

13

14

# Article DYNAMICS AND BIFURCATION ANALYSIS OF AN ECO-EPIDEMIOLOGICAL MODEL IN CROWLEY-MARTIN FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE WITH THE IMPACT OF FEAR

SIVA PRADEEP M<sup>1</sup>\*<sup>(D)</sup>, NANDHA GOPAL T<sup>1</sup>, YASOTHA A<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.
- <sup>2</sup> UNITED INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, COIMBATORE, INDIA-641 020
- \* Correspondence: sivapradeep@rmv.ac.in

Abstract: This article consists of a three-species food web model that has been developed by considering the interaction between susceptible prey, infected prey, and predator species. It is assumed that 2 susceptible prey species grow logistically in the absence of predators. It is assumed that predators 3 consume susceptible and infected prey and infected prey consumes susceptible prey. Furthermore, 4 the predator consumes its prey in the form of Holling-type and Crowley-Martin-type interactions. 5 Also, infected prey consumes susceptible prey in the form of Holling-type interaction. The positive invariance, positivity, and boundedness of the system are discussed. The conditions of all biologically 7 feasible equilibrium points have been examined. The local stability of the systems around these equi-8 librium points is investigated and global stability is analyzed by suitable Lyapunov functions around 9 these equilibrium points. Furthermore, the occurrence of Hopf-bifurcation concerning predation rete 10 of the system has been investigated. Finally, we demonstrate some numerical simulation results to 11 illustrate our main analytical findings. 12

Keywords: Infected prey, Crowley-Martin, Equilibrium point, Stability, Bifurcation

# 1. Introduction

Eco-epidemiological systems are used to investigate the dynamic connection between 15 predator and prey in one population or a population of susceptible and infected animals. 16 Mathematical models have become significant instruments in examining the flow and 17 manipulation of prevention. Since Kermack-Mckendrick's pioneering work on SIRS [3], 18 epidemiological models have drawn a lot of interest from researchers. Ecology and epi-19 demiology are two distinct essential and significant areas of research. Lotka [4] and Volterra 20 [5] models, The first advance in current mathematical ecology can be examined using the 21 system of dynamical equations. It is referred to as the study of infection spread between 22 interacting organisms. A biological representation in terms of mathematical modelling 23 of communications among the populations density of predators and population density 24 of prey, called "functional response". Modelling in biological systems There are numer-25 ous of functional responses namely the Holling type[1,2], type of Beddington-DeAngelis 26 responses, type of Crowley-Martin responses; Arditi and Ginzburg's[7] much more infor-27 mation on predator-prey systems with Crowley-Martin functional responses has become 28 available in recent decades. In the recent era, some renowned authors [6]. They used some 29 functional responses such as type of Crowley-Martin functional response to make the model 30 system, more realistic and controllable in the eco-system. To the best of our knowledge, no 31 one has examined a three-species food web eco-epidemiological model with Holling type I, 32 II, and Crowley-Martin functional responses, along with the disease on prey populations. 33 Motivated by this fact, we explore a three-species food web eco-epidemiological model with 34 Holling type I, Holling type II, and Crowley-Martin functional responses with infection 35 in the prey population. The occurrence of Hopf bifurcation analysis for the proposed 36

Citation: SIVA PRADEEP M, NANDHA GOPAL T, YASOTHA A DYNAMICS ANALYSIS OF A THREE SPECIES FOOD WEB ECO-EPIDEMIOLOGICAL MODEL IN CROWLEY-MARTIN FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE WITH INFECTED PREY. Journal Not Specified 2023, 1, 0. https://doi.org/

Received: Revised: Accepted: Published:

**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). model in relation to the existence of the infection rate. The rest of the paper is structured 37 as follows: In Section 2, we present the mathematical analysis that has been investigated. 38 Section 3 deals with the point of equilibrium in boundary and their stability. In Sections 4 39 we determine the existence of the interior point of equilibria  $E^*(s^*, i^*, p^*)$  and investigate 40 its local stability. The occurrence of Hopf-bifurcation is shown in Section 5. Numerical 41 simulations are examined for the proposed model in Section 6. Section 7, which concludes 42 the paper. 43

### 2. Model formation

The framework demonstrates the relationship between the population density of prey 45 with infection. Which leads to the following structure of non-linear differential equations. The suggested framework was applied to examine the non-linear population density of 47 susceptible, infected prey and predator biological model,

$$\frac{dS}{dT} = r_1 \mathcal{S}(1 - \frac{S + \mathcal{I}}{\mathcal{K}}) - \lambda \mathcal{I} \mathcal{S} - \frac{\alpha_1 \mathcal{S} \mathcal{P}}{(1 + \zeta \mathcal{S})(1 + \eta \mathcal{P})}, 
\frac{d\mathcal{I}}{dT} = \lambda \mathcal{I} \mathcal{S} - d_1 \mathcal{I} - \frac{b_1 \mathcal{I} \mathcal{P}}{a_1 + \mathcal{I}}, 
\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dT} = -d_2 \mathcal{P} + \frac{cb_1 \mathcal{I} \mathcal{P}}{a_1 + \mathcal{I}} + \frac{c\alpha_1 \mathcal{S} \mathcal{P}}{(1 + \zeta \mathcal{S})(1 + \eta \mathcal{P})}.$$
(1)

In the above biological systems the susceptible prey population, infected prey population 49 and population of predator. The table(1) displays specific biological meanings of the 50 parameters. 51

Parameters	Units	Physiological representation
S	Components per unit area (tons)	Population density of susceptible Prey
${\mathcal I}$	Components per unit area (tons)	Population density of prey with infection
${\mathcal P}$	Components per unit area (tons)	Population density of Predator
$r_1$	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Prey population densities growth rate
${\cal K}$	Components per unit area (tons)	The carrying
$\lambda$	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Infection rate
а	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Constant of Half-saturation
α1	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Susceptible prey to predator consumption
$b_1$	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Capture rate by predator
С	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Conversion rate of prey to predator
$d_1, d_2$	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Diseased prey and predator death rate
ζ, η	Per day $(T^{-1})$	Constant of feeding rate

Table 1. specific biological meanings of the parameters(1).

In system(1) has many parameters with different units its inconvenient to solve the 52 systems (1), so in our convenient we reduce the system in to non-dimensional equations 53 using the following transformations Here,  $s = \frac{S}{K}$ ,  $i = \frac{T}{K}$ ,  $p = \frac{P}{K}$ , with non-dimensional time 54  $t = \lambda \mathcal{K}T$  Now the (1) becomes, 55

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = rs(1-s-i) - is - \frac{s\alpha p}{(1+\zeta s)(1+\eta p)}$$

$$\frac{di}{dt} = is - di - \frac{\theta i p}{a+i}$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\delta p + \frac{c\theta i p}{a+i} + \frac{c\alpha s p}{(1+\zeta s)(1+\eta p)}.$$
(2)

here the conditions are,  $r = \frac{r_1}{\lambda \mathcal{K}}, \alpha = \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda \mathcal{K}}, d = \frac{d_1}{\lambda \mathcal{K}}, \theta = \frac{b_1}{\lambda \mathcal{K}}, \delta = \frac{d_2}{\lambda \mathcal{K}}$ . According to the preliminary criteria  $\{s(0), i(0), p(0)\} \ge 0$ . The described over are in  $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ .

### 3. The existence point of equilibrium

The system (2) has three points of equilibrium and one endemic point of equilibrium . 59

The  $E_0(0,0,0)$  is the point of equilibrium, which is trivial,

2 of 7

44

46

48

56 57 58

- $E_1(1,0,0)$  be the free of infection and free of predator point of equilibrium.
- The absence of predator point of equilibrium is  $E_2(\hat{s}, \hat{i}, 0)$ , where,  $\hat{s} = d$ ,  $\hat{i} = \frac{r(1-d)}{r+1}$ , its exists for r(1-d) > 1
- where,  $s = a, i = \frac{1}{r+1}$ , its exists for r(1 a) > 1endemic equilibrium is  $E^*(s^*, i^*, p^*)$ , where,  $i^* = \frac{a(a\delta + (\delta c\alpha)s^*)}{(c\alpha s^* + (c\theta \delta)(1 + \zeta s^*)(1 + \eta p^*))}$ , •
  - $p^* = \frac{ac(s^*-d)(1+\zeta s^*)}{(cas^*+(c\theta-\delta)(1+\zeta s^*))}$ , and the  $s^*$  is the quadratic equation's one and only positive root,  $AS^2 + BS + C = 0$ , where, 65 66

$$\mathcal{A} = r(\alpha c + \theta c - \delta), \mathcal{B} = (\theta c - \delta)(ar - r) + \alpha c(-r) + a(\delta + (\delta - c\alpha)r),$$
$$\mathcal{C} = -a(r)(c\theta - \delta) + (c\alpha(d) - a\delta(+r))).$$

If endemic equilibrium exist for  $\delta > \alpha c$ , r > 1,  $s^* - d > \frac{(1+r)a\delta}{a\alpha}$ , and  $a\delta + s^*(\delta - \alpha c)$ .

# 4. local stability analysis

I.We begin by determining the system's (2) Jacobian matrix.  $J(E) = \begin{pmatrix} n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} \\ n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & n_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$ Where,

$$n_{11} = r(1-2s) - i(r+1) - \frac{\alpha p}{(1+\zeta s)^2(1+\eta p)}, n_{12} = -s(r+1),$$

$$n_{13} = prs(1-s-i) - \frac{\alpha s}{(1+\zeta s)(1+\eta p)^2}, n_{21} = i, n_{22} = s - d - \frac{a\theta p}{(a+i)^2},$$

$$n_{23} = -\frac{\theta i}{(a+i)}, n_{31} = \frac{c\alpha p}{(1+\zeta s)^2(1+\eta p)}, n_{32} = \frac{ac\theta p}{(a+i)^2}, n_{33} = -\delta + \frac{c\theta i}{a+i} + \frac{\alpha cs}{(1+\zeta s)(1+\eta p)^2}.$$

**Theorem 1.** *The trivial equilibrium point*  $E_0(0,0,0)$  *is unstable.* 

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix for 
$$E_0(0,0,0)$$
 is  $J(E_0) = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta \end{pmatrix}$ ,  
where, the characteristic equation of the above Jacobian matrix is,  
 $(\lambda_{01} - (r))(\lambda_{02} - (-d))(\lambda_{03} + \delta) = 0$ ,  
 $\lambda_{01} = r, \lambda_{02} = -d, \lambda_{03} = -\delta$ ,  
here, $\lambda_{01} > 0$  then the equilibrium point  $E_0$  is unstable.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** The infected prey and predator free equilibrium point  $E_1(1,0,0)$  is unstable due to table value of numerical simulation.

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix for 
$$E_1$$
 is  

$$J(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -r & -(r+1) & \frac{-\alpha}{(a+1)} \\ 0 & 1-d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-c\alpha}{a} - \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where, the characteristic equation of the above Jacobian matrix is,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{11} - (-r))(\lambda_{12} - (1-d))(\lambda_{13} - (\frac{\alpha c}{a+1} - \delta)) &= 0, \\ \lambda_{11} &= -r, \lambda_{12} = 1 - d, \lambda_{13} = \frac{-c\alpha}{a+1} - \delta, \end{aligned}$$

here, The infected free and predator free equilibrium point  $E_1(1,0,0)$  is unstable because 82 1 - d is never negative due to the table () value of numerical simulation.  $\Box$ 83

**Theorem 3.** The equilibrium  $E_2(\hat{s}, \hat{i}, 0)$  which absence of predator is asymptotically stable if  $\delta > c(\theta + \alpha)$ 85

61

62

63

64

71

77

78

81

**Proof.** The matrix in the form of Jacobian at  $E_2$  is  $J(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} o_{11} & o_{12} & o_{13} \\ o_{21} & o_{22} & o_{23} \\ o_{31} & o_{32} & o_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ ,

where.

$$o_{11} = r(1-2\hat{s}) + i(r+1), o_{12} = (-1-r)\hat{s}, o_{13} = -\frac{\alpha\hat{s}}{(1+\zeta\hat{s})}, o_{21} = \hat{i}, o_{22} = s - d - 2,$$
$$o_{23} = -\frac{\theta\hat{i}}{a+\hat{i}}, o_{31} = 0, o_{32} = 0, o_{33} = \frac{c\alpha\hat{s}}{1+\zeta\hat{s}} - \delta + \frac{c\theta\hat{i}}{a+\hat{i}}.$$

The *E*<sub>2</sub> characteristic equation is,  $\lambda^3 + T\lambda^2 + U\lambda + V = 0$ . Here,

$$\mathcal{T} = -o_{11} - o_{33}, \mathcal{U} = -o_{21}o_{12} + o_{33}o_{11}, \mathcal{V} = o_{12}o_{21}o_{33}.$$

According to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, if and only if T, V and TU - V are non-negative, 89 then the real parts are non-positive roots of the above characteristic equation. Now 90  $TU - V = -o_{11}(-o_{12}o_{21} + o_{33}(o_{33} + o_{11}))$ . Now, the necessary criteria for  $o_{33}$  to be non-91 positive is  $\delta > c(\alpha + \theta)$ . If the above condition in the Theorem is satisfied, the  $E_2$  is locally 92 asymptotically stable.  $\Box$ 93

**Theorem 4.** *The endemic or positive point of equilibrium*  $E^*$  *is asymptotically stable.* 

**Proof.** The matrix in the form of Jacobian at  $E^*$  is  $J(E^*) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ , where,

$$r_{11} = -\frac{s^*(1 - r + ar + (1 + r)i^* + 2rs^*)}{(1 + \zeta s^*)^2(1 + \eta p^*)}, r_{12} = -s^*(r + 1),$$
  

$$r_{13} = p^*rs^*(1 - s^* - i^*) - \frac{\alpha s^*}{(1 + \zeta s^*)(1 + \eta p^*)}, r_{21} = i^*,$$
  

$$r_{22} = \frac{a\theta p^*i^*}{(a + i^*)^2}, r_{23} = \frac{\theta i^*}{(a + i^*)}, r_{31} = \frac{c\alpha p^*}{((1 + \zeta s^*)^2(1 + \eta p^*))}, r_{32} = \frac{ac\theta p^*}{(a + i^*)^2}, r_{33} = 0.$$

The  $E^*$  characteristic equation is

$$\lambda^3 + \mathcal{F}\lambda^2 + \mathcal{G}\lambda + \mathcal{H} = 0, \tag{3}$$

here,  $\mathcal{F} = -r_{11} - r_{33}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = -r_{21}r_{12} + r_{22}r_{11} - r_{13}r_{31} + r_{23}r_{32}$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = r_{13}(-r_{22}r_{31}+r_{21}r_{32})+r_{23}(r_{12}r_{31}-r_{11}r_{32})$ . If  $\mathcal{F} > 0, \mathcal{H} > 0, \mathcal{FG} - \mathcal{H} > 0$ . According to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, if and only if  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{H}$  are non-negative, then the 99 real parts are non-positive roots of the above characteristic equation. The  $E^*$  is locally 100 asymptotically stable.  $\Box$ 101

## 5. Hopf-Bifurcation Analysis

The periodic solutions arise or depart due to changes in system parameters, which is 103 called Hopf-bifurication. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix have a negative real part 104 with a complex conjugate, which means bifurication can occur. 105

**Theorem 5.** If the bifurcation parameter  $\alpha$  exceeds a critical point, the model (2) approaches Hope-106 bifurcation. At  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ , the following hope-bifurcation conditions arise: 107  $1.\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha^*)A(\alpha^*) - \mathcal{A}_3(\alpha^*) = 0.$ 108

 $2.\frac{a}{df}(Re(\lambda(\alpha)))|_{\alpha=\alpha^*} \neq 0$  Here  $\lambda$  is the root of the parametric solution correlated with the equilib-109 rium interior point. 110

4 of 7

07

88

96

97 98

**Proof.** For  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ , the characteristic (3) is in the form

$$(\lambda^{2}(\alpha^{*}) + \mathcal{A}_{2}(\alpha^{*}))(\lambda^{(*)} + \mathcal{A}_{1}(\alpha^{*})) = 0.$$
(4)

This indicates that the roots of the preceding equation are  $\pm i\sqrt{A_2(\alpha^*)}$  and  $-A_1(\alpha^*)$ . To 112 achieve the Hopf-bifurcation at  $\alpha = \alpha^*$  the following transversality criterion must be 113 fulfilled. 114

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha^*}(Re(\lambda(\alpha^*)))| \neq 0.$$

For  $\alpha$ , the above equation (4) has general roots

$$\lambda_1 = r(\alpha) + is(\alpha), \lambda_2 = r(\alpha) - is(\alpha), \lambda_3 = -\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha).$$

Weather check the criteria  $\frac{d}{d\alpha^*}(Re(\lambda(\alpha^*)))| \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda_1 = r(\alpha) + is(\alpha)$  in the (4), we get 117  $C(\alpha) + i\mathcal{D}(\alpha) = 0$ . Where, 118

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}(\alpha) &= r^3(\alpha) + r^2(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) - 3r(\alpha)s^2(\alpha) - s^2(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) + \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha)r(\alpha) + \mathcal{A}_1(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha),\\ \mathcal{D}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha)s(\alpha) + 2r(\alpha)s(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) + 3r^2(\alpha)s(\alpha) + s^3(\alpha). \end{split}$$

In order to satisfy the (4) we must have the variables  $C(\alpha) = 0$  and  $D(\alpha) = 0$ , then 119 calculating C and D with regard to  $\alpha$ . 120

$$\frac{d\mathcal{A}}{d\alpha} = \varsigma_1(\alpha)r'(\alpha) - \varsigma_2(\alpha)s'(\alpha) + \varsigma_3(\alpha) = 0,$$
(5)

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{d\alpha} = \varsigma_2(\alpha) r'(\alpha) + \varsigma_1(\alpha) s'(\alpha) + \varsigma_4(\alpha) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where,  $\varsigma_1 = 3r^2(\alpha) + 2r(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha) - 3s^2(\alpha) + \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha), \varsigma_2 = 6r(\alpha)s(\alpha) + 2s(\alpha)a_1(\alpha), \varsigma_3 = r^2(\alpha)\mathcal{A}'_1(\alpha) + s^2(\alpha)\mathcal{A}'_1(\alpha) + \mathcal{A}'_2(\alpha)r(\alpha), \varsigma_4 = \mathcal{A}'_2(\alpha)s(\alpha) + 2r(\alpha)s(\alpha)\mathcal{A}'_1(\alpha).$ 122 123 On multiplying (5) by  $\zeta_1(\alpha)$  and (6) by  $\zeta_2(\alpha)$  respectively 124

$$r(\alpha)' = -\frac{\zeta_1(\alpha)\zeta_3(\alpha) + \zeta_2(\alpha)\zeta_4(\alpha)}{\zeta_1^2(\alpha) + \zeta_2^2(\alpha)}.$$
(7)

Substituting  $r(\alpha) = 0$  and  $s(\alpha) = \sqrt{A_2(\alpha)}$  at  $\alpha = \alpha^*$  on  $\zeta_1(\alpha), \zeta_2(\alpha), \zeta_3(\alpha)$ , and  $\zeta_4(\alpha)$ , we 125 obtain  $\varsigma_1(\alpha^*) = -2\mathcal{A}_2(2^*), \varsigma_2(\alpha^*) = 2\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha^*)\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha^*)}$ 126 127

$$\zeta_3(\alpha^*) = \mathcal{A}_3(\alpha^*) - \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha^*)\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha^*), \zeta_4(\alpha^*) = \mathcal{A}_2(\alpha^*)\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_2\alpha^*}.$$
 The equation (7), implies

$$r'(\alpha^*) = \frac{\mathcal{A}'_3(\alpha^*) - (\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha^*\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha^*)))}{2(\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha^*) + \mathcal{A}_1^2(\alpha^*))},\tag{8}$$

if  $\mathcal{A}'_{3}(\alpha^{*}) - (\mathcal{A}_{1}(\alpha^{*})\mathcal{A}_{2}(\alpha^{*}))' \neq 0$  which implies that  $\frac{d}{d\alpha^{*}}(Re(\lambda(\alpha^{*})))| \neq 0$ , and  $\lambda_{3}(\alpha^{*}) =$ 128  $-\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha^*) \neq 0$ . Therefore the condition  $\mathcal{A}'_3(\alpha^*) - (\mathcal{A}_1(\alpha^*)\mathcal{A}_2(\alpha^*))' \neq 0$  It has been guar-129 anteed that the transversality criterion is satisfied, hence the model (2) has attained the 130 Hopf-bifurcation at  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ .  $\Box$ 131

### 6. Numerical Simulations

In this section, several numerical experiments on the system (2) are carried out to 133 verify the mathematical findings. The rate of fear  $\varrho$  is used as a control parameter. For 134 the specified fixed parameter values, the numerical simulation is carried out using the 135 MATLAB/MATHEMATICA software packages. With Runge-Kutta's numerical scheme. 136 Here  $r = 0.2, \theta = .25, d = 0.1, \delta = 0.1, \zeta = 0.15, \eta = 0.15, \alpha = variable$ 137

111

116



**Figure 1.** The population concentrations of infected prey, and predators are as follows for the parametric values . Where  $\alpha = 0.15, 0.2, 0.28, 0.3$ 



**Figure 2.** al pha = 0.3, the system's time series solution (2) revolves around the equilibrium point  $E_2$  with the parametric values are given . with the exception of al pha = 0.28, the following time series will be centered on the equilibrium point  $E^*$  and will have the same parametric values as in the table().

#### 6.1. Effect of varying the predation rate $\alpha$

Fix the parameters in above, For the specified parameters, without infection equilib-139 rium point  $E_2$  and the endemic equilibrium point  $E^*$  exists for  $0.1 < \alpha < 0.035$ , respectively, 140 for the given parametric values. The stability of for  $\alpha = 0.3$  and  $\alpha = 0.28$ . 141 Figure (1) demonstrates an increase in the predation rate  $\alpha$  and a decrease in the popula-142 tion of infected prey when the predator population increases . Figure (1) demonstrates an 143 increase in the predation rate  $\alpha$  and a decrease in the population of infected prey when the 144 predator population increases . For a predation on susceptible prey of value  $\alpha = 0.3$  the 145 model (1) is locally asymptotically stable at  $E^*(0.0526548, 0.0395862, 0.04271033)$ , in Figure 146 (3). By increasing the value of refuge  $\alpha = 0.5$ , the model (1) losses its stability and oscillates 147 around *E*<sup>\*</sup>(0.0471160, 0.0260163, 0.0353871), arising a limit cycle in figure (4). 148

To fix the rate of refuge value  $\alpha = 0.3$  the model, then the model (1) satisfy the values of transversality conditions for a non-delayed model is  $(Re(\lambda(\alpha)))|_{\alpha=\alpha^*} = 0.001528 \neq 0$ .

#### 7. Conclusion

We researched an eco-epidemiological system that included infection in the population  $^{152}$  density of prey and fear in the susceptible prey population density as a result of predator  $^{153}$  attacks on susceptible and diseased prey. In addition, each biologically possible point of  $^{154}$  equilibrium can be represented (2). Furthermore, we investigated the suggested model's  $^{155}$  local stability (2) and observed the occurrence of Hopf-bifurcation, and we determined that modifying the cost of predation rate  $\alpha$  has an instantaneous effect on the model's stability  $^{157}$  (2). As a result, Hopf-bifurcation constrained the developed analytical arguments around  $^{152}$ 



**Figure 3.** The time analysis of model(2) and phase portrait for the model (2) when  $\alpha = 0.3$ .

138

149

150



**Figure 4.** The time analysis of model(2) and phase portrait for the model (2) when  $\alpha = 0.6$ .

the  $E^*$  simulation findings. In the proposed models, we deduce that the existence of dread has a higher impact on stability shifts via the Hopf bifurcation. 160

#### References

- 1. Ashwin, A and SIVABALAN, M and Divya, A and Siva Pradeep, M. Dynamics of Holling type II eco-epidemiological model with fear effect, prey refuge, and prey harvesting. *Computer Sciences and Mathematics Forum*, Publisher: MDPI, **2023**.
- Divya, A and Sivabalan, M and Ashwin, A and Siva Pradeep, M. DYNAMICS OF RATIO DEPENDENT ECO EPIDEMIOLOGICAL MODEL WITH PREY REFUGE AND PREY HARVESTING. Computer Sciences and Mathematics Forum, Publisher: MDPI, 2023.
- 3. Kermack, William Ogilvy and McKendrick, Anderson G.A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *Proceedings of the royal society of london. Series A, Containing papers of a mathematical and physical character,* The Royal Society London, **1927**.
- 4. Lotka, Alfred James, *Elements of physical biology*, Williams & Wilkins, 1925
- Volterra, V.Variazioni e fluttuazioni del numero d'individui in specie animali conviventi Mem. Accad. Lincei Roma 2 31;
   Fluctuations in the abundance of a species considered mathematically, *Nature (London)*, 1926
- 6. Crowley, Philip H and Martin, Elizabeth K.Functional responses and interference within and between year classes of a dragonfly population, *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, North American Benthological Society, **171**
- Arditi, Roger and Ginzburg, Lev R.Coupling in predator-prey dynamics: ratio-dependence, Journal of theoretical biology, Elsevier, 1989
- 8. Panigoro, Hasan S and Anggriani, Nursanti and Rahmi, Emli Understanding the Role of Intraspecific Disease Transmission and Quarantine on the Dynamics of Eco-Epidemiological Fractional Order Model. *Fractal and Fractional*, MDPI **2023**,
- Panigoro, Hasan S and Suryanto, Agus and Kusumawinahyu, Wuryansari Muharini and Darti, Isnani; Dynamics of an ecoepidemic predator–prey model involving fractional derivatives with power-law and Mittag–Leffler kernel, Symmetry MDPI 202
- 10. Panigoro, Hasan S and Suryanto, Agus and Kusumawinahyu, Wuryansari Muharini and Darti, Isnani, Dynamics of a fractionalorder predator-prey model with infectious diseases in prey, *Commun. Biomath. Sci*, **2019**
- 11. Rahmi, Emli and Darti, Isnani and Suryanto, Agus and Trisilowati, Trisilowati and others; A Fractional-Order Eco-Epidemiological Leslie–Gower Model with Double Allee Effect and Disease in Predator, *International Journal of Differential Equations*, Hindawi **2023**, 183

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

161

162

163

166

167

168

175