556 257 2014.
- He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; Sun, J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- Krizhevsky, A.; Sutskever, I.; Hinton, G.E. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 2012, 25.
- Sandler, M.; Howard, A.; Zhu, M.; Zhmoginov, A.; Chen, L.C. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2018, pp. 4510–4520.