







Targeting FabZ: Design and synthesis of potential inhibitors

<u>Aurélie HERRERO</u>^{(1)*}, Laurie BIBENS⁽¹⁾, Virginie MOREL⁽¹⁾, François PELTIER⁽¹⁾, Jean-Paul BECKER⁽¹⁾, Christine CEZARD⁽²⁾, Alexandra DASSONVILLE-KLIMPT⁽¹⁾, Pascal SONNET⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Université de Picardie-Jules-Verne, UFR de Pharmacie, Agents Infectieux, Résistance et Chimiothérapie, UR 4294, 1 Rue des Louvels, F-80037 Amiens, France ⁽²⁾ Université de Picardie-Jules-Verne, UR 7378, LG2A, 10 rue Baudelocque, F-80037 Amiens, France

*aurelie.herrero@u-picardie.fr

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR)

- Antibioresistance: 1.14 M deaths in 2021¹
- Plasmodium spp: 597,000 deaths in 2023 2
- Projected deaths for 2050: **39.1 M** worldwide ³
- \rightarrow Critical need to develop innovative and selective strategies

The FAS-II system as target to combat AMR ⁴

- Crucial for microbial lipid metabolism and membrane integrity
- Absent in humans → Minimized risk of off-target effects
- Composed of ubiquitous enzymes in most bacteria, parasites,...
- \rightarrow Potential for a broad-spectrum antimicrobial

FabZ enzyme in FAS-II

β-hydroacyl-acyl carrier protein deshydratase

- Active site highly conserved across pathogens (*Ec*FabZ, *Pa*FabZ, *Pf*FabZ, *Yp*FabZ...)⁵
- Some known inhibitors: NAS-91 family, Schiff Base 5-8
- Crystal and co-crystal structures available in the PDB



Structural analysis of *Pf*FabZ-NAS91-10 co-crystal



Design and synthesis of new 8-aryl quinolines as NAS91-10 analogs to optimize interactions with *Pf*FabZ

```
General structure
Reference NAS91-10 : X_1 = O ; R_1 = Ph ; R_2 = Cl
```



The three main interactions of the quinoline NAS91-10 with *Pf*FabZ active site ⁷ are:

- Quinoline nitrogen and Glu147
- Oxygen and His98 and His133'

Docking studies highlighted that NAS91-10 also interacts with Phe169 and Phe171 at the tunnel entrance, regulating access to the active site.



 \rightarrow 37 new 8-arylquinolines (series 1-5) were synthesized.

Docking and molecular dynamics indicate that *Pf*FabZ-4c forms one of the most stable complexes compared to *Pf*FabZ-NAS91-10.

Main interactions with active site residues (His98) and tunnel entrance residues (Phe171) are maintained, while new interactions are observed (Gln145' and Val183').

Moreover, **4c** is as **active against** *Pf***3D7 as NAS91-10** with **lower cytotoxicity**. → Does 4c inhibit *Pf*FabZ enzyme in vitro ?



2 Design of the *Pf*FabZ enzymatic assay

Goal \rightarrow High yield production of *Pf*FabZ in active oligometric form (hexamer)



The enzymatic assay monitors the **conversion of β-hydroxybutyryl-CoA** to **crotonoyl-CoA at 260 nm**.

3 Design and optimization of *Pf*FabZ production protocol

PfFabZ production involves two steps: expression and isolation



^{3a} Expression step: *Pf*FabZ plasmids were first cloned into *E. coli* DH5α for amplification, then transformed into *E. coli* BL21 for efficient enzyme expression. Bacterial cultures were grown and enzyme production was induced using IPTG (IsopropyI-β-Dthiogalactopyranoside)

Table 1: Optimization of *Pf*FabZ expression protocol

		Bacterial cultivation			Induction	Enzyme
Entry	Enzyme	Medium	Time (h)	OD _{600nm}	Time (h)	yield*
1	<i>Pf</i> FabZ	Luria-Bertani (LB)	7	0.3-0.4	17	1
2	<i>Pf</i> FabZ	LB , 1% glucose, 0.4% glycerol	5	0.5 - 0.6	17	1.7
3	Truncated PfFabZ			0.4 - 0.6	17	8.5
4	<i>Pf</i> FabZ				4.5	0.6
5	Truncated PfFabZ					1

* Concentration in mg/L **determined by BCA** (BiCinchoninic acid) assays

The initial expression conditions (Entry 1) using LB medium yielded low *Pf*FabZ production (1 mg/L). To improve yield, we optimized three key parameters: (i) the sequence of the expressed protein (*Pf*FabZ vs truncated *Pf*FabZ), (ii) bacterial cultivation conditions, and (iii) induction time. In Entry 2, supplementing the culture medium with glucose and glycerol increased optical density (OD_{600nm}), reduced cultivation time and slightly enhanced enzyme production. Expression of a truncated *Pf*FabZ variant ⁵ (Entry 3, lacking 10 *N*-terminal amino acids) led to a fivefold increase in yield. However, reducing induction times (Entries 4 and 5) significantly decreased yield. The optimal conditions were achieved in Entry 3, combining truncated *Pf*FabZ, supplemented medium, and a 17-hour induction period.

4 Analyses of *Pf*FabZ production

Analyses were performed under denaturing conditions using SDS-PAGE, confirming the monomeric form of
 FabZ. Coomassie blue staining demonstrated the purity of the recovered eluates (E₁-E₄), while Western blot
 confirmed the presence of a His-tagged monomer (~17 kDa) using an anti-His-tag antibody. In future studies, we will develop a Native-PAGE protocol to assess the presence of the hexameric form of FabZ.

^{3b} Isolation step: bacterial cells were lysed, and His-tagged *Pf*FabZ was purified using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography in TNI buffer (Tris-HCl, NaCl, imidazole)



Conclusion and perspectives

Previous work highlighted 4c as a potential *Pf*FabZ inhibitor. In this study, 4c was resynthesized in four steps with an 11% overall yield. In parallel, optimization of the *Pf*FabZ production protocol increased enzyme yield from 1 mg/L to 8.5 mg/L. Future work will focus on the structural analysis of *Pf*FabZ using dynamic light scattering (DLS) to determine its oligomeric state and circular dichroism (CD) to refine its secondary structure, followed by enzymatic inhibition assays to evaluate the effect of 4c on *Pf*FabZ. This study will also facilitate the production of other FabZ enzymes, such as *Ec*FabZ and *Pa*FabZ, and will guide the synthesis of new potential inhibitors.

Acknowledgments : This work was supported by the ANR Astrid (projectANR-23-ASTR-0005)

1. WHO Bacterial Priority Pathogens List 2024, 1st ed.; World Health Organization: Geneva, 2024. 2. World Health Organization: Geneva, 2024. 3. Kariuki, S. The Lancet 2024, 404, 1172–1173. 4. Bibens, L.; Becker, J-P.; Dassonville-Klimpt, A.; Sonnet, P. Pharmaceuticals, 2023, 16, 425. 5. Sharma, S.K. et al. Bio. Chem., 2003, 278, 45661–45671. 6. Zhang, L. et al. J. Biol. Chem., 2006, 49, 3345-33. 8. Maity, K. et al. J. Struct. Biol., 2011, 176, 238-249.