[C0013]

DNA-Binding and DNA-Photodamaging Properties of Indolo[2,3-b]-Quinolizinium Bromide

Heiko Ihmels^{*}, Katja Faulhaber, Kathrin Wissel

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg; Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany E-mail: <u>ihmels@chemie.uni-wuerzburg.de</u>

Received: 7 August 2000 / Uploaded: 10 August

Cationic dyes have been shown to bind efficiently to DNA [1] and lead to photoinduced DNA damage [2]. Such photobiological features may be used to detect or characterize the nucleic acid [3]. Furthermore the intentional photoinduced damage of DNA, e. g. in tumor cells, may be applied in phototherapy [4,5]. Among the cationic aromatic compounds that have been investigated along these lines are coralyne (1) [6] and related quinolizinium salts [7]. During our studies on photobiological properties of aromatic heterocycles we showed that readily available benzo[b]quinolizinium salts (acridizinium salts) **2** exhibit pronounced DNA-binding and DNA-photodamaging properties [8]. To further investigate the photobiological features of quinolizinium derivatives we synthesized indolo[2,3-b]quinolizinium salt (**3a**) [9]. The interactions of this aromatic dye with DNA seemed to be of special interest since it resembles closely the structure of the known anti-tumor compound Céliptium[®] **4**. Herein, we present preliminary investigations of the interactions of quinolizinium dye **3a** with DNA.



Indoloquinolizinium salt **3a** was synthesized from the pyridinium derivative **7**, which was obtained by quarternization of 2-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)pyridine (**6**) [10] with 3-bromomethylindole (**5**) [11]. Surprisingly, the cyclization of bromide salt **3-Br** with hydrobromic acid (48%) or polyphosphoric acid (PPA, 84%) led to the formation of bromo-substituted indoloquinolizinium salt **3b** in ow yield along with the parent compound **3a**. The latter could not be isolated from the reaction mixture. However, when the tetrafluoroborate salt **3-BF**₄ was cylized with PPA, the quinolizinium salt **3a** was obtained in 41% yield by crystallization from methanol. Counterion exchange was achieved according to the procedure of Hünig *et al.* [12].



Scheme 1. Synthesis of quinolizinium salt 3a

The structural assignment of the pyridinium salt **2** and the quinolizinium salts **3a** and **3b** is based on their 1D- and 2D-NMR and ESI-mass spectrometrical data and elemental analysis [13]. Both salts are yellow solids and exhibit an absorption maximum in methanol at 1 = 354 nm (**3a**: $e = 11728 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) along with a broad absorption band with a zero onset at 1 = 420 nm. Moreover, a broad fluorescence band at 1 = 452 nm was observed in methanol. With an increasing donor number [14] of the solvent a slight shift of the emission maximum was detected (CH₃CN: 450 nm; DMF: 455 nm; DMSO: 456 nm). Thus, the quinolizinium salt **3a** exhibits ideal spectroscopic proerties which should enable the spectrometric observation of dye-DNA interactions in the visible region, where DNA does not absorb.

The addition of *calf thymus* DNA to a buffered solution of salt **3a** was monitored by absorption and emission spectroscopy (Figure 1). With an increasing amount of DNA concentration a decrease of the absorbance was observed. Moreover, the absorption maximum was red-shifted by 4 nm along with a broadening of the linewidth. Two isobestic points were observed which indicates that one quinolizinium-DNA complex is formed almost exclusively. The fluorescence intensity of quinolizinium salt **3a** is also significantly quenched by addition of DNA and the emission maximum exhibits a bathochromic shift of 4 nm. Such perturbations of the electronic spectra on DNA addition are usually observed for DNA-binding cationic dyes [1] and it may be concluded from these results that the novel quinolizinium salt **3a** also binds to DNA.



Figure 1. UV/VIS and fluorescence spectral changes on addition of *calf thymus* DNA to quinolizinium salt **3a** (in phosphate buffer; UV: $c = 10^{-4}$ M; emission: $c = 10^{-5}$ M, $l_{ex} = 370$ nm)

Since a binding interaction between the quinolizinium salt **3a** and DNA has been demonstrated, it was of special interest whether the complex formation may be used for photo-induced DNA damage, because efficient DNA photocleavage is required for potential phototherapeutic applications. Irradiation of supercoiled DNA (pBR 322) in the

presence of the quinolizinium salt 3a at 1 = 350 nm under aerobic conditions yielded frank strand breaks (Figure 2) [15]. After 1 h, DNA damage of 80% was detected, showing that the quinolizinium salt 3a has a high potential as a photonuclease. After further irradiation (2 h), even linear DNA fragments were detected.



Lane	1 ^[a]	2	3	4	5
Irradiation time [min]	0	15	30	45	60
Strand breaks ^[b] [%]	10	25	40	70	80

[a] Blank sample; ^[b] error: ± 10%

Figure 2. Photocleavage of plasmid DNA pBR322 with quinolizinium salt **3a** (gel-electrophoresis pattern: top line = supercoiled DNA; bottom line = open-cirular DNA)

In summary, we have shown that the novel indolo-annelated quinolizinium salt 3a binds to DNA and has a high potential to cleave DNA on irradiation. Further investigations on the distinct binding mode and photocleavage mechanism are presently performed.

Acknowledgements

This work was generously financed by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. Constant support and encouragement by Prof. Waldemar Adam is gratefully appreciated. We thank Dr. Markus Herderich for the detection of the ESI-MS spectra.

References

[1] a) Ethidium bromide: J.-B. LePecq, C. Paoletti, J. Mol. Biol. 1967, 27, 87–106. b) Proflavine: L. S. Lermann, J. Mol. Biol. 1961, 3, 18–30. c) Diazaperopyrennium: A. Slama-Schwok, J. Jazwinski, A. Béré, T. Montenay-Garestier, M. Rougée, C. Hélène, J.-M. Lehn, Biochemistry 1989, 28, 3227–3234. d) Methylene blue: E. Tuite, B. Nordén, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7548–7556.

[2] a) B. Armitage, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1171–1200. b) I. E. Kochevar, D. D. Dunn in Bioorganic Photochemistry, (Ed.: H. Morrison), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1990, pp. 273–315.

[3] W. D. Wilson in *Nucleic Acids in Chemistry and Biology*, (Eds.: G. M. Blackburn, M. J. Gait, IRL Press, Oxford, U.K., 2nd Ed., **1996**, pp. 329–374.

[4] a) S. J. Wagner, A. Skripchenko, D. Robinette, J. W. Foley, L. Cincotta, *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1998**, 67, 343–349. b) B. Lambrecht, H. Mohr, J. Knüver-Kopf, H, Schmitt, *Vox. Sang.* **1993**, 60, 207–213.

[5] S. P. Gupta, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1507-1551.

[6] a) W. D. Wilson, A. N. Gough, J. J. Doyle, M. W. Davidson, J. Med. Chem. 1976, 19, 1261–1263. b) D. S. Pilch, C. Yu, D. Makhey, E. J. LaVoie, A. R. Srinivasan, W. K. Olson, R. S. Sauers, K. J. Breslauer, N. E. Geacintov, L. F. Liu, *Biochemistry* 1997, 36, 12542–12553.

[7] A. Molina, J. J. Vaquero, J. L. Garcia-Navio, J. Alvarez-Builla, B. de Pascal-Teresa, F. Gado, M. M. Rodrigo, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 3907–3915.

[8] a) H. Ihmels, B. Engels, K. Faulhaber, C. Lennartz, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2000**, in press. b) H. Ihmels, K. Faulhaber, C. Sturm, G. Bringmann, K. Messer, D. Vedaldi, G. Viola, *Angew. Chem.*, submitted.

[9] Alternative name: 9*H*-benzo[*b*]- 3-azacarbazolium

[10] C. K. Bradsher, J. C. Parham, J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 83.

[11] R. Lui, P. Zhang, T. Gan, J. M. Cook, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7447.

[12] W.-K. Gries, E. Günther, S. Hünig, Liebigs Ann., 1991,1021.

[13] **7-Br**: mp. 116-117 °C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, *d*₆-DMSO): 4.20 (s, 4 H), 6.04 (s, 2 H), 6.43 (s, 1 H), 7.29-8.96 (m, 14 H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, *d*₆-DMSO): 154.4, 148.5, 146.9, 139.1, 136.8, 136.0, 131.0, 130.3, 130.0, 129.8, 128.4, 127.6, 127.2, 125.6, 120.5, 115.3, 115.1; elemental

analysis calcd (%) for C₂₃H₂₁BrN₂O₄S•H₂O (519.4): C 53.19, H 4.46, N 5.39, S 6.17; found C 53.63, H 4.26, N 5.50 S 6.27. **7-BF**₁: mp. 168–170 °C (dec.); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₃H₂₁B₁F₄N₂O₄S₁ (508.3): C 54.35, H 4.16, N 5.51, S 6.31; found C 54.13, H 4.32, N 5.55 S 6.40. **3a**: mp. 290–295 °C (dec.); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CD₃OD): 7.26 (ddd, J = 8 Hz, J = 7 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1 H), 7.48–7.58 (m, 2 H), 7.80 (ddd, J = 9 Hz, J = 7 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1 H), 8.03 (s, 1 H), 8.11–8.18 (m, 2 H), 8.89 (d, J = 7 Hz, 1 H), 9.88 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, *d*₆-DMSO): 104.7, 113.3, 120.3, 120.5, 123.6, 123.7, 127.1, 131.5, 132.2, 132.4, 135.6, 141.7, 144.6, 145.0; MS (ESI): 299

(100) [M⁺].); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{15}H_{11}Br_1N_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (335.2): C 53.75, H 4.51, N 8.33; found C 54.38, H 4.32, N 8.33. **3b**: mp. 332-333 °C; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, d₆-DMSO): 7.79 (dd, J = 7 Hz, J = 7 Hz, I H), 7.82 (d, J = 9 Hz, I H), 7.99 (dd, J = 9 Hz, J = 2 Hz, I H), 8.07 (dd, J = 7 Hz, J = 9 Hz, I H), 8.52 (d, J = 9 Hz, I H), 8.54 (s, 1 H), 8.77 (d, J = 2 Hz, I H), 9.12 (d, J = 7 Hz, I H), 10.21 (s, 1 H), 13. 04 (s, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, d₆-DMSO): 104.2, 113.9, 114.6, 119.2, 121.3, 125.3, 125.9, 131.5, 132.2, 135.0, 140.3, 142.2, 143.7; MS (ESI): 299 (100) [M⁺].

[14] P. Suppan. N. Ghoneim, Solvatochromism, The Royal Society of Chemistry, London, 1997.

[15] Irradiations were performed with a Rayonet photoreactor at 1 = 350 nm at 0 °C. Strand breaks were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide as indicator. Spots were detected by exposure to a UV transilluminator (366 nm) and recorded with a Herolab EASY 429K camera. For comparison, a blank sample of the plasmid was simultaneoulsy irradiated under the same conditions without dye; and after 1 h of photolysis the amount of supercoiled DNA did not change within the error limit.

All comments on this poster should be sent by e-mail to (mailto:ecsoc@listserv.ariz ona.edu) ecsoc@listserv.arizona.edu with C0013 as the message subject of your e-mail.