

The 5th International Electronic Conference on Applied Sciences

MDPI

04-06 December 2024 | Online



Jéssica Lopes de Oliveira^{*1}, Alberto Malvezzi², Humberto Dellê¹, Douglas Edgard Lemes¹, Lourdes Dias³, Stephaen Hyslop³, Rafael Floriano⁴, Denis Servant⁵, Gilles Mourier⁵, Paulo Lee Ho⁶, Henrique Roman-Ramos¹

¹ Laboratório de Biotecnologia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Medicina, Universidade Nove de Julho (UNINOVE), São Paulo 01504-001, Brazil. ² Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Nove de Julho (UNINOVE), São Paulo 01504-001, Brazil.

³ Departamento de Farmacologia, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas 13083-887, Brazil.

⁴ Laboratório de Toxinologia e Estudos Cardiovasculares, Universidade do Oeste Paulista (UNOESTE), Presidente Prudente 19067-175, Brazil.

⁵ Service d'Ingénierie Moléculaire pour la Santé (SIMoS), Département Médicaments et Technologies pour la Santé, Université Paris Saclay, Commissariat à l'énergie Atomique et aux Énergies

Alternatives (CEA), F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

αδ

⁶ Centro Bioindustrial, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo 05503-900, Brazil.

*jessica.lo@uni9.edu.br

INTRODUCTION & AIM

Micrurus corallinus is a coral snake endemic to Brazil, whose venom is known to contain toxins with both pre- and postsynaptic activity. Recently, we observed that NXH8, a three-finger toxin (3FTx) from *M. corallinus*, exhibited antagonistic action on the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) of *Tetronarce californica* in phrenic nerve-diaphragm preparations from mice. However, this action was found to be easily reversible, suggesting a potentially low toxicity. In this study, the in vivo toxicity of NXH8 was evaluated, alongside *in silico* analyses comparing the interactions of NXH8 and α -bungarotoxin (α -BgTx) from *Bungarus multicinctus* with the nAChR of *T. californica*.





METHOD

Evaluation of Anti-NXH8 Antibodies and Survival Assays in Mice

Anti-NXH8 antibodies were produced in Balb/c mice. *In vivo* toxicity was assessed in mice receiving 3 LD50 of *M. corallinus* venom (control) or synthetic NXH8. The neutralizing capacity of anti-NXH8 antibodies was tested in mice injected with venom pre-incubated with saline (G1), antivenom (G2), anti-NXH8 antibodies (G3), and post-treated with Varespladib (VPL) intramuscularly after intraperitoneal administration of venom pre-incubated with saline (G4) or pre-incubated with anti-NXH8 antibodies (G5). Survival was monitored for 48 hours.

Comparative In Silico Analysis of NXH8 and \alpha-BgTx Interactions with nAChR *In silico* analyses were conducted to understand the molecular interactions between NXH8 and the nAChR of *T. californica*, comparing them to the interactions of α -BgTx, with high affinity for the same receptor. NXH8 docking with the *T. californica* nAChR was performed using HADDOCK 2.4, with structures predicted by AlphaFold2. Residues at the $\alpha\gamma$ and $\alpha\delta$ interfaces were identified from predicted contacts: active residues were manually selected, and passive residues were automatically assigned by HADDOCK based on proximity to active sites.

Interface	Chain	hain Active residues				Passive residues				av
αδ	α	D93 Y190	W149 T191	W187 C193	Y189 Y198	D89 Y127 S157 V188 T196	L90 E129 S159 C192 P197	N94 K145 K185 P194 L199	A96 G153 H186 D195	
	δ	T38 P181	W57 E182	L111 E186	D180	D59 R113 I178 T185 K224	I79 Y117 I179 G188	Y106 T119 A183 E189	N109 L121 F184 E191	
αγ	α	Y93 C193	W149 Y198	W187	Y190	D89 E129 S159 Y189 D195	L90 K145 K185 T191 T196	N94 G153 H186 C192 P197	A96 S157 V188 P194 L199	
	γ	W55 P175	L119 E180	H172	D174	K34 L109 I173 T179 R189	T36 Y117 E176 G182 K218	Y104 E163 D177 E183	N107 W170 F178 T185	

Active and passive residues at the $\alpha\gamma$ and $\alpha\delta$ interfaces of the T. californica nAChR.

At the $\alpha\delta$ interface of the nAChR, a comparison of residues from Finger II of α -BgTx and NXH8 highlights key differences in their interactions. In α -BgTx, residues such as Arg36, Asp30, and Lys38 from Finger II establish a robust network of interactions. Specifically, Arg36 interacts with α Thr191, α Cys192, and α Tyr198 on the α subunit, while also engaging δ Asp180 and δ Trp176 on the δ subunit. These interactions are supported by Asp30 and Lys38, which contribute additional stabilising electrostatic and hydrogen bonds. In contrast, Finger II of NXH8 forms a more restricted set of interactions. Key residues such as Arg35 and Arg38 interact with α Tyr190, α Thr191, and α Cys192 on the α subunit, as well as δ Thr38 and δ Trp176 on the δ subunit. However, the absence of dense aromatic and electrostatic interactions, as seen in α -BgTx, limits the overall stability of the binding network. While Arg35 and Arg38 provide some degree of interaction strength, their contribution is less extensive compared to the robust network formed by the corresponding residues in α -BgTx.



Comparative Analysis of 2D Maps, Temporal Graphs, and RMSD Histograms for the Interactions of α-BgTx and NXH8 with the nAChR Receptor of *T. californica*.

2D RMSD maps, time plots, and histograms for *T. californica* nAChR with BgTx (left) and NXH8 (right). BgTx shows broader conformational variation (green regions, higher histogram peak), indicating instability, while NXH8 displays lower variation (purple regions, stable RMSD), suggesting a stabilising interaction.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION



Survival assay with anti-sNXH8 and VLP

Evaluation of the ability of anti-sNXH8 antibodies and VLP to neutralize the toxicity of *M. corallinus* venom. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups (p < 0.05). Identical letters denote no significant difference between groups (p > 0.05).

CONCLUSION

NXH8's low toxicity in vivo is likely due to structural differences from α -bungarotoxin, suggesting that other venom toxins, including presynaptic β -neurotoxins such as Phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂), may contribute to lethality. This study was conducted under Animal Ethics Committee Protocol 4463100419 with financial support from H.R-R. (FAPESP: 2017/18398-1) and S.H. (CNPq: 406816/2022-0).

FUTURE WORK / REFERENCES

[1] Arantes, P.R., et al., *Making it Rain: Cloud-Based Molecular Simulations for Everyone.* J Chem Inf Model, 2021. 61(10): p. 4852-4856.

[2] Nirthanan, S., et al., Non-conventional toxins from Elapid venoms. Toxicon, 2003. 41(4): p. 397-407.

[3] Roman-Ramos, H., et al., The Cloning and Characterization of a Three-Finger Toxin Homolog (NXH8) from the Coralsnake *Micrurus corallinus* That Interacts with Skeletal Muscle Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors. Toxins (Basel), 2024. 16(4).

[4] Roman-Ramos H, Ho PL. Current Technologies in Snake Venom Analysis and Applications. Toxins (Basel). 2024 Oct 25;16(11):458. doi: 10.3390/toxins16110458. PMID: 39591213; PMCID: PMC11598588.

https://sciforum.net/event/ASEC2024