

Phytosomes-Based Nanocarriers Enhanced with Seaweed Extracts: Overcoming the Blood-Brain Barrier

Mariana Portela¹, <u>Aurora Silva</u>^{1,2}, Maria Carpena², Clara Grosso^{1*}, Maria Fátima Barroso¹, Ana Isabel Oliveira³, Claudia Martins ^{4*}, Cristina Ribeiro ^{4,5,6}, Miguel A Prieto²

¹REQUIMTE/LAQV, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida 431, 4249-072 Porto, Portugal ²Universidade de Vigo, Nutrition and Bromatology Group, Department of Analytical Chemistry and Food Science, Faculty of Science, E32004 Ourense, Spain. ³REQUIMTE/LAQV, Escola Superior de Saúde, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 400, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal ⁴i3S, Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, Universidade do Porto, Rua Alfredo Allen 208, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal ⁵ISEP, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida 431, Porto, 4249-015, Portugal ⁶INEB, Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Universidade do Porto, Rua Alfredo Allen 208, 4200-135, Porto, Portugal

INTRODUCTION

Neurodegenerative diseases result from the gradual decline in nerve cell function and are exacerbated by population aging. Macroalgae, particularly Bifurcaria bifurcata (BB), Fucus spiralis (FS), and Ascophyllum nodosum (AN), offer neuroprotective potential. This is attributed to their bioactive compounds, which possess antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [1,2]. However, in order to reach their target, these compounds have to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) (Fig. 1). Phytosomes (Fig. 2) are nanocarriers made of phospholipid bilayers that enhance bioactive compound delivery by forming amphipathic complexes, improving their ability to cross the BBB through lipid-mediated or carrier-assisted transport [3].





METHODOLOGY

Macroalgae extraction: Extracts were obtained using microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) with ethanol-water as the solvent, lyophilized and kept at -80°C until further use [4,5].

Phytosomes production and stability : Phosphatidylcholine (PC) and extract were combined in a 1:1 w:w and kept at 60°C for 1h under agitation. The entrapment rate and stability were determined based on the absorbance at 280 nm. Phytosomes were further functionalized with DSPE-PEG(2000) and ApoE. To assess their stability, the phytosomes were dried under a N₂ flow, resuspended in CH₃Cl and the absorbance was read at 250nm for 4 weeks.

characterization: Phytosomes were analyzed Phytosomes by UV spectrophotometry, FTIR and the size distribution and zeta potential were characterized by dynamic light scattering (DLS).

hCMEC/D3 cells model of the BBB: To analyze if the phytosomes were able to cross the BBB a transwell hCMEC/D3 (Fig. 3) cell model was applied. The phytosomes under studied were marked with coumarin 6 1% (w:w) and the quantity that



Fig. 1-Schematic representation of the BBB.

Fig. 2–Liposomes, phytosomes and possible ligands.

These nanocarriers offer biocompatibility, stability, and liposolubility, with surface functionalization (e.g., polyethylene glycol or apolipoprotein E (ApoE)) enhancing BBB penetration and reducing immune recognition.

RESULTS

The encapsulation rates (Fig. 4 A) varied between 75% (BB) and 80% (AN). Concerning the stability of the phytosomes complex (Fig. 4 B), the absorbance measured at the maximum absorbance wavelength (280 nm) was stable for 4 weeks with variations under 20%.







and 24 hours.

Fig. 5-Spectral profile of the BB extract, the phytosomes and the phosphatidylcholine molecule. A) FTIR B) UV-VIS

Fig. 3–Scheme of a transwell mimicking the BBB.

As an example, the FTIR and UV-Vis spectra of the BB extract, the respective phytosomes and PC is presented in Fig. 5.

Analyzing the FTIR spectra the hydroxyl group (OH) is confirmed by a characteristic peak at 3400 nm in the extract and the phytosomes. Common groups:

- C-H (peak around 3000 nm)
- C=O (peak around 1700 nm)
- P=O (peak at 1200 nm) unique to the complex
- C-O (peak at 1100 nm) significantly intensified in the complex compared to the extract.
- C-O-C (peak near 1000 nm) exclusive to the extract.

The UV-VIS spectrum of the phytosomes reveals a noticeable narrowing of the absorption band around 250 nm.

Table 1-Results obtained by DLS analysis for the different phytosomes.

Phytosomes	Average size (nm)	Polydispersity	Zeta Potential (mV)
AN (phyt)	167.73 ± 18.01	0.286 ± 0.019	2.22 ± 0.52
BB (phyt)	150.79 ± 60.30	0.395 ± 0.086	2.15 ± 0.12
FS (phyt)	117.71 ± 26.10	0.411 ± 0.178	1.91 ± 0.10
AN (PEG)	365.6±34.16	0.587 ± 0.138	0.63 ± 0.47
BB (PEG)	297 ± 58.43	0.566 ± 0.032	0.87 ± 0.58
FS (PEG)	254.25 ± 88.35	0.685 ± 0.171	-7.27 ± 1.81
AN (ApoE/PEG)	277.07 ± 38.31	0.389 ± 0.013	-0.68 ± 0.26
BB (ApoE/PEG)	309.68±85.56	0.539 ± 0.088	-3.31 ± 1.05
FS (ApoE/PEG)	361.44 ± 43.97	0.419 ± 0.100	-2.30 ± 0.64

Table 2-Percentage permeability of functionalized and non-functionalized phytosomes across the simulated BBB after 3 and 24 hours.

Phytosomes	Permeability (%) 3h	Permeability (%)24 h
AN (phyt)	0.079 ± 0.026	27.411 ± 0.936
BB (phyt)	3.763 ± 0.042	22.865 ± 2.017
FS (phyt)	5.152 ± 0.039	23.276 ± 0.612
AN (ApoE3/PEG)	4.672 ± 0.028	13.144 ± 0.393
BB (ApoE3/PEG)	4.603 ± 0.032	12.480 ± 0.280
FS (ApoE3/PEG)	4.788 ± 0.032	20.598 ± 2.099

Table 1 highlights differences between simple and functionalized phytosomes across three extracts, confirming an additional functional layer. Functionalized phytosomes show higher polydispersity, especially with PEG alone, compared to ApoE + PEG combinations. Functionalization also lowers the zeta potential, often yielding negative surface charges. Table 2 suggests that particle transport may occur independently of ApoE receptors. At 24 hours, permeability increased, but the impact of functionalization remained unclear.

CONCLUSIONS

- > **Development of Phytosomes**: Natural macroalgae extracts were successfully incorporated into a lipid nanocarrier through the development of phytosomes.
- Stability: The phytosomes demonstrated stability for at least four weeks.
- > BBB Permeability: Functionalization with ApoE was not essential for phytosomes to cross the BBB, as they passed through hCMEC/D3 cell monolayers regardless of formulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research received no external funding from FCT/MCTES (UIDB/50006/2020 DOI 10.54499/UIDB/50006/2020) through national funds" and to Ibero-American Program on Science and Technology (CYTED- GENOPSYSEN, P222RT0117). Fatima Barroso (2020.03107.CEECIND) and Clara Grosso (CEECIND/03436/2020) thank FCT for the contracts. Aurora Silva thanks EU-FORA Fellowship Program (EUBA-EFSA-2023-ENREL-01) for the grant received.

REFERENCES

[1] Quitério, E.; Soares, C.; Ferraz, R.; Delerue-Matos, C.; Grosso, C. Foods 2021, 10, doi:10.3390/foods10123100.

[2] Silva, A.; Cassani, L.; Grosso, C.; Garcia-Oliveira, P.; Morais, S.L.; Echave, J.; Carpena, M.; Xiao, J.; Barroso, M.F.; Simal-Gandara, J.; et al. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2024, 64, 1283–1311, doi:10.1080/10408398.2022.2115004.

[3] Fernandes, F.; Dias-Teixeira, M.; Delerue-Matos, C.; Grosso, C. Nanomaterials 2021, 11, 1–51, doi:10.3390/nano11030563.

[4] Cassani, L.; Silva, A.; Carpena, M.; Pellegrini, M.C.; García-Pérez, P.; Grosso, C.; Barroso, M.F.; Simal-Gandara, J.; Gómez-Zavaglia, A.; Prieto, M.A. Food Chem. 2024, 438, 138037, doi:10.101. [5] Silva, A.; Carpena, M.; Cassani, L.; Grosso, C.; Garcia-Oliveira, P.; Delerue-Matos, C.; Simal-Gandara, J.; Barroso, M.F.; Prieto, M.A.. Antioxidants 2024, 13, 1189, doi:10.3390/antiox13101189.