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## INTRODUCTION

- Cancer:
$\Rightarrow$ Transforming growth factor $\beta$ receptor-associated kinase 1 (TAK1) or mitogen activated-protein kinase kinase kinase 7 (MAP3K7)
$\Rightarrow$ It is serine/threonine kinase which forms a key part of canonical immune and inflammatory signaling pathways
$\Rightarrow$ Regulate expression of a large number of genes involved in immune and inflammatory responses, as well as in cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation
$\Rightarrow$ TAK1 inhibitors used in cancers with an inflammatory component, for example, ovarian and colorectal carcinomas, as well as in hematological malignancies


## Computational Chemistry in Anticancer Drug Research

- Molecular modelling programs have been developed and widely used in the pharmaceutical and biological industry
- Pharmacophore modelling involves extracting common chemical features (hydrogen-bond acceptors, hydrogen bond donors, hydrophobic regions and positively or negatively charged groups) from 3D structures of a set of known ligands
$\Rightarrow$ 3D QSAR analysis is performed for generating models which correlates biological activity with physico-chemical properties of the molecules
$\Rightarrow$ A statistically significant 3D QSAR model helps in better understanding of structure activity relationship of a series of molecules and predicts the activity of yet to be synthesized compounds


## OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGY

> Three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationships (3D-QSAR) models are used to analyze favorable and unfavorable pharmacophoric features of molecules which play a crucial role to mimic the interaction of ligands with a particular protein target
> The present paper reports 3D-QSAR analysis of set of 7aminofuro [2,3-c]pyridine derivatives, reported by Hornberger K. R. et al. (2013) and intends to provide the platform to develop new compounds over existing substituted pyridines
$>$ The calculated fields are correlated with experimental biological activity data
> Different color-coded contour maps surrounding the ligands give insights about favorable and unfavorable ligand-receptor interactions, and also used as guides for designing novel leads

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

* The 3D-QSAR studies were performed using 54 molecules reported by Hornberger et al.
* Out of 54 molecules, $\mathbf{1 9}$ molecules were taken for the Test set and $\mathbf{3 5}$ molecules for Training set which was selected manually by considering activity variation present
* The dataset consists of both active and inactive molecules
* The study was performed using the PHASE 3.4 module of Schrodinger molecular modeling software for 3D-QSAR pharmacophore model developing


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- Different variant CPHs were generated by common pharmacophore identification process
- All CPHs were examined and scored to identify the pharmacophore that yields the best alignment of the active compounds ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}>6.2$ ).
- All CPHs were validated by aligning and scoring the inactive compounds ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}<5.7$ ).
- All top CPHs were used for atom-based 3D-QSAR model generation.
- The CPHs ADHRR. 84 and ADHRR. 651 yielded 3DQSAR models with good PLS statistical values.

Table 1: Score of different parameters of the hypothesis ADHRR-84 and ADHRR-651

| Parameter | Score |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADHRR-84 | ADHRR-651 |
| Survival | 3.880 | 3.864 |
| Survival- | 1.041 | 1.056 |
| inactive |  |  |
| Post hoc | 5.860 | 5.844 |
| Site | 0.97 | 0.95 |
| Vector | 1.000 | 0.999 |
| Volume | 0.908 | 0.911 |
| Selectivity | 1.869 | 1.971 |
| Matches | 17 | 17 |
| Energy | 0.00 | 17 |
| Activity | 6.602 | 6.602 |
| Inactive | 2.838 | 2.808 |

Table 2: 3D-QSAR statistical parameters for ADHRR-84 hypothesis

| PLS | $\mathbf{S D}$ | $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | RMSE | $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Pearson- <br> factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1 | 0.4993 | 0.6342 | 57.2 | $1.059 \mathrm{e}-008$ | 0.4367 | 0.5568 | 0.7895 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 0.3043 & 0.8682 & 105.4 & 8.297 \mathrm{e}-015 & 0.4071 & 0.6146 & 0.8027\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 0.2168 & 0.9352 & 149.1 & 1.679 \mathrm{e}-018 & 0.3423 & 0.7276 & 0.8684\end{array}$

4
0.17050
0.9612
$185.9 \quad 1.043 \mathrm{e}-020$
0.297
0.79490 .9093

Table 3: 3D-QSAR statistical parameters for ADHRR-651 hypothesis

| PLS <br> factors | $\mathbf{S D}$ | $\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | RMSE | $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Pearson- <br> $\mathbf{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.5489 | 0.5578 | 41.6 | $2.569 \mathrm{e}-007$ | 0.4776 | 0.4697 | 0.7878 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.3230 | 0.8515 | 91.8 | $5.566 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.3918 | 0.6431 | 0.8247 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2004 | 0.9446 | 176.3 | $1.463 \mathrm{e}-019$ | 0.3436 | 0.7256 | 0.8884 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 5 2 e - 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 2 5 8}$ |

- The training set correlation in both CPHs is characterized by PLS factors $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9612, \mathrm{SD}=\right.$ $0.1705, \mathrm{~F}=185.9, \mathrm{P}=1.043 \mathrm{e}-020, \mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.7949$ for CPH ADHRR. 84 and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9727, \mathrm{SD}=0.1431, \mathrm{~F}=$ $266.8, \mathrm{P}=5.552 \mathrm{e}-023, \mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.8051$ for CPH ADHRR.651).
- The CPH ADHRR. 84 yielded a 3D-QSAR model with good value of regression coefficient, low standard deviation, and high variance ratio with good stability
- A pictorial representation of the cubes generated in the present 3D-QSAR is shown in Figs. 1 and 2
In these generated cubes, the blue cubes indicate favorable features, while red cubes indicate unfavorable features for biological activity

Figure 1: Alignment of compounds using the 5-point pharmacophore hypothesis


Figure 2: Alignment of active compounds using the CPH-651


Figure 3: Plot of experimental versus predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of compounds for A) CPH-84



Figure 3: Plot of experimental versus predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of compounds for B) CPH-651



Figure 4: QSAR visualization of combined effect (blue cubes showing positive potential while red cubes showing negative potential of particular substitution) for $\mathbf{C P H}-651$
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Figure 4: QSAR visualization of combined effect (blue cubes showing positive potential while red cubes showing negative potential of particular substitution) for $\mathbf{C P H}-651$
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## CONCLUSIONS

- The goal of this study is to develop a model that facilitatesthe design of novel TAK1 inhibitors, for the treatment of cancer.
* Towards the end, a novel and unique pharmacophore is presented here based on 3D-QSAR modeling of pyrimidine derivatives, which is shown to have general applicability across several leads, clinical and pre-clinical candidates.
* The present study also explores the structure-activity relationships of TAK1 inhibitors using a pharmacophore based 3D-QSAR model and offers a rationale for their observed activities.
- Thus the proposed model offers a rationale for observed structure-activity relation-ships of this series of compounds, which can be incorporated for designing novel inhibitors of TAK1.
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